

**In-Person Convening  
Child Care Policy Research Partnership Grantees  
Meeting Evaluation Form**

Friday, March 27, 2020 – Liaison Washington Capitol Hill

Thank you for attending the CCPRP Grantee meeting! Please take a few minutes to provide feedback. Your responses will be used to shape future Community of Practice (CoP) meetings.

**Please circle a number to indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement.**

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Neither Agree Nor Disagree 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree

	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree
<b><u>Session 1: Sharing Grantee Updates with Shannon</u></b>					
1. I felt this session was a good use of my time.	1	2	3	4	5
2. I am more aware of how my project goals relate to federal policy interests.	1	2	3	4	5
<b><u>Session 2: Peer Working Group Session I</u></b>					
3. Which peer working group did you join for Session I?	_____				
4. I felt this session was a good use of my time.	1	2	3	4	5
5. I felt opportunities for collaboration became clearer.	1	2	3	4	5
<b><u>Session 3: Making Information Useful to State Administrators</u></b>					
6. I felt this session was a good use of my time.	1	2	3	4	5
7. I felt the discussions were helpful for my project.	1	2	3	4	5
<b><u>Session 4: Peer Working Group Session II</u></b>					
8. Which peer working group did you join for Session II?	_____				
9. I felt this session was a good use of my time.	1	2	3	4	5
10. I felt opportunities for collaboration became clearer.	1	2	3	4	5
<b><u>Session 5: Sampling and Recruitment</u></b>					
11. I felt this session was a good use of my time.	1	2	3	4	5
12. I felt the discussions were helpful for my project.	1	2	3	4	5
<b><u>Session 6: Next Steps</u></b>					
13. I felt this session was a good use of my time.	1	2	3	4	5
14. I understand how the CoP will support the CCPRP grantees.	1	2	3	4	5
<b><u>Reflecting on the Meeting as a whole</u></b>					
15. Overall, I felt the meeting was a good use of my time.	1	2	3	4	5
16. I felt comfortable contributing to the discussion.	1	2	3	4	5
17. I will be able to apply what I learned in the meeting to my work.	1	2	3	4	5

18. If you disagreed (2) or strongly disagreed (1) with any statements above, please explain further:

---

---

---

---

19. Please circle a response to indicate if you would have preferred to spend more time, about the same time, or less time on each of the following:

- |      |                                    |           |                |           |
|------|------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| i.   | Grantee Updates                    | More time | About the same | Less time |
| ii.  | Whole group discussion             | More time | About the same | Less time |
| iii. | Peer working groups                | More time | About the same | Less time |
| iv.  | Planning next steps                | More time | About the same | Less time |
| v.   | Informal networking and discussion | More time | About the same | Less time |

20. What aspects of the meeting did you find most useful?

---

---

---

---

21. Do you have any additional comments for the meeting organizers, including topics you wish had been covered more deeply? Topics you would like to discuss in our next meetings?

---

---

---

---

---

---

22. Please indicate your role:

- Grantee CCDF lead agency staff
- Grantee research partner

**Thank you for your time!**

**Paperwork Reduction Act Statement:** This collection of information is voluntary and will be used to collect participant feedback to shape future meetings. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to [jisaacs@urban.org](mailto:jisaacs@urban.org).