

Accession No. ML17010A300). Entergy is requesting an exemption from the requirements of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) sections 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(3), 72.212(b)(5)(i), 72.214, and the portion of section 72.212(b)(11) that requires compliance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the CoC No. 1014, for spent fuel storage at the VYNPS independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI).

Specifically, Entergy requested an exemption from Appendix B, Table 2.1–3, Note 19 of Amendment No. 10 to CoC No. 1014, therefore allowing certain lower enriched channeled fuel assemblies classified as “undamaged” per the CoC to be loaded with higher enriched fuel assemblies in the same MPC.

II. Environmental Assessment Summary

Under the requirements of 10 CFR 51.21 and 51.30(a), the NRC staff developed a draft EA (ADAMS Accession No. ML16343A859) to evaluate the proposed Federal action, which is for the NRC to grant an exemption to Entergy to allow storing certain lower enriched fuel assemblies with higher enriched fuel assemblies in a HI–STORM 100 MPC at the VYNPS site.

The EA defines the NRC’s proposed action (*i.e.*, to grant Entergy’s exemption request per 10 CFR 72.7) and the purpose of and need for the proposed action. Evaluations of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives to the proposed action are presented, followed by the NRC’s conclusion.

This EA evaluates the potential environmental impacts of granting the exemption to allow loading of higher enriched fuel assemblies with certain lower enriched fuel assemblies in a HI–STORM 100 MPC at the VYNPS ISFSI. The potential environmental impact of using NRC-approved storage casks was initially analyzed in the EA for the rulemaking to provide for the storage of spent fuel under a general license on July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181). The EA for using the HI–STORM 100, Amendment No. 10, cask system (81 FR 13265) tiers off of the EA for the 1990 final rule.

There is no change to the types or quantities of effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. There is no change to the non-radiological effluents. The proposed action will take place within the site

boundary, and does not have other environmental impacts. Therefore, the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the environmental impacts of the proposed action are no greater than those described in the EA for the rulemaking to add the HI–STORM 100, Amendment No. 10, cask system to 10 CFR 72.214.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared an EA and associated FONSI in support of the proposed action. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action, for the NRC to grant an exemption, allowing the loading of certain lower enriched fuel assemblies with higher enriched fuel assemblies in the same HI–STORM 100 MPC, will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, and that the proposed action is the preferred alternative. The environmental impacts are bounded by the previous NRC EA for the rulemaking to add the HI–STORM 100, Amendment No. 10, cask system to 10 CFR 72.214.

The NRC provided the Vermont Department of Health (VDOH) with a draft copy of the EA for a 30-day review on February 7, 2017 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17038A468). On March 16, 2017, the VDOH provided its comments (ADAMS Accession No. ML17080A475). The NRC staff responded to VDOH’s comments on May 23, 2017 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17144A045). The NRC did not make changes to this EA as a result of VDOH’s comments; however, the NRC will consider the VDOH’s comments during the preparation of the safety evaluation report.

The NRC staff informed the Vermont State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) of the NRC’s “no effects” determination by letter dated February 9, 2017 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17040A337). The Vermont SHPO concurred on the NRC’s determination by email on February 24, 2017 (ADAMS Accession Package No. ML17055A594, including ADAMS Accession Nos. ML17055A626 and ML17055A636). The staff reviewed the updated listings of endangered species and critical habitats, and no changes were identified since the staff’s review in 2007 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML072050012 and ML072050013).

The NRC staff has determined that this exemption would have no impact on historic and cultural resources or ecological resources, and therefore no consultations are necessary under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act.

Therefore, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a FONSI is appropriate.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of May, 2017.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John McKirgan,

Chief, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2017–11687 Filed 6–5–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2016–0243]

Information Collection: “Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Well Logging”

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of submission to the Office of Management and Budget; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted a request for renewal of an existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. The information collection is entitled, “Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Well Logging.”

DATES: Submit comments by July 6, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments directly to the OMB reviewer at: Aaron Szabo, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0130), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503; telephone: 202–395–3621, email: oir_submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cullison, NRC Clearance Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2084; email: INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2016–0243 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-

available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0243.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The supporting statement for 10 CFR part 39, Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Well Logging (3150-0130) is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17082A497.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *NRC's Clearance Officer:* A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by contacting the NRC's Clearance Officer, David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2084; email: INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@nrc.gov.

B. Submitting Comments

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. All comment submissions are posted at <http://www.regulations.gov> and entered into ADAMS. Comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the OMB, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Background

Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the NRC recently

submitted a request for renewal of an existing collection of information to OMB for review entitled, "Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Well Logging." The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The NRC published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on February 9, 2017 (82 FR 10030).

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR part 39, "Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Well Logging."

2. *OMB approval number:* 3150-0130.

3. *Type of submission:* Extension.

4. *The form number if applicable:* N/A

5. *How often the collection is required or requested:* Applications for new licenses and amendments may be submitted at any time (on occasion). Applications for renewal are submitted every 10 years. Reports are submitted as events occur.

6. *Who will be required or asked to respond:* Applicants and holders of specific licenses authorizing the use of licensed radioactive material for well logging.

7. *The estimated number of annual responses:* 4,226.

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 200.

9. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request:* 44,931.

10. *Abstract:* Part 39 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), establishes radiation safety requirements for the use of radioactive material for well logging. The information in the applications, reports, and records is used by the NRC staff to ensure that the health and safety of the public is protected and that licensee possession and use of source and byproduct material is in compliance with license and regulatory requirements.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of June, 2017.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David Cullison,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017-11659 Filed 6-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. PI2017-1; Order No. 3926]

Public Inquiry on City Carrier Costs

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is establishing a public inquiry to ascertain the Postal Service's progress and data collection capabilities to update its city carrier models. This notice informs the public of this proceeding, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* August 29, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Introduction
- II. Background
- III. Public Inquiry
- IV. Public Representative
- V. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

The Commission establishes Docket No. PI2017-1 in order to ascertain the Postal Service's progress in its ongoing efforts to update its city carrier cost models and data collection capabilities in accordance with Commission Order No. 2792 and to invite public comment on these topics.¹

II. Background

In Order No. 2792, the Commission directed the Postal Service to investigate several issues, including the steps required to collect daily volume measurements for specified special studies and the feasibility of updating the cost model used to assign the costs of Sunday delivery hours and parcel routes. Order No. 2792 at 65-66. The Commission also directed the Postal Service to determine whether a single equation city carrier letter route cost model for street time could produce improved variability estimates. *Id.* at 65.

In its response to Order No. 2792, the Postal Service indicated that it had

¹ Docket No. RM2015-7, Order Approving Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Thirteen), October 29, 2015 (Order No. 2792).