## CFSR PIP Pilot: Pre-Onsite Feedback Survey



THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The control number for this project is 0970-0401. The control number expires on 5/31/2021.

The Capacity Building Collaborative is conducting an evaluation of the Round 3 Child and Family Services Review (CFSR) Program Improvement Plan (PIP) Pilots. Please provide feedback about your experience in preparing for the PIP Pilot on-site meeting. This feedback will be used to inform the onsite meeting and other PIP process improvements. All information you provide is voluntary and anonymous. The survey should take about 5 minutes to complete. If you have any questions, please contact Christine Leicht, Capacity Building Center for States Evaluation Lead at <u>Christine.Leicht@icf.com</u>.

- 1. Which of the following best describes your agency role? (Select One)
  - a. Public Agency Director/Deputy Director
  - b. Public Agency Program/Middle Manager
  - c. Public Agency Supervisor
  - d. Public Agency Caseworker/Direct Practice Worker/Frontline staff
  - e. Family/Youth Partner
  - f. Legal/Court Partner
  - g. Private Agency Partner
  - h. Federal Partner
  - i. TA Provider
  - j. Other (Please Specify)

Please rate your agreement with the following statements.

		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
	I feel like the pre-onsite work has been valuable.					
(	I think that the pre-onsite work will contribute to the development of a high quality PIP.					
	The roles and responsibilities for participants in the pre-onsite work were clear.					

5.	Before going into the pre-onsite work, I understood what was expected of me.			
6.	There was good representation of key stakeholders from inside the state agency for the pre-onsite work.			
7.	There was good representation of key stakeholders from <u>outside</u> the state agency for the pre-onsite work.			
8.	Pre-onsite meetings felt well planned.			
9.	Information I received for the pre-onsite work made it easy for me to participate.			
10	. The pre-onsite work was effectively facilitated.			
11	Conversations during the pre-onsite work felt constructive.			
12	. I had an opportunity to make meaningful contributions to the pre-onsite work.			
13	The data and analyses used during the pre-onsite work helped me understand the reasons for the state's performance.			
14	. The technical assistance provided during the pre-onsite work was helpful.			

15. What could have improved the pre-onsite work?

16. What suggestions do you have for the PIP Pilot onsite meeting?

