C-Accel February 18-21, 2020 Post-Training Survey

Participation in this survey is voluntary. Your identity and responses are not linked to your Phase I award. Your participation and responses are not linked to any current or future funding award decisions made by NSF. Results of this survey will be used in aggregate to inform future program and curriculum planning.

OMB No.: 3145-0215. A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. For which track did your team receive a C-Accel Phase I award?

- ◯ A1: OPEN KNOWLEDGE NETWORK
- ◯ B1: AI AND FUTURE JOBS
- ◯ B2: NATIONAL TALENT ECOSYSTEM

2. What is your role on the C-Accel Phase I award team?

- ◯ Principal Investigator (PI)
- ◯ Co-Principal Investigator (co-PI)
- ◯ Senior Personnel (non-PI)
- ◯ Other (please specify)

3. What type of institution do you represent on the C-Accel Phase I award team?

- ◯ Educational institution
- ◯ Business/Industry
- ◯ Nonprofit organization (including private foundation)
- ◯ State or local government (other than educational institution)
- ◯ Federal government
- ◯ Other (please specify)

C-Accel February 18-21, 2020 Post-Training Survey

Elements of the "Pitch" (February 18-19, 2020)

This session (delivered by IDEO) introduced methods for transforming your human-centered design work into a "pitch" and utilizing visual design principles to develop effective pitch slides.

4. Content Delivery (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The objectives of the sessions were clearly defined.	○	○	○	○	○
Participation and interaction were encouraged.	○	○	○	○	○
The topics covered were relevant to me.	○	○	○	○	○
The content was organized and easy to follow.	○	○	○	○	○
The materials distributed were helpful.	○	○	○	○	○
The presenters/facilitators were knowledgeable about the training topics.	○	○	○	○	○
The training objectives were met.	○	○	○	○	○

5. Relevance of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I learned about practices or resources that are relevant to my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I see myself using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I identified goals or action steps for using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I plan to share what I learned from the sessions with my C-Accel project team.	○	○	○	○	○
My expectations were met.	○	○	○	○	○

6. Outcomes of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

After the workshop…

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I have more confidence in my ability to articulate the differentiators (who/benefits) central to my pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I believe feedback from people who don't understand my content has no value in refining my pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I don't see the value of using an iterative process to develop my pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I am uncertain of what to do with information about projects from my track that are working in complementary areas.	○	○	○	○	○
I am more likely to shape how I communicate my pitch using human centered design principles.	○	○	○	○	○

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
My team has strengthened the differentiators for our C-Accel project pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I value the visual impact graphic design elements can bring to my pitch presentation.	○	○	○	○	○
I have more confidence in my ability to use visual impact strategies to create a presentation that evokes the emotional response I want from the audience.	○	○	○	○	○
I don't know enough about the connection between human centered design and visual communication to strengthen the visual impact of my pitch on my own.	○	○	○	○	○
I will improve the visual impact of my pitch presentation.	○	○	○	○	○
I have access to additional resources (e.g. training, expertise, information), if needed, to refine the visual impact of my pitch presentation.	○	○	○	○	○

C-Accel February 18-21, 2020 Post-Training Survey

Field trip: Analogous storytelling experience (February 19, 2020)

During this session, you attended one of several analogous storytelling experiences around San Francisco.

7. Content Delivery (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The objectives of the session were clearly defined.	○	○	○	○	○
Participation and interaction were encouraged.	○	○	○	○	○
The topics covered were relevant to me.	○	○	○	○	○
The content was organized and easy to follow.	○	○	○	○	○
The presenters/facilitators were knowledgeable about the training topics.	○	○	○	○	○
The training objectives were met.	○	○	○	○	○

8. Relevance of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I learned about practices or resources that are relevant to my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I see myself using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I identified goals or action steps for using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I plan to share what I learned from the sessions with my C-Accel project team.	○	○	○	○	○
My expectations were met.	○	○	○	○	○

9. Outcomes of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

After the workshop…

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I have a better understanding of how analogous inspiration can bring insights about audience experience to my CA pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I see little value in the role of storytelling in my pitch presentation.	○	○	○	○	○
I have more confidence in my ability to develop a story around things my audience(s) are interested in.	○	○	○	○	○
I have already used a compelling story to describe my C-Accel project to potential partners.	○	○	○	○	○
I am more likely to develop a compelling story to use as part of my C-Accel project pitch.	○	○	○	○	○

C-Accel February 18-21, 2020 Post-Training Survey

Improvisational Storytelling with Speechless (February 20, 2020)

In these sessions (delivered by Speechless) you learned improvisational techniques that are useful when delivering an effective story/pitch.

10. Content Delivery (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The objectives of the session were clearly defined.	○	○	○	○	○
Participation and interaction were encouraged.	○	○	○	○	○
The topics covered were relevant to me.	○	○	○	○	○
The content was organized and easy to follow.	○	○	○	○	○
The materials distributed were helpful.	○	○	○	○	○
The presenters were knowledgeable about the training topics.	○	○	○	○	○
The training objectives were met.	○	○	○	○	○

11. Relevance of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I learned about practices or resources that are relevant to my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I see myself using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I identified goals or action steps for using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I plan to share what I learned from the sessions with my C-Accel project team.	○	○	○	○	○
My expectations were met.	○	○	○	○	○

12. Outcomes of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

After the workshop…

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I better understand the role of the story spine in delivering my pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I struggle to see the value of the improvisational mindset to the success of my pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I have built a story that is designed to connect the audience to my solution.	○	○	○	○	○
I am likely to practice using improvisational techniques to more effectively deliver my pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I need more confidence in my ability to deliver a compelling pitch presentation.	○	○	○	○	○

C-Accel February 18-21, 2020 Post-Training Survey

KnowInnovation: Understanding Team Personalities (February 21, 2020)

In these sessions (delivered by KnowInnovation) you learned about different team personality profiles and how to leverage these to perform more effectively as a team.

13. Content Delivery (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The objectives of the session were clearly defined.	○	○	○	○	○
Participation and interaction were encouraged.	○	○	○	○	○
The topics covered were relevant to me.	○	○	○	○	○
The content was organized and easy to follow.	○	○	○	○	○
The materials distributed were helpful.	○	○	○	○	○
The presenters were knowledgeable about the training topics.	○	○	○	○	○
The training objectives were met.	○	○	○	○	○

14. Relevance of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I learned about practices or resources that are relevant to my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I see myself using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I identified goals or action steps for using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I plan to share what I learned from the sessions with my C-Accel project team.	○	○	○	○	○
My expectations were met.	○	○	○	○	○

15. Outcomes of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

After the workshop…

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I have a better understanding of how the different people on my team approach the process of problem-solving.	○	○	○	○	○
I believe it is important to leverage how individuals approach problem solving to strengthen our team performance.	○	○	○	○	○
I am able to use the information about our individual problem-solving profiles to strengthen the effectiveness of my C-Accel team.	○	○	○	○	○
I am likely to follow-up with my team to further explore the information provided in our team profiles.	○	○	○	○	○
I identified at least one area in the pitch development process where my C-Accel team could make better use of team assets.	○	○	○	○	○

C-Accel February 18-21, 2020 Post-Training Survey

Partnership Panels (February 21, 2020)

These sessions introduced you to potential partners from outside of academia.

16. Content Delivery (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The objectives of the session were clearly defined.	○	○	○	○	○
Participation and interaction were encouraged.	○	○	○	○	○
The topics covered were relevant to me.	○	○	○	○	○
The content was organized and easy to follow.	○	○	○	○	○
The materials distributed were helpful.	○	○	○	○	○
The presenters were knowledgeable about the training topics.	○	○	○	○	○
The training objectives were met.	○	○	○	○	○

17. Relevance of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I learned about practices or resources that are relevant to my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I see myself using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I identified goals or action steps for using what I learned in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I plan to share what I learned from the sessions with my C-Accel project team.	○	○	○	○	○
My expectations were met.	○	○	○	○	○

18. Outcomes of Sessions (Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.)

After the workshop...

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I am not convinced that having different types of non-academic partners would strengthen my capacity to conduct the research central to my pitch.	○	○	○	○	○
I am more likely to seek out non-academic partners to pursue their potential involvement in my C-Accel project.	○	○	○	○	○
I am unsure of how to successfully pitch my C-Accel project to potential non-academic partners.	○	○	○	○	○
I have identified at least one potential resource partner that could support my C-Accel effort.	○	○	○	○	○
I have a better understanding of the types of non-academic partners that may be interested in my work.	○	○	○	○	○
I have a better understanding of which type of non-academic partners would be best to pursue a partnership with to make my project successful.	○	○	○	○	○

C-Accel February 18-21, 2020 Post-Training Survey

We'd like to know more about your experiences during the February 18-21 training sessions. Your feedback will help us customize remaining training experiences and improve upon the training for the second iteration of the C-Accel program. Please share your thoughts to the following questions:

19. Please rank the training sessions from Most Useful to Least Useful:

IDEO: Elements of a Pitch

Field Trips: Analogous Storytelling

Speechless: Improvisational Storytelling

KnowInnovation: Team Personalities

Partnerships Panel

20. For the training session you found **most useful**, what aspects made it the most useful.

21. For the training session you found **most useful**, what aspects could be improved.

22. For the training session you found **least useful**, why was this training session ranked last?

23. Could the **least useful** training session be changed to be more helpful to your project? If so, how?

24. Has your C-Accel project changed as a result of the 4-day training workshop? If so, how?