**2017 ANA Native Language Summit Survey**

THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Please rank the following aspects of the 2017 Language Summit in order of importance to you:
	1. Networking with other grantees
	2. Gaining more technical knowledge
	3. Networking with other federal staff/training and technical assistance providers
	4. Sharing knowledge and organizations work (eg. Leading a session, being part of a roundtable)
2. Do you think the workshops offered were useful in regards to your program/project?
	1. Not useful
	2. Slightly useful
	3. Moderately useful
	4. Very useful

Comments:

1. How would you rate the following? (horizontal Likert scale)
	1. Plenaries
	2. Mentoring and Training Language Teachers
	3. Engaging Parents and Families in Language Learning
	4. Tell your story: using Data to Develop Measures for Success and Impact
	5. Two Rivers of Knowledge: The Chevak STEAM Curriculum
	6. Practicing Native Language Immersion, Elicitation and Framing Context to Demonstrated Grammatical Function
2. What topics do you think would be beneficial for a future Native Language Summit?
3. How would you rate the venue set-up and layout of the rooms?
	1. Poor
	2. Fair
	3. Good
	4. Excellent

Comments:

1. How would you rate the venue location in relation to the airport and places to eat?
	1. Poor
	2. Fair
	3. Good
	4. Excellent

Comments:

1. What did you like MOST about the 2017 Native Language Summit?
2. What did you like LEAST about the 2017 Native Language Summit?
3. What else can we do to help make future meetings beneficial for you or your organization?