<b>RIVERINE HYDROLOGY &amp; HYDRAULICS  </b>	FORM
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY	

## PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 3.5 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC, 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. **Please do not send your completed survey to the above address**.

## PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

**ROUTINE USE(S):** The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.

**DISCLOSURE:** The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

Flooding Source:			
Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source	studied.		
	A. HYDROLOGY		
1. Reason for New Hydrologic Analysis (check a	II that apply)		
Not revised (skip to section 2)		Improved data	
Alternative methodology	oposed Conditions (CLOMR)	Changed physical condition of watershed	
2. Comparison of Representative 1%-Annual-Cl	nance Discharges		
Location	Drainage Area (Sq. Mi.)	FIS (cfs)	Revised (cfs)
. Methodology for New Hydrologic Analysis (ch	neck all that apply)	(TP 2	0, HEC-1, HEC-HMS, etc.)
Statistical Analysis of Gage Records			0, TEC-1, TEC-TIMO, etc.)
Regional Regression Equations	Other (please attach descrip	ption)	
Please enclose all relevant models in digital form new analysis. The document, "Numerical Model found at: http://www.fema.gov/mit/tsd/en_modl.ht	s Accepted by FEMA for NFIP Usage	mputation of parameters), and doc " lists the models accepted by FEN	umentation to support the /A. This document can be
4. Review/Approval of Analysis.			
If your community requires a regional, state, o approval/review.	or federal agency to review the hydrol	ogic analysis, please attach eviden	ce of
5. Impacts of Sediment Transport on Hydrology			
Was sediment transport considered?	Yes No		
If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transpo	rt) of Form 3. If No, then attach your	explanation for why sediment trans	sport was not considered.

**B. HYDRAULICS** 

1. Reach to be Revised			Water-Surface El	Water-Surface Elevations (ft.)	
	Description	Cross Section	Effective	Proposed/Revised	
Downstream Limit					
Upstream Limit					
2. Hydraulic Method Used					
,					
Hydraulic Analysis		HEC-2, HEC-RA	S, Other (Attach description)		
3. Pre-Submittal Review of Hy	draulic Models				
These review programs verify data are comparable with the a concern. These tools do not re frm_soft.htm. We recommend please attach an explanation of result in reduced review time.	that the hydraulic estimates an assumptions and limitations of eplace engineering judgement that you review your HEC-2 a of why the message is not valid	nd assumptions in the mode HEC-2/HEC-RAS. CHECH . CHECK-2 and CHECK-R and HEC-RAS models with d in this case. Review of yo	view of HEC-2 and HEC-RAS hydel data are in accordance with NF K-2 and CHECK-RAS identify area AS can be downloaded from http: CHECK-2 and CHECK-RAS. If your ur submittal and resolution of vali	IP requirements, and that the as of potential error or //www.fema.gov/mit/tsd/ ou disagree with a message,	
HEC-2/HEC-RAS models revie	ewed with CHECK-2/CHECK-I	RAS? Yes	No		
4. Models Submitted					
Duplicate Effective Model*	Natura	al File Name	Floodway File Na	ime	
Corrective Effective Model*	Natura	I File Name	Floodway File Na	Floodway File Name	
Existing or Pre-Project Con	ditions Model Natura	I File Name	Floodway File Na		
Revised or Post-Project Co	nditions Model Natura	al File Name	Floodway File Na	Floodway File Name	
Other - (attach description)	Natura	I File Name	Floodway File Na	Floodway File Name	
	dels Accepted by FEMA for N		details, refer to the corresponding accepted by FEMA. This docume		
	C	MAPPING REQUIREMEN	ITS		
proposed conditions 1%-annu- floodplains and regulatory floo indicated; stream, road, and o property; certification of a regis referenced vertical datum (NG Note that the boundaries of the must tie-in with the effective flo show the boundaries of the re	al-chance floodplain (for appro- dway (for detailed Zone AE, A ther alignments (e.g. dams, le stered professional engineer r GVD, NAVD, etc.). e existing or proposed condition oodplain and regulatory floodw vised 1%-and 0.2%-annual-ch	oximate Zone A revisions) o O, and AH revisions); locat vees, etc.); current commun egistered in the subject Sta ons floodplains and regulato vay boundaries. Please atta ance floodplains and regula	ere applicable): the boundaries or r the boundaries of the 1%-and 0 ion and alignment of all cross sec ity easements and boundaries; b te; location and description of refe ory floodway to be shown on the re ach <b>a copy of the effective FIRM</b> itory floodway that tie-in with the boundaries	2%-annual-chance tions with stationing control oundaries of the requester's erence marks; and the evised FIRM and/or FBFM I and/or FBFM, annotated to boundaries of the effective	
	D. COMM	ION REGULATORY REQU	IREMENTS		
1. For LOMR/CLOMR Reque	ests, do Base Flood Elevation	s (BFEs) Increase?		res 🗌 No	
<ul> <li>* The proposed project er conditions.</li> </ul>	ncroaches upon a regulatory f	oodway and would result in	e of compliance with Section 65.1 increases above 0.00 foot compa d result in increases above 1.00 fo	ared to pre-project	
2. Does the request involve the placement of proposed placement of fill?					

If Yes, the community must acknowledge that the area to be removed from the special flood hazard area, to inclustructures, meets (will meet) all of the standards of the local floodplain ordinances, and is (will be) reasonably safe with NFIP regulation 44 CFR 65.2(c). Please see the MT-2 instructions for more information.				
3. For LOMR requests, is the regulatory floodway being revised?	Yes No			
If Yes, attach evidence of regulatory floodway revised notification. As per paragraph 65.7(b)(1) of the NFIP regulator for requests involving revisions to the regulatory floodway. (Not required for revisions to approximate 1%-annua Zone A designation) unless a regulatory floodway is being added. Elements and examples of regulatory floodway found in the MT-2 Form 2 instructions.)	ulations, notification is required al-chance floodplains (studied			
4. For LOMR requests, does this request require property owner notification and acceptance of BFE increase?	Yes No			
If Yes, please attach proof of property owner notification and acceptance (if available). Elements of and examples of property owner notification can be found in the MT-2 form 2 instructions.				