INSTRUMENT 1 IMPLEMENTATION SURVEY INTERVIEW TOPIC GUIDE

A. Introduction

Thank you for speaking with me today. As you know, my organization, Mathematica Policy Research is leading the Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP) Multi-Component Evaluation on behalf of the Administration for Children and Families. The study's purpose is to provide information to policymakers and administrators about how PREP-funded programs are operationalized in the field, to collect and analyze program performance measure data, and to assess the effectiveness of selected PREP-funded programs at achieving program goals.

As part of the PREP Evaluation, the study team is gathering information from four states to produce a detailed description of the structures and supports that are in place to assist in PREP program implementation. To achieve this goal, we are speaking with staff from various organizations involved with PREP program implementation and support to learn about the different structures and practices designed to support and monitor the PREP program.

The purpose of this interview is to collect information on supports for program implementation; it is not an assessment or monitoring effort. Everything that you say will be kept strictly private within the study team and participation is voluntary. The study report will include a list of the states that participated in these interviews, but all interview data will be reported in the aggregate. In our reports, we will not identify a specific person, program, or state unless we are highlighting a promising practice. If you do not feel comfortable answering a particular question, please let me know and we will move on to the next one.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 0970-0398 and it expires XX/XX/2017.

This discussion should take about one hour. Do you have any questions before we begin?

[The research team will not ask all questions of all respondents. For example, some questions pertain only to the work of certain staff (such as the state agencies responsible for overseeing the PREP program, and so on). Therefore, interviews will also be customized based on each respondent's job duties and knowledge.]

B. Topic Guide for Telephone Interviews in Four Grantee States

Construct	Interview Topics
PREP Structure and Planning	
Implementation structure	Characteristics of organizations involved in PREP implementation, such as mission and length of operation Changes in staffing structures and responsibilities for the PREP

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	program, by organizational affiliation, since initial design and
	planning interviews (summer of 2012)
	Modifications or adaptations to PREP implementation plans that has
	occurred and why
	Potential future modifications or adaptations to implementation plans
Model fit for convice providers	based on experience, and why
Model fit for service providers	Lessons learned in the selection of PREP models at state grantee
	and provider levels Lessons learned in the selection of providers to deliver PREP
	services
	Level of experience (and success) in delivering the selected model
	by providers prior to PREP; extent of similar in any challenges
	experienced prior to and during PREP implementation
	Level of experience delivering teen pregnancy prevention programs
	Level of experience in serving the targeted population for PREP
	Successes and challenges in transitioning to PREP implementation
	Organizational characteristics and role in community and how
	characteristics and role provide advantages or challenges in
	delivering PREP services
	Perceived degree of overall "fit" of PREP model(s) within local
	provider organization and community (the degree to which the
Lacada from DDED planning	model aligns with the organization's objectives and service capacity)
Lessons from PREP planning	Time from planning to early implementation and factors that determined the timeframe
	Lessons learned during planning and early implementation of PREP that informed program refinement
	Retrospective view of approaches to PREP planning (and potential
	differences in decisions or process) based on experience
	T dilletelices ili decisions di biocessi based dil expelletice
DRFD Program Support: Training	
PREP Program Support: Training	g and Technical Assistance
PREP Program Support: Training Implementation support structure	g and Technical Assistance Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting
	changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting
	g and Technical Assistance Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews
	g and Technical Assistance Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012)
	g and Technical Assistance Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP
	changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities
	changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation
	changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model
	changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization,
	changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP
	changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation
	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support
	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation
	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time
	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for facilitators
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for facilitators and supervisors to prepare them to deliver PREP
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for facilitators and supervisors to prepare them to deliver PREP Specific requirements or benchmarks that facilitators must meet to
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for facilitators and supervisors to prepare them to deliver PREP Specific requirements or benchmarks that facilitators must meet to deliver PREP sessions
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for facilitators and supervisors to prepare them to deliver PREP Specific requirements or benchmarks that facilitators must meet to deliver PREP sessions Sufficiency of training in preparing staff to deliver or support PREP,
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for facilitators and supervisors to prepare them to deliver PREP Specific requirements or benchmarks that facilitators must meet to deliver PREP sessions Sufficiency of training in preparing staff to deliver or support PREP, or what additional training may be needed
Implementation support structure	Changes in the role of state grantee agency in supporting implementation; number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation since initial design and planning interviews (summer of 2012) Use and number of intermediary organizations to support PREP training and technical assistance (T/TA); number and responsibilities of staff supporting PREP implementation T/TA provider's experience with and exposure to the PREP model they are supporting; experience working with grantee organization, and service providers implementing PREP Role of PREP model developer(s) in supporting implementation Strengths and weaknesses to the T/TA approach pursued to support PREP implementation Adequacy of resources to support T/TA and changes in funding for T/TA over time Training or guidance from model developer that informed implementation support for PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for T/TA providers to prepare them to support PREP Provision, duration, format, and frequency of training for facilitators and supervisors to prepare them to deliver PREP Specific requirements or benchmarks that facilitators must meet to deliver PREP sessions Sufficiency of training in preparing staff to deliver or support PREP,

	T.:
Ongoing support and technical	Use of data or other methods to inform TA and implementation
assistance	support needs (to include federal performance measures, fidelity
	data, supervision and performance assessment, state grantee
	activities)
	Initiation, frequency, content, format and level of satisfaction of TA
	received from the model developer or national model purveyor
	Initiation, frequency, content, format and level of satisfaction of TA
	received from the state grantee agency
	Initiation, frequency, content, format and level of satisfaction of TA received from an organization designated to support PREP in the
	state (or from any other entities)
	Timing and means of first introduction of TA provider to program
	providers
	Level of satisfaction with ongoing level of communication between
	TA provider and program providers
	Feedback loops following TA (information to state grantee agency;
	feedback from program provider to TA provider about usefulness of
	TA; follow-up from TA provider with program provider to track or
	assess use of TA in practice)
	Areas of unmet needs for technical assistance or ongoing
	implementation support
	Key successes in technical assistance for the PREP program
Implementation Drivers: Compet	
Staff selection	Changes needed in organizational staffing to implement PREP;
	whether new roles or new staff were needed
	Skills, abilities, and other qualifications sought for PREP staff;
	whether these differ for existing staff or new hires
	Whether guidance was received to specify the qualifications for
	PREP staff
	Methods for communicating expectations for PREP during
	recruitment or hiring process Ease or difficulty in hiring staff with the qualifications for PREP;
	successful methods for getting staff in place
	Qualifications of current PREP facilitators and consistency in
	qualifications across all facilitators
	Key lessons from the initial staff selection and recruiting process
Staff turnover and retention	Degree of turnover among PREP staff at all levels
	How the turnover for PREP compares to other programs within
	organization
	Main reasons for degree of turnover
	Methods and timing of filling vacated positions
	Operational challenges due to staff turnover
	Strategies for retaining staff and preventing future turnover
	Successes and challenges in staffing the PREP program
Staff expectations and	Methods of orienting staff to the requirements and expectations of
receptiveness	the PREP program
	Receptiveness of staff to use of formal curriculum and specifications
	of PREP
	Aspects of PREP that staff are resistant to
	Perceived level of consistency in which PREP staff (particularly
Ctoff ourses distanced	facilitators) deliver PREP
Staff supervision and	Expectations for supervision or oversight of PREP program
performance assessment	facilitators; frequency and methods for supervisory activities
	Degree to which expectations for supervision are met Common concerns or issues raised by facilitators during supervisory
	activities and ability of supervisors to address them
	\perp activities and ability of supervisors to address them

	Methods of performance assessment of PREP facilitators; use of
	observations, intended frequency, and focus
	Feedback loop following performance assessment; types of
	discussions, usefulness for staff in improving skills and fidelity to the
	PREP model
	Use of performance assessment information by administrators to
	support PREP implementation to improve practice and fidelity
Implementation Drivers: Organiz	ation Drivers
Decision-support data systems	Data collected (beyond performance measures) to inform or support
	PREP implementation; what is collected, why, and how
	How data are used to support PREP implementation and benefits
	from use of data
	Specific ways in which data has informed changes or improvements
	to PREP implementation or services
	Procedures for promoting timeliness and consistency in data entry
	related to PREP implementation; frequency or timing of data entry
	after a PREP activity
	Documentation, guidance, or training for data entry and use
	Type of data system used to track PREP implementation and
	support
	Perceived quality of data collected for the PREP program
Facilitative administration	Shared understanding among administrators and staff within
	organization about the goals of the PREP program and what it
	means to effectively implement an evidence-based program
	Presence of champion within organization who promotes shared
	understanding of PREP goals and expectations
	Methods of developing a shared understanding (or buy-in) of PREP
	among PREP staff as well as among other staff in the organization
	Resistance to PREP within the organization
	Perception of role of state grantee agency as a partner in supporting
	PREP implementation
	Perceptions of commitment of each implementation partner in
	supporting the level of staffing, training, and ongoing assistance
	necessary to implement PREP
	Willingness to adjust or develop new policies and procedures to
	support PREP model
	Methods to identify and reduce administrative or operational barriers
	to PREP implementation
Communication, decision-making,	Description of lines of communication between service delivery staff
and feedback loops	and management within program provider organizations to facilitate
'	or support PREP implementation
	Participation of service delivery staff in developing or modifying
	procedures or processes or identifying implementation challenges;
	formality of their involvement and regularity of consultation or
	feedback
	Examples, if applicable, of policies, procedures, or processes that
	have changed within program provider organizations as a result of
	service delivery staff input
	Description of frequency and mode of communication between state
	grantees and program providers to support PREP implementation,
	and changes in this communication over time
	Examples, if applicable, of policies, procedures, or processes that
	have changed at the state grantee level as a result of program
	provider input
	Means of identifying issues or problems in PREP implementation
	across all entities involved in service delivery and support, and

	methods of developing strategies across entities to address issues
Systems interventions	Methods of connecting with other systems or partners to support
	PREP implementation at the state and local levels
	Change in partners over time
	Capacities that partners bring or help build to support PREP
	implementation
	Identification of barriers or problems across partners and methods of
	addressing
	Ability to gain buy-in and cooperation with organizational partners
	and within the community at large to support the PREP model
	Resistance to the approach or content of the PREP program within
	the state/community or from particular organizations such as schools
	or other community organizations; ways this has affected
	implementation
	Changes in existing service delivery structures across entities or
	within communities to support PREP implementation
	Perception of PREP program contribution to infrastructure to support
	youth education programs to prevent teen pregnancy in
	state/community
Fidelity Assessment and Monit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Program modifications or	Description of PREP model modifications or adaptations that were
adaptations	implemented, including type and reasons needed, degree of
adaptationo	adaptations and use of existing adaptation kit to guide adaptations
	Process for obtaining approval of modifications or adaptations and
	entity that had final approval
	Process for rolling out modifications or adaptations (if made after
	implementation began); and process for monitoring implementation
	of modification or adaptation
	Collaboration with model developer, state grantee, or T/TA provider
	to inform modification or adaptation
	Plans for additional modifications or adaptations to the PREP model
	Type and degree of unplanned model adaptations that occurred (and
	why)
	Guidance and support provided by state grantee or T/TA provider
	with regard to implementation of modifications or adaptations
Adherence to service model	Methods that state grantee agency uses to convey expectations
Adherence to service model	regarding quality and consistency of PREP services
	Efforts to ensure that all staff carry out program activities in a
	consistent manner
	Obstacles that impede the delivery of consistent services across
	program staff; strategies to remove obstacles
	Access to materials (such as curriculum, supporting materials,
	procedures manuals) to support ongoing program delivery and
	address questions or issues related to practice among PREP staff
	Concerns by program model developer about the ability of program
	providers to provide the PREP model as designed
	Easiest and most challenging aspects of the PREP program to
	implement
Monitoring service delivery	How high quality delivery of PREP services is defined, and by whom
Monitoring service delivery	Use of existing fidelity indicators (from model developer) or extent of
	development of indicators such as fidelity monitoring logs
	Ways that fidelity data are used to support or improve
	implementation and by whom
	Aspects of implementation that are monitored on a regular basis,
	with what frequency, how monitored, by whom, for what purpose, to
	whom reported

	Methods of collection of fidelity data (self-report, observation, data system)	
	Documentation and tracking of planned and actual content and	
	activities of each PREP session, and adaptations made	
	Methods of assessing quality of PREP sessions, frequency, by	
	whom, and how is information used	
	Changes in PREP service delivery due to monitoring efforts	
	Lessons learned, successes or challenges identified through	
Evaluation Custoinshility and L	monitoring	
Evaluation, Sustainability, and Lessons Learned		
Evaluation capacity	Evaluation activities focused on PREP program	
	Role of external entities in monitoring and evaluating PREP	
	implementation; number and type of organizations and staff involved	
	Methods of communicating evaluation information to PREP	
	stakeholders at the state and local levels	
	Methods of using evaluation information to improve PREP	
	implementation	
	Key findings from evaluation that are currently available	
	Level of interaction and communication between evaluators and	
	state grantee agency, program providers and T/TA providers	
Sustainability	Sustained readiness of organization (financial, organizational,	
	logistical capacities) to implement PREP	
	Efforts to sustain the implementation infrastructure and funding to	
	deliver PREP services after the federal grant period ends	
	Level of coordination in efforts to sustain the PREP program across	
	partners at the state and local level	
	Opportunities and challenges in ability to sustain the implementation	
	or supports for the PREP program	
Perceptions and lessons learned	Opinion of current number and type of PREP program models as	
about supporting PREP	right for organization's ability to implement (or support) PREP with	
implementation	quality and fidelity	
	Opinion of current number of program providers as right for	
	organization's ability to implement (or support) PREP with quality	
	and fidelity	
	Factors that facilitate ability to implement PREP	
	Challenges that affect ability to implement PREP and how they have	
	been addressed	
	Based on experience, how might the organization have done things	
	differently to implement or support PREP	
	Advice for other organizations implementing evidence-based teen	
	pregnancy prevention programs	
	Advice for other state and federal agencies about how to support	
	evidence-based programs generally or PREP specifically	