Document Details

Docket ID: CDC-2017-0040 •

Docket Title: Cause-Specific Absenteeism in Schools and Evaluation of

Influenza Transmission within Student Households 0920-1039 *

Document File:

Docket Phase: Notice

Phase Sequence: 1

Original Document ID: CDC-2017-0040-DRAFT-0003

Current Document ID: CDC-2017-0040-0003

Title: Cause-Specific Absenteeism in Schools and Evaluation of

Influenza Transmission within Student Households 0920-1039

Comment from (name) *0

Number of Attachments: 0

Document Type: PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS **3

Document Subtype: §

Comment on Document ID: CDC-2017-0040-0001

Comment on Document Title: Cause-Specific Absenteeism in Schools and Evaluation of

Influenza Transmission within Student Households 0920-1039

2017-08492

Status: Posted 🕓

Received Date: 06/18/2017 *

Date Posted: 06/22/2017 **(s)**

Posting Restriction: No restrictions **()**

Submission Type: Web

Number of Submissions: 1 *

Document Optional Details

Submitter Info

Comment: I think the proposed collection of information is necessary

for the proper performance of the function CDC because by using the Criteria for Evaluation for example, to find out the severity of the illness or related health care visits, the agency will find out if the particular flu vaccine is actually working in terms of treating the influenza -like illness(ILI) in the sample population. Or the other way round, that the

vaccine is not working and needs modification. In

addition, finding out how many days of missed school or work is also going to provide the agency with great feedback on the severity of the ILI. I do like the methods that the agency plans to use to collect data from their target population and these are by telephone and in-person interviews. Nevertheless, I do see a few challenges with both methods, for example, verification of information using the telephone method might be tricky for the agency, i third party in form of doctor might be require if the particular individual visited the doctor's office. This might lead to another challenge which might require the first party to consent to this arrangement. In-person interviews is an excellent idea but seems time consuming and expensive.

First Name: Muchala 🕔

Last Name: Sundano S

ZIP/Postal Code:

Email Address:

Organization Name:

Cover Page:

Document Optional Details

Status Set Date: 06/22/2017

Current Assignee: NA

Status Set By: Burroughs-Stokes, Kennya LaTrice (CDC)

Comment Start Date: 04/27/2017 **(**

Comment Due Date: 06/26/2017 **③**

Page Count: 1 §

Total Page Count 1 **Including Attachments:**

Submitter Info

Comment: I think the proposed collection of information is necessary

for the proper performance of the function CDC because by using the Criteria for Evaluation for example, to find out the severity of the illness or related health care visits, the agency will find out if the particular flu vaccine is actually working in terms of treating the influenza -like illness(ILI) in the sample population. Or the other way round, that the vaccine is not working and needs modification. In

addition, finding out how many days of missed school or work is also going to provide the agency with great feedback on the severity of the ILI. I do like the methods that the agency plans to use to collect data from their target population and those are by telephone and in person intervious. Nevertheless

these are by telephone and in-person interviews. Nevertheless,

I do see a few challenges with both methods, for example, verification of information using the telephone method might be tricky for the agency, i third party in form of doctor might be require if the particular individual visited the doctor's office. This might lead to another challenge which might require the first party to consent to this arrangement. In-person interviews is an excellent idea but seems time consuming and expensive.

First Name: Muchala 🕚

Last Name: Sundano 🕓

ZIP/Postal Code:

Email Address:

Organization Name: §

Cover Page: