| CPFP/DCEG Grants and Grantsmanship Workshop Su | rvey |
|---|--|
| | OMB No.: 0925-0642 Expiration Date: 05/31/2020 |
| Public reporting burden for this collection of information response, including the time for reviewing instructions, so and maintaining the data needed, and completing and revagency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not information unless it displays a currently valid OMB contriburden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of i reducing this burden to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6 Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0642). Do not address. | earching existing data sources, gathering riewing the collection of information. An required to respond to, a collection of rol number. Send comments regarding this information, including suggestions for \$705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, |
| 1. Please indicate your affiliation. | |
| Cancer Prevention Fellowship Program | |
| Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics (non-CPFP Fellow) | |
| Other | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

CPFP/DCEG Grants and Grantsmanship Workshop Survey Grants and Grantsmanship in Practice/Anatomy of a Grant 2. I have a greater understanding of how grants are scored. Strongly Agree Agree (Neutral (Disagree (Strongly Disagree 3. I have a better understanding of the NIH grants review process. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 4. I understand better what reviewers respond positively to in grants. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 5. I understand better what reviewers respond negatively to in grants. Strongly Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Agree 6. I have a better sense of how much time it takes to write a grant. Strongly Agree Neutral Strongly Disagree Agree Disagree 7. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree Agree Neutral Disagree 8. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

| 9. I received a thorough overview of the K funding mechanisms. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 10. I understand what K funding mechanisms are appropriate for me. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | PFP/DCEG Grants and | d Grantsmanship | Workshop Survey | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 9. I received a thorough overview of the K funding mechanisms. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 10. I understand what K funding mechanisms are appropriate for me. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 10. I understand what K funding mechanisms are appropriate for me. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | Funding Mechanisms | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 10. I understand what K funding mechanisms are appropriate for me. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 10. I understand what K funding mechanisms are appropriate for me. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | Q I received a thorough | overview of the K f | unding machanisms | | |
| 10. I understand what K funding mechanisms are appropriate for me. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | _ | | _ | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| 11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | 10. I understand what K | funding mechanisn | ns are appropriate for r | ne. | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| 12. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | Diograp | Strongly Diogram |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutai | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. | 12. I think the presentati | on was comprehen | sive. | | |
| | | | | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree | 13. I think the lecturer wa | as able to convey in | nformation clearly. | | |
| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | | |
| | 14. Other comments and | l suggestions for in | nprovement: | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |
| 14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | | | | | |

| 15. I have a better understanding of the framework of the specific aims page. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 16. I have a better sense of how to write specific aims. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagr | PFP/DCEG Grants an | d Grantsmanship | Workshop Survey | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Di | riting Specific Aims | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Di | | | | | |
| 16. I have a better sense of how to write specific aims. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Stro | 15. I have a better unde | rstanding of the fra | mework of the specific | aims page. | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 17. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Dis | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagr |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 17. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Dis | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 17. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Dis | 16 I have a better cons | o of how to write on | ooifia aima | | |
| 17. I think the presentation was comprehensive. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disag | | | | Disagree | Strongly Disagr |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 18. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Control of the convey information clearly. | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 18. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Control of the convey information clearly. | | <u> </u> | | | |
| 18. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree On the convey information clearly. | 17. I think the presentat | ion was comprehen | sive. | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Company Disagree Strongly Disagree Company Disagree | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagr |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Company Disagree Strongly Disagree Company Disagree | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Company Disagree Strongly Disagree Company Disagree | | | | | |
| | | | | Disagree | Stronaly Disagr |
| 19. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: | Oli oligiy Agree | Agree | - Tredital | Disagree | Outorigity Disagri |
| | 19. Other comments an | d suggestions for in | nprovement: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| CPFP/DCEG Grants and Grantsmanship Workshop Survey | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| PFP/DCEG Grants and | d Grantsmanship | Workshop Survey | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | |
| ınding for Early-Caree | r Scientists | | | |
| | | | | |
| 20. I have a good sense | of funding opportur | nitios to NCI follows | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | 7.19.00 | O | Disagree | |
| | | | | |
| 21. I have a greater app | reciation of why it's | important to start writir | ng grants during my | fellowship. |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 22. I learned about fund | ing opportunities of | which I was not previo | usly aware (or to th | is extent). |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 23. This session made r | ne more likely to ap | ply for a scientific or tra | avel grant within the | e next year. |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 24. I think the lecturer w | as able to convey ir | formation clearly. | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 25. Other comments and | d suggestions for im | provement: | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 26. I have a better under | erstanding of basic l | budgeting for NIH rese | earch grants. | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| | | | | |
| 27. I have a better unde | erstanding of basic | budgeting for career d | evelopment grants. | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 28. I learned how to for | mulate a basic budg | et page of which I was | s not previously awa | • |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| | | | | |
| 29. This session made career development gra | | n formulating a budge Neutral | t for an NIH researc | h project grant or Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v Strongly Agree | Agree vas able to convey in | Neutral Information clearly. Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v | Agree was able to convey in | Neutral Information clearly. | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v Strongly Agree | Agree vas able to convey in Agree | Neutral Information clearly. Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v Strongly Agree | Agree vas able to convey in Agree | Neutral Information clearly. Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v Strongly Agree | Agree vas able to convey in Agree | Neutral Information clearly. Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v Strongly Agree | Agree vas able to convey in Agree | Neutral Information clearly. Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v Strongly Agree | Agree vas able to convey in Agree | Neutral Information clearly. Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| Strongly Agree 30. I think the lecturer v Strongly Agree | Agree vas able to convey in Agree | Neutral Information clearly. Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |

| | d Grantsmanship | Workshop Survey | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| periential Sessions our Specific Aims (Par | t I and II) | | | |
| | | | | |
| 22. I fool that raviowing | specific aims was s | worthwhile activity | | |
| 32. I feel that reviewing Strongly Agree Ag | | isagree Strongly Disa | agree | |
| 33. I learned how to form | mulate a stronger sເ | pecific aims page of w | hich I was not previo | ously aware (or to t |
| extent). Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| | | | | |
| helpful. Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| PFP/DCEG Grants ar | nd Grantsmanship | Workshop Survey | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| lock Study Section of | Mini-Grant Propos | al | | |
| | | | | |
| 36. I understand better | what the primary and | d secondary reviewer | 's roles are in the rev | view process. |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| 37. I understand how N | IIH grants are scored | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 38. I found the time allo | - | | | a |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| 39. I feel that reviewing | proposals and partic | ipating in the mock s | study section were w | orthwhile activities. |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| | | | | |
| 40. Other comments ar | nd suggestions for im | provement: | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

CPFP/DCEG Grants and Grantsmanship Workshop Survey Overall Goals/Evaluation of the Workshop 41. I feel that the workshop overall was a worthwhile activity. Neutral Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree 42. I would recommend the workshop highly to other fellows. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 43. The workshop helped me identify skills for development and areas for improvement. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree 44. To which funding mechanism(s) will you be submitting aspects of the proposal (e.g. specific aims page) developed during this workshop? Select all that apply. CPFP Trans Fellowship Research Award (TFRA) DCEG Intramural Research Award (IRA) DCEG Fellows Award for Research Excellence (DFARE) DCCPS Collaborative Research Award for Fellows in Training (CRAFT) NIH Fellows Award for Research Excellence (FARE) NCI Director's Innovation Award Department of Defense (DOD) Horizon Award National Science Foundation Time-sharing Experiments for the Social Sciences (TESS) Sallie Rosen Kaplan (SRK) Postdoctoral Fellowship for Women Scientists in Cancer Research NCI Diversity Career Development Program K99/R00 NIH Pathway to Independence Award K22 NIH Career Transition Award I will not be submitting. Other (please specify)

| 45. If submitting, do you feel your proposal will be stronger now after taking the workshop and receiving feedback from your colleagues? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| I will not be submitting. |
| |
| 46. Please comment on the aspects of the workshop that you found most useful: |
| |
| |
| 47. Diagon comment on the concerts of the workshop that were least helpful: |
| 47. Please comment on the aspects of the workshop that were least helpful: |
| |
| |
| 48. Please comment on the schedule for the workshop (i.e. whether it is more helpful to have the workshop |
| occur over several weeks or to have the workshop more compressed): |
| |
| |
| |
| 49. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |