

Form Approved

OMB No. 0920-0879

Expiration Date 01/31/2021

Introduction and Instructions

Welcome! The Cloudburst Group, with funding and support from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Division of STD Prevention (DSTDP), are conducting this assessment to assess the value, need, gaps, and impact of strategic partnerships between territorial and local health departments (LHD) with the highest STD morbidity (syphilis, chlamydia and gonorrhea) and their STD clinical partners.

Completing the questionnaire is voluntary and takes approximately 60 minutes. Cloudburst will not publish or share any identifying information about individual respondents with CDC. There are no known risks or direct benefits to you from participating or choosing not to participate, but your answers will help CDC improve and inform partner engagement practices and case studies for STD programs nationwide on how to develop effective partnerships to further STD prevention and control goals.

This assessment is distributed to one county/city STD program with significant STD morbidity in all 59 DSTDP funded project areas. Aggregated results of the assessment will be shared with other DSTDP funded programs and CDC. The assessment should be completed by the county/city STD Program with assistance from management of the STD Clinic. If you have more than one STD clinic, we will ask you to select one for the purposes of completing this assessment. Portions of the assessment relate to the selected STD Clinic, and other portions are related to the STD program in general.

After beginning the online assessment, you can save your responses and continue the assessment later, if necessary. If you need to return to a previous page that you have already completed, please use the previous button at the bottom of each page (rather than the internet browser back arrow at the top of the screen).

Your responses should be answered on behalf of your county/city health department. Thank you in advance for your time and attention to this assessment. If you have any questions or concerns about this assessment, please contact Dr. Steven Sullivan, Senior Project Director, at steven.sullivan@cloudburstgroup.com or 301-385-6693.

By clicking the OK button, you are providing your consent to participate voluntarily in this activity. To begin, please click next.

CDC estimates the average public reporting burden for this collection of information as 60 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data/information sources, gathering and maintaining the data/information needed, and completing and reviewing the

collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing burden to CDC/ATSDR Information Collection Review Office, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-0879).



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

P

Program context/background
1. Jurisdiction Name:
2. In your county/city, is/are there specialized STD Clinics? (These are defined as those that provide specialized STD care, delivering more comprehensive and expert STD clinical services beyond risk assessment, screening and treatment services such as: on-site stat diagnosis, advanced diagnostics, or or site injectable antibiotics to treat syphilis and gonorrhea.)
Yes
○ No
CLOUDBURST CROUP Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction
Program context/background
3. In your county/city, have any specialized STD clinics permanently closed in the last 3 years? [If no, skip to question 4.]
Yes
○ No



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

Program context/background

4. If you answered yes to question 3, how many specialized STD clinics have permanently closed in the last 3 years?
CLOUDBURST CLOUDBURST Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction
5. Currently, what is the <u>primary</u> point of care for safety net STD services in your county/city? If there are multiple clinics that provide safety net STD services, please select the clinic that diagnoses the most STDs, which can include your health department's clinic. Please select the clinic type that best describes this clinic.
Specialized STD clinic HIV prevention or care clinic
Family planning/reproductive health clinic Hospital-affiliated health clinic
Federally-qualified health center (FQHC) or other community University-affiliated health clinic health center
Other, please specify: General public health clinic
Other (please specify)
6. What is the name of the clinic you selected in the previous question? (This is the clinic you will be answering questions about in this assessment)



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

7. In addition to STD s offer onsite? Select all		nd treatment, wh	ch of the followin	g services does t	this STD clinic
Behavioral sexual risk	reduction counseling/	patient education			
Community education/	outreach				
Expedited partner ther	ару				
HCV testing					
Hep B Vaccine					
HIV Prep or PEP (refe meds/prescription prov		or actual			
HIV testing					
HIV treatment (excludi	ng PrEP and PEP)				
HPV vaccine					
Linkage to HIV care (re linkage or staff actually		er/navigator for			
Partner services					
8. Over the last 3 years		Resources are increasing somewhat	No change in resources	Resources are decreasing somewhat	ff and funding? Resources are decreasing a lot
Staff					
Funding					
9. Over the last 3 years, what STD clinical services have decreased as a result of the decrease in resources? Select all that apply.					
Decreased clinic hours					
Decreased patient volume					
Decreased patient volu	ume				
Decreased patient volu Decreased STD scree					
	ning/testing				
Decreased STD scree	ning/testing nent				
Decreased STD scree	ning/testing nent creased				

	which of the following funding sources currently c vided by that clinic? Select all that apply	ontri	bute to the fur	iding of any of the services
	STD program funding		Health insurance	e claims
	HIV prevention funding		Patient self-pay	
	HIV care/treatment funding		I don't know	
	In the past 3 years, which of the items below has anization? Select all that apply.	the c	clinic received	in-kind (free/donated) from a partner
	Care coordination and case management			
	Clinical staff time			
	Clinical supplies (e.g. test/diagnostic kits, drugs for treatmen	t)		
	Clinic or office space			
	Assistance with data collection, analysis, or assessment			
	Patient education materials			
	Lab services			
	Marketing and outreach efforts to promote the clinic			
	Staff training r professional development			
	Other (please specify)			
12.	In the past 3 years, has there been any change or	trer	nd in in-kind re	sources for the clinic?
	Resources are increasing a lot		Resources are o	lecreasing somewhat
\bigcirc	Resources are increasing somewhat		Resources are o	lecreasing a lot
\bigcirc	No change in resources			
13. clini	In the past 3 years, how has this change in in-kind	l res	ources affecte	d the services provided by the
	Decrease in clinic hours		Decreased STD	treatment
	Decreased patient volume		None of the abo	ve have decreased
\bigcirc	Decreased STD screening/testing			
	Other clinical service decreased (please specify)			



[This portion of the assessment applies to the STD program, not the clinic.]

14. Over the last 3 years, what kind of change or trend has there been in the STD program's staff and funding? Please fill out the table below.

		Resources are		Resources are	
	Resources are increasing a lot	increasing somewhat	No change in resources	decreasing somewhat	Resources are decreasing a lot
Staff					
Funding					
15. Which of the follow all that apply. STD program funding HIV prevention funding HIVE care/treatment fu	3	es currently cont	ribute to the fundii	ng of the STD pr	ogram? Select
16. In the past 3 years a partner organization? Care coordination and Office space	? Select all that ap		STD program rec	eived in-kind (fre	e/donated) from
Assistance with data c	ollection, analysis, or	assessment			
Patient/client education	nal materials				
Lab services					
Marketing or outreach	efforts to promote the	clinic			
Staff training or profes	sional development				
Other (please specify)					

17. In the past 3 years, has there been any change or trend in in-kind resources for the STD program?	
Resources are increasing a lot	
Resources are increasing somewhat	
No change in resources	
Resources are decreasing somewhat	
Resources are decreasing a lot	
18. In the past 3 years, have the STD program's services increased or decreased?	
Non-clinical STD program services are increasing	
Non-clinical STD program services are decreasing	
No change in Non-clinical STD program services	



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

A partnership is defined as a collaborative relationship between organizations. For the purposes of this assessment, a clinical partner is defined as an entity that supports STD clinical services and may include private health care provider or organization, community health center or federally qualified health center, correctional facility, educational institution, family planning/ reproductive health clinic, HIV/AIDS prevention or care program, hospital, maternal and child health program, behavioral or mental health agency, tribal organization or other community based organizations. The purpose of this relationship is to work toward shared goals through a division of labor that all parties agree on. A partner is an entity with which you work on clinical or programmatic activities regularly. A partner is not someone with whom you exchange information with infrequently.

19. Does the STD program currently have any collaborative partnerships with other organizations, for the purpose of maintaining or supplementing STD clinical services available in the city/county?



No (If no, skip to the end of assessment)



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

20. Please indicate the types of agencies/organizations that the STD program has partnerships with to maintain or supplement clinical STD services for at-risk populations in the community. Please click yes or no for each partner type.

	Yes	No	Don't Know
Private health care provider or organization			
Community health center or federally qualified health center			
Correctional facility			
Educational institution			
Family planning/ reproductive health clinic			
HIV/AIDS prevention or care program			
Hospital			
Maternal and child health program			
Behavioral or mental health agency			
Tribal organization			
Other community based organization			
Other (please specify)			



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

In the next set of questions, we would like you to focus on the STD programs' top three clinical partnerships that the program has developed to maintain or supplement clinical STD services, including addressing service gaps, for at-risk populations in the community.

maintenance of or strengthen/expand clinical STD services. To help fill out this assessment in Survey				
Monkey, you might want to write down the name of each partner and its number as you fill out the rest of the assessment to remind you which partner you are referring to.				
Partner 1				
Partner 2				
Partner 3				
22. Please select the	type of organization for Partn	er 1, 2, and 3		
	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3	
Private health care provider or organization				
Community health center or federally qualified health center				
Correctional facility				
Educational institution				
Family planning/ reproductive health clinic				
HIV/AIDS prevention or care program				
Hospital				
Maternal and child health program				
Behavioral or mental health agency				
Tribal organization				
Other community based organization				
23. About how long ha	as this priority partnership bee	·		
Less than one year	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3	
2 - 4 years				
5 - 10 years				
More than 10 years				

21. Please select the top three clinical partnerships that the STD program developed to ensure

partner			
	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3
STD Clinic closures/reduction in services			
Funded to work together			
Long history of working together			
Mission alignment			
Other (please specify)			
25. How formal are the agr	eements with your prior	ity partners? Select one for e Partner 2	ach partner? Partner 3
25. How formal are the agr Formal, written agreement (e.g., MOU)			
Formal, written			
Formal, written agreement (e.g., MOU)			
Formal, written agreement (e.g., MOU) Formal, contract Informal, verbal			
Formal, written agreement (e.g., MOU) Formal, contract Informal, verbal agreement Informal, just part of the			
Formal, written agreement (e.g., MOU) Formal, contract Informal, verbal agreement Informal, just part of the culture			
Formal, written agreement (e.g., MOU) Formal, contract Informal, verbal agreement Informal, just part of the culture			

24. What is the primary reason that you selected this partner as high priority? Select one answer for each

26. Please indicate which STD clinical services outcomes the STD programhopes to improve through each partnership. Select all that apply for each partner.

	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3
Expand clinic hours			
Increase number of providers offering STD services for at-risk populations			
Reduce duplication of services			
Increase community outreach/education efforts			
Increase in STD screening/testing			
Increase in STD treatment			
Increase in new cases identified			
Increase in partner services			
Increase in counseling/patient education			
Increase in expedited partner therapy (EPT)			
Increase in HPV vaccine			
Increase in HCV testing			
Increase in HIV PrEP			
Increase in linkages to HIV care			
Increase in HIV treatment			
Improve data sharing			
Increase lab services			

27. Please indicate which STD clinical services outcomes **have been improved** as a result of this partnership, over the past 12 months? Select all that apply for each partner.

	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3
Expand clinic hours			
Increase number of providers offering STD services for at-risk populations			
Reduce duplication of services			
Increase community outreach/education efforts			
Increase in STD screening/testing			
Increase in STD treatment			
Increase in new cases identified			
Increase in partner services			
Increase in counseling/patient education			
Increase in expedited partner therapy (EPT)			
Increase in HPV vaccine			
Increase in HCV testing			
Increase in HIV PrEP			
Increase in linkages to HIV care			
Increase in HIV testing			
Increase in HIV treatment			
Improve data sharing			
Increase lab services			

28. Which priority at-risk population(s) are these partnerships r	meant to serve? Select up to three at-risk
populations for each partner.	

	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3
Adolescents and young adults			
Incarcerated persons			
Men who have sex with men (MSM)			
People who inject drugs or in drug treatment			
Pregnant women			
Racial/ethnic minorities			
All persons at risk of STDs (not population-specific)			
Other (please specify)			

29. In the past 12 months, what resources and collaborative activities have your priority partners provided?

	Partner 1 Provides	Partner 2 Provides	Partner 3 Provides
Care coordination and case management			
Clinical staff time			
Clinical supplies (i.e. test/diagnostic kits, drugs for treatment)			
Community/public education			
Co-location of services (i.e. office space)			
Data collection and analysis (i.e. conduct program assessments, develop questionnaires, prepare reports)			
Data sharing with other public health programs (i.e. HIV, primary care, school health)			
Patient educational materials			
Lab services			
Marketing and outreach efforts to promote STD services			
Monitoring clinical outcomes (i.e. conducting research, providing recommendations)			
Needs assessment			
Professional trainings and staff development			
Other (please specify)			

30. Over the past 12 months, how frequently has your STD program engaged in these partnerships? Engaged can be defined as working together on shared objectives, holding frequent meetings with each other, working together on funding proposals, etc. Select one for each partner.

	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3
Weekly			
Monthly			
Quarterly			
Bi-annually			
Yearly			



31. In the past 12 months, which of the following best describes the structure of the partnership? Select one for each partner.

	Partner 1	Partner 2	Partner 3
Cooperative			
Coordinated			
Integrated			



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

For this last set of questions, please think about those three priority partnerships as a group and provide responses based on your role as STD Program Manager.

32. Thinking about your priority partnerships, what barriers or issues have you encountered in those partnerships in the prior 3 years? Select all that apply.		
Cultural barriers		
Cultural competency		
Different agendas and priorities		
Lack of financial resources		
Lack of partner organization leadership support		
Lack of staff resources (staff time, competing priorities of staff)		
Lack of STD program leadership support		
Political and historical issues/barriers		
Turf protection (protecting organization's control of certain projects or areas of work)		
projects or areas of work)		
projects or areas of work) 33. Thinking about your priority partnerships, how important are the following components? Please rank the components for this group from 1—5, with 1 being the most important to 5 being the least important. Group One		
33. Thinking about your priority partnerships, how important are the following components? Please rank the components for this group from 1—5, with 1 being the most important to 5 being the least important.		
33. Thinking about your priority partnerships, how important are the following components? Please rank the components for this group from 1—5, with 1 being the most important to 5 being the least important. Group One		
33. Thinking about your priority partnerships, how important are the following components? Please rank the components for this group from 1—5, with 1 being the most important to 5 being the least important. Group One Mutual trust and respect: partners do not fear ridicule or reprisal.		
33. Thinking about your priority partnerships, how important are the following components? Please rank the components for this group from 1—5, with 1 being the most important to 5 being the least important. Group One Mutual trust and respect: partners do not fear ridicule or reprisal. Compatibility: open to discussion and mission alignment.		

34. Thinking about your priority partnerships, how important are the following components? Please rank the components for this group from 1—4, with 1 being the most important to 4 being the least important.

Group 7	Two
---------	-----

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Use of resources : each partner's knowledge, ability, and experience are fully utilized
9 0 0 0 0 0	Purpose: guided by a shared vision and purpose that builds trust and recognizes the value and contribution all members
9 0 0 0 0 0	Leadership: shared leadership among respected individuals who are recognized and empowered by their organizations to build consensus and resolve conflicts
0 0 0 0 0 0	Culture and values: Shared "can-do" values, mutual understanding, and an acceptance of differences (e.g. norms, ways of working)
	w often, in the last 3 years, has the STD programexplicitly defined the desired outcomes or it goals of those priority partnerships?
○ We	always defined the desired outcomes or goals
○ We	sometimes defined the desired outcomes or goals
○ We	e rarely or never defined the desired outcomes or goals
	w often, in the last 3 years, has the STD programassessed any aspect of those priority rships, in any way?
) We	always assessed these partnerships in some way
○ We	e sometimes assessed these partnerships in some way
○ We	e rarely or never assessed these partnerships in some way
	w often, in the last 3 years, has the STD programmeasured any quantitative outcomes lated with those priority partnerships?
○ We	always measured quantitative outcomes
○ We	sometimes measured quantitative outcomes
○ We	rarely or never measured quantitative outcomes

38. How often in the last 3 years has the STD p	rogramassessed the economic value or costs
associated with those priority partnerships?	

We always measured economic value or costs
We sometimes measured economic value or costs
We rarely or never measured economic value or costs



Assessment of Partnerships Impacting Sexually Transmitted Diseases Outcomes in Areas of Service Reduction

Thank you for your time and effort to complete this assessment. Please press SUBMIT to confirm your submission.