CFR Sections Relevant to OMB #2120-0718, Extended Operations (ETOPS) of Multi-Engine Airplanes

§121.135 Manual contents.

- (a) Each manual required by §121.133 must—
- (1) Include instructions and information necessary to allow the personnel concerned to perform their duties and responsibilities with a high degree of safety;
- (2) Be in a form that is easy to revise;
- (3) Have the date of last revision on each page concerned; and
- (4) Not be contrary to any applicable Federal regulation and, in the case of a flag or supplemental operation, any applicable foreign regulation, or the certificate holder's operations specifications or operating certificate.
- (b) The manual may be in two or more separate parts, containing together all of the following information, but each part must contain that part of the information that is appropriate for each group of personnel:
- (1) General policies.
- (2) Duties and responsibilities of each crewmember, appropriate members of the ground organization, and management personnel.
- (3) Reference to appropriate Federal Aviation Regulations.
- (4) Flight dispatching and operational control, including procedures for coordinated dispatch or flight control or flight following procedures, as applicable.
- (5) En route flight, navigation, and communication procedures, including procedures for the dispatch or release or continuance of flight if any item of equipment required for the particular type of operation becomes inoperative or unserviceable en route.
- (6) For domestic or flag operations, appropriate information from the en route operations specifications, including for each approved route the types of airplanes authorized, the type of operation such as VFR, IFR, day, night, etc., and any other pertinent information.
- (7) For supplemental operations, appropriate information from the operations specifications, including the area of operations authorized, the types of airplanes authorized, the type of operation such as VFR, IFR, day, night, etc., and any other pertinent information.
- (8) Appropriate information from the airport operations specifications, including for each airport—
- (i) Its location (domestic and flag operations only);

- (ii) Its designation (regular, alternate, provisional, etc.) (domestic and flag operations only);
- (iii) The types of airplanes authorized (domestic and flag operations only);
- (iv) Instrument approach procedures;
- (v) Landing and takeoff minimums; and
- (vi) Any other pertinent information.
- (9) Takeoff, en route, and landing weight limitations.
- (10) For ETOPS, airplane performance data to support all phases of these operations.
- (11) Procedures for familiarizing passengers with the use of emergency equipment, during flight.
- (12) Emergency equipment and procedures.
- (13) The method of designating succession of command of flight crewmembers.
- (14) Procedures for determining the usability of landing and takeoff areas, and for disseminating pertinent information thereon to operations personnel.
- (15) Procedures for operating in periods of ice, hail, thunderstorms, turbulence, or any potentially hazardous meteorological condition.
- (16) Each training program curriculum required by §121.403.
- (17) Instructions and procedures for maintenance, preventive maintenance, and servicing.
- (18) Time limitations, or standards for determining time limitations, for overhauls, inspections, and checks of airframes, engines, propellers, appliances and emergency equipment.
- (19) Procedures for refueling aircraft, eliminating fuel contamination, protection from fire (including electrostatic protection), and supervising and protecting passengers during refueling.
- (20) Airworthiness inspections, including instructions covering procedures, standards, responsibilities, and authority of inspection personnel.
- (21) Methods and procedures for maintaining the aircraft weight and center of gravity within approved limits.
- (22) Where applicable, pilot and dispatcher route and airport qualification procedures.
- (23) Accident notification procedures.
- (24) After February 15, 2008, for passenger flag operations and for those supplemental operations that are not all-cargo operations outside the 48 contiguous States and Alaska,

- (i) For ETOPS greater than 180 minutes a specific passenger recovery plan for each ETOPS Alternate Airport used in those operations, and
- (ii) For operations in the North Polar Area and South Polar Area a specific passenger recovery plan for each diversion airport used in those operations.
- (25)(i) Procedures and information, as described in paragraph (b)(25)(ii) of this section, to assist each crewmember and person performing or directly supervising the following job functions involving items for transport on an aircraft:
- (A) Acceptance;
- (B) Rejection;
- (C) Handling;
- (D) Storage incidental to transport;
- (E) Packaging of company material; or
- (F) Loading.
- (ii) Ensure that the procedures and information described in this paragraph are sufficient to assist the person in identifying packages that are marked or labeled as containing hazardous materials or that show signs of containing undeclared hazardous materials. The procedures and information must include:
- (A) Procedures for rejecting packages that do not conform to the Hazardous Materials Regulations in 49 CFR parts 171 through 180 or that appear to contain undeclared hazardous materials;
- (B) Procedures for complying with the hazardous materials incident reporting requirements of 49 CFR 171.15 and 171.16 and discrepancy reporting requirements of 49 CFR 175.31
- (C) The certificate holder's hazmat policies and whether the certificate holder is authorized to carry, or is prohibited from carrying, hazardous materials; and
- (D) If the certificate holder's operations specifications permit the transport of hazardous materials, procedures and information to ensure the following:
- (1) That packages containing hazardous materials are properly offered and accepted in compliance with 49 CFR parts 171 through 180;
- (2) That packages containing hazardous materials are properly handled, stored, packaged, loaded, and carried on board an aircraft in compliance with 49 CFR parts 171 through 180;
- (3) That the requirements for Notice to the Pilot in Command (49 CFR 175.33) are complied with; and
- (4) That aircraft replacement parts, consumable materials or other items regulated by 49 CFR parts 171 through 180 are properly handled, packaged, and transported.

- (26) Other information or instructions relating to safety.
- (c) Each certificate holder shall maintain at least one complete copy of the manual at its principal base of operations.

§121.415 Crewmember and dispatcher training requirements.

- (a) Each training program must provide the following ground training as appropriate to the particular assignment of the crewmember or dispatcher:
- (1) Basic indoctrination ground training for newly hired crewmembers or dispatchers including 40 programmed hours of instruction, unless reduced under §121.405 or as specified in §121.401(d), in at least the following—
- (i) Duties and responsibilities of crewmembers or dispatchers, as applicable;
- (ii) Appropriate provisions of the Federal Aviation Regulations;
- (iii) Contents of the certificate holder's operating certificate and operations specifications (not required for flight attendants); and
- (iv) Appropriate portions of the certificate holder's operating manual.
- (2) The initial and transition ground training specified in §§121.419 through 121.422, as applicable.
- (3) For crewmembers, emergency training as specified in §§121.417 and 121.805.
- (4) After February 15, 2008, training for crewmembers and dispatchers in their roles and responsibilities in the certificate holder's passenger recovery plan, if applicable.
- (b) Each training program must provide the flight training specified in §§121.424 through 121.426, as applicable.
- (c) Each training program must provide recurrent ground and flight training as provided in §121.427.
- (d) Each training program must provide the differences training specified in §121.418 if the Administrator finds that, due to differences between airplanes of the same type operated by the certificate holder, additional training is necessary to insure that each crewmember and dispatcher is adequately trained to perform his assigned duties.
- (e) Upgrade training as specified in §§121.419 and 121.424 for a particular type airplane may be included in the training program for crewmembers who have qualified and served as second in command pilot or flight engineer on that airplane.

- (f) Particular subjects, maneuvers, procedures, or parts thereof specified in §§121.419 through 121.425 for transition or upgrade training, as applicable, may be omitted, or the programmed hours of ground instruction or inflight training may be reduced, as provided in §121.405.
- (g) In addition to initial, transition, upgrade, recurrent and differences training, each training program must also provide ground and flight training, instruction, and practice as necessary to insure that each crewmember and dispatcher—
- (1) Remains adequately trained and currently proficient with respect to each airplane, crewmember position, and type of operation in which he serves; and
- (2) Qualifies in new equipment, facilities, procedures, and techniques, including modifications to airplanes.

Appendix P to Part 121—Requirements for ETOPS and Polar Operations

The FAA approves ETOPS in accordance with the requirements and limitations in this appendix.

Section I. ETOPS Approvals: Airplanes with Two engines.

follows:

- (a) Propulsion system reliability for ETOPS. (1) Before the FAA grants ETOPS operational approval, the operator must be able to demonstrate the ability to achieve and maintain the level of propulsion system reliability, if any, that is required by §21.4(b)(2) of this chapter for the ETOPS-approved airplane-engine combination to be used.
- (2) Following ETOPS operational approval, the operator must monitor the propulsion system reliability for the airplane-engine combination used in ETOPS, and take action as required by §121.374(i) for the specified IFSD rates.
- (b) 75 Minutes ETOPS—(1) Caribbean/Western Atlantic Area. The FAA grants approvals to conduct ETOPS with maximum diversion times up to 75 minutes on Western Atlantic/Caribbean area routes as
- (i) The FAA reviews the airplane-engine combination to ensure the absence of factors that could prevent safe operations. The airplane-engine combination need not be type-design-approved for ETOPS; however, it must have sufficient favorable experience to demonstrate to the Administrator a level of reliability appropriate for 75-minute ETOPS.
- (ii) The certificate holder must comply with the requirements of §121.633 for time-limited system planning.
- (iii) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.

- (iv) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374, except that a pre-departure service check before departure of the return flight is not required.
- (2) Other Areas. The FAA grants approvals to conduct ETOPS with maximum diversion times up to 75 minutes on other than Western Atlantic/Caribbean area routes as follows:
- (i) The FAA reviews the airplane-engine combination to ensure the absence of factors that could prevent safe operations. The airplane-engine combination need not be type-design-approved for ETOPS; however, it must have sufficient favorable experience to demonstrate to the Administrator a level of reliability appropriate for 75-minute ETOPS.
- (ii) The certificate holder must comply with the requirements of §121.633 for time-limited system planning.
- (iii) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.
- (iv) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374.
- (v) The certificate holder must comply with the MEL in its operations specifications for 120-minute ETOPS.
- (c) 90-minutes ETOPS (Micronesia). The FAA grants approvals to conduct ETOPS with maximum diversion times up to 90 minutes on Micronesian area routes as follows:
- (1) The airplane-engine combination must be type-design approved for ETOPS of at least 120-minutes.
- (2) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.
- (3) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374, except that a pre-departure service check before departure of the return flight is not required.
- (4) The certificate holder must comply with the MEL requirements in its operations specifications for 120-minute ETOPS.
- (d) 120-minute ETOPS. The FAA grants approvals to conduct ETOPS with maximum diversion times up to 120 minutes as follows:
- (1) The airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS of at least 120 minutes.
- (2) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.
- (3) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374.
- (4) The certificate holder must comply with the MEL requirements for 120-minute ETOPS.

- (e) 138-Minute ETOPS. The FAA grants approval to conduct ETOPS with maximum diversion times up to 138 minutes as follows:
- (1) Operators with 120-minute ETOPS approval. The FAA grants 138-minute ETOPS approval as an extension of an existing 120-minute ETOPS approval as follows:
- (i) The authority may be exercised only for specific flights for which the 120-minute diversion time must be exceeded.
- (ii) For these flight-by-flight exceptions, the airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS up to at least 120 minutes. The capability of the airplane's time-limited systems may not be less than 138 minutes calculated in accordance with §121.633.
- (iii) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.
- (iv) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374.
- (v) The certificate holder must comply with minimum equipment list (MEL) requirements in its operations specifications for "beyond 120 minutes ETOPS". Operators without a "beyond 120-minute ETOPS" MEL may apply to AFS-200 through their certificate holding district office for a modified MEL which satisfies the master MEL policy for system/component relief in ETOPS beyond 120 minutes.
- (vi) The certificate holder must conduct training for maintenance, dispatch, and flight crew personnel regarding differences between 138-minute ETOPS authority and its previously-approved 120-minute ETOPS authority.
- (2) Operators with existing 180-minute ETOPS approval. The FAA grants approvals to conduct 138-minute ETOPS (without the limitation in paragraph (e)(1)(i) of section I of this appendix) to certificate holders with existing 180-minute ETOPS approval as follows:
- (i) The airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS of at least 180 minutes.
- (ii) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.
- (iii) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374.
- (iv) The certificate holder must comply with the MEL requirements for "beyond 120 minutes ETOPS."
- (v) The certificate holder must conduct training for maintenance, dispatch and flight crew personnel for differences between 138-minute ETOPS diversion approval and its previously approved 180-minute ETOPS diversion authority.
- (f) 180-minute ETOPS. The FAA grants approval to conduct ETOPS with diversion times up to 180 minutes as follows:

- (1) For these operations the airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS of at least 180 minutes.
- (2) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.
- (3) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374.
- (4) The certificate holder must comply with the MEL requirements for "beyond 120 minutes ETOPS."
- (g) *Greater than 180-minute ETOPS*. The FAA grants approval to conduct ETOPS greater than 180 minutes. The following are requirements for all operations greater than 180 minutes.
- (1) The FAA grants approval only to certificate holders with existing 180-minute ETOPS operating authority for the airplane-engine combination to be operated.
- (2) The certificate holder must have previous ETOPS experience satisfactory to the Administrator.
- (3) In selecting ETOPS Alternate Airports, the operator must make every effort to plan ETOPS with maximum diversion distances of 180 minutes or less, if possible. If conditions necessitate using an ETOPS Alternate Airport beyond 180 minutes, the route may be flown only if the requirements for the specific operating area in paragraph (h) or (i) of section I of this appendix are met.
- (4) The certificate holder must inform the flight crew each time an airplane is proposed for dispatch for greater than 180 minutes and tell them why the route was selected.
- (5) In addition to the equipment specified in the certificate holder's MEL for 180-minute ETOPS, the following systems must be operational for dispatch:
- (i) The fuel quantity indicating system.
- (ii) The APU (including electrical and pneumatic supply and operating to the APU's designed capability).
- (iii) The auto throttle system.
- (iv) The communication system required by §121.99(d) or §121.122(c), as applicable.
- (v) One-engine-inoperative auto-land capability, if flight planning is predicated on its use.
- (6) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.
- (7) The certificate holder must comply with the maintenance program requirements of §121.374.
- (h) 207-minute ETOPS in the North Pacific Area of Operations. (1) The FAA grants approval to conduct ETOPS with maximum diversion times up to 207 minutes in the North Pacific Area of Operations as an extension to 180-minute ETOPS authority to be used on an exception basis. This exception may be used only on a flight-by-flight basis when an ETOPS Alternate Airport is not available within 180 minutes for

reasons such as political or military concerns; volcanic activity; temporary airport conditions; and airport weather below dispatch requirements or other weather related events.

- (2) The nearest available ETOPS Alternate Airport within 207 minutes diversion time must be specified in the dispatch or flight release.
- (3) In conducting such a flight the certificate holder must consider Air Traffic Service's preferred track.
- (4) The airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS of at least 180 minutes. The approved time for the airplane's most limiting ETOPS significant system and most limiting cargo-fire suppression time for those cargo and baggage compartments required by regulation to have fire-suppression systems must be at least 222 minutes.
- (5) The certificate holder must track how many times 207-minute authority is used.
- (i) 240-minute ETOPS in the North Polar Area, in the area north of the NOPAC, and in the Pacific Ocean north of the equator. (1) The FAA grants approval to conduct 240-minute ETOPS authority with maximum diversion times in the North Polar Area, in the area north of the NOPAC area, and the Pacific Ocean area north of the equator as an extension to 180-minute ETOPS authority to be used on an exception basis. This exception may be used only on a flight-by-flight basis when an ETOPS Alternate Airport is not available within 180 minutes. In that case, the nearest available ETOPS Alternate Airport within 240 minutes diversion time must be specified in the dispatch or flight release.
- (2) This exception may be used in the North Polar Area and in the area north of NOPAC only in extreme conditions particular to these areas such as volcanic activity, extreme cold weather at en-route airports, airport weather below dispatch requirements, temporary airport conditions, and other weather related events. The criteria used by the certificate holder to decide that extreme weather precludes using an airport must be established by the certificate holder, accepted by the FAA, and published in the certificate holder's manual for the use of dispatchers and pilots.
- (3) This exception may be used in the Pacific Ocean area north of the equator only for reasons such as political or military concern, volcanic activity, airport weather below dispatch requirements, temporary airport conditions and other weather related events.
- (4) The airplane-engine combination must be type design approved for ETOPS greater than 180 minutes.
- (j) 240-minute ETOPS in areas South of the equator. (1) The FAA grants approval to conduct ETOPS with maximum diversion times of up to 240 minutes in the following areas:
- (i) Pacific oceanic areas between the U.S. West coast and Australia, New Zealand and Polynesia.
- (ii) South Atlantic oceanic areas.
- (iii) Indian Ocean areas.
- (iv) Oceanic areas between Australia and South America.

- (2) The operator must designate the nearest available ETOPS Alternate Airports along the planned route of flight.
- (3) The airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS greater than 180 minutes.
- (k) ETOPS beyond 240 minutes. (1) The FAA grants approval to conduct ETOPS with diversion times beyond 240 minutes for operations between specified city pairs on routes in the following areas:
- (i) The Pacific oceanic areas between the U.S. west coast and Australia, New Zealand, and Polynesia;
- (ii) The South Atlantic oceanic areas;
- (iii) The Indian Oceanic areas; and
- (iv) The oceanic areas between Australia and South America, and the South Polar Area.
- (2) This approval is granted to certificate holders who have been operating under 180-minute or greater ETOPS authority for at least 24 consecutive months, of which at least 12 consecutive months must be under 240-minute ETOPS authority with the airplane-engine combination to be used.
- (3) The operator must designate the nearest available ETOPS alternate or alternates along the planned route of flight.
- (4) For these operations, the airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS greater than 180 minutes.

Section II. ETOPS Approval: Passenger-carrying Airplanes With More Than Two Engines.

- (a) The FAA grants approval to conduct ETOPS, as follows:
- (1) Except as provided in §121.162, the airplane-engine combination must be type-design-approved for ETOPS.
- (2) The operator must designate the nearest available ETOPS Alternate Airports within 240 minutes diversion time (at one-engine-inoperative cruise speed under standard conditions in still air). If an ETOPS alternate is not available within 240 minutes, the operator must designate the nearest available ETOPS Alternate Airports along the planned route of flight.
- (3) The MEL limitations for the authorized ETOPS diversion time apply.
- (i) The Fuel Quantity Indicating System must be operational.
- (ii) The communications systems required by §121.99(d) or §121.122(c) must be operational.
- (4) The certificate holder must operate in accordance with the ETOPS authority as contained in its operations specifications.

Section III. Approvals for operations whose airplane routes are planned to traverse either the North Polar or South Polar Areas.

- (a) Except for intrastate operations within the State of Alaska, no certificate holder may operate an aircraft in the North Polar Area or South Polar Area, unless authorized by the FAA.
- (b) In addition to any of the applicable requirements of sections I and II of this appendix, the certificate holder's operations specifications must contain the following:
- (1) The designation of airports that may be used for en-route diversions and the requirements the airports must meet at the time of diversion.
- (2) Except for supplemental all-cargo operations, a recovery plan for passengers at designated diversion airports.
- (3) A fuel-freeze strategy and procedures for monitoring fuel freezing.
- (4) A plan to ensure communication capability for these operations.
- (5) An MEL for these operations.
- (6) A training plan for operations in these areas.
- (7) A plan for mitigating crew exposure to radiation during solar flare activity.
- (8) A plan for providing at least two cold weather anti-exposure suits in the aircraft, to protect crewmembers during outside activity at a diversion airport with extreme climatic conditions. The FAA may relieve the certificate holder from this requirement if the season of the year makes the equipment unnecessary.

§21.4 ETOPS reporting requirements.

- (a) Early ETOPS: reporting, tracking, and resolving problems. The holder of a type certificate for an airplane-engine combination approved using the Early ETOPS method specified in part 25, Appendix K, of this chapter must use a system for reporting, tracking, and resolving each problem resulting in one of the occurrences specified in paragraph (a)(6) of this section.
- (1) The system must identify how the type certificate holder will promptly identify problems, report them to the responsible FAA aircraft certification office, and propose a solution to the FAA to resolve each problem. A proposed solution must consist of—
- (i) A change in the airplane or engine type design;
- (ii) A change in a manufacturing process;
- (iii) A change in an operating or maintenance procedure; or

- (iv) Any other solution acceptable to the FAA.
- (2) For an airplane with more than two engines, the system must be in place for the first 250,000 world fleet engine-hours for the approved airplane-engine combination.
- (3) For two-engine airplanes, the system must be in place for the first 250,000 world fleet engine-hours for the approved airplane-engine combination and after that until—
- (i) The world fleet 12-month rolling average IFSD rate is at or below the rate required by paragraph (b)
- (2) of this section; and
- (ii) The FAA determines that the rate is stable.
- (4) For an airplane-engine combination that is a derivative of an airplane-engine combination previously approved for ETOPS, the system need only address those problems specified in the following table, provided the type certificate holder obtains prior authorization from the FAA:

If the change does not require a new airplane type certificate and	Then the Problem Tracking and Resolution System must address
= ::	All problems applicable to the new engine installation, and for the remainder of the airplane, problems in changed systems only.
(ii) Does not require a new engine type certificate	Problems in changed systems only.

- (5) The type certificate holder must identify the sources and content of data that it will use for its system. The data must be adequate to evaluate the specific cause of any in-service problem reportable under this section or §21.3(c) that could affect the safety of ETOPS.
- (6) In implementing this system, the type certificate holder must report the following occurrences:
- (i) IFSDs, except planned IFSDs performed for flight training.
- (ii) For two-engine airplanes, IFSD rates.
- (iii) Inability to control an engine or obtain desired thrust or power.
- (iv) Precautionary thrust or power reductions.
- (v) Degraded ability to start an engine in flight.
- (vi) Inadvertent fuel loss or unavailability, or uncorrectable fuel imbalance in flight.

- (vii) Turn backs or diversions for failures, malfunctions, or defects associated with an ETOPS group 1 significant system.
- (viii) Loss of any power source for an ETOPS group 1 significant system, including any power source designed to provide backup power for that system.
- (ix) Any event that would jeopardize the safe flight and landing of the airplane on an ETOPS flight.
- (x) Any unscheduled engine removal for a condition that could result in one of the reportable occurrences listed in this paragraph.
- (b) Reliability of two-engine airplanes—(1) Reporting of two-engine airplane in-service reliability. The holder of a type certificate for an airplane approved for ETOPS and the holder of a type certificate for an engine installed on an airplane approved for ETOPS must report monthly to their respective FAA type certificate holding office on the reliability of the world fleet of those airplanes and engines. The report provided by both the airplane and engine type certificate holders must address each airplane-engine combination approved for ETOPS. The FAA may approve quarterly reporting if the airplane-engine combination demonstrates an IFSD rate at or below those specified in paragraph (b)(2) of this section for a period acceptable to the FAA. This reporting may be combined with the reporting required by §21.3. The responsible type certificate holder must investigate any cause of an IFSD resulting from an occurrence attributable to the design of its product and report the results of that investigation to its FAA office responsible for administering its type certificate. Reporting must include:
- (i) Engine IFSDs, except planned IFSDs performed for flight training.
- (ii) The world fleet 12-month rolling average IFSD rates for all causes, except planned IFSDs performed for flight training.
- (iii) ETOPS fleet utilization, including a list of operators, their ETOPS diversion time authority, flight hours, and cycles.
- (2) World fleet IFSD rate for two-engine airplanes. The holder of a type certificate for an airplane approved for ETOPS and the holder of a type certificate for an engine installed on an airplane approved for ETOPS must issue service information to the operators of those airplanes and engines, as appropriate, to maintain the world fleet 12-month rolling average IFSD rate at or below the following levels:
- (i) A rate of 0.05 per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours for an airplane-engine combination approved for up to and including 120-minute ETOPS. When all ETOPS operators have complied with the corrective actions required in the configuration, maintenance and procedures (CMP) document as a condition for ETOPS approval, the rate to be maintained is at or below 0.02 per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours.
- (ii) A rate of 0.02 per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours for an airplane-engine combination approved for up to and including 180-minute ETOPS, including airplane-engine combinations approved for 207-

minute ETOPS in the North Pacific operating area under appendix P, section I, paragraph (h), of part 121 of this chapter.

(iii) A rate of 0.01 per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours for an airplane-engine combination approved for ETOPS beyond 180 minutes, excluding airplane-engine combinations approved for 207-minute ETOPS in the North Pacific operating area under appendix P, section I, paragraph (h), of part 121 of this chapter.

§25.3 Special provisions for ETOPS type design approvals.

- (a) Applicability. This section applies to an applicant for ETOPS type design approval of an airplane:
- (1) That has an existing type certificate on February 15, 2007; or
- (2) For which an application for an original type certificate was submitted before February 15, 2007.
- (b) Airplanes with two engines. (1) For ETOPS type design approval of an airplane up to and including 180 minutes, an applicant must comply with §25.1535, except that it need not comply with the following provisions of Appendix K, K25.1.4, of this part:
- (i) K25.1.4(a), fuel system pressure and flow requirements;
- (ii) K25.1.4(a)(3), low fuel alerting; and
- (iii) K25.1.4(c), engine oil tank design.
- (2) For ETOPS type design approval of an airplane beyond 180 minutes an applicant must comply with §25.1535.
- (c) Airplanes with more than two engines. An applicant for ETOPS type design approval must comply with §25.1535 for an airplane manufactured on or after February 17, 2015, except that, for an airplane configured for a three person flight crew, the applicant need not comply with Appendix K, K25.1.4(a)(3), of this part, low fuel alerting.

Appendix K to Part 25—Extended Operations (ETOPS)

This appendix specifies airworthiness requirements for the approval of an airplane-engine combination for extended operations (ETOPS). For two-engine airplanes, the applicant must comply with sections K25.1 and K25.2 of this appendix. For airplanes with more than two engines, the applicant must comply with sections K25.1 and K25.3 of this appendix.

- K25.1 Design requirements.
- K25.1.1 Part 25 compliance.

The airplane-engine combination must comply with the requirements of part 25 considering the maximum flight time and the longest diversion time for which the applicant seeks approval.

K25.1.2 Human factors.

An applicant must consider crew workload, operational implications, and the crew's and passengers' physiological needs during continued operation with failure effects for the longest diversion time for which it seeks approval.

K25.1.3 Airplane systems.

- (a) Operation in icing conditions.
- (1) The airplane must be certificated for operation in icing conditions in accordance with §25.1419.
- (2) The airplane must be able to safely conduct an ETOPS diversion with the most critical ice accretion resulting from:
- (i) Icing conditions encountered at an altitude that the airplane would have to fly following an engine failure or cabin decompression.
- (ii) A 15-minute hold in the continuous maximum icing conditions specified in Appendix C of this part with a liquid water content factor of 1.0.
- (iii) Ice accumulated during approach and landing in the icing conditions specified in Appendix C of this part.
- (b) *Electrical power supply*. The airplane must be equipped with at least three independent sources of electrical power.
- (c) *Time limited systems*. The applicant must define the system time capability of each ETOPS significant system that is time-limited.

K25.1.4 Propulsion systems.

- (a) Fuel system design. Fuel necessary to complete an ETOPS flight (including a diversion for the longest time for which the applicant seeks approval) must be available to the operating engines at the pressure and fuel-flow required by §25.955 under any airplane failure condition not shown to be extremely improbable. Types of failures that must be considered include, but are not limited to: crossfeed valve failures, automatic fuel management system failures, and normal electrical power generation failures.
- (1) If the engine has been certified for limited operation with negative engine-fuel-pump-inlet pressures, the following requirements apply:
- (i) Airplane demonstration-testing must cover worst case cruise and diversion conditions involving:
- (A) Fuel grade and temperature.

- (B) Thrust or power variations.
- (C) Turbulence and negative G.
- (D) Fuel system components degraded within their approved maintenance limits.
- (ii) Unusable-fuel quantity in the suction feed configuration must be determined in accordance with §25.959.
- (2) For two-engine airplanes to be certificated for ETOPS beyond 180 minutes, one fuel boost pump in each main tank and at least one crossfeed valve, or other means for transferring fuel, must be powered by an independent electrical power source other than the three power sources required to comply with section K25.1.3(b) of this appendix. This requirement does not apply if the normal fuel boost pressure, crossfeed valve actuation, or fuel transfer capability is not provided by electrical power.
- (3) An alert must be displayed to the flightcrew when the quantity of fuel available to the engines falls below the level required to fly to the destination. The alert must be given when there is enough fuel remaining to safely complete a diversion. This alert must account for abnormal fuel management or transfer between tanks, and possible loss of fuel. This paragraph does not apply to airplanes with a required flight engineer.
- (b) APU design. If an APU is needed to comply with this appendix, the applicant must demonstrate that:
- (1) The reliability of the APU is adequate to meet those requirements; and
- (2) If it is necessary that the APU be able to start in flight, it is able to start at any altitude up to the maximum operating altitude of the airplane, or 45,000 feet, whichever is lower, and run for the remainder of any flight.
- (c) Engine oil tank design. The engine oil tank filler cap must comply with §33.71(c)(4) of this chapter.

K25.1.5 Engine-condition monitoring.

Procedures for engine-condition monitoring must be specified and validated in accordance with Part 33, Appendix A, paragraph A33.3(c) of this chapter.

K25.1.6 Configuration, maintenance, and procedures.

The applicant must list any configuration, operating and maintenance requirements, hardware life limits, MMEL constraints, and ETOPS approval in a CMP document.

K25.1.7 Airplane flight manual.

The airplane flight manual must contain the following information applicable to the ETOPS type design approval:

- (a) Special limitations, including any limitation associated with operation of the airplane up to the maximum diversion time being approved.
- (b) Required markings or placards.
- (c) The airborne equipment required for extended operations and flightcrew operating procedures for this equipment.
- (d) The system time capability for the following:
- (1) The most limiting fire suppression system for Class C cargo or baggage compartments.
- (2) The most limiting ETOPS significant system other than fire suppression systems for Class C cargo or baggage compartments.
- (e) This statement: "The type-design reliability and performance of this airplane-engine combination has been evaluated under 14 CFR 25.1535 and found suitable for (identify maximum approved diversion time) extended operations (ETOPS) when the configuration, maintenance, and procedures standard contained in (identify the CMP document) are met. The actual maximum approved diversion time for this airplane may be less based on its most limiting system time capability. This finding does not constitute operational approval to conduct ETOPS."

K25.2. Two-engine airplanes.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval of a two-engine airplane must use one of the methods described in section K25.2.1, K25.2.2, or K25.2.3 of this appendix.

K25.2.1 Service experience method.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval using the service experience method must comply with sections K25.2.1(a) and K25.2.1(b) of this appendix before conducting the assessments specified in sections K25.2.1(c) and K25.2.1(d) of this appendix, and the flight test specified in section K25.2.1(e) of this appendix.

- (a) Service experience. The world fleet for the airplane-engine combination must accumulate a minimum of 250,000 engine-hours. The FAA may reduce this number of hours if the applicant identifies compensating factors that are acceptable to the FAA. The compensating factors may include experience on another airplane, but experience on the candidate airplane must make up a significant portion of the total service experience.
- (b) *In-flight shutdown (IFSD) rates*. The demonstrated 12-month rolling average IFSD rate for the world fleet of the airplane-engine combination must be commensurate with the level of ETOPS approval being sought.
- (1) For type design approval up to and including 120 minutes: An IFSD rate of 0.05 or less per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours, unless otherwise approved by the FAA. Unless the IFSD rate is 0.02 or less per

- 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours, the applicant must provide a list of corrective actions in the CMP document specified in section K25.1.6 of this appendix, that, when taken, would result in an IFSD rate of 0.02 or less per 1,000 fleet engine-hours.
- (2) For type design approval up to and including 180 minutes: An IFSD rate of 0.02 or less per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours, unless otherwise approved by the FAA. If the airplane-engine combination does not meet this rate by compliance with an existing 120-minute CMP document, then new or additional CMP requirements that the applicant has demonstrated would achieve this IFSD rate must be added to the CMP document.
- (3) For type design approval beyond 180 minutes: An IFSD rate of 0.01 or less per 1,000 fleet engine-hours unless otherwise approved by the FAA. If the airplane-engine combination does not meet this rate by compliance with an existing 120-minute or 180-minute CMP document, then new or additional CMP requirements that the applicant has demonstrated would achieve this IFSD rate must be added to the CMP document.
- (c) *Propulsion system assessment*. (1) The applicant must conduct a propulsion system assessment based on the following data collected from the world-fleet of the airplane-engine combination:
- (i) A list of all IFSD's, unplanned ground engine shutdowns, and occurrences (both ground and in-flight) when an engine was not shut down, but engine control or the desired thrust or power level was not achieved, including engine flameouts. Planned IFSD's performed during flight training need not be included. For each item, the applicant must provide—
- (A) Each airplane and engine make, model, and serial number;
- (B) Engine configuration, and major alteration history;
- (C) Engine position;
- (D) Circumstances leading up to the engine shutdown or occurrence;
- (E) Phase of flight or ground operation;
- (F) Weather and other environmental conditions; and
- (G) Cause of engine shutdown or occurrence.
- (ii) A history of unscheduled engine removal rates since introduction into service (using 6- and 12-month rolling averages), with a summary of the major causes for the removals.
- (iii) A list of all propulsion system events (whether or not caused by maintenance or flightcrew error), including dispatch delays, cancellations, aborted takeoffs, turnbacks, diversions, and flights that continue to destination after the event.

- (iv) The total number of engine hours and cycles, the number of hours for the engine with the highest number of hours, the number of cycles for the engine with the highest number of cycles, and the distribution of hours and cycles.
- (v) The mean time between failures (MTBF) of propulsion system components that affect reliability.
- (vi) A history of the IFSD rates since introduction into service using a 12-month rolling average.
- (2) The cause or potential cause of each item listed in K25.2.1(c)(1)(i) must have a corrective action or actions that are shown to be effective in preventing future occurrences. Each corrective action must be identified in the CMP document specified in section K25.1.6. A corrective action is not required:
- (i) For an item where the manufacturer is unable to determine a cause or potential cause.
- (ii) For an event where it is technically unfeasible to develop a corrective action.
- (iii) If the world-fleet IFSD rate—
- (A) Is at or below 0.02 per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours for approval up to and including 180-minute ETOPS; or
- (B) Is at or below 0.01 per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours for approval greater than 180-minute ETOPS.
- (d) Airplane systems assessment. The applicant must conduct an airplane systems assessment. The applicant must show that the airplane systems comply with §25.1309(b) using available in-service reliability data for ETOPS significant systems on the candidate airplane-engine combination. Each cause or potential cause of a relevant design, manufacturing, operational, and maintenance problem occurring in service must have a corrective action or actions that are shown to be effective in preventing future occurrences. Each corrective action must be identified in the CMP document specified in section K25.1.6 of this appendix. A corrective action is not required if the problem would not significantly impact the safety or reliability of the airplane system involved. A relevant problem is a problem with an ETOPS group 1 significant system that has or could result in, an IFSD or diversion. The applicant must include in this assessment relevant problems with similar or identical equipment installed on other types of airplanes to the extent such information is reasonably available.
- (e) Airplane flight test. The applicant must conduct a flight test to validate the flightcrew's ability to safely conduct an ETOPS diversion with an inoperative engine and worst-case ETOPS Significant System failures and malfunctions that could occur in service. The flight test must validate the airplane's flying qualities and performance with the demonstrated failures and malfunctions.

K25.2.2 Early ETOPS method.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval using the Early ETOPS method must comply with the following requirements:

- (a) Assessment of relevant experience with airplanes previously certificated under part 25. The applicant must identify specific corrective actions taken on the candidate airplane to prevent relevant design, manufacturing, operational, and maintenance problems experienced on airplanes previously certificated under part 25 manufactured by the applicant. Specific corrective actions are not required if the nature of a problem is such that the problem would not significantly impact the safety or reliability of the airplane system involved. A relevant problem is a problem with an ETOPS group 1 significant system that has or could result in an IFSD or diversion. The applicant must include in this assessment relevant problems of supplier-provided ETOPS group 1 significant systems and similar or identical equipment used on airplanes built by other manufacturers to the extent such information is reasonably available.
- (b) *Propulsion system design*. (1) The engine used in the applicant's airplane design must be approved as eligible for Early ETOPS in accordance with §33.201 of this chapter.
- (2) The applicant must design the propulsion system to preclude failures or malfunctions that could result in an IFSD. The applicant must show compliance with this requirement by analysis, test, in-service experience on other airplanes, or other means acceptable to the FAA. If analysis is used, the applicant must show that the propulsion system design will minimize failures and malfunctions with the objective of achieving the following IFSD rates:
- (i) An IFSD rate of 0.02 or less per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours for type design approval up to and including 180 minutes.
- (ii) An IFSD rate of 0.01 or less per 1,000 world-fleet engine-hours for type design approval beyond 180 minutes.
- (c) Maintenance and operational procedures. The applicant must validate all maintenance and operational procedures for ETOPS significant systems. The applicant must identify, track, and resolve any problems found during the validation in accordance with the problem tracking and resolution system specified in section K25.2.2(h) of this appendix.
- (d) *Propulsion system validation test.* (1) The installed engine configuration for which approval is being sought must comply with §33.201(c) of this chapter. The test engine must be configured with a complete airplane nacelle package, including engine-mounted equipment, except for any configuration differences necessary to accommodate test stand interfaces with the engine nacelle package. At the conclusion of the test, the propulsion system must be—
- (i) Visually inspected according to the applicant's on-wing inspection recommendations and limits; and
- (ii) Completely disassembled and the propulsion system hardware inspected to determine whether it meets the service limits specified in the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness submitted in compliance with §25.1529.
- (2) The applicant must identify, track, and resolve each cause or potential cause of IFSD, loss of thrust control, or other power loss encountered during this inspection in accordance with the problem tracking and resolution system specified in section K25.2.2 (h) of this appendix.

- (e) New technology testing. Technology new to the applicant, including substantially new manufacturing techniques, must be tested to substantiate its suitability for the airplane design.
- (f) APU validation test. If an APU is needed to comply with this appendix, one APU of the type to be certified with the airplane must be tested for 3,000 equivalent airplane operational cycles. Following completion of the test, the APU must be disassembled and inspected. The applicant must identify, track, and resolve each cause or potential cause of an inability to start or operate the APU in flight as intended in accordance with the problem tracking and resolution system specified in section K25.2.2(h) of this appendix.
- (g) Airplane demonstration. For each airplane-engine combination to be approved for ETOPS, the applicant must flight test at least one airplane to demonstrate that the airplane, and its components and equipment are capable of functioning properly during ETOPS flights and diversions of the longest duration for which the applicant seeks approval. This flight testing may be performed in conjunction with, but may not substitute for the flight testing required by §21.35(b)(2) of this chapter.
- (1) The airplane demonstration flight test program must include:
- (i) Flights simulating actual ETOPS, including flight at normal cruise altitude, step climbs, and, if applicable, APU operation.
- (ii) Maximum duration flights with maximum duration diversions.
- (iii) Maximum duration engine-inoperative diversions distributed among the engines installed on the airplanes used for the airplane demonstration flight test program. At least two one-engine-inoperative diversions must be conducted at maximum continuous thrust or power using the same engine.
- (iv) Flights under non-normal conditions to demonstrate the flightcrew's ability to safely conduct an ETOPS diversion with worst-case ETOPS significant system failures or malfunctions that could occur in service.
- (v) Diversions to airports that represent airports of the types used for ETOPS diversions.
- (vi) Repeated exposure to humid and inclement weather on the ground followed by a long-duration flight at normal cruise altitude.
- (2) The airplane demonstration flight test program must validate the adequacy of the airplane's flying qualities and performance, and the flightcrew's ability to safely conduct an ETOPS diversion under the conditions specified in section K25.2.2(g)(1) of this appendix.
- (3) During the airplane demonstration flight test program, each test airplane must be operated and maintained using the applicant's recommended operating and maintenance procedures.
- (4) At the completion of the airplane demonstration flight test program, each ETOPS significant system must undergo an on-wing inspection or test in accordance with the tasks defined in the proposed Instructions for Continued Airworthiness to establish its condition for continued safe operation. Each

engine must also undergo a gas path inspection. These inspections must be conducted in a manner to identify abnormal conditions that could result in an IFSD or diversion. The applicant must identify, track and resolve any abnormal conditions in accordance with the problem tracking and resolution system specified in section K25.2.2(h) of this appendix.

- (h) *Problem tracking and resolution system*. (1) The applicant must establish and maintain a problem tracking and resolution system. The system must:
- (i) Contain a process for prompt reporting to the responsible FAA aircraft certification office of each occurrence reportable under §21.4(a)(6) encountered during the phases of airplane and engine development used to assess Early ETOPS eligibility.
- (ii) Contain a process for notifying the responsible FAA aircraft certification office of each proposed corrective action that the applicant determines necessary for each problem identified from the occurrences reported under section K25.2.2. (h)(1)(i) of this appendix. The timing of the notification must permit appropriate FAA review before taking the proposed corrective action.
- (2) If the applicant is seeking ETOPS type design approval of a change to an airplane-engine combination previously approved for ETOPS, the problem tracking and resolution system need only address those problems specified in the following table, provided the applicant obtains prior authorization from the FAA:

-	Then the Problem Tracking and Resolution System must address
(i) Requires a new engine type certificate	All problems applicable to the new engine installation, and for the remainder of the airplane, problems in changed systems only.
(ii) Does not require a new engine type certificate	Problems in changed systems only.

(i) Acceptance criteria. The type and frequency of failures and malfunctions on ETOPS significant systems that occur during the airplane flight test program and the airplane demonstration flight test program specified in section K25.2.2(g) of this appendix must be consistent with the type and frequency of failures and malfunctions that would be expected to occur on currently certificated airplanes approved for ETOPS.

K25.2.3. Combined service experience and Early ETOPS method.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval using the combined service experience and Early ETOPS method must comply with the following requirements.

- (a) A service experience requirement of not less than 15,000 engine-hours for the world fleet of the candidate airplane-engine combination.
- (b) The Early ETOPS requirements of K25.2.2, except for the airplane demonstration specified in section K25.2.2(g) of this appendix; and
- (c) The flight test requirement of section K25.2.1(e) of this appendix.
- K25.3. Airplanes with more than two engines.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval of an airplane with more than two engines must use one of the methods described in section K25.3.1, K25.3.2, or K25.3.3 of this appendix.

K25.3.1 Service experience method.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval using the service experience method must comply with section K25.3.1(a) of this appendix before conducting the airplane systems assessment specified in K25.3.1(b), and the flight test specified in section K25.3.1(c) of this appendix.

- (a) Service experience. The world fleet for the airplane-engine combination must accumulate a minimum of 250,000 engine-hours. The FAA may reduce this number of hours if the applicant identifies compensating factors that are acceptable to the FAA. The compensating factors may include experience on another airplane, but experience on the candidate airplane must make up a significant portion of the total required service experience.
- (b) Airplane systems assessment. The applicant must conduct an airplane systems assessment. The applicant must show that the airplane systems comply with the §25.1309(b) using available in-service reliability data for ETOPS significant systems on the candidate airplane-engine combination. Each cause or potential cause of a relevant design, manufacturing, operational or maintenance problem occurring in service must have a corrective action or actions that are shown to be effective in preventing future occurrences. Each corrective action must be identified in the CMP document specified in section K25.1.6 of this appendix. A corrective action is not required if the problem would not significantly impact the safety or reliability of the airplane system involved. A relevant problem is a problem with an ETOPS group 1 significant system that has or could result in an IFSD or diversion. The applicant must include in this assessment relevant problems with similar or identical equipment installed on other types of airplanes to the extent such information is reasonably available.
- (c) Airplane flight test. The applicant must conduct a flight test to validate the flightcrew's ability to safely conduct an ETOPS diversion with an inoperative engine and worst-case ETOPS significant system failures and malfunctions that could occur in service. The flight test must validate the airplane's flying qualities and performance with the demonstrated failures and malfunctions.

K25.3.2 Early ETOPS method.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval using the Early ETOPS method must comply with the following requirements:

- (a) Maintenance and operational procedures. The applicant must validate all maintenance and operational procedures for ETOPS significant systems. The applicant must identify, track and resolve any problems found during the validation in accordance with the problem tracking and resolution system specified in section K25.3.2(e) of this appendix.
- (b) New technology testing. Technology new to the applicant, including substantially new manufacturing techniques, must be tested to substantiate its suitability for the airplane design.
- (c) APU validation test. If an APU is needed to comply with this appendix, one APU of the type to be certified with the airplane must be tested for 3,000 equivalent airplane operational cycles. Following completion of the test, the APU must be disassembled and inspected. The applicant must identify, track, and resolve each cause or potential cause of an inability to start or operate the APU in flight as intended in accordance with the problem tracking and resolution system specified in section K25.3.2(e) of this appendix.
- (d) Airplane demonstration. For each airplane-engine combination to be approved for ETOPS, the applicant must flight test at least one airplane to demonstrate that the airplane, and its components and equipment are capable of functioning properly during ETOPS flights and diversions of the longest duration for which the applicant seeks approval. This flight testing may be performed in conjunction with, but may not substitute for the flight testing required by §21.35(b)(2).
- (1) The airplane demonstration flight test program must include:
- (i) Flights simulating actual ETOPS including flight at normal cruise altitude, step climbs, and, if applicable, APU operation.
- (ii) Maximum duration flights with maximum duration diversions.
- (iii) Maximum duration engine-inoperative diversions distributed among the engines installed on the airplanes used for the airplane demonstration flight test program. At least two one engine-inoperative diversions must be conducted at maximum continuous thrust or power using the same engine.
- (iv) Flights under non-normal conditions to validate the flightcrew's ability to safely conduct an ETOPS diversion with worst-case ETOPS significant system failures or malfunctions that could occur in service.
- (v) Diversions to airports that represent airports of the types used for ETOPS diversions.
- (vi) Repeated exposure to humid and inclement weather on the ground followed by a long duration flight at normal cruise altitude.
- (2) The airplane demonstration flight test program must validate the adequacy of the airplane's flying qualities and performance, and the flightcrew's ability to safely conduct an ETOPS diversion under the conditions specified in section K25.3.2(d)(1) of this appendix.

- (3) During the airplane demonstration flight test program, each test airplane must be operated and maintained using the applicant's recommended operating and maintenance procedures.
- (4) At the completion of the airplane demonstration, each ETOPS significant system must undergo an on-wing inspection or test in accordance with the tasks defined in the proposed Instructions for Continued Airworthiness to establish its condition for continued safe operation. Each engine must also undergo a gas path inspection. These inspections must be conducted in a manner to identify abnormal conditions that could result in an IFSD or diversion. The applicant must identify, track and resolve any abnormal conditions in accordance with the problem tracking and resolution system specified in section K25.3.2(e) of this appendix.
- (e) Problem tracking and resolution system. (1) The applicant must establish and maintain a problem tracking and resolution system. The system must:
- (i) Contain a process for prompt reporting to the responsible FAA aircraft certification office of each occurrence reportable under §21.4(a)(6) encountered during the phases of airplane and engine development used to assess Early ETOPS eligibility.
- (ii) Contain a process for notifying the responsible FAA aircraft certification office of each proposed corrective action that the applicant determines necessary for each problem identified from the occurrences reported under section K25.3.2(h)(1)(i) of this appendix. The timing of the notification must permit appropriate FAA review before taking the proposed corrective action.
- (2) If the applicant is seeking ETOPS type design approval of a change to an airplane-engine combination previously approved for ETOPS, the problem tracking and resolution system need only address those problems specified in the following table, provided the applicant obtains prior authorization from the FAA:

If the change does not require a new airplane type certificate and	Then the Problem Tracking and Resolution System must address
(i) Requires a new engine type certificate	All problems applicable to the new engine installation, and for the remainder of the airplane, problems in changed systems only.
(ii) Does not require a new engine type certificate	Problems in changed systems only.

(f) Acceptance criteria. The type and frequency of failures and malfunctions on ETOPS significant systems that occur during the airplane flight test program and the airplane demonstration flight test program specified in section K25.3.2(d) of this appendix must be consistent with the type and frequency of failures and malfunctions that would be expected to occur on currently certificated airplanes approved for ETOPS.

K25.3.3 Combined service experience and Early ETOPS method.

An applicant for ETOPS type design approval using the Early ETOPS method must comply with the following requirements:

- (a) A service experience requirement of less than 15,000 engine-hours for the world fleet of the candidate airplane-engine combination;
- (b) The Early ETOPS requirements of section K25.3.2 of this appendix, except for the airplane demonstration specified in section K25.3.2(d) of this appendix; and
- (c) The flight test requirement of section K25.3.1(c) of this appendix.

[Doc. No. FAA-2002-6717, 72 FR 1873, Jan. 16, 2007]

≜ Back to Top

Appendix L to Part 25—HIRF Environments and Equipment HIRF Test Levels

This appendix specifies the HIRF environments and equipment HIRF test levels for electrical and electronic systems under §25.1317. The field strength values for the HIRF environments and equipment HIRF test levels are expressed in root-mean-square units measured during the peak of the modulation cycle.

(a) HIRF environment I is specified in the following table:

Table I.—HIRF Environment I

	Field strength (volts/meter)	
Frequency	Peak	Average
10 kHz-2 MHz	50	50
2 MHz-30 MHz	100	100
30 MHz-100 MHz	50	50
100 MHz-400 MHz	100	100
400 MHz-700 MHz	700	50
700 MHz-1 GHz	700	100

1 GHz-2 GHz	2,000	200
2 GHz-6 GHz	3,000	200
6 GHz-8 GHz	1,000	200
8 GHz-12 GHz	3,000	300
12 GHz-18 GHz	2,000	200
18 GHz-40 GHz	600	200

In this table, the higher field strength applies at the frequency band edges.

(b) HIRF environment II is specified in the following table:

Table II.-HIRF Environment II

		Field strength (volts/meter)	
Frequency	Peak	Average	
10 kHz-500 kHz	20	20	
500 kHz-2 MHz	30	30	
2 MHz-30 MHz	100	100	
30 MHz-100 MHz	10	10	
100 MHz-200 MHz	30	10	
200 MHz-400 MHz	10	10	
400 MHz-1 GHz	700	40	
1 GHz-2 GHz	1,300	160	

2 GHz-4 GHz	3,000	120
4 GHz-6 GHz	3,000	160
6 GHz-8 GHz	400	170
8 GHz-12 GHz	1,230	230
12 GHz-18 GHz	730	190
18 GHz-40 GHz	600	150

In this table, the higher field strength applies at the frequency band edges.

- (c) Equipment HIRF Test Level 1.
- (1) From 10 kilohertz (kHz) to 400 megahertz (MHz), use conducted susceptibility tests with continuous wave (CW) and 1 kHz square wave modulation with 90 percent depth or greater. The conducted susceptibility current must start at a minimum of 0.6 milliamperes (mA) at 10 kHz, increasing 20 decibels (dB) per frequency decade to a minimum of 30 mA at 500 kHz.
- (2) From 500 kHz to 40 MHz, the conducted susceptibility current must be at least 30 mA.
- (3) From 40 MHz to 400 MHz, use conducted susceptibility tests, starting at a minimum of 30 mA at 40 MHz, decreasing 20 dB per frequency decade to a minimum of 3 mA at 400 MHz.
- (4) From 100 MHz to 400 MHz, use radiated susceptibility tests at a minimum of 20 volts per meter (V/m) peak with CW and 1 kHz square wave modulation with 90 percent depth or greater.
- (5) From 400 MHz to 8 gigahertz (GHz), use radiated susceptibility tests at a minimum of 150 V/m peak with pulse modulation of 4 percent duty cycle with a 1 kHz pulse repetition frequency. This signal must be switched on and off at a rate of 1 Hz with a duty cycle of 50 percent.
- (d) Equipment HIRF Test Level 2. Equipment HIRF test level 2 is HIRF environment II in table II of this appendix reduced by acceptable aircraft transfer function and attenuation curves. Testing must cover the frequency band of 10 kHz to 8 GHz.
- (e) Equipment HIRF Test Level 3.
- (1) From 10 kHz to 400 MHz, use conducted susceptibility tests, starting at a minimum of 0.15 mA at 10 kHz, increasing 20 dB per frequency decade to a minimum of 7.5 mA at 500 kHz.

- (2) From 500 kHz to 40 MHz, use conducted susceptibility tests at a minimum of 7.5 mA.
- (3) From 40 MHz to 400 MHz, use conducted susceptibility tests, starting at a minimum of 7.5 mA at 40 MHz, decreasing 20 dB per frequency decade to a minimum of 0.75 mA at 400 MHz.
- (4) From 100 MHz to 8 GHz, use radiated susceptibility tests at a minimum of 5 V/m.

§33.71 Lubrication system.

- (a) *General*. Each lubrication system must function properly in the flight attitudes and atmospheric conditions in which an aircraft is expected to operate.
- (b) Oil strainer or filter. There must be an oil strainer or filter through which all of the engine oil flows. In addition:
- (1) Each strainer or filter required by this paragraph that has a bypass must be constructed and installed so that oil will flow at the normal rate through the rest of the system with the strainer or filter element completely blocked.
- (2) The type and degree of filtering necessary for protection of the engine oil system against foreign particles in the oil must be specified. The applicant must demonstrate that foreign particles passing through the specified filtering means do not impair engine oil system functioning.
- (3) Each strainer or filter required by this paragraph must have the capacity (with respect to operating limitations established for the engine) to ensure that engine oil system functioning is not impaired with the oil contaminated to a degree (with respect to particle size and density) that is greater than that established for the engine in paragraph (b)(2) of this section.
- (4) For each strainer or filter required by this paragraph, except the strainer or filter at the oil tank outlet, there must be means to indicate contamination before it reaches the capacity established in accordance with paragraph (b)(3) of this section.
- (5) Any filter bypass must be designed and constructed so that the release of collected contaminants is minimized by appropriate location of the bypass to ensure that the collected contaminants are not in the bypass flow path.
- (6) Each strainer or filter required by this paragraph that has no bypass, except the strainer or filter at an oil tank outlet or for a scavenge pump, must have provisions for connection with a warning means to warn the pilot of the occurance of contamination of the screen before it reaches the capacity established in accordance with paragraph (b)(3) of this section.
- (7) Each strainer or filter required by this paragraph must be accessible for draining and cleaning.
- (c) Oil tanks. (1) Each oil tank must have an expansion space of not less than 10 percent of the tank capacity.

- (2) It must be impossible to inadvertently fill the oil tank expansion space.
- (3) Each recessed oil tank filler connection that can retain any appreciable quantity of oil must have provision for fitting a drain.
- (4) Each oil tank cap must provide an oil-tight seal. For an applicant seeking eligibility for an engine to be installed on an airplane approved for ETOPS, the oil tank must be designed to prevent a hazardous loss of oil due to an incorrectly installed oil tank cap.
- (5) Each oil tank filler must be marked with the word "oil."
- (6) Each oil tank must be vented from the top part of the expansion space, with the vent so arranged that condensed water vapor that might freeze and obstruct the line cannot accumulate at any point.
- (7) There must be means to prevent entrance into the oil tank or into any oil tank outlet, of any object that might obstruct the flow of oil through the system.
- (8) There must be a shutoff valve at the outlet of each oil tank, unless the external portion of the oil system (including oil tank supports) is fireproof.
- (9) Each unpressurized oil tank may not leak when subjected to a maximum operating temperature and an internal pressure of 5 p.s.i., and each pressurized oil tank must meet the requirements of §33.64.
- (10) Leaked or spilled oil may not accumulate between the tank and the remainder of the engine.
- (11) Each oil tank must have an oil quantity indicator or provisions for one.
- (12) If the propeller feathering system depends on engine oil—
- (i) There must be means to trap an amount of oil in the tank if the supply becomes depleted due to failure of any part of the lubricating system other than the tank itself;
- (ii) The amount of trapped oil must be enough to accomplish the feathering opeation and must be available only to the feathering pump; and
- (iii) Provision must be made to prevent sludge or other foreign matter from affecting the safe operation of the propeller feathering system.
- (d) Oil drains. A drain (or drains) must be provided to allow safe drainage of the oil system. Each drain must—
- (1) Be accessible; and
- (2) Have manual or automatic means for positive locking in the closed position.
- (e) Oil radiators. Each oil radiator must withstand, without failure, any vibration, inertia, and oil pressure load to which it is subjected during the block tests.

Appendix G to Part 135—Extended Operations (ETOPS)

- G135.1 Definitions.
- G135.1.1 Adequate Airport means an airport that an airplane operator may list with approval from the FAA because that airport meets the landing limitations of §135.385 or is a military airport that is active and operational.
- G135.1.2 ETOPS Alternate Airport means an adequate airport that is designated in a dispatch or flight release for use in the event of a diversion during ETOPS. This definition applies to flight planning and does not in any way limit the authority of the pilot in command during flight.
- G135.1.3 ETOPS Entry Point means the first point on the route of an ETOPS flight, determined using a one-engine inoperative cruise speed under standard conditions in still air, that is more than 180 minutes from an adequate airport.
- G135.1.4 ETOPS Qualified Person means a person, performing maintenance for the certificate holder, who has satisfactorily completed the certificate holder's ETOPS training program.
- G135.2 Requirements.
- G135.2.1 *General*. After August 13, 2008, no certificate holder may operate an airplane, other than an all-cargo airplane with more than two engines, outside the continental United States more than 180 minutes flying time (at the one-engine-inoperative cruise speed under standard conditions in still air) from an airport described in §135.364 unless—
- (a) The certificate holder receives ETOPS approval from the FAA;
- (b) The operation is conducted in a multi-engine transport category turbine-powered airplane;
- (c) The operation is planned to be no more than 240 minutes flying time (at the one engine inoperative cruise speed under standard conditions in still air) from an airport described in §135.364; and
- (d) The certificate holder meets the requirements of this appendix.
- G135.2.2 Required certificate holder experience prior to conducting ETOPS.

Before applying for ETOPS approval, the certificate holder must have at least 12 months experience conducting international operations (excluding Canada and Mexico) with multi-engine transport category turbine-engine powered airplanes. The certificate holder may consider the following experience as international operations:

(a) Operations to or from the State of Hawaii.

- (b) For certificate holders granted approval to operate under part 135 or part 121 before February 15, 2007, up to 6 months of domestic operating experience and operations in Canada and Mexico in multi-engine transport category turbojet-powered airplanes may be credited as part of the required 12 months of international experience required by paragraph G135.2.2(a) of this appendix.
- (c) ETOPS experience with other aircraft types to the extent authorized by the FAA.
- G135.2.3 Airplane requirements. No certificate holder may conduct ETOPS in an airplane that was manufactured after February 17, 2015 unless the airplane meets the standards of §25.1535.
- G135.2.4 *Crew information requirements.* The certificate holder must ensure that flight crews have inflight access to current weather and operational information needed to comply with §135.83, §135.225, and §135.229. This includes information on all ETOPS Alternate Airports, all destination alternates, and the destination airport proposed for each ETOPS flight.
- G135.2.5 Operational Requirements.
- (a) No person may allow a flight to continue beyond its ETOPS Entry Point unless—
- (1) The weather conditions at each ETOPS Alternate Airport are forecast to be at or above the operating minima in the certificate holder's operations specifications for that airport when it might be used (from the earliest to the latest possible landing time), and
- (3) All ETOPS Alternate Airports within the authorized ETOPS maximum diversion time are reviewed for any changes in conditions that have occurred since dispatch.
- (b) In the event that an operator cannot comply with paragraph G135.2.5(a)(1) of this appendix for a specific airport, another ETOPS Alternate Airport must be substituted within the maximum ETOPS diversion time that could be authorized for that flight with weather conditions at or above operating minima.
- (c) Pilots must plan and conduct ETOPS under instrument flight rules.
- (d) Time-Limited Systems.
- (1) Except as provided in paragraph G135.2.5(d)(3) of this appendix, the time required to fly the distance to each ETOPS Alternate Airport (at the all-engines-operating cruise speed, corrected for wind and temperature) may not exceed the time specified in the Airplane Flight Manual for the airplane's most limiting fire suppression system time required by regulation for any cargo or baggage compartments (if installed), minus 15 minutes.
- (2) Except as provided in G135.2.5(d)(3) of this appendix, the time required to fly the distance to each ETOPS Alternate Airport (at the approved one-engine-inoperative cruise speed, corrected for wind and temperature) may not exceed the time specified in the Airplane Flight Manual for the airplane's most time limited system time (other than the airplane's most limiting fire suppression system time required by regulation for any cargo or baggage compartments), minus 15 minutes.

(3) A certificate holder operating an airplane without the Airplane Flight Manual information needed to comply with paragraphs G135.2.5(d)(1) and (d)(2) of this appendix, may continue ETOPS with that airplane until February 17, 2015.

G135.2.6 Communications Requirements.

- (a) No person may conduct an ETOPS flight unless the following communications equipment, appropriate to the route to be flown, is installed and operational:
- (1) Two independent communication transmitters, at least one of which allows voice communication.
- (2) Two independent communication receivers, at least one of which allows voice communication.
- (3) Two headsets, or one headset and one speaker.
- (b) In areas where voice communication facilities are not available, or are of such poor quality that voice communication is not possible, communication using an alternative system must be substituted.
- G135.2.7 Fuel Requirements. No person may dispatch or release for flight an ETOPS flight unless, considering wind and other weather conditions expected, it has the fuel otherwise required by this part and enough fuel to satisfy each of the following requirements:
- (a) Fuel to fly to an ETOPS Alternate Airport. (1) Fuel to account for rapid decompression and engine failure. The airplane must carry the greater of the following amounts of fuel:
- (i) Fuel sufficient to fly to an ETOPS Alternate Airport assuming a rapid decompression at the most critical point followed by descent to a safe altitude in compliance with the oxygen supply requirements of §135.157;
- (ii) Fuel sufficient to fly to an ETOPS Alternate Airport (at the one-engine-inoperative cruise speed under standard conditions in still air) assuming a rapid decompression and a simultaneous engine failure at the most critical point followed by descent to a safe altitude in compliance with the oxygen requirements of §135.157; or
- (iii) Fuel sufficient to fly to an ETOPS Alternate Airport (at the one-engine-inoperative cruise speed under standard conditions in still air) assuming an engine failure at the most critical point followed by descent to the one engine inoperative cruise altitude.
- (2) Fuel to account for errors in wind forecasting. In calculating the amount of fuel required by paragraph G135.2.7(a)(1) of this appendix, the certificate holder must increase the actual forecast wind speed by 5% (resulting in an increase in headwind or a decrease in tailwind) to account for any potential errors in wind forecasting. If a certificate holder is not using the actual forecast wind based on a wind model accepted by the FAA, the airplane must carry additional fuel equal to 5% of the fuel required by paragraph G135.2.7(a) of this appendix, as reserve fuel to allow for errors in wind data.

- (3) Fuel to account for icing. In calculating the amount of fuel required by paragraph G135.2.7(a)(1) of this appendix, (after completing the wind calculation in G135.2.7(a)(2) of this appendix), the certificate holder must ensure that the airplane carries the greater of the following amounts of fuel in anticipation of possible icing during the diversion:
- (i) Fuel that would be burned as a result of airframe icing during 10 percent of the time icing is forecast (including the fuel used by engine and wing anti-ice during this period).
- (ii) Fuel that would be used for engine anti-ice, and if appropriate wing anti-ice, for the entire time during which icing is forecast.
- (4) Fuel to account for engine deterioration. In calculating the amount of fuel required by paragraph G135.2.7(a)(1) of this appendix (after completing the wind calculation in paragraph G135.2.7(a)(2) of this appendix), the certificate holder must ensure the airplane also carries fuel equal to 5% of the fuel specified above, to account for deterioration in cruise fuel burn performance unless the certificate holder has a program to monitor airplane in-service deterioration to cruise fuel burn performance.
- (b) Fuel to account for holding, approach, and landing. In addition to the fuel required by paragraph G135.2.7 (a) of this appendix, the airplane must carry fuel sufficient to hold at 1500 feet above field elevation for 15 minutes upon reaching the ETOPS Alternate Airport and then conduct an instrument approach and land.
- (c) Fuel to account for APU use. If an APU is a required power source, the certificate holder must account for its fuel consumption during the appropriate phases of flight.
- G135.2.8 Maintenance Program Requirements. In order to conduct an ETOPS flight under §135.364, each certificate holder must develop and comply with the ETOPS maintenance program as authorized in the certificate holder's operations specifications for each two-engine airplane-engine combination used in ETOPS. This provision does not apply to operations using an airplane with more than two engines. The certificate holder must develop this ETOPS maintenance program to supplement the maintenance program currently approved for the operator. This ETOPS maintenance program must include the following elements:
- (a) ETOPS maintenance document. The certificate holder must have an ETOPS maintenance document for use by each person involved in ETOPS. The document must—
- (1) List each ETOPS Significant System,
- (2) Refer to or include all of the ETOPS maintenance elements in this section,
- (3) Refer to or include all supportive programs and procedures,
- (4) Refer to or include all duties and responsibilities, and
- (5) Clearly state where referenced material is located in the certificate holder's document system.

- (b) ETOPS pre-departure service check. The certificate holder must develop a pre-departure check tailored to their specific operation.
- (1) The certificate holder must complete a pre-departure service check immediately before each ETOPS flight.
- (2) At a minimum, this check must:
- (i) Verify the condition of all ETOPS Significant Systems;
- (ii) Verify the overall status of the airplane by reviewing applicable maintenance records; and
- (iii) Include an interior and exterior inspection to include a determination of engine and APU oil levels and consumption rates.
- (3) An appropriately trained maintenance person, who is ETOPS qualified must accomplish and certify by signature ETOPS specific tasks. Before an ETOPS flight may commence, an ETOPS pre-departure service check (PDSC) Signatory Person, who has been authorized by the certificate holder, must certify by signature, that the ETOPS PDSC has been completed.
- (4) For the purposes of this paragraph (b) only, the following definitions apply:
- (i) ETOPS qualified person: A person is ETOPS qualified when that person satisfactorily completes the operator's ETOPS training program and is authorized by the certificate holder.
- (ii) ETOPS PDSC Signatory Person: A person is an ETOPS PDSC Signatory Person when that person is ETOPS Qualified and that person:
- (A) When certifying the completion of the ETOPS PDSC in the United States:
- (1) Works for an operator authorized to engage in part 135 or 121 operation or works for a part 145 repair station; and
- (2) Holds a U.S. Mechanic's Certificate with airframe and powerplant ratings.
- (B) When certifying the completion of the ETOPS PDSC outside of the U.S. holds a certificate in accordance with §43.17(c)(1) of this chapter; or
- (C) When certifying the completion of the ETOPS PDSC outside the U.S. holds the certificates needed or has the requisite experience or training to return aircraft to service on behalf of an ETOPS maintenance entity.
- (iii) ETOPS maintenance entity: An entity authorized to perform ETOPS maintenance and complete ETOPS pre-departure service checks and that entity is:
- (A) Certificated to engage in part 135 or 121 operations;
- (B) Repair station certificated under part 145 of this title; or

- (C) Entity authorized pursuant to §43.17(c)(2) of this chapter.
- (c) Limitations on dual maintenance. (1) Except as specified in paragraph G135.2.8(c)(2) of this appendix, the certificate holder may not perform scheduled or unscheduled dual maintenance during the same maintenance visit on the same or a substantially similar ETOPS Significant System listed in the ETOPS maintenance document, if the improper maintenance could result in the failure of an ETOPS Significant System.
- (2) In the event dual maintenance as defined in paragraph G135.2.8(c)(1) of this appendix cannot be avoided, the certificate holder may perform maintenance provided:
- (i) The maintenance action on each affected ETOPS Significant System is performed by a different technician, or
- (ii) The maintenance action on each affected ETOPS Significant System is performed by the same technician under the direct supervision of a second qualified individual; and
- (iii) For either paragraph G135.2.8(c)(2)(i) or (ii) of this appendix, a qualified individual conducts a ground verification test and any in-flight verification test required under the program developed pursuant to paragraph G135.2.8(d) of this appendix.
- (d) *Verification program*. The certificate holder must develop a program for the resolution of discrepancies that will ensure the effectiveness of maintenance actions taken on ETOPS Significant Systems. The verification program must identify potential problems and verify satisfactory corrective action. The verification program must include ground verification and in-flight verification policy and procedures. The certificate holder must establish procedures to clearly indicate who is going to initiate the verification action and what action is necessary. The verification action may be performed on an ETOPS revenue flight provided the verification action is documented as satisfactorily completed upon reaching the ETOPS entry point.
- (e) *Task identification*. The certificate holder must identify all ETOPS-specific tasks. An ETOPS qualified person must accomplish and certify by signature that the ETOPS-specific task has been completed.
- (f) Centralized maintenance control procedures. The certificate holder must develop procedures for centralized maintenance control for ETOPS.
- (g) ETOPS parts control program. The certificate holder must develop an ETOPS parts control program to ensure the proper identification of parts used to maintain the configuration of airplanes used in ETOPS.
- (h) Enhanced Continuing Analysis and Surveillance System (E-CASS) program. A certificate holder's existing CASS must be enhanced to include all elements of the ETOPS maintenance program. In addition to the reporting requirements of §135.415 and §135.417, the program includes reporting procedures, in the form specified in §135.415(e), for the following significant events detrimental to ETOPS within 96 hours of the occurrence to the certificate holding district office (CHDO):

- (1) IFSDs, except planned IFSDs performed for flight training.
- (2) Diversions and turnbacks for failures, malfunctions, or defects associated with any airplane or engine system.
- (3) Uncommanded power or thrust changes or surges.
- (4) Inability to control the engine or obtain desired power or thrust.
- (5) Inadvertent fuel loss or unavailability, or uncorrectable fuel imbalance in flight.
- (6) Failures, malfunctions or defects associated with ETOPS Significant Systems.
- (7) Any event that would jeopardize the safe flight and landing of the airplane on an ETOPS flight.
- (i) Propulsion system monitoring.

The certificate holder, in coordination with the CHDO, must—

- (1) Establish criteria as to what action is to be taken when adverse trends in propulsion system conditions are detected, and
- (2) Investigate common cause effects or systemic errors and submit the findings to the CHDO within 30 days.
- (j) Engine condition monitoring.
- (1) The certificate holder must establish an engine-condition monitoring program to detect deterioration at an early stage and to allow for corrective action before safe operation is affected.
- (2) This program must describe the parameters to be monitored, the method of data collection, the method of analyzing data, and the process for taking corrective action.
- (3) The program must ensure that engine limit margins are maintained so that a prolonged engine-inoperative diversion may be conducted at approved power levels and in all expected environmental conditions without exceeding approved engine limits. This includes approved limits for items such as rotor speeds and exhaust gas temperatures.
- (k) Oil consumption monitoring. The certificate holder must develop an engine oil consumption monitoring program to ensure that there is enough oil to complete each ETOPS flight. APU oil consumption must be included if an APU is required for ETOPS. The operator's consumption limit may not exceed the manufacturer's recommendation. Monitoring must be continuous and include oil added at each ETOPS departure point. The program must compare the amount of oil added at each ETOPS departure point with the running average consumption to identify sudden increases.

- (I) APU in-flight start program. If an APU is required for ETOPS, but is not required to run during the ETOPS portion of the flight, the certificate holder must have a program acceptable to the FAA for cold soak in-flight start and run reliability.
- (m) *Maintenance training*. For each airplane-engine combination, the certificate holder must develop a maintenance training program to ensure that it provides training adequate to support ETOPS. It must include ETOPS specific training for all persons involved in ETOPS maintenance that focuses on the special nature of ETOPS. This training must be in addition to the operator's maintenance training program used to qualify individuals for specific airplanes and engines.
- (n) Configuration, maintenance, and procedures (CMP) document. The certificate holder must use a system to ensure compliance with the minimum requirements set forth in the current version of the CMP document for each airplane-engine combination that has a CMP.
- (o) *Reporting*. The certificate holder must report quarterly to the CHDO and the airplane and engine manufacturer for each airplane authorized for ETOPS. The report must provide the operating hours and cycles for each airplane.
- G135.2.9 Delayed compliance date for all airplanes. A certificate holder need not comply with this appendix for any airplane until August 13, 2008.