







NSF ERA Forum Webinar Survey

Thank you for participating in the April 2019 ERA Forum Webinar! Your feedback is very important to us. Please complete the following survey based on your participation in the webinar. Please answer each question to the best of your ability.

	Questions and Answers Questions	Answers
1.	In what capacity do you primarily interact with	Principal Investigator (PI)
1.	NSF?	• co-Principal Investigator (co-PI)
	1431.	Other Senior Personnel
		Other Authorized User (OAU)
		Sponsored Project Office
		Authorized Organization Representative (AOR)
		Other (please specify) [text box]
2.	Which of the following best describes your	Large research university
۷.	current organizational affiliation?	Primarily undergraduate institution
	Current organizational anniation:	Minority serving institution
		Community college
		Other non-profit organization
		• For-profit organization
		Other (Please specify) [text box]
3.	Have you used the new Research.gov Proposal	• Yes [IF ANSWERED YES → QUESTION #4]
Э.	Preparation and Submission System to submit	• No [IF ANSWERED NO → QUESTION #4]
	an NSF proposal?	NO [II ANSWERED NO 7 QUESTION #3]
4.	Please indicate how many proposals you have	1 [ALL ANSWERS → QUESTION #6]
	prepared and submitted using the new	• 2 to 5
	Research.gov Preparation and Submission	• 6 to 10
	System.	More than 10
5.	You indicated that you have not yet prepared	Select all that apply: [ALL ANSWERS → QUESTION #6]
	and submitted a proposal using the new	• Proposal Type not yet available on the Research.gov
	Research.gov Proposal Preparation and	system
	Submission System. Please provide additional	 I prefer FastLane/I am familiar with FastLane
	information regarding why you have chosen not	• I have not had time to learn the new Research.gov
	to submit on Research.gov.	Proposal Preparation and Submission System
		My organization requires me to use FastLane
		• I attempted to prepare and submit a proposal using
		the Research.gov Proposal Preparation and
		Submission System but could not submit due to
		compliance check errors
		Other: [Open ended text]

[SURVEY PAGE BREAK]









Section Title: Separately Submitted Collaborative Proposals from Multiple Organizations on Research.gov

Based on the ERA Forum Webinar presentation, please answer the following questions regarding Separately Submitted Collaborative Proposals from Multiple Organization on Research.gov.

urvey C	vey Questions and Answers		
	Questions	Answers	
6.	What type(s) of collaborative proposals have you previously worked with?	 Both separately submitted collaborative proposals and singularly submitted collaborative proposals with subawards Separately submitted collaborative proposals only Singularly submitted proposal with subawards only Non-collaborative proposals only 	
7.	How often do you include subawards in your separately submitted collaborative proposals?	AlwaysOftenSometimesRarelyNever	
8.	Approximately how many separately submitted collaborative proposals have you been involved with preparing and/or submitting through FastLane?	 None 1-5 proposals 6-10 proposals 11-15 proposals Over 15 proposals 	
9.	If the proposer of a non-lead proposal rejects a request to link to a lead proposal, should the rejected request still be displayed on the Manage Collaborative Proposals screen? (Note: If the rejected request is shown, the lead could have the option to re-send the request)	 Yes, both the lead and non-lead should have the ability to view the rejected request Yes, only the lead should have the ability to view the rejected request No, once a link request is rejected it should not be displayed No preference 	
10.	Which approach do you prefer for the submission of a lead organization proposal? (Note: If the rejected request is shown, the lead proposal personnel could have the option to resend the request)	 The lead organization should not be able to submit the proposal until all non-leads have submitted A lead proposal can be submitted before all non-lead proposals have been submitted, but a warning is shown, and the lead proposal stays in PENDING status until all non-leads have been submitted No preference Other (please specify) [text box] 	
11.	Should a non-lead organization in a separately submitted collaborative proposal be able to prepare and submit its own post-doctoral mentoring plan?	 No, the lead organization should prepare and submit the post-doctoral mentoring plan for the collaborative proposal (current FastLane process) Yes, non-leads should prepare and submit their own postdoctoral mentoring plans. (These separate plans 	









12.	At what point during the separately submitted collaborative proposal submission process would you prefer to receive submission confirmation emails?	would be combined into a PDF document with the lead's post-doctoral mentoring plan for NSF Review) No preference Other (please specify): [text box] After each individual proposal submits After all the proposals in the collaborative proposal submit Email is not needed No preference
13.	 How important are the following features for printing collaborative proposals? Printing the full collaborative proposal Printing each individual proposal Printing specific sections for the full collaborative proposal Printing specific sections for each individual proposal 	Please rate each feature using the choices listed: Very Important Somewhat Important Not Important
14.	If a lead organization sends a request to collaborate to a non-lead organization, and no response is given, how should this be handled?	 The outstanding request should either be accepted, rejected, or cancelled before the lead proposal can be forwarded to the SPO/AORs The outstanding request should either be accepted, rejected, or cancelled before the lead proposal can be submitted The lead proposal should receive a warning before submission, but could continue The lead proposal should receive a warning and an email notification before submission, but could continue The system should ignore any pending link requests, and no notification is needed No preference Other (please specify) [text box]

[SURVEY PAGE BREAK]

Section Title: Survey Wrap-up

Based on the overall ERA Forum Webinar presentations, please answer the following questions.

Survey Q	Survey Questions and Answers				
	Questions	Answers			
15.	Your comments, questions, and feedback are very important to NSF. Based on the ERA Forum Webinar on Separately Submitted Collaborative from Multiple Organizations on Research.gov, please include any additional feedback in the	Open ended [text box]			











	space provided. (text box)	
16.	Please share any topics of interest you would	Open ended [text box]
	like to focus on at future NSF ERA Forums.	
17.	OPTIONAL: If you would like to be added to	Your Name:
	NSF's list of volunteers who participate in future	Your Organization:
	application usability studies, surveys, and	Your Email:
	webinars, please enter your contact information	
	below.	
18.	Did you find today's Forum informative?	Yes [IF ANSWERED SURVEY COMPLETE]
		 No [IF ANSWERED → QUESTION #19]
		 Neutral [IF ANSWERED SURVEY COMPLETE]
19.	You indicated that you did not find today's NSF	Open ended [text box]
	ERA Forum informative. Could you please share	
	any suggestions for improvement?	

Thank you for participating in this survey!