## RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM

## PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 7 hour per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 20598-3005 Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.

## **PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

**ROUTINE USE(S):** The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.

			, failure to provide the informatio P) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (		prevent
Flooding Source:					
Note: Fill out one form	n for each flooding source s	tudied.			
		A. GENERA	AL_		
Channelization Bridge/Culvert. Dam Levee/Floodwa	e section(s) for each Struct ncomplete Sectioncomplete Sectioncomplete Section allcomplete Section asportcomplete Section	n B C n D E			
Description of Structure	<u>e</u>				
Name of Structure:					
Type (check one):	Channelization	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	Dam	
Location of Structure:					
Downstream Limit/Cro	ss Section:				
Name of Structure:					
Type (check one):		Bridge/Culvert		Dam	
Location of Structure:					
Downstream Limit/Cro	ss Section:				
Name of Structure: _					
Type (check one):	Channelization	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	☐ Dam	
Location of Structure:					
Downstream Limit/Cro	ss Section:				
Upstream Limit/Cross	Section:				
	NOTE: FOR MOR	E STRUCTURES, ATTACH	ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NEE	DED.	

B. CHANNE	LIZATION					
Flooding Source:						
Name of Structure:						
Hydraulic Considerations						
The channel was designed to carry (cfs) and/or the	-vear flood					
The design elevation in the channel is based on (check one):	year nood.					
Subcritical flow Critical flow Super criti						
jump is controlled without affecting the stability of the channel.	ok all that apply and attach an explanation of now the hydraulic					
☐ Inlet to channel ☐ Outlet of channel ☐ At Drop S	tructures  At Transitions					
Other locations (specify):						
2. Channel Design Plans						
Attach the plans of the channelization certified by a registered profession	al engineer, as described in the instructions.					
3. Accessory Structures						
The Channelization includes (check one):						
Levees [Attach Section E) Levee/Floodwall)] Drop struct	tures Super elevated sections					
	basin [Attach Section D (Dam/Basin)]					
Transitions in cross sectional geometry	Jasiii [Attach Section D (Dani/Dasiii)]					
Weir Other (describe):						
Sediment Transport Considerations						
Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment transport?						
· ·	If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered.					
C. BRIDGE/	CULVERT					
Flooding Source:						
Name of Structure:						
This revision reflects (check one):						
New bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS						
Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS						
New analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS						
<ol><li>Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with special b If different hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the hydra the structures. Attach justification.</li></ol>						
<ol> <li>Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional engine (check the information that has been provided):</li> </ol>	er. The plan detail and information should include the following					
Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length)	Distance Between Cross Sections					
Shape (culverts only)	Erosion Protection					
Material	Low Chord Elevations - Upstream and Downstream					
Beveling or Rounding	Top of Road Elevations - Upstream and Downstream					
☐ Wing Wall Angle	Structure Invert Elevations - Upstream and Downstream					
☐ Skew Angle	Stream Invert Elevation - Upstream and Downstream					
	Cross-Section Locations					
<ol> <li>Sediment Transport Considerations</li> <li>Was sediment transport considered?  Yes No</li> </ol>						
Was sediment transport considered?	ur explanation for why sediment transport was not considered					
in rest, and rum out essentit (essention). In the, and rutteen year	a explanation for any obtained transport and not considered.					

D. DAM/BASIN
Flooding Source:
Name of Structure:
1. This request is for (check one): Existing dam/basin New dam Modification of existing dam/basin
2. The dam/basin was designed by (check one): Federal agency State agency Private organization Local government agency
Name of the agency or organization:
3. The dam/basin was permitted as ( check one): Federal Dam State Dam
Provide the permit or identification number (ID) for the dam and the appropriate permitting agency or organization
Permit or ID number Permit Agency or Organization:
Local Government Dam Private Dam
Provide related drawings, specifications and supporting design information.
4. Does the project involve revised hydrology? Yes No
If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2)
Was the dam/basin designed using critical duration storm? (Must account for the maximum volume of runoff?)
Yes, provide supporting documents with your completed Form 2.
No, provide written explanation and justification for not using the critical duration storm.
5. Does the submittal include debris/sediment yield analysis? Yes No
If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why debris/sediment analysis was not considered?
6. Does the Base Flood Elevation behind the dam or downstream of the dam change?
If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2) and complete the table below.
Stillwater Elevation Behind the Dam  FEQUENCY (% annual chance)  FIS  REVISED
10-year (10%)
50-year (2%)
100-year (1%)
500-year (0.2%)
Normal Pool Elevation
7. Please attach a copy of the formal Operation and Maintenance Plan.
E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL
1. System Elements
a. This Levee/Floodwall analysis is based on (check one):  upgrading of an existing levee/ floodwall system  a newly constructed levee/ floodwall system reanalysis of an existing levee/ floodwall system floodwall system
b. Levee elements and locations are (check one):
earthen embankment, dike, berm, etc. Station to
structural floodwall Station to
other (describe): Station to
c. Structural Type (check one): monolithic cast-in place reinforced concrete reinforced concrete sheet piling
other (describe):
d. Has this levee/floodwall system been certified by a Federal agency to provide protection from the base flood?
If Yes, by which agency?
e. Attach certified drawings containing the following information (indicate drawing sheet numbers):
Plan of the levee embarkment and floodwall structures     Sheet Numbers
2. A profile of the levee/floodwall system showing the Base Flood Elevation (BFE), levee and/or wall crest and foundation, and closure locations for the total levee system.  Sheet Numbers
3. A profile of the BFE, closure opening outlet and inlet invert elevations, type and size of opening, and kind of closure.  Sheet Numbers

		E. L	.EVEE/FLOODWALL (contir	nued)	
1.	System Elements (continu	ued)			
	e. Attach certified drawin	ngs containing the following info	rmation (indicate drawing she	eet numbers):	
	4. A layout detail for the	e embarkment protection measi	ures.	Sheet N	umbers
		size and shape of the levee er losure structures, and pump sta		on treatment, Sheet N	umbers
2.	Freeboard				
	a. The minimum freeboa	ard provided the BFE is:			
	Riverine				
	3.0 feet or more at the	downstream end and througho	ut	Yes	] No
	3.5 feet or more at the	upstream end		Yes	] No
	4.0 feet within 100 feet	t upstream of all structures and	or constrictions	Yes	] No
	<u>Coastal</u>				
		ght of the one percent wave ass e elevation or maximum runup (		Yes	] No
	2.0 feet above the 1%-	annual-chance stillwater surge	elevation	Yes	] No
		ally exceptions are made to the 65.10(b)(1)(ii) of the NFIP regu		ent. If an exception is requ	ested, attach documentation
	If No is answered to an	ny of the above, please attach a	ın explanation		
	h Is there an indication t	from historical records that ice-	amming can affect the BFF?	☐ Yes ☐	] No
	D. 10 thoro arr maioation i	mon motorious records that lee j	arming can allocate and brile.		] 140
3.	<u>Closures</u>				
	a. Opening through the I	evee system (check one):	exists	does not	exist
	Channel Station	Loft or Dight Donk	Ononing Type	Highest Elevation for	Type of Cleause Device
		Left or Right Bank	Opening Type	Opening Invert	Type of Closure Devise
/F:					
`	te: Geotechnical and geo	quired detailed analysis reports tem features should be submitt			and used in the design analysis Corps of Engineers (USACE)
4.	Embarkment Protection				
	a. The maximum levee s	slope land side is:			
	b. The maximum levee s	slope flood side is:			
	c. The range of velocities	s along the levee during the bas	se flood is:	(min.) to	(max.)

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (continued)									
4. Embankmen	t Protection (continued)	<u> </u>							
d. Embankı	ment material is protect	ed by (describe w	hat kind):						
e. Riprap D Attach re	esign Parameters (cheo ferences	ck one):	Velocity		Tractive stre	ess			
		1	1	1		1	Ston	Dinran	
	Reach	Slideslope	Flow Depth	Velocity	Curve or Straight	Stone Riprap  D100 D50 Thickness		Thickness	Depth of Toedown
			Верин		Otraignt	100	50	THICKHESS	
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to					-			
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
(Extend table on	an added sheet as nee	eded and reference	ce each entry	<b>/</b> )					
f. Is a beddi	ng/filter analysis and de	esign attached?	Yes	□No					
		-	_						
g. Describe	the analysis used for o	ther kinds of prote	ection used (	include copie	s of the desigr	n analys	is):		
Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.									
5 Embankment	5. Embankment and foundation Stability								
a. Identify lo	a. Identify locations and describe the basis for selection of critical location for analysis:								
Overal	height: Sta.:		, he	eight	ft.				
Limitin	Limiting foundation soil strength								
Streng	th <del>0</del> =	degrees, c	=	psf					
Slone	SS =	(h) to		(v)					
				_					
(Кереа	(Repeat as needed on an added sheet for additional locations)								
b. Specify the	e embankment stability	analysis methodo	ology used (e	e.g., circular a	rc, sliding bloo	ck, infinit	te slope	e, etc.):	
c. Summary	of stability analysis resu	ılts:							
Case	Loading Co				Critical Safe	ety Facto	or		Critical Min.
I	End of construction	<u> </u>			Ontiour Cur	oty i dot			1.3
II	Sudden drawdown								1.0
III	Critical flood stage								1.4
IV	Steady seepage at flo	 od stage							1.4
VI	Earthquake (Case I)								1.0
(Reference: US.	ACE EM-1110-2-1913	Γable 6-1)	•						

	E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (continued)					
5. Embankment and Fo	undation Stab	ility (continue	ed)			
d. Was a seepage a	nalysis for the	embarkmen	t performed?	Yes No		
If Yes, describe m	ethodology us	sed:				
e. Was a seepage a	nalysis for the	foundation p	performed?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
f. Were uplift pressu	res at the emb	oankment lan	dside toe checked?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
g. Were seepage ex	it gradients ch	necked for pip	ping potential?	Yes No		
h. The duration of the	he base flood	hydrography	against the embarkme	nt is hou	ırs.	
Attach engineering	analysis to s	upport constr	ruction plans.			
6. Floodwall and Found	ation Stability					
a. Describe analysis	submittal bas	sed on Code	(check one): UB0	C (1988)	(specify):	
b. Stability analysis	submitted pro	vides for:	Overturning	Sliding If not, expl	ain:	
c. Loading included	in the analysis	s where:	☐lateral earth @ PA	=psf; Pp =	psf	
Surcharge-Sl	ope @	,	surface	_psf		
∭Wind @ Pw =		psf				
Seepage (Up	olift):		Earthqua	ke @ Peq =	%g	
1%-annual-cl	1%-annual-chance significant wave heightft.					
1%-annual-cl	1%-annual-chance significant wave periodsec.					
d. Summary of Stab	ilitv Analvsis F	Results: Fact	tors of Safety.			
			ion and loading condition	on limitation for each re	espective reach.	
	Criteria	a (Min)	Sta	То	Sta	То
Loading Condition	Overturn	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding
Dead & Wind	1.5	1.5				
Dead & Soil	1.5	1.5				
Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impact	1.5	1.5				
Dead, Soil, & Seismic	1.3	1.3				
	te: Extend tal	ole on an add	USACE EM 1110-2-25 ded sheet as needed ar			
		J. 23 ty		d Load (nef)	Short Torr	m Load (nef)
Bearing Pressure  Computed design maximum		Sustained Load (psf) Sho		Short Ten	ort Term Load (psf)	
Maximum allowable						
f. Foundation scour protection is, is not provided. If provided, attach explanation and supporting documentation.  Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.						
7. <u>Settlement</u>						
<ul> <li>a. Has anticipated po freeboard margin?</li> </ul>			etermined and incorpora No	ated into the specific co	onstruction elevations to main	ntain the established
b. The computed ra	nge of settlem	nent is	ft. to	ft.		
1						

		E. LEVEE/FLO	ODWALL (continued)	
7.	Settlement (continued)			
	c. Settlement of the levee crest is determined t	o be primarily from:	Foundation consolidation	Embankment compression
	Other (describe):			
	d. Differential settlement of floodwalls	nas  has not	been accommodated in the struct	ural design and construction.
	Attach engineering analysis to support constr	uction plans.		
8.	Interior Drainage			
	Specify size of each interior watershed:			
	5	eroe		
		cres		
		res		
	b. Relationships Established			
	Ponding elevation vs. storage	☐ Yes ☐	No	
	Ponding elevation vs. gravity flow	Yes	No	
	Differential head vs. gravity flow	Yes	No	
	c. The river flow duration curve is enclosed:	Yes	No	
	d. Specify the discharge capacity of the head p	ressure conduit: _	cfs	
	e. Which flooding conditions were analyzed?			
	* Gravity flow (Interior Watershed)	Yes	No	
	* Common storm (River Watershed)	☐ Yes ☐	No	
	* Historical ponding probability	☐ Yes ☐	No	
	* Coastal wave overtopping	Yes	No	
	If No for any of the above, attach explana	tion.		
	f. Interior drainage has been analyzed based o facilities to provide the established level of flo	n joint probability of	interior and exterior flooding and t	
	g. The rate of seepage through the levee syste	m for the base flood	iscfs	
	h. The length of levee system used to drive this	s seepage rate in iter	m g:ft.	
	i. Will pumping plants be used for interior drain.	age?	] No	
	If Yes, include the number of pumping plants		For each pumping plant, list:	
			Plant #1	Plant #2
Th	ne number of pumps		riant#1	Plant #2
_	ne ponding storage capacity			
_	ne maximum pumping rate			
Th	ne maximum pumping head			
Th	ne pumping starting elevation			
Th	ne pumping stopping elevation			
ls t	the discharge facility protected?			
ls 1	there a flood warning plan?			
	ow much time is available between warning ad flooding?			
,	Will the operation be automatic?	☐ Yes ☐	No	

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (continued)
8. Interior Drainage (continued)
If the pumps are electric, are there backup power sources? Yes No
(Reference: USACE EM-1110-2-3101, 3102, 3103, and 3105)
Include a copy of supporting documentation of data and analysis. Provide a map showing the flooded and maximum ponding elevations for all interior watersheds that result in flooding.
9. Other Design Criteria  a. The following items have been addressed as stated:  Liquidfication is is not a problem  Hydrocompaction is is not a problem  Heave differential movement due to soils of high shrink/swell is is not a problem
b. For each of these problems, state the basic facts and corrective action taken:
Attach supporting documentation.  c. If the levee/floodwall is new or enlarged, will the structure adversely impact flood levels and/or flow velocities flood side of the structure?  Yes No Attach supporting documentation.  d. Sediment Transport Considerations?  Was sediment transport considered? Yes No  If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered.
<ul> <li>10. Operational Plan and Criteria</li> <li>a. Are the planned/installed works in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP regulations? Yes No</li> <li>b. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for closure devices as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(1) of the NFIP regulations? No</li> <li>c. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for interior drainage as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the NFIP regulations?</li> <li>Yes No If the answer is No to any to the above, please attach supporting documentation.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>11. Maintenance Plan</li> <li>a. Are the planned/installed works in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP regulations?</li> <li>Yes No If No please attach supporting documentation.</li> </ul>
12. Operations and Maintenance Plan  Please attach a copy of the formal Operations and Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall.

F. SEDIMENT TRANSPORT
Flooding Source:
Name of Structure:
If there is any indication from historical records that sediment transport (including scour and deposition) can affect the Base Flood Elevation (BFE); and/or based on the stream morphology, vegetative cover, development of the watershed and bank conditions, there is a potential for debris and sediment transport including scour and deposition) to affect the BFEs, then provide the following information along with the supporting documentation:
Sediment load associated with the base flood discharge: Volumeacre-feet
Debris load associated with the base flood discharge: Volumeacre-feet
Sediment transport rate(percent concentration by volume)
Method used to estimate sediment transport:
Most sediment transport formulas are intended for a range of hydraulic conditions and sediment sizes; attach a detailed explanation for using the selected method.
Method used to estimate scour and/or deposition:
Method used to revise hydraulic or hydrologic analysis (model) to account for sediment transport:
Please note that bulke flows are used to evaluate the performance of a structure during the base flood; however, FEMA does not map BFEs based on bulked flows.
If a sediment analysis has not been performed, an explanation as to why sediment transport (including scour and deposition) will not affect the BFEs or structures must be provided.