CMS-10526 (OMB Control Number: 0938-1266)

### A. Background

#### 1. Operational Background

The initial approved information collection request proposed to collect the necessary data elements for the calculation of reconciled cost-sharing reduction (CSR) amounts using both the standard and simplified CSR reconciliation methodologies. This information collection allows HHS to collect data necessary to reconcile payments advanced to QHP issuers with dollar amounts paid by the issuer on behalf of an enrollee, and recoup or remit the balance. For efficiency in transmitting the necessary volume of data for this collection, and to more closely resemble other data collections that issuers currently participate in with the Marketplace, CMS changed the method of collection from a template to a standard electronic file format following the comment period for that request. A revision to the initial data collection (approved on December 30, 2014, under OMB control number 0938-1266) consolidated and eliminated some data elements and added one data element to allow issuers to cross reference their HIOS IDs with those of the acquired issuer(s) or merger partner(s), and was approved by OMB on March 11, 2016. As described at length in the "Standard Methodology Plan and Policy Report" section below, this revised ICR makes existing Plan Summary data elements optional, and adds new optional data elements that conform to industry standards and which, if submitted, would result in a 25 percent overall reduction in burden for issuers that choose to submit the optional elements.

Specifically, this revised ICR package adds three optional data elements, the Policy Identification number, (Policy ID), Policy Start Date and Policy End Date. We also sought comment whether to eliminate Plan Level data, with one exception. In response to comments, we propose to retain the optional collection of data elements at the Plan Level, with one exception. Because Plan Level data is optional, we would collect "Total Number of Exchange Subscribers" now collected at the Plan Level in the Issuer Summary report.

Issuers would be required to report the data elements contained in the Issuer Summary Report described in Part I for all individual market plans offered through the Marketplace. Depending on whether they selected the standard or simplified CSR reconciliation methodology, qualified health plan (QHP) issuers then would be required to report elements from the following additional sections of the CSR reconciliation reports:

- Issuers using the standard CSR reconciliation methodology would be required to report the elements in the Policy Report (Part II).
- Issuers using the simplified CSR reconciliation methodology with at least 12,000 member months per year in the associated standard plan would be required to report the elements in the Effective Parameters Report and a Policy Report (Parts III and IV).
- Issuers using the simplified CSR reconciliation methodology with fewer than 12,000 member months per year in the associated standard plan would be required to report the elements in the Simplified Actuarial Value Methodology Policy Report (Part V).

### 2. Legal Background

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Public Law 111-148, enacted on March 23, 2010, and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, Public Law 111-152, enacted on March 30, 2010 (collectively, the "Affordable Care Act"), provides for reduced cost sharing for

eligible individuals who purchase health insurance from a qualified health plan (QHP) through a Marketplace.<sup>1</sup>

Under this law, cost-sharing reductions (CSRs) are provided to eligible enrollees to lower the out-of-pocket costs at the time of service. The goal is to make health care more accessible by reducing its cost. Issuers are reimbursed dollar amounts for cost-sharing reductions provided to eligible enrollees. In 45 CFR 156.430(c), issuers must report to HHS the amount of cost-sharing reductions provided during the benefit year. 45 CFR 156.430(d) provides that HHS will reconcile the amount of advance payments for cost-sharing reductions to reflect the amount of cost-sharing reductions issuers made to health care providers on behalf of eligible enrollees.

The 2014 HHS Notice of Payment and Benefit Parameters final rule (the "Payment Notice") published March 11, 2013, detailed a policy in which monthly payments would be advanced to issuers for estimated CSRs and then reconciled against CSRs provided by issuers to eligible enrollees during the benefit year. The 2014 Payment Notice detailed a methodology for issuers to use when calculating and submitting to HHS the cost-sharing reduction portion of advance payment amounts provided enrollees in a benefit year. In response to comment on the proposed rule that the "standard methodology" to identify these amounts was too complex for timely implementation, HHS in the final Payment Notice said it would provide a second, optional method for estimating CSRs provided by issuers. An interim final rule with comment, "Amendment to the HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2014," published concurrently with the final 2014 Payment Notice described in detail a second "simplified methodology." As a result, QHP issuers may elect to use a simplified formula during the first three years of the program, the 2014 through 2016 benefit years, to estimate cost sharing reductions provided to enrollees.

In 45 CFR 156.430(c)(1), we established the standard methodology for QHP issuers to submit data to HHS showing the amount of cost sharing paid by enrollees in each plan variation, as well as the amount of cost sharing the enrollees would have paid under the standard plan. The value of the cost sharing provided is the difference between those two amounts.

To calculate what the enrollees would have paid under the standard plan, QHP issuers using the standard methodology detailed in 45 CFR 156.430(c)(2) are required to apply the actual cost sharing amount for the standard plan to the total allowed costs for essential health benefits (EHB) for each plan variation policy. Essentially, the issuer first processes a claim using standard cost sharing, and then re-processes the claim, applying the reduced cost sharing to establish the CSR amount.

The simplified methodology provided in 45 CFR 156.430(c)(4) does not require complex readjudication of claims. Instead, issuers are required to calculate the amount enrollees would have paid under the standard plan by applying four cost-sharing parameters for the standard plan to the total allowed costs paid for EHB under the policy with cost sharing reductions. The four cost-sharing parameters are: the effective deductible, the effective pre-deductible coinsurance rate, the effective post-deductible coinsurance rate, and the effective claims ceiling.

QHP issuers will have already notified HHS of their selection of methodology as required under 45 CFR 156.430(c)(3) prior to the benefit year. A QHP that selected the simplified methodology must apply the methodology to all plan variations it offers on the Exchange for the benefit year under 45 CFR 156.430(c)(3)(ii).

#### **B.** Justification

1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary

The Affordable Care Act provides cost-sharing reductions for certain eligible individuals to help them afford out-of-pocket expenses associated with health care purchased through QHPs offered on Exchanges. Specifically, the Affordable Care Act provides for reductions in cost sharing on EHB for low and moderate income enrollees in silver level health plans sold on individual market Exchanges. The law directs QHP issuers to notify the Secretary of Health and Human Services of cost-sharing reductions made under the statute for qualified individuals, and provides for periodic and timely payments to the QHP issuer equal to the value of those reductions. Further, the law permits advance payment of the cost-sharing reduction amounts to QHP issuers. To ensure the appropriate use of federal funds, HHS needs to compare and reconcile the estimated monthly payments advanced to a QHP issuer with cost sharing reductions made by the issuer for medical services for each eligible enrollee in a benefit year.

Upon review of the Supporting Statement for this revised collection, and in consideration of issuer comments, we now anticipate that the revisions to this ICR will reduce existing issuer burden by—1) making plan-level data elements optional and not subject to validation, and 2) reducing the number of issuer data submissions by allowing CMS to more accurately validate the Exchange subscriber IDs submitted as part of the initial data submission.

#### 2. Purpose and Use of Information

The data collection and reporting requirements described below will enable HHS to review actual medical costs incurred by enrollees for EHB and CSRs provided by issuers on behalf of these enrollees for these services, and to compare this information to the dollar amount of estimated payments advanced to issuers. This comparison will allow HHS to determine whether the advance payment to the issuer was greater or less than the CSR amounts provided on behalf of enrollees. Using this data, HHS will calculate the difference between the estimated advanced CSR payments and the amount actually provided during the benefit year, and either a payment will be made to an issuer or the issuer will be invoiced for amounts not spent on behalf of the enrollee. This data is submitted annually to HHS.

### 3. <u>Use of Information Technology</u>

Information gathered through this collection will be submitted electronically. HHS staff will communicate with Issuers using standardized reporting, e-mail or telephone.

### 4. <u>Duplication of Efforts</u>

This information collection does not duplicate any other Federal effort.

#### 5. <u>Small Businesses</u>

This information collection will not have a significant impact on small businesses.

### 6. <u>Less Frequent Collection</u>

Reconciliation of the cost-sharing reduction portion of advance payments is an annual process that began in April 2016. A less frequent collection could result in a loss of funds to the U.S. Treasury from uncollected receivables and interest. Failure to reimburse issuers for cost-sharing

reduction amounts in excess of the amount advanced at least once yearly could result in cash flow difficulties for issuers.

### 7. <u>Special Circumstances</u>

There are no special circumstances associated with this collection.

#### 8. Federal Register/Outside Consultation

The 60-day notice published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on November 8, 2016 (81 FR 78597). A single comment was received.

We have consulted with contractors, States, and industry on the feasibility of this information collection. We have based many of the requirements in this information collection on those consultations.

The 30-day notice published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on February 16, 2017 (82 FR 10908). The comment period ends on March 20, 2017.

#### 9. Payments/Gifts to Respondents

No payments or gifts will be provided to respondents.

### 10. Confidentiality

We will maintain respondent privacy with respect to the information collected to the extent required by applicable law and HHS policies.

#### 11. Sensitive Questions

There are no sensitive questions included in this information collection effort.

#### 12. Burden Estimates (Hours & Wages)

Our estimate of paperwork burden for issuers is based on responses from 295 issuers and whether they elected the standard or simplified method for calculating amounts of CSRs provided for the 2014 and 2014 reconciliation of the cost-sharing reductions portion of advance payments. Additionally, issuers electing the simplified method must use the simplified actuarial value methodology for determining the value of CSRs provided for enrollees when calculating cost sharing for standard plans with fewer than 12,000 member months in a benefit year.

A number of assumptions are made regarding the wages of personnel needed to accomplish the proposed collection of information. Wage rates are based on the Employer Costs for Employee Compensation 2015 report by U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and represent a national average. Some States or employers may face higher or lower wage burdens. Wage rates estimates include a 100% increase to account for employee fringe benefits.

### I. Issuer Summary Report

Within Part 156, subpart E we described Health Insurance Issuer responsibilities with respect to advance payments of CSRs.

Under 45 CFR 156.430(c)(1), each QHP each year must submit to HHS for every plan variation it sells on the Exchange the total allowed costs for EHB provided for the policy for the benefit year, broken down by the amount the issuer paid, the amount the enrollee(s) paid, and the amount the enrollee would have paid under the standard plan without cost-sharing reductions.

In this collection, HHS proposes to require each issuer to submit the data elements contained in the Issuer Summary Report, which includes the total number of policies paying cost-sharing reductions and the total amount of CSRs provided under those policies. All QHP issuers offering individual market plans in the Exchange are subject to this annual data collection requirement and would be required to complete this report.

This report also requires issuers to attest that the CSR amounts paid were paid only for EHB, not including certain benefits for which Federal funds may not be used, as described in Section 1301 of the ACA. Additionally, as required under 45 CFR 156.430(c)(4)(iii)(E), issuers that elect the simplified methodology must submit a memorandum and actuarial attestation to the method of calculation for each effective cost sharing parameter for each applicable subgroup in the standard plan. This signed memo would be submitted electronically concurrent with the CSR cost report.

Approximately 295 QHP issuers on Exchanges are subject to this data collection. Additionally, as described under 45 CFR 156.430(c)(iv), in the case of a merger or acquisition, an issuer may be required to file reports under both standard and simplified methodologies. Assuming, on average, that one issuer would need to complete an additional Issuer Summary Report in any given year due to a merger or acquisition, we estimate 296 reports (295 reports (1 per QHP issuer) + 1 additional report for an issuer who must complete 2 reports in the event of a merger or acquisition) would be filed each year.

We previously estimated the cost of establishing information technology to use this methodology in the Information Collection associated with the 2014 Payment Notice and the Program Integrity: Exchange, Premium Stabilization Programs, and Market Standards final rule. We assume these reports will be automatically generated on a regular basis as a normal part of business, and below we estimate capital costs for all data extraction for this file transfer. Therefore, our estimate here is limited to performing the extraction and reviewing data in this file. We estimate that on average, for each issuer, it will take an operations analyst 30 minutes (at a wage rate of \$114 an hour) and a senior manager (at \$153.16 an hour) 15 minutes to oversee and review the Issuer Summary Report section of this file, for a total estimated burden of 222 hours per year. The average cost estimate for each issuer is \$95.25 and the estimated aggregated cost burden is \$21,146.

### II. Standard Methodology Plan and Policy Report

Only issuers using the standard methodology would be required to report this section of the reports. As required under §156.430(c)(2), QHP issuers in this section must calculate the value of the amount the enrollee would have paid under the standard plan without cost-sharing reductions by applying actual cost-sharing requirements for the standard plan to the allowed costs for EHB under the enrollee's policy for the benefit year. The Plan and Policy Report includes identifying numbers for each subscriber, total allowed costs for EHB, amounts the issuer and enrollee paid, and the amounts the enrollee(s) would have paid under the standard plan. It also includes total monthly premium for the policy, the Policy Identification number, and the Policy Start and End dates (optional). We are making optional the collection of Plan Level data approved in this

original collection, with the exception of "Total Number of Exchange Subscribers," which instead will be collected in the Issuer Summary report.

As noted above, we separately estimate capital costs related to data extraction required to complete all sections of the file submission, and we assume an automated information system. Therefore our estimate here is limited to performing the extraction and reviewing the data in the file.

In the aggregate, we estimate that 295 issuers will report reconciliation data for approximately 4 million policies in various sections of this template. A review of HHS data shows that 104 QHP issuers, or approximately 35 percent of QHP issuers required to report CSR data, selected the standard methodology and would submit data for this section of the report.

Assuming 35 percent of policies (or 1,400,000 policies) also fall under the standard methodology, each issuer completing this section of the report would submit data for approximately 13,461 policies. Previously, we estimated that submission and review of this data collection would take each issuer on average 10 hours for an operations analyst (at an average wage rate of \$55 an hour) and five hours for a senior manager (at \$79.08 an hour) to meet these requirements. Therefore, we previously estimated that each issuer, on average, would need 15 hours to complete this section, for an estimated aggregate burden of 1,560 hours per issuer. We estimated the average cost to each issuer would be \$945.40, for an aggregated estimated cost burden of \$98,321.60 for all issuers subject to this submission requirement. We are revising this ICR by making existing Plan Summary data elements optional, and by adding new optional data elements that we believe will reduce the overall burden associated with this submission.

In the first year of CSR reconciliation data submission, issuers resubmitted their data files an average of 8 times before reaching a data file status that was acceptable for processing and that included each policy for which the issuer wished to receive reimbursement. CMS identifies which policies are eligible for reimbursement by verifying that the Exchange-assigned subscriber ID submitted with the policy can be matched to a valid subscriber record on the Federally-facilitated Marketplace (FFM). In the first year of data submission, which covered the 2014 and 2015 benefit years, a number of Exchange-assigned subscriber IDs were rejected incorrectly because the subscriber ID that the issuer submitted on its CSR data submission could not be matched to FFM records during the initial data validation process. To address this for the 2016 benefit year reconciliation, we are adding 3 optional data elements (policy ID, policy start date, and policy end date) that would enable CMS to more accurately and quickly match a submitted Exchangesubscriber ID to an existing FFM record, if the issuer chooses to submit these optional elements. Although we do not know for certain how many issuers will choose to take advantage of this modification to the existing submission requirements, we estimate that 80% of issuers will choose to submit these optional elements, and that submission of these optional elements will reduce the average number of CSR reconciliation data submissions from 8 to 4 per issuer. We also estimate that 80% of issuers will choose to not submit the optional plan data elements that are newly specified as being optional in this ICR. Taken together, we believe that these modifications to the data submission requirements will result in approximately a 25% reduction in overall submission burden for QHP issuers required to submit CSR data.

Therefore, for each of the approximately 83 standard methodology issuers (80% of 104 issuers) that we anticipate will choose to submit the optional data elements, we believe that it would cost approximately \$709.05 (and approximately 11.25 burden hours), on average, to meet these data submission requirements. This will result in a total burden of approximately 934 hours and approximately \$58,851 for all of the standard methodology issuers that take advantage of the flexibilities provided under this revised ICR. Therefore, for all issuers that submit using the standard methodology – both the 80% that omit optional plan data but submit the three new

optional data elements and the remaining 20 % of issuers - we estimate an aggregate burden of approximately 1,249 hours and approximately \$78,705 as a result of these requirements.

We anticipate that more issuers will use this method in future years. We intend to revise this ICR, as necessary, to reflect any changes to the overall burden of the submission requirements.

### III. Simplified Methodology Effective Parameters Report

### IV. Simplified Methodology Plan and Policy Report

Only QHP issuers that selected the simplified methodology and whose associated standard plan meets the credibility standard established in §156.430(c)(4)(iv) are required to complete Sections III and IV of the CSR Reconciliation Data Reports. The simplified methodology may be used to reconcile advance payments received for benefit years 2014, 2015, and 2016, and only by issuers that selected it beginning in the initial year.

The simplified methodology requires QHP issuers to estimate cost sharing for the standard plan associated with each plan variation in part by developing a set of parameters from the claims population for that standard plan for the year. For these calculations to be meaningful, the standard plan would need to have at least 12,000 member months each year, in and out of the Exchange. Section III of this report would require issuers to submit formulas in accord with 45 CFR 156.430(c)(4)(iii)(A)-(D) for four cost sharing parameters: the effective deductible, the effective pre-deductible coinsurance rate, the effective post-deductible coinsurance rate, and the effective claims ceiling, for each standard plan, for both self-only coverage and other than self-only coverage. Section III also requires issuers to provide plan variation level information that describes whether the plan has separate cost-sharing parameters for self only and other than self, and for medical and pharmaceutical services. Issuers also must provide this information for HMO-like plans where 80 percent or more of the total allowed costs for EHB under a standard plan are not subject to the deductible, since these require a separate set of effective cost sharing parameters.

In Section IV, QHP issuers would be required to report the amount of cost sharing the enrollee would have paid under the standard plan, as calculated by applying summary cost sharing parameters in Section III to plan variation policy claims data. Issuers would report Level 3 subsections depending on whether the policy is self only or other than self only, and whether it falls under formulas A, B, or C. Additionally, for each QHP, issuers would report the aggregate amount of annual premium, allowed costs for EHB, actual amounts paid by the issuer for EHB, actual amounts paid by enrollees for EHB, and the total value of CSR provided.

As noted above, we separately estimate capital costs related to data extraction required to complete all sections of the file submission, and we assume an automated information system. Therefore our estimate here is limited to performing the extraction and reviewing the data in the file. Approximately 65 percent or 191 QHP issuers selected the simplified methodology. However, according to HHS data, of the 2,234 standard plans offered by issuers that chose to report using the simplified methodology, only 222 plans or about 10 percent meet the credibility standard required to estimate parameters under this section. Therefore we estimate that each issuer would submit data on, at most, one standard plan and associated plan variation under Section III. Assuming calculations for 10 percent of the remaining 2.6 million policies would be reported under Section IV, we estimate that for Sections III and IV, issuers would submit data for a total of

222 standard plans, 222 plan variations, and 260,142 policies. On average, for each issuer with one standard plan, one associated plan variation, and an estimated 1,362 policies, we previously estimated that it will take an actuary 10 hours (at \$69.93 an hour), an operations analyst 10 hours (at an average wage rate of \$55 an hour) and a senior manager 5 hours (at \$79.08 an hour) to oversee the data submissions required in this section, for an average per issuer cost of \$1,644.70. For 191 issuers, we previously estimated an aggregate hourly burden of 4,775 hours and the aggregate wage burden of \$314,137.70. As discussed above in our burden estimate for issuers that submit using the standard methodology, we estimate that 80% of issuers will choose to submit the 3 optional data elements added to this ICR (policy ID, policy start date, and policy end date), and that submission of these optional elements will reduce the average number of CSR reconciliation data submissions from 8 to 4 per issuer. We also estimate that 80% of issuers will choose to not submit the plan summary data elements that are newly specified as being optional in this ICR. Taken together, we believe that these modifications to thr fzte data submission requirements will result in approximately a 25% reduction in overall submission burden for QHP issuers required to submit CSR data.

Therefore, for each of the approximately 153 simplified methodology issuers (80% of 191 issuers) that we anticipate will choose to submit the optional data elements, we believe that it would cost approximately \$1,233.53 (and approximately 18.75 burden hours), on average, to meet these data submission requirements. This will result in a total cost of approximately 2,869 hours and approximately \$188,729.30 for all simplified methodology issuers that take advantage of the flexibilities provided under this revised ICR. For all issuers that submit using the simplified methodology, (the 80% that take advantage of the flexibilities under this ICR and the remaining 20% of issuers), we estimate an aggregate burden of approximately 3,819 hours and approximately \$251,228 as a result of these requirements.

We anticipate that fewer issuers will use the simplified method in future years. We anticipate that fewer issuers will use this method in future years. We intend to revise this ICR, as necessary, to reflect any changes to the overall burden of the submission requirements.

### V. Simplified Actuarial Value Methodology Plan and Policy Report

This section of the report must be completed by QHP issuers that selected the simplified methodology to calculate CSRs but that enrolled fewer than 12,000 member months for a benefit year in an associated standard plan.

Under CFR 156.430(c)(4)(v), issuers whose standard plans lack sufficient enrollment to provide a credible estimate of average claims data must use the standard plan actuarial value from the AV calculator to estimate cost sharing under the standard plan. This is calculated as the lesser of the annual limit on cost sharing for the standard plan, or the product of (x) one minus the standard plan's actuarial value, as calculated under 45 CFR 156.135, and (y) the total allowed cost for EHB. Additionally, for each QHP, issuers would the aggregate amount of annual premium, allowed costs for EHB, actual amounts paid by the issuer for EHB, actual amounts paid by enrollees for EHB, and the total value of CSR provided.

HHS estimates that 90 percent or 2,012 standard plans offered by issuers selecting the simplified methodology do not meet the threshold required for the simplified method and, therefore, to calculate CSR for policies associated with these plans, these issuers must use the simplified actuarial value methodology.

Assuming 90 percent of the 2.6 million policies to be reconciled under the simplified methodology will use the actuarial value methodology and complete this section, we estimate on average that each issuer would submit data for 12,251 policies. On average, we estimate it will take an operations analyst 1 hour (at an average wage rate of \$55 an hour) and a senior manager 1 hour (at \$79.08 an hour) to oversee the data submissions required in this section. We previously estimated the total burden for 191 QHP issuers would therefore be approximately 382 hours and an aggregate cost burden would be approximately \$25,609.20. As discussed above in our burden estimates for issuers that submit using the standard or simplified methodologies, we believe that the modifications to the data submission requirements in this ICR will result in approximately a 25% reduction in overall submission burden for QHP issuers required to submit CSR data. Therefore, for all policies that issuers submit under the simplified AV methodology that take advantage of the flexibilities provided under this revised ICR, we estimate a total burden of approximately 286.5 hours and a total cost of approximately \$19,207, on average, to meet these data submission requirements. For all policies that issuers submit using the simplified AV methodology, we estimate an aggregate burden of approximately 306 hours and approximately \$20,487 as a result of these requirements.

We anticipate that issuers will submit many fewer policies under the simplified AV methodology in future years. We intend to revise this ICR, as necessary, to reflect any changes to the overall burden of the submission requirements.

### Aggregate burden

As noted above, each QHP issuer must complete only two reports: the Issuer Summary Report (Section I) and the report that corresponds to their methodology. The aggregate burden for issuers completing this file is as follows:

#### 12A. Estimated Annualized Burden Hours and Cost for All Respondents

Information Collection Requirement	Type of Respondent	Frequenc y and Duration	Number of Responde nts	Number of Responses per Responden t	Averag e Burden Hours per Respon se	Total Burden Hours	Total Cost
Issuer Summary Report	Issuer	Annually, Permanent	296	1	.75	222	\$13,99 5
Standard Methodology Plan and Policy Report	Issuer	Annually, Permanent	104	13,461	0.0009	1,249	\$78,70 5
Simplified Methodology Effective Parameters and Plan and Policy	Issuer	Annually, 2014,2015 ,2016 only	191	1,362	0.0147	3,819	\$251,2 28

Information Collection Requirement	Type of Respondent	Frequenc y and Duration	Number of Responde nts	Number of Responses per Responden t	Averag e Burden Hours per Respon se	Total Burden Hours	Total Cost
Reports							
Simplified Actuarial Value Plan and Policy Report	Issuer	Annually, 2014,2015 ,2016 only	191	12,251	0.0001	306	\$20,48 7
Total						5,596	\$364,4 15

### 12B. Cost Estimate for Issuers that Submit Plan-level Data without 3 Optional Data Elements

Type of Respondent	Hourly Labor Cost of Reporting (\$)	Total Burden Hours	Average Labor Cost per Response	Number of Respondents	Total Labor Costs (All Respondents)
Issuer Summary Report					
Operations Analyst	\$55.00	.50	\$27.50	296	\$8,140
Senior Manager	\$79.09	.25	\$19.78	296	\$5,854.88
Total		.75	\$47.28		\$13,994.88

Type of Respondent	Hourly	Total	Average	Number of	<b>Total Labor Costs</b>
Simplified Actuarial Value	Melourd Greety			Respondents	(All Respondents)
Operations Analyst	of Reporting		per Response	191	\$10,505
Senior Manager Standard Methodology Pla	\$79,08	Hours	\$79.08	191	\$15,104.28
	in una Poncy i	Report	<b>\$134:08</b>	104	\$25, <b>609.28</b>
Operations Analyst	\$55.00	10		104	
Senior manager	\$79.08	5	\$395.40	104	\$41,121.60
Total			\$945.40		\$98,321.60
Simplified Methodology Eff	fective Parame	ters Repor	t and Plan and	Policy Report	
Actuary	\$69.93	10	\$699.3	191	\$133,566.30
Operations Analyst	\$55.00	10	\$550.00	191	\$105,050.00
Senior Manager	\$79.08	5	\$394. 40	191	75,521.404
Total			\$1,644.70		\$314,137.70

Information Collection Requirement	Hourly Labor Cost of Reporting (\$)	Total Burden Hours per Response	Average Labor Cost per Response	Number of Respondent s	Total Labor Costs (All Respondents)
Issuer Summary Report					
	\$63.04	.75	\$47.28	296	\$13,995

Standard Methodology Plan and Policy Report						
	\$63.03	11.25	\$709.05	83	\$58,851	
Simplified Methodology Effective Parameters Report and Plan and Policy Report						
	\$ 65.79	18.75	\$1,233.53	153	\$188,729	
Simplified Actuarial Value Methodology Report						
	\$67.04	1.87	\$125.54	153	\$19,207	

### 12 D) Estimate of Summary Labor Costs for 80% of Issuers that Submit Optional Elements and no Plan-level Data

Type of Respondent	Hourly Labor Cost of Reporting (\$)	Total Burden Hours	Average Labor Cost per Response
Actuary	\$69.93	7.5	\$524.48
Operations Analyst	\$55.00	16.13	\$887.15
Senior manager	\$79.08	8.44	\$667.44
Total			\$2,079

### 13. Capital Costs

In the 2014 HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters, we estimated that the information technology associated with implementing the standard methodology would be developed by three vendors at a cost of approximately \$6 million per vendor, for total costs of approximately \$18 million. We also estimated each issuer would need to spend approximately \$100,000 to customize the vendor solution technology and modify their claims system to extract data. Our estimate for total administrative costs was \$138 million. We revised our estimate in the Program Integrity Rule on the assumption that half of an estimated 1,200 issuers would use the simplified methodology. We included in that estimate 42 hours of work by an actuary and 22 hours of work by an insurance manager to develop and calculate cost-sharing parameters for the simplified method. We previously revised our estimate further to reflect current HHS expectations that 90 percent of 295 issuers will use one of the simplified methods. The revised estimate is \$47.5 million and includes systems development for all methodologies.

Here we estimate the burden of extracting and reporting data from the information technology accounted for above, as well as maintenance. For an issuer using the standard methodology, we estimate on average it would take each QHP using automated systems 10 hours a year to provide the information required in these reports. Therefore for all issuers using this methodology, we estimate it would take 1,040 hours to produce summary data for 1.4 million policies. The cost of this capital requirement is approximately \$1,500 per issuer, including maintenance and

depreciation, for a total estimated burden of \$156,000.

The time required to produce reports using the simplified methodology is expected to be slightly greater than that required for the standard methodology. We estimate each issuer using this method would take two hours to provide data for 1,171 policies once a year. Therefore the estimated aggregate annual burden to submit data for 260,142 policies is 382 hours. The cost of this capital requirement is approximately \$300 per issuer, for a total estimated burden of \$57,300. Issuers using the simplified actuarial value methodology would require slightly less time than those reporting under the standard methodology to calculate parameters and produce reports. Using previously gathered information and an automated information system, we estimate it would take each QHP issuer on average one hour to complete this report for 12,251 policies once a year. Therefore, for 2.3 million policies the estimated aggregate annual time burden for issuers completing this section would be 183.5 hours. The cost of this capital requirement is approximately \$150 per issuer, for a total estimated burden of \$28,650.

#### 14. Cost to Federal Government

The initial burden to the Federal Government for the establishment of the CSR Reconciliation program is \$14,552.64. The calculations for CCIIO employees' hourly salary was obtained from the OPM website: http://www.opm.gov/oca/10tables/html/dcb\_h.asp.

Task	Estimated Cost
Development of HHS CSR reconciliation template	
3 GS-13: 3 x \$42.66 x 30 hours	\$3,839.40
Collection of HHS CSR reconciliation data	
5 GS-13: 5 x \$42.66 x 40 hours	\$8,532
Technical Assistance to Issuers	
5 GS-13: 5 x \$42.66 x 8 hours	\$1706.40
Managerial Review and Oversight	
2 GS-15: 2 x \$59.30 x 4 hours	\$474.40
Total Costs to Government	\$14,552.22

### 15. Explanation for Program Changes or Adjustments

This collection was originally approved on December 30, 2014 under OMB control number 0938-1266. A revision to this collection was approved on March 11, 2016. Changes here are limited to making optional the reporting of certain data elements and the addition of three other data elements. Upon review of the Supporting Statement for this revised collection, we now estimate that 80 percent of issuers will not submit the newly optional plan summary data, but that 80 percent of issuers will submit the 3 new optional data elements and, as a result, the overall burden will decrease from the current 6,757 hours to a total of 5,596 hours. The primary reason for this decrease is operational efficiencies; the data elements will speed data validation and reduce the number of times issuers must resubmit data. Please see Table 12A for a summary of burden hours.

### 16. Publication/Tabulation Dates

The result of this data collection will not be published.

### 17. Expiration Date

The expiration date of March 31, 2019 will be displayed on each instrument.

<sup>1</sup> QHP issuers must reduce cost sharing for individuals with household incomes between 100 percent and 250 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) who are enrolled in a silver level QHP in the individual market on an Exchange. In addition, issuers must eliminate cost sharing for Indians with household incomes under 300 percent of FPL who are enrolled in a QHP in the individual market on an Exchange. Finally, issuers must eliminate cost sharing for Indians enrolled in a QHP in the individual market on the Exchange, regardless of income, when services are provided by the Indian Health Service, an Indian Tribe, Tribal Organization, or Urban Indian Organization, or through referral under contract health services.