

symmetrical point, suitable for use in gas-actuated hand tools.

Also excluded from the scope of this order are corrugated nails. A corrugated nail is made up of a small strip of corrugated steel with sharp points on one side.

Also excluded from the scope of this order are thumb tacks, which are currently classified under HTSUS subheading 7317.00.10.00.

Certain steel nails subject to this order are currently classified under HTSUS subheadings 7317.00.55.02, 7317.00.55.03, 7317.00.55.05, 7317.00.55.07, 7317.00.55.08, 7317.00.55.11, 7317.00.55.18, 7317.00.55.19, 7317.00.55.20, 7317.00.55.30, 7317.00.55.40, 7317.00.55.50, 7317.00.55.60, 7317.00.55.70, 7317.00.55.80, 7317.00.55.90, 7317.00.65.30, 7317.00.65.60 and 7317.00.75.00. Certain steel nails subject to these orders also may be classified under HTSUS subheadings 7907.00.60.00, 8206.00.00.00 or other HTSUS subheadings.

While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

The Department received no comments concerning the *Preliminary Results*. Accordingly, the Department continues to determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for these final results:

Company	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Dicha Sombrilla Co., Ltd	323.99
Rich State, Inc	323.99
Truong Vinh Ltd	323.99

Disclosure

Normally, the Department discloses to interested parties the calculations performed for the final results within five days of the publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because we made no changes to these margins since the *Preliminary Results*, no disclosure of calculations is necessary for these final results.

Assessment

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject

merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**.

Consistent with the Department's assessment practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, for entries that were not reported in U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during the administrative review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the Vietnam-wide rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under the exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the Vietnam-wide rate.²

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from Vietnam entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Truong Vinh, Rich State, and Dicha Sombrilla, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin listed above; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most-recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the exporter was reviewed; (3) for all Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the Vietnam-wide entity, which is 323.99 percent;³ and (4) for all non-Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Vietnamese exporter that supplied that non-Vietnamese exporter with the subject merchandise. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

² See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

³ *Certain Steel Nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 80 FR 29622 (May 22, 2015).

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: September 22, 2017.

Carole Showers,

Executive Director, Office of Policy, Performing the Duties of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-20800 Filed 9-27-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2010-0056]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Safety Standard for Bicycle Helmets

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) announces that the CPSC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for extension of approval of a collection of information associated with the CPSC's Safety Standard for

Bicycle Helmets (OMB No. 3041–0127). In the **Federal Register** of July 21, 2017 (82 FR 33875), the CPSC published a notice announcing the agency's intent to seek an extension of approval of this collection of information. CPSC received no comments in response to that notice. Therefore, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that CPSC has submitted to the OMB a request for extension of approval of that collection of information without change.

DATES: Written comments on this request for extension of approval of information collection requirements should be submitted by October 30, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments about this request by email: OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov or fax: 202–395–6881. Comments by mail should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the CPSC, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. In addition, written comments that are sent to OMB also should be submitted electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, under Docket No. CPSC–2010–0056.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charu S. Krishnan, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7221, or by email to: ckrishnan@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: CPSC has submitted the following currently approved collection of information to OMB for extension:

Title: Safety Standard for Bicycle Helmets.

OMB Number: 3041–0127.

Type of Review: Renewal of collection.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Affected Public: Manufacturers and importers of bicycle helmets.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 38 manufacturers and importers will maintain test records of an estimated 200 models total annually, including older models and new models. Testing on bicycle helmets must be conducted for each new production lot and the test records must be maintained for 3 years.

Estimated Time per Response: 200 hours/model to test 40 new models (including new prototypes) and an estimated 100 hours/model to test new production lots of 160 older models. Additionally, manufacturers and importers may require 4 hours annually per model for recordkeeping for approximately 200 models.

Total Estimated Annual Burden: 24,800 hours (24,000 hours for testing and 800 hours for recordkeeping).

General Description of Collection: In 1998, the Commission issued a safety standard for bicycle helmets (16 CFR part 1203). The standard includes requirements for labeling and instructions. The standard also requires that manufacturers and importers of bicycle helmets subject to the standard issue certificates of compliance based on a reasonable testing program. Every person issuing certificates of compliance must maintain certain records. Respondents must comply with the requirements in 16 CFR part 1203 for labeling and instructions, testing, certification, and recordkeeping.

Alberta E. Mills,

Acting Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–20779 Filed 9–27–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. CPSC–2015–0022]

Guidance Document on Hazardous Additive, Non-Polymeric Organohalogen Flame Retardants in Certain Consumer Products

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Guidance document.

SUMMARY: The Commission announces that it has approved a statement that provides guidance for manufacturers, importers, distributors, retailers, and consumers of certain consumer products that may contain harmful organohalogen flame retardants in an additive form. To protect consumers and children from the potential toxic effects of exposure to these chemicals, the Commission recommends that manufacturers of children's products, upholstered furniture sold for use in residences, mattresses (and mattress pads), and plastic casings surrounding electronics refrain from intentionally adding non-polymeric, organohalogen flame retardants ("OFRs") to their products. Further, the Commission recommends that, before purchasing such products for resale, importers, distributors, and retailers obtain assurances from manufacturers that such products do not contain OFRs. Finally, the Commission recommends that consumers, especially those who are pregnant or with young children, inquire and obtain assurances from retailers that such products do not contain OFRs.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: DeWane Ray, Deputy Director, Safety Operations, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504–7547, or email: JRay@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the guidance document is as follows:

Guidance for Hazardous Additive, Non-Polymeric Organohalogen Flame Retardants in Certain Consumer Products

Summary: The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission¹ issues this guidance to manufacturers, importers, distributors, retailers, and consumers to protect consumers (particularly children) from exposure to additive, non-polymeric organohalogen flame retardants ("OFRs")² found in the following products: (1) Durable infant or toddler products, children's toys, child care articles or other children's products (other than children's car seats); (2) upholstered furniture sold for use in residences; (3) mattresses and mattress pads; and (4) plastic casings surrounding electronics.³ OFRs, also referred to as halogenated flame retardants, typically are added to foams, textiles, and polymers before, during or after production in theory to improve their resistance to fire. OFRs are not chemically bound to the substrate and may be released from the product, thereby leading to potential human and environmental exposures. On June 30, 2015, a coalition of consumer advocates and health professionals petitioned the Commission to declare four categories of consumer products containing OFRs to be "banned hazardous substances" under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act ("FHSA"). The petitioners claim that due to their inherent physical-chemical properties, OFRs, among other things, are toxic, migrate widely out of products regardless of how the products are used, bioaccumulate, and present a serious public health concern. On September 20, 2017, the Commission voted to grant the petition to initiate rulemaking under

¹ The Commission voted 3–2 to publish this Guidance Document in the **Federal Register**. Commissioner Robert S. Adler, Commissioner Marietta S. Robinson, and Commissioner Elliot F. Kaye voted to approve publication of the Guidance Document. Acting Chairman Ann Marie Buerkle and Commissioner Joseph P. Mohorovic voted against publication of the Guidance Document.

² For purposes of this guidance, OFRs refers to additive, non-polymeric chemicals only; it does not include reactive or polymeric OFRs.

³ This guidance is not a binding or enforceable rule and would not change any person's rights, duties, or obligations under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act or any other Act administered by the Commission.