OTIP GRANTEE FEEDBACK Protocol

Date of Expiration: ##/####

OMB#: ####-####

In order to help the National Human Trafficking Training and Technical Assistance Center (NHTTAC) better serve the field, we are reaching out to obtain your feedback. We will protect the privacy of your information in accordance with the Federal Privacy Act, and we will protect the confidentiality of your responses using procedures we have in place, including reporting all information in aggregate to avoid identifying information. Only members of the NHTTAC Evaluation Team have access to information that could identify respondents. If you have any questions about this survey or the evaluation, please contact NHTTACEval@icf.com.

Please provide the information below to create an anonymous ID:					
Birth Month (insert just the month for your <i>date of birth</i> , example: 08 for August)	First letter of first name (example: S for Sara)	First letter of your middle name (example: M for Maria)			
DATES(S):					

Please select the number that best represents your rating for each session and objective:

SESSION FEEDBACK	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Not Applicable
1. [Insert Session].	1	2	3	4	NA
2. [Insert Session].	1	2	3	4	NA
3. [Insert Session].	1	2	3	4	NA
4. [Insert Session].	1	2	3	4	NA
5. [Insert Session].	1	2	3	4	NA
6. [Insert Session].	1	2	3	4	NA
7. [Insert Session].	1	2	3	4	NA
OBJECTIVE FEEDBACK	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Not Applicable
8. [Insert Objective 1].	1	2	3	4	NA
9. [Insert Objective 2].	1	2	3	4	NA
10. [Insert Objective 3].	1	2	3	4	NA

OMB#: ####-####

Date of Expiration: ##/#####

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements:

FACILITATOR 1:	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Applicable
11. The facilitator's knowledge and expertise were appropriate for the meeting.	1	2	3	4	NA
12. The facilitator presented the content clearly and logically.	1	2	3	4	NA
13. The facilitator responded positively to questions and comments.	1	2	3	4	NA
14. The facilitator created a respectful environment for participants.	1	2	3	4	NA
15. The facilitator encouraged and initiated helpful discussions.	1	2	3	4	NA
FACILITATOR 2:	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Applicable
16. The facilitator's knowledge and expertise were appropriate for the meeting.	1	2	3	4	NA
17. The facilitator presented the content clearly and logically.	1	2	3	4	NA
18. The facilitator responded positively to questions and comments.	1	2	3	4	NA
19. The facilitator created a respectful environment for participants.	1	2	3	4	NA
20. The facilitator encouraged and initiated helpful discussions.	1	2	3	4	NA
EVENT FEEDBACK	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Applicable
21. The meeting was organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	NA
22. The meeting content was trauma-informed.	1	2	3	4	NA
23. The meeting content was survivor-informed.	1	2	3	4	NA
24. The meeting content was grounded in evidence-based research or promising practices.	1	2	3	4	NA
25. The meeting content was grounded in a multidisciplinary approach to addressing human trafficking.	1	2	3	4	NA
26. The meeting content reflected a public health approach to addressing human trafficking.	1	2	3	4	NA
27. The meeting provided ample opportunity and encouragement for participants to meaningfully interact with each other.	1	2	3	4	NA

OTIP GRANTEE FEEDBACK Protocol

OMB#: ####-####
Date of Expiration: ##/##/####

28. The time allotted was appropriate for completing all agenda items.	1	2	3	4	NA
29. The meeting met my professional needs.	1	2	3	4	NA
30. The meeting met my educational needs.	1	2	3	4	NA
31. Overall, this was an effective way to support the content and purpose of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	NA
32. NHTTAC staff effectively responded to any obstacles or challenges.	1	2	3	4	NA

Please select the number that best represents your rating for this event for each of the following questions:

33. Please rate the <u>overall</u> quality of this meeting.

1	2	3	4
Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
34. How useful was this	meeting to your work?		
1	2	3	4

Somewhat Useful

35. In your professional capacity, how frequently do you come into contact with people who are currently being trafficked, at risk of trafficking, or have been trafficked?

Useful

Very Useful

1	2	3	4
Never	Occasionally	Frequently	All the Time

36. Is your organization responsible for working with people who are currently being trafficked or have been trafficked?

□ Yes □ No

Not Useful

Please rate the following registration, premeeting service, and logistical arrangements using the following scale:

Logistics	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Not Applicable
37. Meeting registration	1	2	3	4	NA
38. Onsite registration check-in process	1	2	3	4	NA
39. Attendee meeting packet	1	2	3	4	NA
40. Meeting direction signs	1	2	3	4	NA
41. Conference meeting room	1	2	3	4	NA
42. Travel information (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	NA

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

OTIP GRANTEE FEEDBACK Protocol

OMB#: ####-####
Date of Expiration: ##/##/###

	tel accommodations (if applicable)	1 2	3	4	NA
. As	a result of participating in this meeting, do you plan	n to do any of the f	ollowing? (M	Iark all that a	pply.)
	Change my management/leadership or		Develop/str	rengthen collal	orative or strategic
	interpersonal communication style		relationship	_	· ·
	Further develop skills and knowledge about servir	ng 🗆	Network w	ith other partic	ipants
	people who are currently being trafficked, at risk of	of \square	Share mate	rials with colle	agues
	trafficking, or have been trafficked		Provide inf	ormation to cli	ents/families/youth
	Write grants/fundraise/identify new funding		Train/educa	ate others in co	ntent/skills learned
	resources		Raise publi	c awareness/ac	lvocacy/outreach
	Advocate or meet with leadership of my		activities of	ffered to peopl	e who are currently
	organization to develop/enhance vision, mission, or	or			cking, or have been
	strategic plan		trafficked		
	Advocate or meet with leadership of my		Refer collec	agues to NHT	ΓAC events/resourc
	organization to develop/enact policy changes at m	у 🗆	Conduct res	search	
	organization		Strengthen	evaluation or i	needs assessment
	Improve programs/practices		activities		
	Improve technology/websites/infrastructure		Improve ide	entification an	d reporting methods
	Integrate victim-centered, survivor-informed		trafficking		
	strategies			_	n human trafficking
	Expand services or types of services		Other (plea	se specify):	
	Begin a new project or initiative				
5. Of	the barriers listed below, which do you believe will previous question? (Mark all that apply.) Lack of senior leadership support Lack of frontline support and accountability Continuous turnover Shortages of key personnel Competing priorities Inaccessible research and/or information Lack of urgency Lack of shared responsibility across organizationa collaboration Difficulty in establishing and/or maintaining a multi-disciplinary team		challenge to Need for particular organization in when particular by the companization organization cack of time Lack of trainchange	performing the artnership build ns a mission and dering with other ormation and/ons are to implementining for staff	e activities you seled ling with other regulatory framewo er organizations or data sharing amon

48. What was most helpful about this meeting and why?

OMB#: ####-#### Date of Expiration: ##/##/####

19.	Are there any topics you would like to learn more about next time?
50.	Do you have any other comments or suggestions?

Thank you for taking the time to complete this form and helping to improve NHTTAC activities.