#### SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 8, Orig.

State of Arizona, Plaintiff,
v.
State of California et al.

On Joint Motion to Enter
Supplemental Decree and Motions
for Leave to Intervene.

{January 9, 1979}

PER CURIAM,

The United States of America, Intervenor, State of Arizona, Complainant, the California Defendants (State of California, Palo Verde Irrigation District, Imperial Irrigation District, Coachella Valley County Water District, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, City of Los Angeles, City of San Diego, County of San Diego), and State of Nevada, Intervenor, pursuant to Art. VI of the Decree entered in the case on March 9, 1964, at 376 U.S. 340, and amended on February 28, 1966, at 383 U.S. 268, have agreed to the present perfected rights to the use of mainstream water in each State and their priority dates as set forth herein. Therefore, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the joint motion of the United States, the State of Arizona, the California Defendants, and the State of Nevada to enter a supplemental decree is granted and that said present perfected rights in each State and their priority dates are determined to be as set forth below, subject to the following:

- (1) The following listed present perfected rights relate to the quantity of water which may be used by each claimant and the list is not intended to limit or redefine the type of use otherwise set forth in said Decree.
- (2) This determination shall in no way affect future adjustments resulting from determinations relating to settlement of Indian reservation boundaries referred to in Art. II(D)(5) of said Decree.
- (3) Article IX of said Decree is not affected by this list of present perfected rights.
- (4) Any water right listed herein may be exercised only for beneficial uses.
- (5) In the event of a determination of insufficient mainstream water to satisfy present perfected rights pursuant to Art. II(B)(3) of said Decree, the Secretary of the Interior shall, before providing for the satisfaction any of the other present perfected rights except for those listed herein as "MISCELLANEOUS PRESENT PERFECTED RIGHTS" (rights numbered 7-21 and 29-80 below) in the order of their priority dates without regard to State lines, first provide for the satisfaction in full of all rights of the Chemehuevi Indian Reservation, Cocopah Indian Reservation, Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Colorado River Indian Reservation, and the Fort Mohave Indian Reservation as set forth in Art. II(D)(1)-(5) of said Decree, provided that the quantities fixed in paragraphs (1) through (5) of Art. II(D) of said Decree shall continue

to be subject to appropriate adjustment by agreement or decree of this Court in the event that the boundaries of the respective reservations are finally determined. Additional present perfected rights so adjudicated by such adjustment shall be in annual quantities not to exceed the quantities of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of the practicably irrigable acres which are included within any area determined to be within a reservation by such final determination of a boundary and for the satisfaction of related uses. The quantities of diversions are to be computed by determining net practicable irrigable acres within each additional area using the methods set forth by the Special Master in this case in his Report to this Court dated December 5, 1960, and by applying the unit diversion quantities thereto, as listed below:

	, <b>,</b>
Indian Reservation	Unit Diversion Quantity Acre-Feet <u>Per Irrigable Acre</u>
Cocopah	6.37
Colorado River	6.67
Chemehuevi	5.97
Ft. Mohave	6.46
Ft. Yuma	6.67

The foregoing reference to a quantity of water necessary to supply consumptive use required for irrigation, and as that provision is included within paragraphs (1) through (5) of Art. II(D) of said Decree, shall constitute the means of determining quantity of adjudicated water rights but shall not constitute a restriction of the usage of them to irrigation or other agricultural application. If all or part of the adjudicated water rights of any of the five Indian Reservations is used other than for irrigation or other agricultural application, the total consumptive use, as that term is defined in Art. I(A) of said Decree, for said Reservation shall not exceed the consumptive use that would have resulted if the diversions listed in subparagraph (i) of paragraphs (1) through (5) of Art. II(D) of said Decree and the equivalent portions of any supplement thereto had been used for irrigation of the number of acres specified for that Reservation in said paragraphs and supplement and for the satisfaction of related uses. Effect shall be given to this paragraph notwithstanding the priority dates of the present perfected rights as listed below. However, nothing in this paragraph (5) shall affect the order in which such rights listed below as "MISCELLANEOUS PRESENT PERFECTED RIGHTS" (numbered 7-21 and 29-80 below) shall be satisfied. Furthermore, nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to determine the order of satisfying any other Indian water rights claims not herein specified.

Ι

# ARIZONA

A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights

The federal establishments named in Art. II, subdivision (D),

paragraphs (2), (4) and (5), of the Decree entered March 9, 1964, in this case, such rights having been decreed in Art. II:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet) <sup>1</sup>	Net Acres¹	Priority Date
1) Cocopah Indian Reservation	2,744	431	Sept. 27, 1917
2) Colorado River Indian Reservation	358,400 252,016 51,986	53,768 37,808 7,799	Mar. 3, 1865 Nov. 22, 1873 Nov. 16, 1874
3) Fort Mojave Indian Reservation	27,969 68,447	4,327 10,589	Sept. 18, 1890 Feb. 2, 1911

## B. Water Projects Present Perfected Rights

- (4) The Valley Division, Yuma Project in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 254,200 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 43,562 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of 1901.
- (5)
  The Yuma Auxiliary Project, Unit B in annual quantities not to exceed
  (i) 6,800 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the
  quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use
  required for irrigation of 1,225 acres and for the satisfaction of
  related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of
  July 8, 1905.
- (6) The North Gila Valley Unit, Yuma Mesa Division, Gila Project in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 24,500 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 4,030 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.

The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversions or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

## C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights

1. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in Arizona in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed acre-feet of diversion from the mainstream to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation and the satisfaction of related uses within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed.

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	PriorityDate
7) 160 acres in Lots 21, 24, and 25, Sec. 29 and Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18, and the SW¼ of the SE¼, Sec. 30, T. 16 S., R. 22 E., San Bernardino Base and Meridian, Yuma County, Arizona. (Powers) <sup>2</sup>	960	1915
8) Lots 11, 12, 13, 19, 20, 22 and S½ of SW¼, Sec. 30, T. 16 S., R. 22 E., San Bernardino Base and Meridian, Yuma County, Arizona. (United States) <sup>3</sup>	1,140	1915
9) 60 acres within Lot 2, Sec. 15 and Lots 1 and 2, Sec. 22, T. 10 N., R. 19 W., G&SRBM. (Graham) <sup>2</sup>	360	1910
10) 180 acres within the N½ of the S½ and the S½ of the N½ of Sec. 13 and the SW¼ of the NE¼ of Sec. 14, T. 18 N., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. $(Hulet)^2$	1,080	1902
11) 45 acres within the NE¼ of the SW¼, the SW¼ of the SW¼ and the SE¼ of the SW¼ of Sec. 11, T. 18 N., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. 80 acres within the N½ of the SW¼ of Sec. 11, T. 18 N., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. 10 acres within the NW¼ of the NE¼ of the NE¼ of Sec. 15, T. 18 N., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. 40 acres within the SE¼ of the SE¼ of Sec. 15, T. 18 N., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. (Hurschler)²	1,050	1902
12) 40 acres within Sec. 13, T. 17 N., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. (Miller) <sup>2</sup>	240	1902
13) 120 acres within Sec. 27, T. 18 N., R. 21 W., G&SRBM. 15 acres within NW¼ of the NW¼, Sec. 23, T. 18 N.,	810	1902

The name in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are used for identification of present perfected rights only; the name used is the first name appearing as the Claimants identified with a parcel in Arizona's 1967 list submitted to this Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Included as a part of the Powers' claim in Arizona's 1967 list submitted to this Court. Subsequently, the United States and Powers agreed to a Stipulation of Settlement on land ownership whereby title to this property was quieted in favor of the United States.

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority
R. 22 W, G&SRBM. (McKellips and Granite Reef Farms) <sup>4</sup>		
14) 180 acres within the NW¼ of the NE¼, the SW¼ of the NE¼, the NE¼ of the SW¼, the NW¾ of the SE¼, the NE¼ of the SE¼, and the SW¼ of the SE¼, and the SE¼ of the SE¼, Sec. 31, T. 18 N., R. 21 W., G&SRBM. (Sherrill & Lafollette)⁴	1,080	1902
15) 53.89 acres as follows: Beginning at a point 995.1 feet easterly of the NW corner of the NE¼ of Sec. 10, T. 8 S., R. 22 W., Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian; on the northerly boundary of the said NE¼, which is the true point of beginning, then in a southerly direction to a point on the southerly boundary of the said NE¼ which is 991.2 feet E. of the SW corner of said NE¼ thence easterly along the S. line of the NE¼, a distance of 807.3 feet to a point, thence N. 0°7' W., 768.8 feet to a point, thence E. 124.0 feet to a point, thence northerly 0°14' W., 1,067.6 feet to a point, thence northerly 0°20' W., 405.2 feet to a point, thence northerly 63°10' W., 506.0 feet to a point, thence northerly 90°15' W., 562.9 feet to a point on the northerly boundary of the said NE¼, thence easterly along the said northerly boundary of the said NE¼, thence easterly along the said northerly boundary of the said NE¼, 116.6 feet to the true point of the beginning containing 53.89 acres. All as more particularly described and set forth in that survey executed by Thomas A. Yowell, Land Surveyor on June 24, 1969. (Molina) <sup>4</sup>	318	1928
16) 60 acres within the NW¼ of the NW¼ and the north half of the SW¼ of the NW¼ of Sec. 14, T. 8 S., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. 70 acres within the S½ of the SW¼ of the SW¼, and the W½ of the SW¼, Sec. 14, T. 8 S., R. 22 W, G&SRBM. (Sturges)⁴	780	1925
17) 120 acres within the N½ NE¼, NE¼ NW¼, Section 23, T. 18 N., R. 22 W., G&SRBM. (Zozaya) <sup>4</sup>	720	1912
18) 40 acres in the W½ of the NE¼ of Section 30, and	960	1902

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are the names of claimants, added since the 1967 list, upon whose water use these present perfected rights are predicated.

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority Date
60 acres in the W½ of the SE¼ of Section 30, and 60 acres in the E½ of the NW¼ of Section 31, comprising a total of 160 acres all in Township 18 North, Range 21 West of the G&SRBM. (Swan) <sup>4</sup>		
19) 7 acres in the East 300 feet of the W½ of Lot 1 (Lot 1, being the SE½ SE¾, 40 acres more or less), Section 28, Township 16 South, Range 22 East, San Bernardino Meridian, lying North of U.S. Bureau of Reclamation levee right of way, EXCEPT that portion conveyed to the United States of America by instrument recorded in Docket 417, page 150 EXCEPTING any portion of the East 300 feet of W½ of Lot 1 within the natural bed of the Colorado River below the line of ordinary high water and also EXCEPTING any artificial accretions waterward of said line of ordinary high water, all of which comprises approximately seven (7) acres. (Milton and Jean Phillips)⁴	42	1900

2. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in Arizona in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-feet of (i) diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, for domestic, municipal, and industrial purposes within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Annual Consumptive Use (acre-feet)	<u>Priority Date</u>
20) City of Parker <sup>2</sup>	630	400	1905
21) City of Yuma <sup>2</sup>	2,333	1,478	1893

### CALIFORNIA

## A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights

The federal establishments named in Art. II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (1), (3), (4), and (5) of the Decree entered March 9, 1964, in this case such rights having been decreed by Art. II:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet) <sup>5</sup>	Net Acres⁵	Priority Date
22) Chemehuevi Indian Reservation	11,340	1,900	Feb. 2, 1907
23) Yuma Indian Reservation	51,616	7,743	Jan. 9, 1884
24) Colorado River Indian Reservation	10,745 40,241 3,760	1,612 6,037 564	Nov. 22, 1873 Nov. 16, 1874 May 15, 1876
25) Fort Mohave Indian Reservation	13,698	2,119	Sept. 18, 1890

# B. Water Districts and Projects Present Perfected Rights

(26)

The Palo Verde Irrigation District in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 219,780 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 33,604 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of 1877.

(27)

The Imperial Irrigation District in annual quantities not to exceed (i) **2,600,000 acre-feet** of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of **424,145 acres** and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of **1901**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversions or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

(28)
The Reservation Division, Yuma Project, California (non-Indian portion) in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 38,270 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 6,294 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.

# C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights

1. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in California in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-feet of diversion from the mainstream to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation and the satisfaction of related uses within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority <u>Date</u>
29) 130 acres within Lots 1, 2, and 3, SE¼ of NE¼ of Section 27, T. 16 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B.& M. (Wavers) <sup>6</sup>	780	1856
30) 40 acres within W½, W½ of E½ of Section 1, T. 9 N., R. 22 E., S.B.B.& M. (Stephenson) <sup>6</sup>	240	1923
31) 20 acres within Lots 1 and 2, Sec. 19, T. 13 S., R. 23 E., and Lots 2, 3, and 4 of Sec. 24, T. 13 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B.& M. (Mendivil) <sup>6</sup>	120	1893
32) 30 acres within NW¼ of SE¼, S½ of SE¼, Sec. 24, and NW¼ of NE¼ Sec. 25, all in T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B.& M. (Grannis) <sup>6</sup>	180	1928
33) 25 acres within Lot 6, Sec. 5; and Lots 1 and 2, SW¼ of NE¼, and NE¾ of SE¾ of Sec. 8, and Lots 1 & 2 of Sec. 9, all in T. 13 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B.& M. (Morgan) <sup>6</sup>	150	1913
34) 18 acres within E½ of NW¼ and W½ of NE¾ of Sec. 14, T. 10 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B.& M. (Milpitas) <sup>6</sup>	108	1918
35) 10 acres within N½ of NE¼, SE¼ of NE¼, and NE¼ of SE¼, Sec. 30, T. 9 N., R. 23 E., S.B.B.& M.	60	1889

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are used for identification of present perfected rights only; the name used is the first name appearing as the claimant identified with a parcel in California's 1967 list submitted to this Court.

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	PriorityDate
(Simons) <sup>6</sup>		
36) 16 acres within E½ of NW¼ and N½ of SW¼, Sec. 12, T. 9 N., R. 22 E., S.B.B.& M. (Colo. R. Sportsmen's League) <sup>6</sup>	96	1921
37) 11.5 acres within E½ of NW¼, Sec. 1, T. 10 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B.& M. (Milpitas) <sup>6</sup>	69	1914
38) 11 acres within S½ of SW¼, Sec. 12, T. 9 N., R. 22 E., S.B.B.& M. (Andrade) <sup>6</sup>	66	1921
39) 6 acres within Lots 2, 3, and 7 and NE¼ of SW¼, Sec. 19, T. 9 N., R. 23 E., S.B.B.& M. (Reynolds) <sup>6</sup>	36	1904
40) 10 acres within N½ of NE¼, SE¼ of NE¼ and NE¾ of SE¼, Sec. 24, T. 9 N., R. 22 E., S.B.B.& M. (Cooper) <sup>6</sup>	60	1905
41) 20 acres within SW¼ of SW¼ (Lot 8), Sec. 19, T. 9 N., R. 23 E., S.B.B.& M. (Chagnon) <sup>7</sup>	120	1925
42) 20 acres within NE¼ of SW¼, N½ of SE¼, SE¼ of SE¼, Sec. 14, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B.& M. (Lawrence) <sup>7</sup>	120	1915

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are the names of the homesteaders upon whose water use these present perfected rights, added since the 1967 list submitted to this Court, are predicated.

2. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in California in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-feet of (i) diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, for domestic, municipal, and industrial purposes within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

described and with the priority (	uates tisteu:		
Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Annual Consumptive Use (acre-feet)	<u>Priority Date</u>
43) City of Needles <sup>6</sup>	1,500	950	1855
44) Portions of: Secs. 5, 6, 7 & 8, T. 7 N., R. 24 E.; Sec. 1, T. 7 N., R. 23 E.; Secs. 4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 22, 23, 25, 26, 35, & 36, T. 8 N., R. 23 E.; Secs. 19, 29, 30, 32 & 33, T. 9 N., R. 23 E., S.B.B. & M. (Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co.) <sup>6</sup>	1,260	273	1896
45) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & SW¼ NW¾ of Sec. 5, T. 13 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Conger) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1921
46) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 of Sec. 32, T. 11 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (G. Draper) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1923
47) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and SE¼ SW¼ of Sec. 20, T. 11 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (McDonough) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1919
48) SW¼ of Sec. 25, T. 8 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Faubion) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1925
49) W½ NW¼ of Sec. 12, T. 9 N, R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Dudley) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1922
50) N½ SE¼ and Lots 1 and 2 of Sec. 13, T. 8 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Douglas) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1916
51) N½ SW¼, NW¼ SE¼, Lots 6 and 7, Sec. 5, T. 9 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Beauchamp) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1924

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions	Annual Consumptive Use	Driority Data
Defined Area of Land  52) NE¼ SE¼, SE¼ NE¼, and Lot 1,	(acre-feet) 1.0	(acre-feet) 0.6	1916
Sec. 26, T. 8 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Clark) <sup>7</sup>			
53) N½ SW¼, NW¼ SE¼, SW¼ NE¼ Sec. 13, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Lawrence) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1915
54) N½ NE¼, E½ NW¼, Sec. 13, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (J. Graham) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1914
S.B.B. & M. (Geiger) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1910
56) Fractional W½ of SW¼ (Lot 6) Sec. 6, T. 9 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Schneider) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1917
57) Lot 1, Sec. 15; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 14; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 23; all in T. 13 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Martinez) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1895
58) NE¼, Sec. 22, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Earle) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1925
59) NW¼ SE¼, Sec. 22, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Diehl) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1928
60) N½ NW¼, N½ NE¼, Sec. 23, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Reid) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1912
61) W½ SW¼, Sec. 23, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Graham) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1916
62) S½ NW¼, NE¼ SW¼, SW¼ NE¼, Sec. 23, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Cate) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1919
63) SE¼ NE¼, N½ SE¼, SE¼ SE¼, Sec. 23, T. 9 S., R. 21 E.,	1.0	0.6	1924

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Annual Consumptive Use (acre-feet)	Priority Date
S.B.B. & M. (McGee) <sup>7</sup>	(acre-reet)	(acre-reet)	Fildilly bace
64) SW¼ SE¼, SE¼ SW¼, Sec. 23, NE¼ NW¼, NW¼ NE¼, Sec. 26; all in T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Stallard) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1924
65) W½ SE¼, SE¼ SE¼, Sec. 26, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Randolph) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1926
66) E½ NE¼, SW¼ NE¼, SE¼ NW¼, Sec. 26, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Stallard) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1928
67) S½ SW¼, Sec. 13, N½ NW¼, Sec. 24; all in T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Keefe) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1926
68) SE¼ NW¼, NW¼ SE¼, Lots 2, 3 & 4, Sec. 25, T. 13 S., R. 23 E., S.B.B. & M. (C. Ferguson) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1903
69) Lots 4 & 7, Sec. 6; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 7; all in T. 14 S., R. 24 E., S.B.B. & M. (W. Ferguson) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1903
70) SW¼ SE¼, Lots 2, 3, and 4, Sec. 24, T. 12 S., R. 21 E., Lot 2, Sec. 19, T. 12 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Vaulin) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1920
71) Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Sec. 25, T. 12 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Salisbury) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1920
72) Lots 2, 3, SE¼ SE¼, Sec. 15, NE¼ NE¼, Sec. 22; all in T. 13 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Hadlock) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1924
73) SW¼ NE¼, SE¼ NW¼, and Lots 7 & 8, Sec. 6, T. 9 S., R. 22 E.,	1.0	0.6	1903

	Annual Diversions	Annual Consumptive Use	
<u>Defined Area of Land</u>	<u>(acre-feet)</u>	<u>(acre-feet)</u>	<u>Priority Date</u>
S.B.B. & M. (Streeter) <sup>7</sup>			
74) Lot 4, Sec. 5; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 7; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 8; Lot 1, Sec. 18; all in T. 12 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (J. Draper) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1903
75) SW¼ NW¼, Sec. 5; SE¼ NE¼ and Lot 9, Sec. 6; all in T. 9 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Fitz) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1912
76) NW¼ NE¼, Sec. 26; Lots 2 & 3, W½ SE¼, Sec. 23; all in T. 8 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Williams) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1909
77) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5, Sec. 25, T. 8 S., R. 22 E., S.B.B. & M. (Estrada) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1928
78) S½ NW¼, Lot 1, frac. NE¼ SW¼, Sec. 25, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Whittle) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1925
79) N½ NW¼, Sec. 25; S½ SW¼, Sec 24; all in T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Corington) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1928
80) S½ NW¼, N½ SW¼, Sec. 24, T. 9 S., R. 21 E., S.B.B. & M. (Tolliver) <sup>7</sup>	1.0	0.6	1928

#### **NEVADA**

### A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights

The federal establishments named in Art. II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (5) and (6) of the Decree entered on March 9, 1964, in this case, such rights having been decreed by Art. II:

guest rights maving been decreed	-,		
Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Net Acres	Priority Date
81) Fort Mojave Indian Reservation	12,534 <sup>8</sup>	1,939 <sup>8</sup>	Sept. 18, 1890
82) Lake Mead National Recreation Area (The Overton Area of Lake Mead N.R.A. provided in Executive Order 5105)	500	300 <sup>9</sup>	May 3, 1929 <sup>10</sup>

It is ordered that Judge Elbert P. Tuttle be appointed Special Master in this case with authority to fix the time and conditions for the filing of additional pleadings and to direct subsequent proceedings, and with authority to summon witnesses, issue subpoenas, and take such evidence as may be introduced and such as he may deem necessary to call for. The Master is directed to submit such reports as he may deem appropriate.

The Master shall be allowed his actual expenses. The allowances to him, the compensation paid to his technical, stenographic, and clerical assistants, the cost of printing his report, and all other proper expenses shall be charged against and borne by the parties in such proportion as the Court may hereafter direct.

It is further ordered that if the position of Special Master in this case becomes vacant during a recess of the Court, The Chief Justice shall have authority to make a new designation which shall have the same effect as if originally made by the Court.

It is further ordered that the motion of Fort Mohave Indian Tribe et al. for leave to intervene, insofar as it seeks intervention to oppose entry of the supplemental decree, is denied. In all other respects, this motion and the motion of Colorado River Indian Tribes et al. for leave to intervene are referred to the Special Master.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversions or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Refers to acre-feet of annual consumptive use, not to net acres.

Article II(D)(6) of said Decree specifies a priority date of March 3, 1929. Executive Order 5105 is dated May 3, 1929 (see C.F.R. 1964 Cumulative Pocket Supplement, p. 276, and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Special Master's Report in this case, pp. 294-295).

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Mr}}.$  Justice Marshall took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

RALPH E. HUNSAKER, Phoenix, Ariz., for complainant; EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General, State of California (SANFORD N. GRUSKIN, Chief Assistant Attorney General, R.H. CONNETT and N. GREGORY TAYLOR, Assistant Attorneys General; EDWIN J. DUBIEL, DOUGLAS B. NOBLE, EMIL STIPANOVICH, JR., and ANITA E. RUUD, Deputy Attorneys General, ROBERT P. WILL and RICHARD PAUL GERBER, with him on the brief) for defendant; LOUIS F. CLAIBORNE, Assistant to the Solicitor General (WADE H. MCCREE, JR., Solicitor General, JAMES W. MOORMAN, Assistant Attorney General, and MYLES E. FLINT, Justice Department attorney, with him on the brief) for intervenors; RAYMOND C. SIMPSON, Palo Verdes Estates, Calif., as amicus curiae for Fort Mojave Indian Tribe; LAWRENCE D. ASCHENBRENNER, Washington, D.C. as amicus curiae for Cocopah Indian Tribe; and TERRY NOBLE FISKE, Denver, Colo., as amicus curiae for Colorado River Indian Tribes.