## **U.S. PURCHASERS' QUESTIONNAIRE**

# CITRIC ACID AND CERTAIN CITRATE SALTS ("CACCS") FROM BELGIUM, COLOMBIA, AND THAILAND

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by March 5, 2018

See last page for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its antidumping and countervailing duty investigations concerning CACCS from Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-581 and 731-TA-1374-1376 (Final)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)). Further information on this questionnaire can be obtained from Fernando Gracia (fernando.gracia@usitc.gov, 202-205-2747).

Name of firm

| City  |  | State  | Zip Code   | -  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Website   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Has your firm purchased CACCS (as defined on next page) from <u>any</u> source (domestic or foreign) at any time since January 1, 2015?  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ NO  | (Sign the certification below a  | and promptly return only   | his page of the questionnaire to the Commission  | n)   |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ YES   | (Complete all parts of the que   | estionnaire, and return the  | entire questionnaire to the Commission)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | :: https://dropbox.usitc.  |  | mission <i>Drop Box</i> by clicking on the   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ledge and belief and<br>itting this certificati   | l understand that the info<br>ion I also grant consent   | ormation submitted is s<br>for the Commission, a   | tionnaire is complete and correct to the<br>ubject to audit and verification by the Con<br>and its employees and contract personne   | nmissio<br>I, to u   |  |  |  |  |
| ledge and belief and<br>itting this certificat<br>mation provided in t<br>ommission on the sa   | I understand that the info<br>ion I also grant consent<br>his questionnaire and thro<br>me or similar merchandise  | response to this quesormation submitted is so for the Commission, accordingly this proceedings.  | ubject to audit and verification by the Con<br>and its employees and contract personne<br>g in any other import-injury proceedings o   | nmissio<br>I, to u<br>conduc                                   |  |  |  |  |
| ledge and belief and itting this certification provided in tommission on the sauding or other procuring (a) for developws, and evaluations and it is up U.S.                  | I understand that the info<br>ion I also grant consent<br>his questionnaire and thro<br>me or similar merchandise<br>owledge that information<br>eedings may be disclosed<br>ing or maintaining the re<br>s relating to the progran                            | response to this quest<br>ormation submitted is so<br>for the Commission, a<br>coughout this proceeding<br>e.<br>In submitted in response<br>I to and used: (i) by the<br>ecords of this or a relations, personnel, and op<br>and contract personnel,                    | ubject to audit and verification by the Con<br>nd its employees and contract personne  | nmissic<br>I, to u.<br>conduc<br>oughou<br>and co<br>tions, co |  |  |  |  |
| ledge and belief and itting this certification provided in tommission on the sauding or other process, and evaluations and x3; or (ii) by U.S. act personnel will signations. | I understand that the infoion I also grant consent his questionnaire and throme or similar merchandise whedge that information eedings may be disclosed ing or maintaining the responsering to the program government employees and appropriate nondisclosury. | response to this quest<br>ormation submitted is so<br>for the Commission, a<br>coughout this proceeding<br>e.<br>In submitted in response<br>I to and used: (i) by the<br>ecords of this or a relations, personnel, and op<br>and contract personnel,<br>ure agreements. | ubject to audit and verification by the Con<br>and its employees and contract personner<br>g in any other import-injury proceedings of<br>e to this request for information and three<br>c Commission, its employees and Offices,<br>ed proceeding, or (b) in internal investigate<br>erations of the Commission including un<br>solely for cybersecurity purposes. I unders | nmissic<br>I, to u.<br>conduc<br>oughou<br>and co<br>tions, co |  |  |  |  |
| ledge and belief and itting this certification provided in tommission on the sauding or other procuring (a) for developws, and evaluations and it is up U.S.                  | I understand that the infoion I also grant consent his questionnaire and throme or similar merchandise whedge that information eedings may be disclosed ing or maintaining the responsering to the program government employees and appropriate nondisclosury. | response to this quest<br>ormation submitted is so<br>for the Commission, a<br>coughout this proceeding<br>e.<br>In submitted in response<br>I to and used: (i) by the<br>ecords of this or a relations, personnel, and op<br>and contract personnel,                    | ubject to audit and verification by the Con<br>and its employees and contract personner<br>g in any other import-injury proceedings of<br>e to this request for information and thr<br>e Commission, its employees and Offices,<br>ed proceeding, or (b) in internal investigate<br>erations of the Commission including un  | nmissic<br>I, to u.<br>conduc<br>oughou<br>and co<br>tions, co |  |  |  |  |

#### PART I.--GENERAL INFORMATION

**Background.**--This proceeding was instituted in response to a petition filed on June 2, 2017, by Archer Daniels Midland Company, Decatur, Illinois; Cargill, Incorporated, Minneapolis, Minnesota; and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas, LLC, Hoffman Estates, Illinois.. Countervailing/antidumping duties may be assessed on the subject imports as a result of these proceedings if the Commission makes an affirmative determination of injury, threat, or material retardation, and if the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") makes an affirmative determination of subsidization/dumping. Questionnaires and other information pertinent to this proceeding are available at

https://usitc.gov/investigations/701731/2017/citric acid and certain citrate salts belgium/final.htm.

<u>CACCS</u> covered by these investigations is all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend.

The scope also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, including dicalcium citrate monohydrate, and tricalcium citrate tetrahydrate, which are intermediate products in the production of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate.

The scope includes the hydrous and anhydrous forms of citric acid, the dihydrate and anhydrous forms of sodium citrate, otherwise known as citric acid sodium salt, and the monohydrate and monopotassium forms of potassium citrate. Sodium citrate also includes both trisodium citrate and monosodium citrate which are also known as citric acid trisodium salt and citric acid monosodium salt, respectively.

The scope does not include calcium citrate that satisfies the standards set forth in the United States Pharmacopeia and has been mixed with a functional excipient, such as dextrose or starch, where the excipient constitutes at least 2 percent, by weight, of the product.

Citric acid and sodium citrate are classifiable in subheadings 2918.14.00 and 2918.15.10 of the HTSUS, respectively. Potassium citrate and crude calcium citrate are classifiable in subheading 2918.15.50 and, if included in a mixture or blend, subheading 3824.99.92 (statistical reporting number 3824.99.9295) of the HTSUS. Blends that include citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate are also imported under statistical reporting number 3824.99.9295 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customers purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

<u>Purchaser</u>.--Any firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in purchasing CACCS from another firm that produces, imports, or otherwise distributes CACCS.

<u>Reporting of information</u>.-- If information is not readily available from your records, provide carefully prepared estimates. If your firm is completing more than one questionnaire (i.e., a producer, importer, and/or purchaser questionnaire), you need not respond to duplicated questions.

<u>Confidentiality</u>.--The commercial and financial data furnished in response to this questionnaire that reveal the individual operations of your firm will be treated as confidential by the Commission to the extent that such data are not otherwise available to the public and will not be disclosed except as may be required by law (see 19 U.S.C. 1677f). Such confidential information will not be published in a manner that will reveal the individual operations of your firm; however, general characterizations of numerical business proprietary information (such as discussion of trends) will be treated as confidential business information only at the request of the submitter for good cause shown.

<u>Verification</u>.-- The information submitted in this questionnaire is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. To facilitate possible verification of data, please keep all files, worksheets, and supporting documents used in the preparation of the questionnaire response. Please also retain a copy of the final document that you submit.

<u>Release of information</u>.--The information provided by your firm in response to this questionnaire, as well as any other business proprietary information submitted by your firm to the Commission in connection with this proceeding, may become subject to, and released under, the administrative protective order provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1677f) and section 207.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.7). This means that certain lawyers and other authorized individuals may temporarily be given access to the information for use in connection with this proceeding or other import-injury proceedings conducted by the Commission on the same or similar merchandise; those individuals would be subject to severe penalties if the information were divulged to unauthorized individuals.

| I-1. | OMB statisticsPlease report the actual number of hours required and the cost to your firm of |
|------|--|
|      | completing this questionnaire.   |

| Hours | Dollars |
|-------|---------|
|       |         |

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 25 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering data, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire.

We welcome comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate, suggestions for reducing the burden, and any suggestions for improving this questionnaire. Please attach such comments to your response or send to the Office of Investigations, USITC, 500 E St. SW, Washington, DC 20436.

| I-2. | by this questionnaire, if di   | fferent from that listed on the | of your U.S. establishment(s) covered cover page. Firms operating more than blishments into a single response. |  |  |  |
|------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|      |  | · ·                             | urchase of CACCS, including auxiliary nysically separate from) such facilities.                                |  |  |  |
|      |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| I-3. | OwnershipIs your firm owned, in whole or in part, by any other firm? |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|      | No YesList the following information.                                |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|      | Firm name  | Address                         | Extent of ownership (percent)  |  |  |  |
|      |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |

| I-4.      |   | -Does your firm have any related firn<br>Ito the United States or which export | -                             |
|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|
|           | No YesList th                             | e following information.   |                               |
|           | Firm name                                 | Country  | Affiliation                   |
|           |   |  |                               |
|           |   |  |                               |
|           |   |  |                               |
| I-5.      | Related producersDoes your produce CACCS? | firm have any related firms, either d  | omestic or foreign, which     |
|           | No YesList th                             | e following information.   |                               |
|           | Firm name                                 | Country  | Affiliation                   |
|           |   |  |                               |
|           |   |  |                               |
|           |   |  |                               |
| PART II   | IPURCHASES                                |  |                               |
|           |   |  |                               |
|           |   | he responsible individual and the ma<br>ding the confidential information sub  | ·                             |
| Stall III | ay contact that mulvidual regard          | ang the confidential information sub   | initted in this questionnane. |
|           | Name                                      |  |                               |
|           | Title                                     |  |                               |
|           | Email                                     |  |                               |
|           | Talanhana                                 |  |                               |

## II-1. Purchases and imports: GMO.—

GMO: CACCS <u>not</u> certified as non-GMO

(a) Report your firm's total U.S. purchases and imports of CACCS. Please report separately for your purchases from U.S. producers and importers, and your imports for which your firm was the importer of record.

"Purchase" – A transaction to buy product from a U.S. corporate entity such as a U.S. producer, a U.S. distributor, or a U.S. firm that has imported the product.

"Import" – A transaction to buy from a foreign supplier in which your firm is the importer of record.

|   | 2015 | 2016                 | 2017 |
|---|------|----------------------|------|
| Item  | Qı   | ıantity (in dry pour | nds) |
| Purchases of GMO CACCS produced in—           |      |                      |      |
| United States                                 |      |                      |      |
| Belgium                                       |      |                      |      |
| Colombia                                      |      |                      |      |
| Thailand                                      |      |                      |      |
| Canada  |      |                      |      |
| All other countries:1                         |      |                      |      |
| Sources unknown                               |      |                      |      |
| Total purchases                               | 0    | 0                    | 0    |
| Imports of GMO CACCS from—                    |      |                      |      |
| Belgium                                       |      |                      |      |
| Colombia                                      |      |                      |      |
| Thailand                                      |      |                      |      |
| Canada  |      |                      |      |
| All other countries:1                         |      |                      |      |
| Total imports                                 | 0    | 0                    | 0    |
| <sup>1</sup> Please identify these countries: |      |                      |      |

II-1. Purchases and imports: non-GMO.—

Non-GMO: CACCS certified as non-GMO

(b) Report your firm's total U.S. purchases and imports of <u>non-GMO</u> CACCS. Please report separately for your purchases from U.S. producers and importers, and your imports for which your firm was the importer of record.

"Purchase" – A transaction to buy product from a U.S. corporate entity such as a U.S. producer, a U.S. distributor, or a U.S. firm that has imported the product.

"Import" – A transaction to buy from a foreign supplier in which your firm is the importer of record.

|   | 2015 | 2016                 | 2017 |
|---|------|----------------------|------|
| Item  | Qı   | uantity (in dry poun | ids) |
| Purchases of non-GMO CACCS produced in—       |      |                      |      |
| United States                                 |      |                      |      |
| Belgium                                       |      |                      |      |
| Colombia                                      |      |                      |      |
| Thailand                                      |      |                      |      |
| Canada  |      |                      |      |
| All other countries <sup>1</sup>              |      |                      |      |
| Sources unknown                               |      |                      |      |
| Total purchases                               | 0    | 0                    | 0    |
| Imports of non-GMO CACCS from—                |      |                      |      |
| Belgium                                       |      |                      |      |
| Colombia                                      |      |                      |      |
| Thailand                                      |      |                      |      |
| Canada  |      |                      |      |
| All other countries <sup>1</sup>              |      |                      |      |
| Total imports                                 | 0    | 0                    | 0    |
| <sup>1</sup> Please identify these countries: |      |                      |      |

| 11 2. Changes in parenasing patterns. | II-2. | Changes in | purchasing | patterns |
|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|----------|
|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|----------|

(a) Please indicate how the shares of your firm's purchases of **GMO CACCS** from different sources have changed since January 1, 2015.

| Source of purchases | Did not purchase | Decreased | Increased | Constant | Fluctuated | Explanation for trend |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------------------|
| United States       |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Belgium             |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Colombia            |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Thailand            |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Canada              |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| All other countries |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Sources unknown     |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |

(b) Please indicate how the shares of your firm's purchases of **non-GMO CACCS** from different sources have changed since January 1, 2015.

| Source of purchases | Did not purchase | Decreased | Increased | Constant | Fluctuated | Explanation for trend |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------------------|
| United States       |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Belgium             |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Colombia            |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Thailand            |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Canada              |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| All other countries |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |
| Sources unknown     |                  |           |           |          |            |                       |

II-3. <u>Country knowledge</u>.--Please indicate the countries of origin with which your firm has experience or information in the CACCS market.

| United<br>States | Belgium | Colombia | Thailand | Canada | Other countries | Other countries (specify) |
|------------------|---------|----------|----------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|
|                  |         |          |          |        |                 | Other countries (specify) |

II-4. <u>Supplier identification.</u>--Please list your firm's <u>FIVE</u> largest suppliers for CACCS since January 1, 2015. Also, provide the share of the quantity of your firm's total purchases of CACCS that each of these suppliers accounted for in 2017.

| No. | Supplier's name | City and state | Share of quantity of 2017 purchases |
|-----|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1   |                 |                | %                                   |
| 2   |                 |                | %                                   |
| 3   |                 |                | %                                   |
| 4   |                 |                | %                                   |
| 5   |                 |                | %                                   |

## PART III.--MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES

| III-1. | Firm typeWhich of the following best describes your firm as a purchaser of CACCS (check al |
|--------|--|
|        | that apply)?   |

| Distributor | End user<br>(Food and<br>beverages) | End user<br>(Industrial) | Other end<br>user<br>(Identify:<br>) | Other | Describe other |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
|             |                                     |                          |                                      |       |                |

If your firm is a distributor of CACCS, please answer questions III-2 and III-3.

III-2. <u>Competition for sales.</u>--Does your firm compete for sales to customers with the manufacturers or importers from which your firm purchases CACCS?

| No | Yes | If yes, please describe. |
|----|-----|--------------------------|
|    |     |                          |

| III-3. | Types of customersWhat are the major types of consumers to which your firm sells CACCS?  |
|--------|--|
|        | Identify whether these consumers have shown changes in their preferences and demands for |
|        | non-GMO CACCS.   |

If your firm is an end user of CACCS, please answer questions III-4 and III-5.

III-4. <u>End uses.</u>--List the top 3 products your firm makes using CACCS and estimate the percent of your total production cost that is accounted for by CACCS and by other inputs (such as labor, energy, and other raw materials).

|                               | Share of total cost in each of the product(s) your firm produces accounted for by |   |              |   | <b>Total</b> (should        |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Product(s) your firm produces | CACCS   |   | Other inputs |   | sum to<br>100.0%<br>across) |
|                               | %   | + | %            | Ш | 0.0 %                       |
|                               | %   | + | %            | = | 0.0 %                       |
|                               | %   | + | %            | Ш | 0.0 %                       |

| III-5. <b>Demand for end use</b> | oroducts |
|----------------------------------|----------|
|----------------------------------|----------|

(a) Has the demand for your firm's final products incorporating CACCS changed since January 1, 2015?

| Increased | No change | Decreased | Fluctuated |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
|           |           |           |            |

(b) Has this had any effect on your firm's demand for CACCS?

| No | Yes | Explain |
|----|-----|---------|
|    |     |         |

| U.S. Pu                      | ırchasers' (   | Question      | naire -            | CACCS                          |                |                |  |                   | Page 12  |  |  |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| III-6.                       | Substitut      | <b>es</b> Can | other p            | products be si                 | ubstituted     | for C          | CACCS  | ?                 |  |  |  |
|                              |                | No            | [                  | YesPleas                       | e fill out th  | ne tal         | ole.   |                   |  |  |  |
|                              |                |               |                    | End use in which this          |                |                | Have changes in the price of this substitute affected the price for CACCS? |                   |  |  |  |
|                              | Substitute 1.  |               | substitute is used |                                |                | No Yes         |  |                   | Explanation  |  |  |
| 1.                           |                |               |                    |                                |                |                |  |                   |  |  |  |
| 2.                           |                |               |                    |                                |                |                |  |                   |  |  |  |
| 3.                           |                |               |                    |                                |                |                |  |                   |  |  |  |
|                              | States (if     | known)        | for CAC            |                                | ed since Ja    | anuar<br>ange: | y 1, 2   | 015. Explain      | If known, indicate whether any changes in demand trends for CACCS since January 1, 2015 are attributable to changes in |  |  |
| Market                       | Overal increas |               | No<br>ange         | Overall decrease               | clear<br>trend |                | -  | nation and actors | demand for non-GMO CACCS   |  |  |
| Within the<br>United States  |                |               |                    |                                |                |                |  |                   |  |  |  |
| Outside the<br>United States |                |               |                    |                                |                |                |  |                   |  |  |  |
| III-8.                       |                |               |                    | o you or your<br>ossible sourc |                |                | r spe  | cifically orde    | r CACCS from one country   |  |  |
|                              | No             | Yes           | If yes,            | identify the                   | countries      | and e          | explai   | n.                |  |  |  |
|                              |                |               |                    |                                |                |                |  |                   |  |  |  |
|                              |                |               | •                  |                                |                |                |  |                   |  |  |  |

| III-9. | Importance of purchasing domestic productPlease fill out the table below, estimating the    |
|--------|---|
|        | percentage of your firm's total 2017 purchases of CACCS that required CACCS produced in the |
|        | United States.  |

|   | Estimated percentage of your firm's total 2017 purchases of CACCS |
|---|---|
| Purchases that did not require domestic product   | %   |
| Purchases that were required by law or regulation to be domestic product (e.g., government purchases under "Buy American" provisions) | %   |
| Purchases that were not required by law or regulation, but were required by your customers to be domestic product                     | %   |
| Purchases that were required to be domestic product for other reasons   |   |
| (explain: )   | %   |
| Total (should sum to 100.0%)  | 0.0 %   |

## III-10. Conditions of competition.--

(a) Is the CACCS market subject to business cycles (other than general economy-wide conditions) and/or other conditions of competition distinctive to CACCS?

| Check a | ll that apply.                                  | Please describe.         |
|---------|---|--------------------------|
|         | No  | Skip to question III-11. |
|         | Yes-Business cycles (e.g. seasonal business)    |                          |
|         | Yes-Other distinctive conditions of competition |                          |

(b) Have there been any changes in the business cycles or conditions of competition for CACCS since January 1, 2015?

| No | Yes | If yes, describe. Please indicate whether any changes in in the business cycles or conditions of competition since January 1, 2015 are attributable to demand for non-GMO CACCS. |
|----|-----|--|
|    |     |  |

U.S.-produced product

Imports from Belgium, Colombia, and/or

Imports from other

Thailand

sources

III-11. <u>Decisions based on producer and country-of-origin</u>.--How often does your firm, and if known, do your customers, make purchasing decisions involving CACCS based on its producer or country of origin?

|  |                            | Always     | Usua    | ally | Sometimes                | Never     | If at least sometimes, explain.  |
|--|----------------------------|------------|---------|------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
|  | Decision based on producer |            |         |      |                          |           |                                  |
| Your firm  |                            |            |         | ]    |                          |           |                                  |
| Your custome   | ers                        |            |         | ]    |                          |           |                                  |
|  |                            | [          | Decisio | n ba | sed on country           | of origin |                                  |
| Your firm  |                            |            |         |      |                          |           |                                  |
| Your customers   |                            |            |         | ]    |                          |           |                                  |
|  | Decision ba                | sed on pro | ducer   | cert | ifications (e.g.,        | GMO, ha   | lal, kosher, et cetera)          |
| Your firm  |                            |            |         | ]    |                          |           |                                  |
| Your customers   |                            |            |         | ]    |                          |           |                                  |
| III-12. Availability of supplyHas the availability of CACCS in the U.S. market changed since January 1 2015? |                            |            |         |      |                          |           |                                  |
| Availability in the U.S. market No Ye  |                            |            |         |      | Please explain, changes. | noting th | ne countries and reasons for the |

III-13. <u>Supply constraints.</u>--Has any firm refused, declined, or been unable to supply your firm with CACCS since January 1, 2015 (examples include placing customers on allocation or "controlled order entry," declining to accept new customers or renew existing customers, delivering less than the quantity promised, being unable to meet timely shipment commitments, inability to supply non-GMO CACCS, etc.)?

| Source                   | No | Yes | If yes, please explain the nature and extent of the incident(s), firms/suppliers, and describe the impact on your firm. |
|--------------------------|----|-----|---|
| U.Sproduced<br>Product   |    |     |   |
| Imports from<br>Belgium  |    |     |   |
| Imports from<br>Colombia |    |     |   |
| Imports from<br>Thailand |    |     |   |
| Other sources            |    |     |   |

III-14. **Availability of specific product types.**--Are certain grades/types/sizes of CACCS only available from certain country sources?

| No | Yes | Please identify specific domestic or foreign suppliers who cannot supply certain grades/types/sizes and the impact on your firm. |
|----|-----|--|
|    |     |  |

|                    |  |            |                           |        | Dusiii  | icss i iop | ,,,,,, | ., y         |             |   |
|--------------------|--|------------|---------------------------|--------|---------|------------|--------|--------------|-------------|---|
| U.S. Pu            | rchasers   | s' Questic | onnaire - <b>CA</b>       | ACCS   |         |            |        |              |             | Page 16                                   |
| III-15.            | <u>Purcha</u>  | sing freq  | uency                     |        |         |            |        |              |             |   |
|                    | (a)  | How fre    | equently do               | es yo  | ur firm | make pu    | rcha   | ses of CACC  | S (check    | one)?                                     |
|                    |  | Daily      | Weekly                    | Mor    | nthly   | Quarter    | ly     | Annually     | Other       | If other, specify                         |
|                    |  |            |                           |        |         |            |        |              |             |   |
|                    | (b)  | Has this   | purchasing                | g freq | uency   | changed s  | since  | e January 1, | 2015?       |   |
|                    |  | No         | Yes If                    | yes, p | olease  | describe.  |        |              |             |   |
|                    |  |            |                           |        |         |            |        |              |             |   |
| III-16.<br>III-17. | making   | a purcha   | ase? Betwe                | en     | ar      | nd         | firm   | S            | _           | nerally contact before urcing strategies? |
|                    |  | Ту         | pe                        |        | No      | Yes        | If y   | es, explain. |             |   |
|                    | Sing   |            | e (domestic<br>orted)     | or     |         |            |        |              |             |   |
|                    | Mul  | •          | rce (domes<br>mported)    | tic    |         |            |        |              |             |   |
|                    |  | -          | rd sourcing<br>perations) | g for  |         |            |        |              |             |   |
|                    |  | Other      |                           |        |         |            |        |              |             |   |
| III 10             | Committee of the commit |            | ations D:                 |        | £:ww-1- |            |        | CACCC        | Ilia incent |   |

III-18. **Supplier negotiations.--**Do your firm's purchases of CACCS usually involve negotiations between supplier and purchaser?

| No | If yes, explain the factors your firm generally negotiates and note whether your firm quotes competing prices during negotiations. |
|----|--|
|    |  |

III-19. Change in suppliers.--Has your firm changed suppliers since January 1, 2015?

| No | If yes, please list the supplier(s), whether the firm was added or dropped, and the reasons for the change. |
|----|---|
|    |   |

| 1 | ПC    | Durchacare'  | Questionnaire -  |       |
|---|-------|--------------|------------------|-------|
|   | I I 🥆 | PHILLIPACELC | Uniestionnaire - | 1 411 |

| III-20. | New suppliersAre you aware of any new suppliers, either foreign or domestic, that have |
|---------|--|
|         | entered the market since January 1, 2015?  |

| N | 0 | Yes | If yes, please identify the firms. |
|---|---|-----|------------------------------------|
|   |   |     |                                    |

III-21. <u>Supplier qualification</u>.--Do you require your suppliers to be or to become certified or qualified to sell CACCS to your firm?

If yes, provide the following information.

- The number of days to qualify a new supplier.
- A general description of the certification or qualification process. Also, a brief description of the factors that you consider when qualifying a new supplier (e.g., quality of product, reliability of supplier, non-GMO CACCS, etc.).

| No | Number of days | Process and factors |
|----|----------------|---------------------|
|    |                |                     |

## III-22. <u>Certifications.</u>--

(a) Does your firm require your purchases of CACCS to have any of the following certifications?

**GMO.**-- In the context of your firm's purchases of CACCS, GMO stands for CACCS that was produced using inputs (i.e., the substrates) that were genetically engineered or bioengineered.

| Certi  | fication  | No      | Yes  | If yes, indicate the<br>share of your<br>firm's 2017<br>purchases that<br>were certified<br>(percent) | Other explanations                   |
|--|-----------|---------|------|---|--------------------------------------|
|  |           |         |      | (регесть)   | Other explanations                   |
| Halal  |           |         | Ш    |   |                                      |
| Kosher   |           |         |      |   |                                      |
| Non-GMO but not (yet) certified or verified by any independent organization <sup>1</sup>   |           |         |      |   |                                      |
| "Non-GMO Project" verified <sup>1</sup>  |           |         |      |   |                                      |
| Verified or certified Non-<br>GMO by other than "Non-<br>GMO Project" verified <sup>1</sup>  |           |         |      |   |                                      |
| Other:   |           |         |      |   |                                      |
| Other:   |           |         |      |   |                                      |
| <sup>1</sup> Please describe the criteria and documentation that your firm considers in its approval process to determine whether a supplier meets your firm's non-GMO requirements: |           |         |      |   |                                      |
| (b) Has the importance of these certifications changed since January 2015?   |           |         |      |   |                                      |
|  | Yes       |         |      |   |                                      |
| No   | Increased | Decreas | ed I | f yes, please identify  | specific certifications and explain. |
|  |           |         |      |   |                                      |

## (c) If your firm purchases non-GMO CACCS:

If you are a reseller/distributor, is non-GMO CACCS sold to customers that do not require non-GMO certified product? If you are an end user, is non-GMO CACCS used in applications that do not require non-GMO certified product?

| Never | Sometimes | Frequently | Always | Explain |
|-------|-----------|------------|--------|---------|
|       |           |            |        |         |

| III-23. | Failure to certifySince January 1, 2015, have any domestic or foreign producers failed in their |
|---------|---|
|         | attempts to certify or qualify their CACCS with your firm or have any producers lost their      |
|         | approved status?  |
|         |   |

| No | If yes, please identify these firms, the countries where they are located, and the reasons why they failed the certification/qualification. |
|----|---|
|    |   |

## III-24. Major purchasing factors.—

| (a) | Please list, in order of their importance, the main factors your firm considers in deciding    |
|-----|--|
|     | from whom to purchase CACCS (examples include availability, extension of credit, contracts,    |
|     | price, quality, range of supplier's product line, traditional supplier, ability to supply non- |
|     | GMO CACCS, etc.).  |

| 1.  |   |
|-----|---|
| 2.  |   |
| 3.  |   |
| Ple | ease list any other factors that are very important in your purchase decisions: |

(b) Describe the role non-GMO CACCS products have in the U.S. market and in your firm's purchasing decisions.

| Factor                              | Explain role of non-GMO CACCS products. |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| In the U.S. market                  |   |
| In your firm's purchasing decisions |   |

III-26.

III-25. <u>Purchasing factors.</u>--Please rate the importance of the following factors in your firm's purchasing decisions for CACCS.

| Factor   | Very<br>important | Somewhat important | Not important |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Availability   |                   |                    |               |
| Delivery terms   |                   |                    |               |
| Delivery time  |                   |                    |               |
| Discounts offered  |                   |                    |               |
| Extension of credit  |                   |                    |               |
| Halal certified  |                   |                    |               |
| Kosher certified   |                   |                    |               |
| Being "Non-GMO Project" verified   |                   |                    |               |
| Being Non-GMO whether or not specifically being "Non-GMO Project" verified |                   |                    |               |
| Minimum quantity requirements  |                   |                    |               |
| Packaging  |                   |                    |               |
| Price  |                   |                    |               |
| Product consistency  |                   |                    |               |
| Product range  |                   |                    |               |
| Quality meets industry standards   |                   |                    |               |
| Quality exceeds industry standards   |                   |                    |               |
| Reliability of supply  |                   |                    |               |
| Technical support/service  |                   |                    |               |
| U.S. transportation costs  |                   |                    |               |

| III-27. | Minimum qualityHow often does CACCS from the following countries meet minimum quality |
|---------|---|
|         | specifications for your uses or your customers' uses?                                 |

| Source        | Always | Usually | Sometimes | Rarely or never | Don't<br>know |
|---------------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| United States |        |         |           |                 |               |
| Belgium       |        |         |           |                 |               |
| Colombia      |        |         |           |                 |               |
| Thailand      |        |         |           |                 |               |
| Canada        |        |         |           |                 |               |
| Other:        |        |         |           |                 |               |

III-28. <u>Frequency of decisions based on price</u>.--How often does your firm purchase the CACCS that is offered at the lowest price?

| Always | Usually | Sometimes | Never |
|--------|---------|-----------|-------|
|        |         |           |       |

III-29. **Price leaders.**--A price leader is defined as (1) one or more firms that initiate a price change, either upward or downward, that is followed by other firms, or (2) one or more firms that have a significant impact on prices. A price leader is not necessarily the lowest-priced supplier.

Please list the names of any firms you considered price leaders in the CACCS market since January 1, 2015.

| Firm(s) | Describe how the firm(s) exhibited price leadership |
|---------|---|
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |

## III-30. Purchasing subject imports rather than domestic products.—

| (a) | Since January 2015, did your firm purchase imports of CACCS from Belgium, Colombia, |
|-----|---|
|     | and/or Thailand instead of U.Sproduced CACCS? Respond for each subject country.     |

|              | Yes                                    | No<br>(If "No" for all countries, skip to next |
|--------------|--|--|
| Source       | (also respond to parts (b) and (c))    | question)                                      |
| Belgium      |  |  |
| Colombia     |  |  |
| Thailand     |  |  |
| (b) If you r | esponded "Yes" to part (a), was the in | nported product priced lower than the          |

(b) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was the imported product priced lower than the domestic product?

| Source   | Yes | No |
|----------|-----|----|
| Belgium  |     |    |
| Colombia |     |    |
| Thailand |     |    |

(c) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was price a primary reason for purchasing subject imports rather than domestic product?

| Source   | Yes | If Yes, estimate the quantity of imports purchased instead of domestic product since January 2015 (in dry pounds) | No | If No, please indicate the reason your firm purchased imports instead of domestic product. (Indicate if your response differs by country.) |
|----------|-----|---|----|--|
| Belgium  |     |   |    |  |
| Colombia |     |   |    |  |
| Thailand |     |   |    |  |

## III-31. U.S. producers and import competition.—

(a) Since January 1, 2015, in connection with a sale or offer to sell CACCS to your firm, did U.S. producers reduce their prices of domestically produced CACCS in order to compete with lower-priced imports of CACCS from the subject countries? Respond for each subject country.

| Source   | Yes (also respond to question part (b)) | No (If "No" for all countries, skip to next question) | Don't know |
|----------|---|---|------------|
| Belgium  |   |   |            |
| Colombia |   |   |            |
| Thailand |   |   |            |

(b) If your firm responded "yes" to any of the above countries, please provide an estimate of the reduction in U.S. producers' prices, and any additional explanations, such as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors.

| Source   | Estimated reduction in U.S. prices (percent) | Additional explanation, including such information as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors.  (Indicate if your response differs by country.) |
|----------|--|---|
| Belgium  | %  |   |
| Colombia | %  |   |
| Thailand | %  |   |

## PART IV.—PRODUCT COMPARISONS

IV-1. <u>Interchangeability.--</u>Is CACCS produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (i.e., can they physically be used in the same applications)?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

- A = the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable
- F = the products are *frequently* interchangeable
- S = the products are *sometimes* interchangeable
- N = the products are *never* interchangeable
- 0 = no familiarity with products from a specified country-pair

| Country-pair     | Belgium | Colombia | Thailand | Canada | Other countries |
|------------------|---------|----------|----------|--------|-----------------|
| United<br>States |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Belgium          |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Colombia         |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Thailand         |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Canada           |         |          |          |        |                 |

For any country-pair producing CACCS which is *sometimes* or *never* interchangeable, please identify the country-pair and explain the factors that limit or preclude interchangeable use:

IV-2. Factors other than price.--Are differences other than price (e.g., quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, etc.) between CACCS produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's purchases of the products?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = such differences are *always* significant

F = such differences are *frequently* significant

S = such differences are *sometimes* significant

N = such differences are *never* significant

0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

| Country-pair     | Belgium | Colombia | Thailand | Canada | Other countries |
|------------------|---------|----------|----------|--------|-----------------|
| United<br>States |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Belgium          |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Colombia         |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Thailand         |         |          |          |        |                 |
| Canada           |         |          |          |        |                 |

For any country-pair producing CACCS which is *sometimes* or *never* interchangeable, please identify the country-pair and explain the factors that limit or preclude interchangeable use:

IV-3. <u>Factor country comparisons.--</u> For the factors listed below, please rate how CACCS produced in each country compares with CACCS produced in each of the other countries.

|  | Product from United States compared to product from Belgium |            | Product from United States compared to product from Colombia |          |            | Product from United States compared to product from Thailand |          | ates<br>I to<br>om |          |
|--|---|------------|--|----------|------------|--|----------|--------------------|----------|
| Factor   | Superior  | Comparable | Inferior   | Superior | Comparable | Inferior   | Superior | Comparable         | Inferior |
| Availability   |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Delivery terms   |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Delivery time  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Discounts offered  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Extension of credit  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Halal certified  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Kosher certified   |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Being "Non-GMO Project" verified                                     |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Being Non-GMO whether or not specifically "Non-GMO Project" verified |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Minimum quantity requirements  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Packaging  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Price <sup>1</sup>   |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Product consistency  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Product range  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Quality meets industry standards                                     |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Quality exceeds industry standards                                   |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Reliability of supply  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| Technical support/service  |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |
| U.S. transportation costs <sup>1</sup>                               |   |            |  |          |            |  |          |                    |          |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

## IV-3. <u>Factor country comparisons.--Continued.</u>

|  | cor<br>pro | educt fr<br>Belgiun<br>mparec<br>duct fr | <u>n</u><br>I to<br>rom | cor<br>pro | duct fr<br>Belgiun<br>npared<br>duct fr | <u>n</u><br>I to<br>om | <u>C</u><br>cor<br>pro | oduct frolomb<br>nparecoduct frolomb<br>hailan | <u>ia</u><br>I to<br>om |
|--|------------|--|-------------------------|------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Factor   | Superior   | Comparable                               | Inferior                | Superior   | Comparable                              | Inferior               | Superior               | Comparable                                     | Inferior                |
| Availability   |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Delivery terms   |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Delivery time  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Discounts offered  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Extension of credit  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Halal certified  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Kosher certified   |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Being "Non-GMO Project" verified                                     |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Being Non-GMO whether or not specifically "Non-GMO Project" verified |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Minimum quantity requirements  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Packaging  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Price <sup>1</sup>   |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Product consistency  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Product range  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Quality meets industry standards                                     |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Quality exceeds industry standards                                   |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Reliability of supply  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| Technical support/service  |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |
| U.S. transportation costs <sup>1</sup>                               |            |  |                         |            |   |                        |                        |  |                         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

## IV-3. <u>Factor country comparisons.--*Continued.*</u>

|  | <u>U</u><br>compare | roduct from<br>nited State<br>ad to produ<br>other sour | es<br>uct from | compare  | m<br>uct from<br><u>ces</u> |          |
|--|---------------------|---|----------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Factor   | Superior            | Comparable  | Inferior       | Superior | Comparable                  | Inferior |
| Availability   |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Delivery terms   |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Delivery time  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Discounts offered  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Extension of credit  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Halal certified  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Kosher certified   |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Being "Non-GMO Project" verified                                     |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Being Non-GMO whether or not specifically "Non-GMO Project" verified |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Minimum quantity requirements  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Packaging  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Price <sup>1</sup>   |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Product consistency  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Product range  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Quality meets industry standards                                     |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Quality exceeds industry standards                                   |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Reliability of supply  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| Technical support/service  |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |
| U.S. transportation costs <sup>1</sup>                               |                     |   |                |          |                             |          |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

## IV-3. <u>Factor country comparisons.--*Continued.*</u>

|  | compare  | roduct fromotiand to product for the color of the color o | uct from | compare  | m<br>uct from<br><u>ces</u> |          |
|--|----------|--|----------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Factor   | Superior | Comparable   | Inferior | Superior | Comparable                  | Inferior |
| Availability   |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Delivery terms   |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Delivery time  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Discounts offered  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Extension of credit  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Halal certified  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Kosher certified   |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Being "Non-GMO Project" verified                                     |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Being Non-GMO whether or not specifically "Non-GMO Project" verified |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Minimum quantity requirements  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Packaging  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Price <sup>1</sup>   |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Product consistency  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Product range  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Quality meets industry standards                                     |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Quality exceeds industry standards                                   |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Reliability of supply  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| Technical support/service  |          |  |          |          |                             |          |
| U.S. transportation costs <sup>1</sup>                               |          |  |          |          |                             |          |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

## PART V.—<u>ALTERNATIVE PRODUCT INFORMATION (non-GMO CACCS)</u>

The Commission is requesting information on the comparability of **non-GMO CACCS** and GMO CACCS.

- V-1. <u>Comparability of GMO CACCS vs. non-GMO CACCS.</u>--For each of the following indicate whether non-GMO CACCS and GMO CACCS are: fully comparable or the same, *i.e.*, have no differentiation between them; mostly comparable or similar; somewhat comparable or similar; never or not-at-all comparable or similar; or no familiarity with products.
  - (a) <u>Characteristics and Uses</u>.-- The differences and similarities in the physical characteristics and end uses for non-GMO CACCS versus GMO CACCS.

|  | Mostly                     | Somewhat             | Not at all          |                   |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Fully comparable   | ılly comparable comparable |                      | comparable          | NA/no familiarity |
|  |                            |                      |                     |                   |
| Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their characteristics and uses: |                            |                      |                     |                   |
| (b) Interchangeab  |                            | substitute non-GMO   | CACCS with GMO (    | CACCS for the     |
| Fully  | Mostly                     | Somewhat             | Not at all          | NA/no             |
| interchangeable  | interchangeable            | interchangeable      | interchangeable     | familiarity       |
|  |                            |                      |                     |                   |
| Please provide a na<br>their <u>interchangeal</u>  |                            | or the comparability | ratings you provide | ed in terms of    |

## V-1. Comparability of non-GMO CACCS vs. GMO CACCS.--Continued

| (c) | Manufacturing facilities, production processes, and production employees Whether   |
|-----|--|
|     | non-GMO CACCS and GMO CACCS are manufactured in the same facilities, from the same |
|     | inputs, on the same machinery and equipment, and using the same employees.         |

|   |                 | Somewhat the                                     | Not at all the     |                      |
|---|-----------------|--|--------------------|----------------------|
| Fully the same  | Mostly the same | same   | same               | NA/no familiarity    |
|   |                 |  |                    |                      |
| Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their manufacturing processes: |                 |  |                    |                      |
|   |                 | els of distribution/m<br>sold (i.e., sold direct |                    | J                    |
|   | Mostly          | Somewhat   | Not at all         |                      |
| Fully comparable  | comparable      | comparable                                       | comparable         | NA/no familiarity    |
|   |                 |  |                    |                      |
| Please provide a na<br>channels of distribu   |                 | or the comparability                             | ratings you provid | ed in terms of their |

## V-1. Comparability of non-GMO CACCS vs. GMO CACCS.--Continued

| (e) | <u>Customer and producer perceptions</u> Perceptions as to the differences and/or similarities |
|-----|--|
|     | in non-GMO CACCS versus GMO CACCS in the market (e.g., sales/marketing practices).             |

|                                       | Mostly                                  | Somewhat              | Not at all            |                      |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Fully comparable comparable           |   | comparable            | comparable            | NA/no familiarity    |
| Tully comparable comparable           |   |                       |                       |                      |
|                                       |   |                       |                       |                      |
| Please provide a na customer and prod | arrative discussion foucer perceptions: | or the comparability  | ratings you provide   | ed in terms of their |
|                                       |   |                       |                       |                      |
| (f) <u>Price</u> Wh CACCS.            | ether prices are con                    | nparable or differ fo | r non-GMO CACCS a     | and versus GMO       |
|                                       |   |                       |                       |                      |
|                                       | Mostly                                  | Somewhat              | Not at all            |                      |
| Fully comparable                      | Mostly comparable                       | Somewhat comparable   | Not at all comparable | NA/no familiarity    |
| Fully comparable                      | •                                       |                       |                       | NA/no familiarity    |

| PART ' | VI.—A | DDITIC | NAL | INFORM | NOITAN |
|--------|-------|--------|-----|--------|--------|
|--------|-------|--------|-----|--------|--------|

| VI-1. | Other explanationsIf your firm would like to further explain a response to any question that  |
|-------|---|
|       | did not provide a narrative response box, please note the question number and the explanation |
|       | in the space provided below.  |
|       |   |
|       |   |
|       |   |
|       |   |

# **HOW TO FILE YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE**

This questionnaire is available as a "fillable" form in MS Word format on the Commission's website at:

https://usitc.gov/investigations/701731/2017/citric\_acid\_and\_certain\_citrate\_salts\_belgium/final.htm

**Please do not attempt to modify the format or permissions of the questionnaire document**. Please submit the completed questionnaire using one of the methods noted below. If your firm is unable to complete the MS Word questionnaire or cannot use one of the electronic methods of submission, please contact the Commission for further instructions.

• <u>Upload via Secure Drop Box</u>.—Upload the MS Word questionnaire along with a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1) through the Commission's secure upload facility:

Web address: <a href="https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/">https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/</a> Pin: CBCT

• E-mail.—E-mail the MS Word questionnaire to Fernando.gracia@usitc.gov; include a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1). Submitters are strongly encouraged to encrypt nonpublic documents that are electronically transmitted to the Commission to protect your sensitive information from unauthorized disclosure. The USITC secure drop-box system and the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) use Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 140-2 cryptographic algorithms to encrypt data in transit. Submitting your nonpublic documents by a means that does not use these encryption algorithms (such as by email) may subject your firm's nonpublic information to unauthorized disclosure during transmission. If you choose a non-encrypted method of electronic transmission, the Commission warns you that the risk of such possible unauthorized disclosure is assumed by you and not by the Commission.

**If your firm** <u>does not </u>**purchase this product**, please fill out page 1, print, sign, and submit a scanned copy to the Commission.

<u>Parties to this proceeding</u>.—If your firm is a party to this proceeding, it is required to serve a copy of the completed questionnaire on parties to the proceeding that are subject to administrative protective order (see 19 CFR § 207.7). A list of such parties may be obtained from the Commission's Secretary (202-205-1803). A certificate of service must accompany the completed questionnaire your firm submits (see 19 CFR § 207.7). Service of the questionnaire must be made in paper form.