SUPPORTING STATEMENT Internal Revenue Service 1545-2095 TD 9467 AND NOTICE 2014-53

1. <u>CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION</u>

TD 9467 (AFTAP)

United States Code sections 430(d), 430(f), 430(g), 430(h)(2), and 430(i), and 436 provide guidance on the determination of benefit liabilities and the valuation of plan assets for purposes of the funding requirements that apply to single employer defined benefit plans pursuant to changes made by the Pension Protection Act of 2006. In order to implement the statutory provisions under section 430(h)(2), the regulations provide for the sponsor of a defined benefit plan to make any of several elections related to the interest rate used for minimum funding purposes and require written notification of any such election to be provided to the plan's enrolled actuary.

Section 1.430(f)-1(f) requires that plan sponsors make elections regarding a plan's credit balances upon occasion. An election required by \$1.430(h)(2)-1(e) is made to use an alternative interest rate for purposes of determining a plan's funding obligations. The following information is required under \$\$1.430(f)-1(f), \$\$1.436-1(f), and 1.436-1(h):

- Election to reduce funding balance
- Election to add contribution to pre funding balance
- Designation of 436 contributions

The regulations require the plan sponsor to provide written notification of the election to the plan's enrolled actuary and plan administrator.

This information is required in order for a qualified defined benefit plan's enrolled actuary to provide a timely certification of the plan's adjusted funding target attainment percentage (AFTAP) for each plan year to avoid certain benefit restrictions.

Notice 2014-53 (HATFA)

The Highway and Transportation Funding Act of 2014 (HATFA), Pub. L. No.113-159, was enacted on August 8, 2014, and was effective retroactively for single employer defined benefit pension plans, optional for plan years beginning in 2013 and mandatory for plan years beginning in 2014. Notice 2014-53 provides guidance on these changes to the funding stabilization rules for single-employer pension plans.

2. USE OF DATA

The election data under section 430(f) and (h)(2) will be used in determining a defined benefit plan's funding status, and the certifications under section 436 will be used by a plan sponsor to determine whether and as of what date any benefit restrictions under section 436 apply to a plan. The regulations require the plan sponsor to provide written notification of the election to the plan's enrolled actuary.

The election data under Notice 2014-53 will be used in determining a defined benefit plan's funding obligations and applying funding based restrictions outlined in section 436 of the Code. The regulations require the plan sponsor to provide written notification of the election to the plan's enrolled actuary, plan administrator, and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

3. USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN

IRS Publications, regulations, published guidance, e.g., revenue rulings and revenue procedures, notices, letters, and letter rulings are to be electronically enabled on an 'as practicable' basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998. The IRS has no plans to offer electronic enabling because this is recordkeeping requirement.

4. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION

The information obtained through this collection is unique and is not already available for use or adaptation from another source.

5. <u>METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES</u>

Small businesses should not be disadvantaged as the form has been structured to request the least amount of information and still satisfy the requirements of the statute and the needs of the Service.

6. <u>CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES</u>

This information is required in order for a qualified defined benefit plan's enrolled actuary to provide a timely certification of the plan's adjusted funding target attainment percentage (AFTAP) for each plan year to avoid certain benefit restrictions. Less frequent collection will inhibit timely certification and notification.

7. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH THE GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

There are no special circumstances requiring data collection to be inconsistent with guidelines in 5CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

8. CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS

In response to the Federal Register notice dated November 15, 2017, (82 FR 52968), we received no comments during the comment period regarding TD 9467.

9. <u>EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO</u> RESPONDENTS

No payments or gifts will be provided to respondents.

10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

In general, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 U.S.C. § 6103, and certain matters relating to taxability and deductibility are disclosable under 26 U.S.C. § 6110.

11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

There is no sensitive personally identifiable information (PII) in this collection.

12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

Authority	Description	# of Respondents	# Responses per Respondent	Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Total Burden
	sponsor of a defined					
TD 9467	benefit plan elections	80,000	1	80,000	1.5	120,000
	Notice 2014-53	76.600	1	76.600	.5	38,000
Totals				156,600		158,000

13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

To ensure more accuracy and consistency across its information collections, IRS is currently in the process of revising the methodology it uses to estimate burden and costs. Once this methodology is complete, IRS will update this information collection to reflect a more precise estimate of burden and costs.

14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

To ensure more accuracy and consistency across its information collections, IRS is currently in the process of revising the methodology it uses to estimate burden and costs. Once this methodology is complete, IRS will update this information collection to reflect a more precise estimate of burden and costs.

15. REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN

There is no change to the paperwork burden previously approved by OMB. This collection is being submitted for renewal purposes.

16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

There are no current plans for tabulation, statistical analysis and publication.

17. REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS INAPPROPRIATE

IRS believes that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion leading taxpayers to believe that the revenue procedure will sunset as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service may request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.

Note: The following paragraph applies to all collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to the collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 U.S.C. § 6103.