Int	r೧	ด	Ш	C.T	IO	n

Form Approved OMB No. 0902-1129 Exp.: 08/31/2019

Thank you for your interest in fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD). We would like to invite you to complete an evaluation survey on the FASD Toolkit. We appreciate your willingness to help us evaluate the effectiveness of the toolkit and its impact on your practice as you address the prevention, identification, and treatment of FASD.

This survey will take approximately 15 minutes to complete. Your responses will be kept secure and no individually identifying information will be included. Risks to participating in this survey are minimal and include the risk of your information becoming known to individuals outside the AAP.

Your participation in this survey is voluntary. You may decline to answer any question and you have the right to stop the survey at any time.

Please submit questions to the project partners at PEHDIC@aap.org.

CDC estimates the average public reporting burden for this collection of information as 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data/information sources, gathering and maintaining the data/information needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Information Collection Review Office, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN PRA (0920-1129).

riease indicate your consent to participate in the survey by selecting one of the following choices.
Yes, I consentplease continue with the survey.
No, I do not wish to take the survey at this time.

Please indicate your consent to participate in the survey by selecting one of the following choices:

Part One: Background Information
Please select the category that best describes your professional role.
Primary Care Pediatrician
Pediatric Specialist
Family Physician
None of the above
Where is your practice located?
My practice is located in the United States (including Alaska and Hawaii).
My practice is located outside the United States.
In what state do you practice?
Which of the following heat describes the area where your practice is leasted?
Which of the following best describes the area where your practice is located?  Urban or large city
Suburban or metropolitan
Rural or small town

## Part Two: Scenarios

In this section, we would like you to test the FASD Toolkit and give us your feedback. Before you begin this section, please open the FASD Toolkit in a separate tab or browser window by either clicking on the following link or copying and pasting the URL into the address bar of a new tab or browser window (if you accidentally close the survey, you may return to it by using the original email link):

# http://www.aap.org/fasd

The questions in this section will ask you to read four brief case scenarios. After each case scenario, you will be asked to use the toolkit to assist you in clinical decision-making related to a specific question. You will then be asked to rate the toolkit based on your experience. There is no right or wrong answer to any of the questions; we are interested in your honest feedback on the toolkit.

Please be sure the toolkit remains open in a separate tab or browser window while you complete this section.

# A. Identification of children at risk for FASD

Early identification of children at risk for FASD has many potential benefits, including: earlier diagnosis, early access to information regarding intervention and support for parents; earlier access to targeted interventions; and earlier identification of comorbid medical, developmental, and psychiatric conditions.<sup>1</sup>

### Case Scenario #1

## **Initial Symptoms**

Ann is an 8-year 7-month-old white girl who was brought to your office for a well-child visit by her adoptive mother. This is their first visit to your clinic since they moved from another state owing to a work transfer.

### **Medical History**

Ann was born preterm at 29 weeks and stayed in the birth hospital for a total of 6 weeks, initially for respiratory support and then to support feeding and growth.

Weight: 2 lb (<3rd percentile) Length: 39 cm (5th percentile)

Head circumference: 27.5 cm (<3rd percentile)

The biological mother denied the use of drugs but admitted to drinking alcohol at least weekly throughout the pregnancy. Owing to Child Protective Services involvement with a previous child, Ann was discharged to therapeutic foster parents, who subsequently adopted her. There were no disruptions in her home environment.

Developmental history revealed delays in gross motor, fine motor, self-care, and language skills.

Ann learned the alphabet early, but had difficulty with reading comprehension and mathematics in the first and second grades. However, Ann tests within the low average range and has met requirements to be promoted with her classmates, but only with intense support from the family members, which is causing a strain within the family.

Her mother said that she struggles in all academic areas. Ann is starting to have difficulty with peers owing to her academic challenges and immaturity.

### Physical examination

Weight: 38 lb (<3rd percentile) Height: 44 in (<3rd percentile)

Head circumference: 49.5 cm (<3rd percentile)

There were bilateral epicanthal folds and hypertelorism. She had short palpebral fissures, with a smooth philtrum and a thin upper lip (rank 4 on the Washington University Lip-Philtrum Guides). She had a grade 3/6 holosystolic murmur.

## Neurological examination

Examination revealed generalized hypotonia. She was friendly and generally cooperative, although needed frequent redirection. She was shy but became appropriately socially engaged after she warmed up to you.

Please take a few minutes to navigate the toolkit contents with the purpose of helping you to determine whether or not this patient should be referred for diagnosis and then rate your experience using the toolkit by completing the questions below.

<sup>1</sup> Adapted from Pasco, G. (2010). Identification and diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders: An update. Pediatric Health, 4, 1, 107-114.

outidentifying  Strong Agree Agree
Strong
<ul><li>O</li><li>O</li><li>O</li></ul>
0 0
vely) your views Strongl Agree Agree
0 0

# B. Diagnosis of children who have or who may have FASD

### Case Scenario #2

Lily is a 7 y 10 month old Caucasian female who was adopted from Ukraine at 17 months of age and who presented with growth deficits, facial abnormalities, microcephaly, developmental delay and an MRI abnormality. She has frequent ear infections related to small ear canals. She also has ophthalmologic and orthopedic problems. She has urinary incontinence. She is an ex-32 weeker with birth weight of 2 lbs12 oz. Developmental history reviewed that Lily stood by herself at 19 mos and walked at 36 months. She can use a spoon, fork and regular cup and dress herself with assistance. She can scribble but has trouble drawing a circle/cross. She has a few words and 30-40 signs. She is receiving ECE services in a self-contained classroom. She receives speech language therapy and occupational therapy services.

- · Family history: Unknown
- · Social history: Adopted at 17 mos from Ukraine.

Physical examination showed height to be in the 7th percentile, weight at 3rd percentile and head circumference at 3rd percentile. She had very short palpebral fissures at 2.2 cm, smooth to flat philtrum and very thin upper lip. She had microcephaly, telecanthus, small, less developed ear with narrow canals, micrognathia, bilateral hockey stick creases, and shallow sacral dimple. Neurological examination showed a friendly, cooperative and attentive child with hypotonia in the upper extremities, increased tone on the ankles, poor tandem gait, and hyperreflexia in lower extremities. Psychological evaluation (DP-III) showed cognitive skills at 16 months age equivalent, and adaptive skills at 14 months age equivalent. Speech-language evaluation showed receptive language at 2 yrs 1 month and expressive language at 1 year 1 month (PLS-IV) and therefore had a severe receptive-expressive language impairment.

Please take a few minutes to navigate the toolkit contents with the purpose of helping you to diagnose this patient for an FASD condition and then rate your experience using the toolkit by completing the questions below.

# Rate the following statements about the toolkit content ondiagnosing children who have or who may have FASD:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.					
The content is credible and trustworthy.					
The topics covered are relevant to my work.					
The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).					
The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).					

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
t reinforced and validated what I already knew.					
t provided me with information that was new to me and useful for ny work.					
have already seen the information in a different resource.					
ate the following statements about whether the toolkit nd ideas about <b>diagnosing children who have or w</b> l			ely or nega	tively) you Agree	r views Strongly Agree
t provided me with information that changed my views, opinions, or beliefs.					
t provided me with a new idea or way of thinking.					

# C. Referring Children who have or who may have FASD

### Case Scenario #3

Robert is a 14-year-old Caucasian male who was brought to your clinic by his adoptive mother because she was researching his symptoms online and was concerned that her son may have fetal alcohol syndrome. She knew all along that his 21-year-old biological mother drank alcohol (mixed drinks and wine, about 4-5 drinks, 2-3 nights a week) during her first 3 months of pregnancy. Beyond that, biological mother denied family history of any developmental disorders or psychiatric conditions. Biological mother finished at least 2 years of college. Robert is failing academically. He has significant behavioral problems in school. He has trouble following multi-step directions. He is extremely impulsive and has a lot of meltdowns and aggressive behavior. He was placed in emotional/behavioral disorder (EBD) classes, which his mother felt made some of the behaviors worse as he tended to go with the wrong crowd in these particular classes. He has always been naïve and suggestible and would do anything for a friend. Despite repeated instruction, he still has not mastered good self-care or grooming skills.

Robert was born at term though the pregnancy was complicated by intrauterine growth retardation. Birth weight and length were both at the 50th percentile. Head circumference was at 25th percentile. Previous psychoeducational testing done showed an IQ score of 80 but on academic achievement testing, his reading, mathematics and written expression standard scores were in the low 60s. He had good expressive language scores but his receptive language was in the borderline range and closer to a 10 year old level. He is currently on medications for ADHD but this has not significantly improved distractibility and impulsivity. His psychiatrist also gave him neuroleptic medications on the basis of extreme meltdowns and aggressive behavior. On physical examination, weight was at the 50th percentile while height was at the 25th percentile. Head circumference was at the 25th percentile. He had no dysmorphic facial features except for somewhat wide inner canthal distance (space between the eyes). He was charming and sociable but was unusually impulsive and distractible. He had difficulty staying focused on tasks and although he talked a lot, much of the conversation was circuitous and immature, closer to a 7-8 year-old child than that of a 14-year-old.

Please take a few minutes to navigate the toolkit contents with the purpose of helping you to refer this patient for support and intervention services related to FASD and then rate your experience using the toolkit by completing the questions below.

Rate the following statements about the toolkit content on referring children who have or who may have FASD.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.					
The content is credible and trustworthy.					
The topics covered are relevant to my work.					
The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).					
The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).					

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
t reinforced and validated what I already knew.					
t provided me with information that was new to me and use my work.	ful for				
have already seen the information in a different resource.					
ate the following statements about whether the t			ely or nega	tively) you	r views
-	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
t provided me with information that changed my views, opir or beliefs.	nions,				
t provided me with a new idea or way of thinking.					

# D. Treatment Planning and Monitoring of children who have or who may have FASD

### Case Scenario #4

## **Initial Symptoms**

Ben is a 5-year-old African American boy who was brought by his grandmother to your office for hyperactive and impulsive behaviors. He does not follow directions unless they are stated repeatedly. His behaviors have been causing problems in the school and home settings.

## **Medical History**

Ben was born at 40 weeks' gestation by vaginal delivery to an 18-year-old gravida 1 para 0 (G1P0) mother who drank 4 to 6 drinks per week (wine or beer), with some mixed drinks and liquor during weekends and some weekdays, in all 3 trimesters of the pregnancy. The mother denied drug and tobacco use. This information was obtained from the paternal grandmother, as disclosed by the biological mother.

Weight: 4 lb 3 oz (<5th percentile) Length: 17 in (<5th percentile)

Head circumference: 30.5 cm (<5th percentile)

- Tested with Bayley Scales for Infant Development-II (BSID-II) resulting in a developmental quotient (DQ) of 85 in preschool.
- · Previous magnetic resonance imaging showed significant microcephaly and a smaller corpus callosum.
- History of frequent ear infections, but a recent hearing test was normal.
- Normal vision, but poor eye tracking.
- Small ventricular septal defect evident at birth that subsequently closed.
- · Both siblings have developmental delay.

### **Physical and Neurological Examination Findings**

### Physical examination

Normal vital signs

Weight: 30 lb (<5th percentile) Height: 38 in (<5th percentile)

Head circumference: 48.5 cm (3rd percentile)

Epicanthal folds and palpebral fissures	Short and small palpebral fissures (2.3 cm, bilaterally), with an inner canthal distance of 3 cm (orbital hypertelorism)
Philtrum and upper lip	Smooth and thin (rank 4 on the University Washington Lip-Philtrum Guides)
Facial features	Normal ears set, flattened mid-face, and a narrow palate
Auscultation of lungs	Clear
Heart murmur	No
Abdominal examination	Benign
Skin examination	Normal
Extremities	Bilateral fifth finger clinodactyly

## Neurological examination

Had normal muscle strength and reflexes Displayed no ataxia, nystagmus, or tremor					
lease take a few minutes to navigate the toolkit contents with the lease rate your experience using the toolkit by completing the questions and the fellowing the descriptions of the second se	ions below.				
ate the following statements about the toolkit content hildren who have or who may have FASD.	Strongly Disagree	ent piannir Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.					
The content is credible and trustworthy.					
The topics covered are relevant to my work.					
The information is of equal or higher quality than information on his topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, vebsite, etc.).					
The information is of equal or higher quality than information on his topic I can find in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).					
	t has affact	ted your kn	owledge ab	ou <b>ttreatm</b>	ent
		-	Not Sure	Agree	
anning and monitoring for children who have or	who may h	nave FASD		Agree	Strongly
lanning and monitoring for children who have or was a second of the seco	Strongly Disagree	nave FASD		Agree	Strongly
ate the following statements about whether the toolki lanning and monitoring for children who have or value of the following and walidated what I already knew.  It provided me with information that was new to me and useful for my work.  I have already seen the information in a different resource.	Strongly Disagree	nave FASD		Agree	Strongly
t reinforced and validated what I already knew.  It provided me with information that was new to me and useful formy work.	Strongly Disagree  t has affect	Disagree  Disagree  ded (positive	Not Sure	tively) you	Strongly Agree
anning and monitoring for children who have or the treinforced and validated what I already knew.  It provided me with information that was new to me and useful for my work.  The have already seen the information in a different resource.  The attention that was new to me and useful for my work.	Strongly Disagree  t has affect for childre	Disagree  ded (positive	Not Sure	tively) you	Strongly Agree

The home page makes me want to explore it further.  The layout and design is clear and visually appealing.  It is easy to navigate through the different sections.  I am able to find the information I am looking for.  Screens/pages have too much information.  Screens/pages have too little information.  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am lookingfor, compared to finding the same information in other online resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree The content is credible and trustworthy.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
It is easy to navigate through the different sections.  I am able to find the information I am looking for.  Screens/pages have too much information.  Screens/pages have too little information.  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am lookingfor, compared to finding the same information in other online resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	The home page makes me want to explore it further.	Disagree	Disagree		/ tgree	, tgroo
I am able to find the information I am looking for.  Screens/pages have too much information.  Screens/pages have too little information.  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am lookingfor, compared to finding the same information in other online resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	The layout and design is clear and visually appealing.					
Screens/pages have too much information.  Screens/pages have too little information.  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am lookingfor, compared to finding the same information in other online resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	It is easy to navigate through the different sections.					
Screens/pages have too little information.  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am lookingfor, compared to finding the same information in other online resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	I am able to find the information I am looking for.					
It is as easy or easier to find the information I am lookingfor, compared to finding the same information in other online resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	Screens/pages have too much information.					
compared to finding the same information in other online resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).  It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree  The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	Screens/pages have too little information.					
compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit content overall:  Strongly Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree  The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on						
Strongly Disagree Disagree Not Sure Agree Agree  The content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).	resources (e.g., websites, databases, etc.).					
The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).		orall:			Strongl
The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).	Strongly		Not Sure	Agree	
The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).	It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit or	Strongly		Not Sure	Agree	
this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).	It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit of the content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.	Strongly		Not Sure	Agree	
	It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit compared to the content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.	Strongly		Not Sure	Agree	
	It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit of the content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database,	Strongly		Not Sure	Agree	
	It is as easy or easier to find the information I am looking for, compared to finding the same information in print resources (e.g., books, journals, etc.).  Please rate the following statements about the toolkit or the content is complete, offering comprehensive coverage.  The content is credible and trustworthy.  The topics covered are relevant to my work.  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on this topic I can find in other online resources (e.g., database, website, etc.).  The information is of equal or higher quality than information on	Strongly		Not Sure	Agree	

What kinds of information in the toolkit would you use regularly? (check all that apply)	
National Task force on FAS and FAE "Guidelines for Referral and Diagnosis"	
A Practical Clinical Approach to Diagnosis of Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders: Clarification of the 1996 Institute of Medicine Criteria	!
Algorithm for Evaluation	
Diagnosis and Assessment of FASD	
How to Use the Lip Philtrum Guide	
Online Course: FASD 4-Digit Diagnostic Code	
Medical Home Principles	
Sample Care Plan	
I would not use any of the information in the toolkit regularly	
Other (please specify)	
To what extent would you feel confident using the knowledge validated or gained from the toolkit in your work?  Not at all confident	
Not very confident	
Undecided	
Confident	
Very confident	
Please give a specific example of how the toolkit changed your views or gave you new ideas (e.g., favorable or unfavorable).	

To improve practice guidelines, programs, projects, or strategies		Definitely not	Unlikely	Not Sure	Probably	Definitely
To improve practice guidelines, programs, projects, or strategies	To inform decision-making (e.g., clinical, personal, or other)					
To inform public health policies and/or advocacy	To improve practice guidelines, programs, projects, or strategies					
To write reports/articles  To develop proposals  To guide a research agenda or methods  To put research findings into practice  To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	To improve training, education, or research					
To develop proposals  To guide a research agenda or methods  To put research findings into practice  To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	To inform public health policies and/or advocacy					
To guide a research agenda or methods  To put research findings into practice  To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	To write reports/articles					
To put research findings into practice  To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	To develop proposals					
To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	To guide a research agenda or methods					
To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge						
To increase my own knowledge	To put research findings into practice					
		0		0	0	0
	To promote best practices					0
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	on from the	toolkit in yo	o o ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	from the	toolkit in yo	o o o ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	from the	toolkit in yo	o o ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	from the	toolkit in yo	ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	from the	toolkit in yo	o o o ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	from the	toolkit in yo	o o o ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	on from the	toolkit in yo	o o ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	on from the	toolkit in yo	o o o ur work.	
	To promote best practices  To increase public awareness  To increase my own knowledge	information	on from the	toolkit in yo	o o o ur work.	

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
Based on something I have learned from the toolkit, I expect to change the way I perform my job.					
I expect to use information from it to improve my skills related to caring for patients who have or who may have FASD.					$\bigcirc$
I expect it to help me to be more competent at working with patients and families who have or FASD.					
I expect it to help me to be more effective at working with patients and families who have or FASD.					
I expect it to help me to perform my job more efficiently (e.g., connecting children with FASD to community or national resources more efficiently, etc.).					
I expect my some of my patients will experience health benefits as a result of my applying the toolkit information.					
I expect my communication with families around FASD and related issues to improve.					
I expect it will improve the appropriateness of referrals for children in my care who have or who may have FASD.					
I expect it will improve the performance of my clinic with respect to identification of children with FASD.					
I expect it will improve the performance of my clinic with respect to diagnosing of children with FASD related conditions.					
I expect it will improve the performance of my clinic with respect to the treatment and monitoring of children with FASD related conditions.					
	!! -:4:!	nt improve	your own pe	erformanc	e or your
Please give a specific example of how you expect the to linic's performance.	DOIKIT MIGI	it improve	'		
linic's performance.  How likely are you to recommend the toolkit or its resou				r?	
linic's performance.				r?	
How likely are you to recommend the toolkit or its resou				?	

ease rate the following statements about wher	Strongly		N C		Strongly
have access to research findings where I work.	Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Agree
have access to research findings on my floor.					
have time to read about research while I am on duty.					
base my practice on research.					
do not use research in my day-to-day practice.					
sing research helps me meet my professional goals.					
would change my practice based on research findings.					

Closing
If you could make one significant change to the toolkit, what would it be?
Do you have any additional comments?
After the survey is finished, we plan to select a small group of respondents who completed the survey to participate in a one-hour focus group, conducted as a conference call, to learn more about practitioners' opinions of the Toolkit and suggestions for improving it. May we contact you to participate in the focus group?
<u>Yes</u>
○ No
Thank you very much for your time and valuable feedback. Your feedback will be used to guide the development, management, and improvement of the toolkit in the future. Please feel free to contact the manager for Screening and Public Health Prevention Programs at pehdic@aap.org anytime if you have
any concerns or questions.