**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

**Pre-Approved Plans Program**

**Revenue Procedure 2017-XX (modifying Rev. Proc. 2015-36)**

**1545-1674**

1. CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

Section 601.201(a)(1) of the Statement of Procedural Rules (26 C.F.R. section 601.201(a)(1)) provides that it is the practice of the Internal Revenue Service (“Service”) to answer inquiries of individuals and organizations, whenever appropriate in the interest of sound tax administration, as to their status for tax purposes and as to the tax effects of their acts or transactions. The master and prototype (M&P) program is an administrative program of the Service initially created in the 1960s as a method of lessening the administrative burdens (including costs) on employers (as well as the Service) through the issuance of opinion letters that approved an M&P plan as to form.  The issuance of the opinion letter allows the provider to make retroactive changes to the form of the plan to conform to recent changes in statutory requirements. As a result of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, the demand by employers for M&P plans increased.  Moreover, the types of entities that might sponsor M&P plans was expanded. In addition, a separate administrative program containing a different pre-approved plan that evolved from a uniform plan to a regional prototype plan to a volume submitter (VS) plan was created.

The two programs (M&P and VS) originated to serve different purposes and each has had its own set of rules. While the Service maintained the two programs separately, statutory and regulatory changes resulted in the narrowing of the differences between the programs that made it appropriate to set forth the rules for both programs in one revenue procedure. As a result of a continuing narrowing of differences between the programs and in response to requests from practitioners, the Service has concluded that it is appropriate to merge the two programs into one pre-approved program.

The issuance of an opinion letter for a pre-approved plan by the Employee Plans function of the Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division approves a plan as to form. Typically, once a plan is submitted for an opinion letter the entity that submits the plan (the “provider”) will begin marketing the plan for its adoption by various employers. The issuance of the opinion letter allows the provider to make retroactive changes to the form of the plan to conform to recent changes in statutory requirements. Form 4461, Form 4461-A, and Form 4461-B are used by providers to apply for approval of their employee benefit plans under section 401(a).

1. USE OF DATA

The data and Forms 4461, 4461-A, and 4461-B will be used by the Employee Plans function to determine if an employee benefit plan is qualified under section 401(a) and whether it is appropriate to issue an opinion letter.

1. USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN

IRS Publications, Regulations, Notices and Letters are to be electronically enabled on an as practicable basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998. We intend to offer electronic filing to the extent it is practicable however in this case it isn’t practicable because of the evaluative nature of the determination.

1. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION

The information obtained through this collection is unique and is not already available for use or adaptation from another source.

1. METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES

In the employee plans area, administrative programs have been established whereby certain businesses can initially obtain approval of the form of a plan, e.g., a master or prototype plan. Those businesses will then market the form of the plan to other businesses. These programs tend to lessen the administrative costs to small businesses of adopting and maintaining employee retirement plans.

1. CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES

Consequences of less frequent collection on federal programs or policy activities would consist of decreased amount of taxes collected by the Service, inaccurate and untimely filing of tax returns, and an increase in tax violations.

7. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 C.F.R. 1320.5(d)(2)

There are no special circumstances requiring data collection to be inconsistent with Guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

1. CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE THE AGENCY ON AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS

Revenue Procedure 2000-20 was published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin on February 7, 2000 (2001 C.B. 553). Revenue Procedure 2000-20 was modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2005-16(2005-10 I.R.B. 674*).* Revenue Procedure 2005-16 was modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2011-49 (2011-44 I.R.B. 608). Revenue Procedure 2011-49 was modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2015-36 (2015-27 I.R.B. 20).

This revenue procedure modifies and supersedes Rev. Proc. 2015-36. Section 3 describes the changes to Rev. Proc. 2015-36 made by this revenue procedure.

1. EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS

No payment or gift has been provided to any respondents.

1. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

In general, the submissions under this revenue procedure are disclosable under 26 U.S.C. section 6104.

1. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

There is no personally identifiable information (PII) collected.

1. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

This revenue procedure involves the collection of five types of information.

Section 5.09 requires employers adopting pre-approved plans to complete and sign new signature pages or new adoption agreements, as applicable, in order to restate their plans for recent changes in the law. We estimate that 310,590 employers will complete and sign new signature pages or new adoption agreements annually as a result of this requirement. The estimated burden per respondent is from one-half to two hours, with an average of 1 hour, for a total estimated burden of 310,590 hours annually.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rev. Proc. Section 5.09 | Respondents | Responses per Respondent | Time per response | Total burden |
|  | 310,590 | 1 | 1 hr. | 310,590 hrs. |

Sections 8.01, 8.02, and 18 require providers of pre-approved plans to furnish copies of their plans to the Service’s Employee Plans Determinations office, maintain records of employers that have adopted their plans, prepare and communicate any necessary interim amendments to adopting employers, make reasonable and diligent efforts to ensure that employers restate their plans when necessary, and notify employers if the provider concludes that employers’ plans are no longer qualified. We estimate that these requirements will apply to 4,350 providers annually. The estimated burden per respondent is from 40 to 2000 hours, with an average of 180 hours, for a total estimated burden of 783,000 hours annually.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rev. Proc. Section 8.01  Section 8.02  Section 18 | Respondents | Responses per Respondent | Time per response | Total burden |
|  | 4,350 | 1 | 180 hrs. | 783,000 hrs. |

Form 4461, Form 4461-A, and Form 4461-B are used by providers to apply for approval of their employee benefit plans under section 401(a). Section 9.03 requires that providers of plans that request an opinion letter complete these forms and submit them to the Service along with the plan. We estimate that this requirement will result in 6550 responses with a total estimated burden of 14,525 hours. Currently, each of these forms has its own unique OMB number; however, as the revenue procedure refers to these forms, we are incorporating the burden estimates of the forms into this collection.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Forms | Respondents | Responses per Respondent | Time per Response | Total burden |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Form 4461 | 450 | 1 | 15.50 hrs. | 6,975 hrs. |
| Form 4461-A | 100 | 1 | 15.50 hrs. | 1,550 hrs. |
| Form 4461-B | 6,000 | 1 | 1 hr. | 6,000 hrs. |
| Total | 6,550 |  |  | 14,525 hrs. |

Section 10 provides that mass submitters must keep records of their user fees. This allows mass submitters to certify to the number of other practitioners seeking approval of the identical pre-approved plan. In addition, mass submitters must prepare and communicate to the word for word identical adopters any necessary interim amendments. We estimate that 10 practitioners will maintain such records. The estimated burden per respondent is from 2 hours to 20 hours, with an average of 11 hours, for a total estimated burden of 110 hours annually.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rev. Proc. Section 10 | Respondents | Responses per Respondent | Time per response | Total burden |
|  | 10 | 1 | 11 hrs. | 110 hrs. |

[The certificate of intent to adopt and M&P or VS plan is no longer required by this revenue procedure, accordingly, the paragraph referring to Section 24 which included numbers related to this certificate has been deleted.]

The total burden for this submission is 321,500 responses by 321,500 respondents and 1,108,225 hours.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Authority**  **Rev. Proc. Section** | **Description** | **# of Respondents** | **# Responses per Respondent** | **Annual Responses** | **Hours per Response** | **Total Burden** |
| 5.09 | Signature for restatement | 310,590 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 310,590 |
| 8.01, 8.02, & 18 | Furnish copies | 4,350 | 1 | 1 | 180 | 783,000 |
| 10 | Keep records | 10 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 110 |
| Form 4461 | Reporting | 450 | 1 | 1 | 15,50 | 6,975 |
| Form 4461-A | Reporting | 100 | 1 | 1 | 15.50 | 1,550 |
| Form 4461-B | Reporting | 6,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6,000 |
| **Totals** |  | **321,500** |  |  |  | **1,108,225** |

**Revised**

(hours) 310,590 + 783,000 + 110 + 6,975 + 1,550 + 6,000 = 1,108,225

(respondents and responses)310,590 + 4,350 + 10 + 450 + 100 + 6,000 = 321,500

**Original**

(hours) 626,400 + 15,225 + 262,500 + 84,000 + 165 = 988,290

(respondents) 262,500 + 42,000 + 5800 + 15 = 310,315

(responses) 262,500 + 42,000 + 5800 + 15 + 30,450 = 340,765

Estimates of the annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens shown above are not available at this time. These various burdens do not necessarily represent a net additional burden to taxpayers, since obtaining opinion letters can save taxpayers time that would otherwise be spent obtaining determination letters on individually designed plans.

13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

There is no start-up costs associated with this collection.

1. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

There is no estimated annualized cost to the federal government.

1. REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN

Revenue Procedure 2017-XX increases the annual hourly time burden. While the merger of the M&P program with the VS program results in a reduction in the total number of pre-approved providers required to submit responses pursuant to this revenue procedure, the modifications to the pre-approved program make it more accessible to new types of plans, increasing the number of total respondents. In addition, the merger will require providers to modify the plans they utilize to accommodate the rules of the modified program which will lead to an increase in the total recordkeeping burden under this revenue procedure.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Requested** | **Program Change Due to New Statute** | **Program Change Due to Agency Discretion** | **Change Due to Adjustment in Agency Estimate** | **Change Due to Potential Violation of the PRA** | **Previously Approved** |
| Annual Number of Responses | 321,500 | 0 |  | -19,265 | 0 | **340,765** |
| Annual Time Burden (Hr.) | 1,093,700 | 0 |  | 105,410 | 0 | **988,290** |

1. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

There are no plans for tabulation, statistical analysis and publication.

1. REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS INAPPROPRIATE

We believe that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it would cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the revenue procedure sunsets as of the expiration date. Taxpayers may not be aware that, if needed, the Service intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

1. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.

**Note:** The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to the collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 U.S.C. section 6103.