SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

 Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information, or you may provide a valid URL link or paste the applicable section¹. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, make note of the sections or changed sections, if applicable.

The purpose of Section 102(b)(6) of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 (Fulbright-Hays Act) is to promote and develop modern foreign language training and area studies throughout the educational structure of the United States. To help accomplish this objective, fellowships are awarded through US institutions of higher education to American doctoral dissertation fellows enabling them to conduct overseas research and enhance their foreign language proficiency.

Under the Fulbright-Hays Doctoral Dissertation Research Abroad (DDRA) program, individual scholars apply through eligible institutions for an institutional grant to support the research fellowship. These institutions administer the program in cooperation with the US Department of Education (US/ED) as provided under the authority of Sections 102(b)(6) and 104(e)(1) of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, 34 CFR Parts 662, the Policy Statements of the J. William Fulbright Foreign Scholarship Board (FSB), and the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR).

Other legislation and regulations relevant to this information collection include the Government Performance and Results Act; section 427 of the General Education Provisions Act; and the Government Paperwork Elimination Act.

In order to judge all applications in a consistent manner at each stage of the complex review process, and to reduce the time required for it, it is necessary to collect the appropriate information from all individual applicants by means of a uniform application form.

Program Covered under this Information Collection	CFDA Number	Application Submit Date	Information Collection Needed for New Awards
Fulbright-Hays Doctoral Dissertation Research Abroad Program	84.022A	2/2017	FY 2018

¹ Please limit pasted text to no longer than 3 paragraphs.

This information collection is being submitted under the Streamlined Clearance Process for Discretionary Grant Information Collections (1894-0001).

The authorizing legislation and program-specific regulations are incorporated in the application package attached to this supporting statement.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The data requested are used by US/ED, US foreign language and area studies specialists, the US Department of State, US Embassies, Fulbright Commissions, host country officials and scholars, and the Fulbright Scholarship Board (FSB) in determining the academic qualifications and suitability of the individual applicant, potential political sensitivity and feasibility of the project in the host country, research climate, and adequacy of the proposed budget.

Failure to gather sufficient information on each individual applicant's academic background, language proficiency, extent of overseas experience, health, and ability to adapt to a foreign culture would make it impossible to properly evaluate his or her chances of successfully carrying out and completing the proposed research project. The data requested are the minimum necessary to administer the grant in compliance with program regulations.

Information gathered from the current collection is also used to compile program demographics and to generate informational lists for the public.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.

The information collection requires the electronic submission of applications using the G5 e-application system. Submitting applications electronically reduces burden because applicants are not required to prepare and mail multiple hard copies of grant applications to the Department.

The International and Foreign Language Education (IFLE) office uses the Department's Web site to notify prospective applicants about the DDRA program competition and deadline date. IFLE posts the DDRA application package (instructions and forms) on the Web site for more effective and efficient access. Additionally, we post Frequently-Asked Questions about the program on the respective programmatic Web pages, which makes technical assistance to the public more immediate. Technical assistance is enhanced by

posting a list of previously funded and currently funded projects on the Web site to help prospective applicants better understand the kinds of activities and projects supported by the DDRA program.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The collection and use of this information is not duplicated in US/ED.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

The collection of information does not impact small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

Since the grants awarded under this program are made annually and are not renewable, data must be collected for each new competition cycle. If the collection is not conducted, ED cannot meet its grant making activities in accordance with the approved schedule. These activities include publication of the closing date notice, providing technical assistance to new respondents, conducting the peer review, transmitting the funding slate to ED program officials and the FSB for approval, making grant awards, and notifying the Congress in a timely manner about successful applicants in the competition.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
- requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;

- requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
- in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;
- requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

The information collection does not involve any special circumstances that would impose these requirements and conditions on respondents.

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

A 30-day notice about this information collection will be published in the Federal Register to solicit public comment. The Department will summarize public comments and respond to them.

Institutional program directors and peer reviewers are consulted every three years regarding availability of data and clarity of instructions of the application form. Overseas diplomatic personnel and/or foreign affairs agencies are consulted regarding any American or host country requirements that may need to be addressed by new data elements. Student suggestions are retained and used during the revision of forms. Several DDRA project directors have been consulted as well. Data from all of these sources have been used to calculate hour and cost burden to respondents.

The professionals, administrators, and organizations cited above do not have adverse comments about the information being requested or about the time it takes to complete a grant application.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

No payment or gifts are provided to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.² If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentially of the data.

Each respondent is informed that his or her application is reviewed by staff of US/ED, the US Department of State, US Embassies, Fulbright commissions, host country officials and scholars, the FSB and American scholars. Comments made by any entity or individual involved in the review process are made available. Applicants and their referees are informed that in accordance with Section 552a(d) of the Privacy Act, the content of a reference form is subject to review by the applicant.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the

² Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

The FSB, which has statutory responsibilities for all Fulbright programs, requires that "applicants must be of sound physical and mental health". Therefore we include a medical certification in the application. This certification does not require the attachment of test results and is the most effective and least burdensome method of meeting the FSB's requirement.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

- Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector businesses or other for-profit, private sector not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.
- If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Response, and Total Hours)
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.

The data in the table is an estimate of the time it takes for both institutional project directors and student respondents to complete official forms, develop the application narrative and budget, and submit completed applications through the Department's G5 e-Application system.

Estimate of Annualized Burden Hours and Cost to Respondents

Program	Number of Institutional Respondents	Number of Individual Responses	Hours Per Institutional Respondent	Hours Per Individual Response	Total Institutional Hours	Total Individual Hours
Doctoral Dissertation	45	310	25	25	1125	7750
Research Abroad						

The burden of individual respondents is estimated at an average of 25 hours for each student. These estimates are based on feedback from the respondents during the last three years. The annual respondent burden of the 45 institutional project directors is estimated at 25 hours for each DDRA application. These estimates incorporate the completion of the following tasks:

- Registration in the G5 e-Application system
- Screening individual completed applications
- Transmitting completed individual applications to US/ED in a single submission

Program	Number of Respondents	Frequency of Response	Hours	Total Burden Hours	Wage	Total Cost
Student Respondent	310	Annual	25	7750	\$20/hr	\$155,000
DDRA Project	45	Annual	25	1125	\$40/hr	\$45,000
Director	45	Alliual	25	1125	\$40/III	\$45,000
Total	355			8875		\$200,000

Estimated total annual cost burden to respondents:

The total burden hours and respondents for the DDRA program have decreased (from the previously approved OMB application package) due to the fact that we have received fewer applications in the last three grant award competitions. From FY 2013 –FY 2016, we have received an average of 310 applications. Therefore, we have reduced the number of institutional and individual respondents (from 680 to 355) and decreased the total burden hours (from 16,840 to 8,875) for the DDRA program. The reduction of 325 respondents and 7965 burden hours is considered an adjustment.

- 13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)
 - The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The

estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.

- If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.
- Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost:Total Annual Costs (O&M):_____Total Annualized Costs Requested :

The programs in this information collection do not have costs that meet the criteria for inclusion in Item 13.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

The federal costs of \$151, 925 are described in the table provided.

Pre-Award and Post-Award Program Tasks	Wage per Hour	Staff Resources	Total Hours	Cost to Federal Government
Gather data and develop OMB justification statement	50	1	25	1,250
Develop application forms and instructions	50	2	5	500
Develop Notices of Closing Date (CDN)	50	1	5	250
Enter approved collection into ICRAS	50	1	1	50
Publish application guidelines and technical review forms in G5 e- Application module	50	2	2	200
Assign reader panels in G5 e-Reader	50	1	2	100
Conduct orientation for G5 e- Reading	50	2	4	400
Schedule regular peer review conference calls; review readers' comments in e-Reader; provide follow-up via e-mail and phone communications	45	5	80	18,000
Certify that the 35 reviewers have completed the e-Reading for issuance of honoraria	1000 (ED flat rate)	2	n/a	35,000
Review applications in funding range, revise budget requests, prepare slate memo and attachments for approval; enter grants into G5	45	2	200	18,000
Review of forms by US Embassies and binational commissions	45	2	80	7,200
ED program official reviews and approves slate	75	3	3	675
Executive officer commits grants	50	1	1	50
Obligate grants in G5 and signs Grant Award Notifications (GANs)	50	1	1	50
Program officer provides technical assistance to grantees; reviews performance and evaluation reports; conducts ongoing monitoring activities in compliance with OPE , IFLE requirements	45	2	780 (15 hrs/wk x 52 wks)	70,200
TOTAL			1,189	151,925

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

The total burden hours and respondents for the DDRA program have decreased (from the previously approved OMB application package) due to the fact that we have received fewer applications in the last three grant award competitions. From FY 2013 –FY 2016, we have received an average of 310 applications. Therefore, we have reduced the number of institutional and individual respondents (from 680 to 355) and decreased the total burden hours (from 16,840 to 8,875) for the DDRA program. The reduction of 325 respondents and 7965 burden hours is considered an adjustment.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

There are no plans for publication of results.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

Not applicable. The expiration date for OMB approval will be displayed on the information collection.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

Not applicable. No exceptions are being requested.