Medicaid and CHIP State Plan, Waiver, and Program Submissions

PRA Disclosure Statement - This information is being collected to assist the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services in program monitoring of Medicaid Section 1115 Substance Use Disorder Demonstrations. This mandatory information collection (42 CFR 431.428) will be used to support more efficient, timely and accurate review of states' SUD 1115 demonstrations monitoring reports submissions to support consistency of monitoring and evaluation of SUD 1115 Demonstrations, increase in reporting accuracy, and reduce timeframes required for monitoring and evaluation. Under the Privacy Act of 1974 any personally identifying information obtained will be kept private to the extent of the law. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this project is 0938-1148 (CMS-10398 #57). Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 20 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

1. Title Page for the State's SUD Demonstration or SUD Components of Broader Demonstration

The state should complete this Transmittal Title Page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page of all SUD Monitoring Reports. The content of this transmittal table should stay consistent over time.

State	Enter state name
Demonstration Name	Enter full demonstration name as listed in the demonstration approval.
Approval Date	Enter approval date of the demonstration as listed in the demonstration approval letter.
Approval Period	Enter the entire approval period for the demonstration. This should include a start date and an end date
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD Related) Demonstration Goals and Objectives	Enter summary of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration goals and objectives as summarized in the STCs and/or demonstration fact sheet.

2. Executive Summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. This executive summary is intended for summary level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

Enter the executive summary text here

3. Narrative Information on Implementation, by Reporting Topic

Prompts	Demonstration year (DY) and quarter first reported	Related metric (if any)	Summary			
1.2 Assessment of Need and Qualification for SUD Services						
1.2.1 Metric Trends						
Discuss any relevant trends that	EXAMPLE	EXAMPLE	EXAMPLE			
the data shows related to	DY 1, Qtr. 2	8: Medicaid	The number of beneficiaries with a SUD diagnoses treated in an IMD in the last quarter			
assessment of need and		beneficiaries	decreased by 5% due to the closure of one IMD in the state.			
qualification for SUD services. At		with SUD				
a minimum, changes (+ or -)		diagnosis				
greater than two percent should		treated in an				
be described.		IMD				
[Add rows as needed]						
\Box The state has no metrics trends to	o report for this rep	orting topic.				
1.2.2 Implementation Update			,			
Compared to the demonstration	EXAMPLE	EXAMPLE	EXAMPLE			
design details outlined in the	DY 1, Q2	N/A	There are no planned changes to the target population or clinical criteria.			
STCs and implementation plan,						
have there been any changes or						
does the state expect to make any						
changes to: A) the target						
population(s) of the						
demonstration? B) the clinical						
criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that						
qualify a beneficiary for the						
demonstration?						
Are there any other anticipated	DY 1, Q2	EXAMPLE	EXAMPLE			
program changes that may impact		6 and 7:	The state projects an x% increase in beneficiaries with an SUD diagnosis due to an			
metrics related to assessment		Medicaid	increase in the FPL limits which will be effective on X date.			

of need and qualification for	hanaf	iciaries			
of need and qualification for	with S				
SUD services? If so, please					
describe these changes.	diagn				
	(mont				
The state has no implementation update to report for this reporting topic.					
2.2 Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other SUDs (Milestone 1)					
2.2.1 Metric Trends					
Discuss any relevant trends that					
the data shows related to					
assessment of need and					
qualification for SUD services. At					
a minimum, changes (+ or -)					
greater than two percent should					
be described.					
[Add rows as needed]					
\Box The state has no metrics trends to	report for this reporting t	opic.			
2.2.2 Implementation Update					
Compared to the demonstration					
design and operational details					
outlined the implementation plan,					
have there been any changes or					
does the state expect to make any					
changes to:					
a. Planned activities to improve					
access to SUD treatment					
services across the continuum					
of care for Medicaid					
beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient					
services, intensive outpatient					
services, medication assisted					
treatment, services in					
intensive residential and					

inpatient settings, medically			
supervised withdrawal			
management)?			
b. SUD benefit coverage under			
the Medicaid state plan or the			
Expenditure Authority,			
particularly for residential			
treatment, medically			
supervised withdrawal			
management, and medication			
assisted treatment services			
provided to individuals in			
IMDs?			
Are there any other anticipated			
program changes that may impact			
metrics related to access to			
critical levels of care for OUD			
and other SUDs? If so, please			
describe these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
\Box The state has no implementation u	updates to report fo	r this reporting	topic.
3.2 Use of Evidence-based, SUD-sp	ecific Patient Place	cement Criteria	a (Milestone 2)
3.2.1 Metric Trends			1
Discuss any relevant trends that			
the data shows related to			
assessment of need and			
qualification for SUD services.			
Changes (+ or -) greater than two			
percent should be described.			
[Add rows as needed]			
□ The state is reporting metrics relat	ted to Milestone 2,	but has no metr	rics trends to report for this reporting topic.
□ The state is not reporting any met	rics related to this 1	reporting topic.	

3.2.2 Implementation Update	
Compared to the demonstration	
design and operational details	
outlined the implementation plan,	
have there been any changes or	
does the state expect to make any	
changes to:	
a. Planned activities to improve	
providers' use of evidence-	
based, SUD-specific	
placement criteria?	
b. Implementation of a	
utilization management	
approach to ensure:	
i. Beneficiaries have	
access to SUD services	
at the appropriate level	
of care?	
ii. Interventions are	
appropriate for the	
diagnosis and level of	
care?	
iii. Use of independent	
process for reviewing	
placement in residential	
treatment settings?	
Are there any other anticipated	
program changes that may impact	
metrics related to the use of	
evidence-based, SUD-specific	
patient placement criteria (if the	
state is reporting such metrics)? If	

so, please describe these changes.			
☐ The state has no implementation			
· · ·	SUD-specific Prog	ram Standards	to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)
4.2.1 Metric Trends		1	
Discuss any relevant trends that			
the data shows related to			
assessment of need and			
qualification for SUD services.			
Changes (+ or -) greater than two			
percent should be described.			
[Add rows as needed]			
			ics trends to report for this reporting topic.
☐ The state is not reporting any me	trics related to this	reporting topic.	
4.2.2 Implementation Update			
Compared to the demonstration			
design and operational details			
outlined the implementation plan,			
have there been any changes or			
does the state expect to make any			
changes to:			
a. Implementation of residential			
treatment provider			
qualifications that meet the			
ASAM Criteria or other			
nationally recognized, SUD-			
specific program standards?			
b. State review process for			
residential treatment			
providers' compliance with			
qualifications standards?			
c. Availability of medication			
assisted treatment at			

residential treatment			
facilities, either on-site or			
through facilitated access to			
services off site?			
Are there any other anticipated			
program changes that may impact			
metrics related to the use of			
nationally recognized SUD-			
specific program standards to set			
provider qualifications for			
residential treatment facilities (if			
the state is reporting such			
metrics)? If so, please describe			
these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
\Box The state has no implementation			
5.2 Sufficient Provider Capacity a	at Critical Levels o	f Care includin	g for Medication Assisted Treatment for OUD (Milestone 4)
5.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends that			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described.			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described.	o report for this repo	orting topic.	
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed]	o report for this repo	orting topic.	
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to 5.2.2 Implementation Update Compared to the demonstration	o report for this repo	orting topic.	
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to 5.2.2 Implementation Update Compared to the demonstration design and operational details	o report for this repo	orting topic.	
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to 5.2.2 Implementation Update Compared to the demonstration	o report for this repo	orting topic.	

does the state expect to make any			
changes to planned activities to			
assess the availability of			
providers enrolled in Medicaid			
and accepting new patients in			
across the continuum of SUD			
care?			
Are there any other anticipated			
program changes that may impact			
metrics related to provider			
capacity at critical levels of care,			
including for medication assisted			
treatment (MAT) for OUD? If so,			
please describe these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
\Box The state has no implementation	updates to report for	or this reporting	topic.
6.2 Implementation of Comprehe	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2 Implementation of Comprehe 6.2.1 Metric Trends	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -)	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described.	nsive Treatment a	nd Prevention S	Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed]			Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described.			Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed]			Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
 6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to 6.2.2 Implementation Update Compared to the demonstration 			Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
 6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to the demonstration design and operational details 			Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
 6.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to 6.2.2 Implementation Update Compared to the demonstration 			Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)

does the state expect to make any			
changes to:			
a. Implementation of opioid			
prescribing guidelines and			
other interventions related to			
prevention of OUD?			
b. Expansion of coverage for			
and access to naloxone?			
Are there any other anticipated			
program changes that may impact			
metrics related to the			
implementation of comprehensive			
treatment and prevention			
strategies to address opioid abuse			
and OUD? If so, please describe			
these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
The state has no implementation			
L			
The state has no implementation			
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that 			
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to 			
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that 			
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to 			
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and 			
☐ The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should			
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) 			
☐ The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should			
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. 	and Transitions bo	etween Levels o	
 The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] The state has no metrics trends to 7.2.2 Implementation Update 	and Transitions bo	etween Levels o	
 □ The state has no implementation 7.2 Improved Care Coordination 7.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to 	and Transitions bo	etween Levels o	

outlined the implementation plan,			
have there been any changes or			
does the state expect to make any			
changes to implementation of			
policies supporting beneficiaries'			
transition from residential and			
inpatient facilities to community-			
based services and supports?			
Are there any other anticipated			
program changes that may impact			
metrics related to care			
coordination and transitions			
between levels of care? If so,			
please describe these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
□ The state has no implementation			topic.
0.2 SUD Health Information Teel		1	
8.2 SUD Health Information Tech	nnology (Health II)	
8.2.1 Metric Trends	hnology (Health 11)	
	nnology (Health 11)	
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to	nnology (Health I I)	
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that	nnology (Health I I)	
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to	nnology (Health I I)	
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two	nnology (Health 11)	
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services.	nnology (Health I I)	
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed]			
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described.			
 8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to the state has no metrics trends to the state has no the state has no to th			
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to			
 8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to the state of the demonstration update Compared to the demonstration design and operational details 			
8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to 11.2.2 Implementation Update Compared to the demonstration			
 8.2.1 Metric Trends Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. Changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described. [Add rows as needed] □ The state has no metrics trends to the state of the demonstration update Compared to the demonstration design and operational details 			

state expect to make any changes to:	
to:	
a. How health IT is being used	
to slow down the rate of	
growth of individuals	
identified with SUD?	
b. How health IT is being used	
to treat effectively individuals	
identified with SUD?	
c. How health IT is being used	
to effectively monitor	
"recovery" supports and	
services for individuals	
identified with SUD?	
d. Other aspects of the state's	
plan to develop the health IT	
infrastructure/capabilities at	
the state, delivery system,	
health plan/MCO, and	
individual provider levels?	
e. Other aspects of the state's	
health IT implementation	
milestones?	
f. The timeline for achieving	
health IT implementation	
milestones?	
g. Planned activities to increase	
use and functionality of the	
state's prescription drug	
monitoring program?	
Are there any other anticipated	
program changes that may impact	

metrics related to SUD Health IT			
(if the state is reporting such			
metrics)? If so, please describe			
these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
□ The state has no implementation	updates to report for	or this reporting	topic.
9.2 Other SUD-Related Metrics			
9.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends that			
the data shows related to			
assessment of need and			
qualification for SUD services. At			
a minimum, changes (+ or -)			
greater than two percent should			
be described.			
[Add rows as needed]			
\Box The state has no metrics trends to	o report for this repo	orting topic.	
9.2.2 Implementation Update			
Are there any anticipated program			
changes that may impact the other			
SUD-related metrics? If so,			
please describe these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
□ The state has no implementation	updates to report fo	or this reporting	topic.
10.2 Budget Neutrality			
10.2.1 Current status and analysis	S		
Discuss the current status of			
budget neutrality and provide an			
analysis of the budget neutrality			
to date. If the SUD component is			
part of a comprehensive			
demonstration, the state should			

provide an analysis of the SUD-			
related budget neutrality and an			
analysis of budget neutrality as a			
whole.			
[Add rows as needed]			
\Box The state has no metrics trends to	o report for this repo	orting topic.	
10.2.2 Implementation Update			
Are there any anticipated program			
changes that may impact budget			
neutrality? If so, please describe			
these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
\Box The state has no implementation	updates to report for	or this reporting	topic.
11.1 SUD-Related Demonstration	Operations and P	olicy	
11.1.1 Considerations			
Highlight significant SUD (or if			
broader demonstration, then			
SUD-related) demonstration			
operations or policy			
considerations that could			
positively or negatively impact			
beneficiary enrollment, access to			
services, timely provision of			
services, budget neutrality, or any			
other provision that has potential			
for beneficiary impacts. Also note			
any activity that may accelerate			
or create delays or impediments			
in achieving the SUD			
demonstration's approved goals			
or objectives, if not already			
reported elsewhere in this	L		

document. See report template					
instructions for more detail.					
[Add rows as needed]					
	□ The state has no related considerations to report for this reporting topic.				
11.1.2 Implementation Update					
Compared to the demonstration					
design and operational details					
outlined in STCs and the					
implementation plan, have there					
been any changes or does the					
state expect to make any changes					
to:					
a. How the delivery system					
operates under the					
demonstration (e.g. through					
the managed care system or					
fee for service)?					
b. Delivery models affecting					
demonstration participants					
(e.g. Accountable Care					
Organizations, Patient					
Centered Medical Homes)?					
c. Partners involved in service					
delivery?					
Has the state experienced any					
significant challenges in					
partnering with entities contracted					
to help implement the					
demonstration (e.g., health plans,					
credentialing vendors, private					
sector providers)? Has the state					
noted any performance issues					

with contracted entities?			
What other initiatives is the state			
working on related to SUD or			
OUD? How do these initiatives			
relate to the SUD demonstration?			
How are they similar to or			
different from the SUD			
demonstration?			
[Add rows as needed]			
□ The state has no implementation	updates to report for	or this reporting t	topic.
12.1 SUD Demonstration Evaluat	ion Update		
12.1.1 Narrative Information			
Provide updates on SUD			
evaluation work and timeline.			
The appropriate content will			
depend on when this report is due			
to CMS and the timing for the			
demonstration. See report			
template instructions for more			
details.			
Provide status updates on			
deliverables related to the			
demonstration evaluation and			
indicate whether the expected			
timelines are being met and/or if			
there are any real or anticipated			
barriers in achieving the goals			
and timeframes agreed to in the			
STCs.			
List anticipated evaluation-related			
deliverables related to this			
demonstration and their due			

datas				
dates. [Add rows as needed]				
	······	- + - + + 6		
	The state has no SUD demonstration evaluation update to report for this reporting topic			
	13.1 Other Demonstration Reporting			
13.1.1 General Reporting Require	ements			
Have there been any changes in				
the state's implementation of the				
demonstration that might				
necessitate a change to approved				
STCs, implementation plan, or				
monitoring protocol?				
Does the state foresee the need to				
make future changes to the STCs,				
implementation plan, or				
monitoring protocol, based on				
expected or upcoming				
implementation changes?				
Compared to the details outlined				
in the STCs and the monitoring				
protocol, has the state formally				
requested any changes or does the				
state expect to formally request				
any changes to:				
a. The schedule for completing				
and submitting monitoring				
reports?				
b. The content or completeness				
of submitted reports? Future				
reports?				
Has the state identified any real or				
anticipated issues submitting				
timely post-approval				

	1	
demonstration deliverables,		
including a plan for remediation?		
[Add rows as needed]		
☐ The state has no updates on gene	eral reporting requirements to repo	ort for this reporting topic.
13.1.2 Post Award Public Forum		
If applicable within the timing of		
the demonstration, provide a		
summary of the annual post-		
award public forum held pursuant		
to 42 CFR § 431.420(c)		
indicating any resulting action		
items or issues. A summary of the		
post-award public forum must be		
included here for the period		
during which the forum was held		
and in the annual report.		
[Add rows as needed]		
\square There was not a post-award publ	ic forum held during this reportin	g period and this is not an annual report, so the state has no post award public forum update to
report for this reporting topic.		
14.1 Notable State Achievements	and/or Innovations	
14.1 Narrative Information		
Provide any relevant summary of		
achievements and/or innovations		
in demonstration enrollment,		
benefits, operations, and policies		
pursuant to the hypotheses of the		
SUD (or if broader		
demonstration, then SUD related)		
demonstration or that served to		
provide better care for		
individuals, better health for		
populations, and/or reduce per		

capita cost. Achievements should			
focus on significant impacts to			
beneficiary outcomes. Whenever			
possible, the summary should			
describe the achievement or			
innovation in quantifiable terms,			
e.g., number of impacted			
beneficiaries.			
[Add rows as needed]			
□ The state has no notable achievements or innovations to report for this reporting topic.			