

# Magnet Schools Assistance Program (MSAP) District Interview Protocol

Social Policy which conduct recognized si	my name is I'm from Mathematica Policy Research (or Research Associates - SPR). Mathematica is a nonpartisan research organization ets research in education and other public policy areas. [OR SPR is a nationally mall business that provides research, evaluation and technical assistance services ring with Mathematica on this study].
Program (MS. schools prommagnet school to districts the about their st	u're aware, we're conducting a national study of the Magnet Schools Assistance AP) for the U.S. Department of Education to learn more about whether magnet note diversity and boost student achievement, and whether particular features of ols are associated with greater success. Right now, we're in the process of speaking at received a 2016 or 2017 MSAP grant from the U.S. Department of Education to talk udent recruitment and admissions process and to determine whether those tools would be a good fit for the next phase of the study.
To complete t	this interview, you may find it helpful to have the following information on hand:
☐ Admis	ssions preferences for each MSAP school
□ (if app	olicable) Percent of students attending each MSAP school from outside the district
☐ (if app	plicable) School lottery details from 2018 including:
	The lowest grade for which a lottery was conducted
	The total number of students to be enrolled in the lottery grade
	The total number of applicants for the lottery grade prior to the lottery
	The number of applicants for the lottery grade excluded from the lottery because they had guaranteed or automatic admission
	The number of applicants for the lottery grade included in the lottery
	Number of lottery participants offered admission initially, based on lottery results (initial lottery "winners")
	Number of lottery participants not offered admission initially, based on lottery results (initial lottery "losers")
☐ (if app	olicable) Lottery waitlist details from 2018, including:
0	The number of students offered admission at the time of the lottery, including students with guaranteed or automatic admission and initial lottery "winners," who enrolled in the school in fall 2018
	The number of students offered admission at the time of the lottery who declined the offer and enrolled in a different school in fall 2018
	Number of lottery participants not offered admission at the time of the lottery (initial lottery "losers") who enrolled in the school in fall 2018 via waitlist offer
	Number of initial lottery "losers" never offered admission for fall 2018

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is xxxx-xxxxx. We estimate that it will take about 120 minutes to read the instructions, gather the facts, and answer the questions. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimates or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: [insert program sponsor/office], U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., [insert building/room number], Washington, D.C. 20202-xxxx.

[INTERVIEWER: WE SENT A STUDY SUMMARY ALONG WITH THE CUSTOMIZED SCREENER

PROTOCOL TO THE DISTRICT CONTACT IN ADVANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

PLEASE ASK IF THEY'VE RECEIVED IT. READ IT. AND HAVE ANY

OUESTIONS. IF THEY HAVEN'T RECEIVED IT OR READ IT, YOU CAN READ

THE SUMMARY BELOW.]

Did you receive the study summary we previously sent, and have you read through it? If so, do you have any questions?

[INTERVIEWER: READ THE FOLLOWING IF THE DISTRICT CONTACT HASN'T RECEIVED THE SUMMARY OR READ THROUGH IT.] We are beginning the study by speaking to all 2016 and 2017 MSAP grantee districts for two reasons: first, to help us get a picture of how MSAP grantees handle student recruitment and admissions across the board. This information will become part of a short report so that current and future grantees can learn about each other's practices. Second, we're trying to figure out how many grantee schools might be eligible to include in a study of magnet school effectiveness down the road. Magnet schools may be included in that study if they have more students applying to attend than available seats, and if they allocate some of those seats among applicants using random admissions lotteries.

Grantee districts and schools that are eligible for the Effectiveness Study will be asked to provide additional data in the future. If the Department of Education decides to pursue the study, we'll be following up with grantees that might be included early next year to discuss participation in more detail.

Mathematica Policy Research and its subcontractor SPR follow the confidentiality and data protection requirements of the Institute of Education Sciences at the Department of Education (Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002, Title I, Part E, Section 183). Responses to this data collection will be used only for research purposes. The reports prepared for the study will summarize findings across the sample and will not associate responses with a specific district, school, or individual. We will not provide information that identifies respondents to anyone outside the study team, except as required by law.

Do you have any questions before we get started?

#### A. MSAP-FUNDED SCHOOL-LEVEL INFORMATION

In this interview we are asking for information about schools in your district that received an MSAP grant since FY 2010. Our records indicate that your district received MSAP grant(s) in [PRE-FILL GRANT YEARS 2010, 2014, 2016, AND/OR 2017].

First, we want to identify the type of each magnet school. For each of these MSAP-funded schools:

A1. Is the magnet program school-wide, or is it a separate program (within a broader school) for only a portion of the school's students?

### A2. Is the magnet program:

- 1. A magnet program or school that was converted from a non-magnet public school,
- 2. A revised or enhanced program in a magnet school that was already operating before the grant award,
- 3. A new magnet program or school started from scratch, or
- 4. For 2010 or 2014 grantees, no longer operating as a magnet program or school?

	A	1.	A2.						
	Is the r		Is the magnet program [CHECK ONE ONLY]:						
School name and grant year	program a separate program within a broader school? [CHECK IF APPLICABLE]		Converted from a non-magnet public school	Revised existing magnet program	New magnet program	No longer operating as a magnet			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]	1		1 🔲	2	3 🔲	4 🔲			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]	1		1 🗆	2	з 🗌	4 🔲			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]	1		1 🗆	2	з 🗌	4 🔲			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]	1		1 🗆	2	з 🗌	4 🔲			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]	1		1 🗆	2	з 🗌	4 🔲			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]	1		1 🔲	2	з 🗌	4 🗌			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 7]	1		1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	1		1 🔲	2	з 🗌	4 🗌			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 9]	1		1 🗆	2	з 🗌	4 🔲			
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 10]	1		1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌			

#### B. MSAP-FUNDED SCHOOL APPLICATIONS AND ADMISSIONS

The next set of questions are to help us better understand how students apply to, and become enrolled in, the MSAP-funded schools we talked about in the prior section.

B1. Do any of the MSAP-funded schools in your district have any specific requirements for admission (often referred to as "screened" schools)?

INTERVIEWER:

THIS IS NOT EXPECTED TO BE COMMON AMONG MSAP GRANT SCHOOLS, BUT MAY INCLUDE REQUIREMENTS LIKE ADMISSIONS TESTS, AUDITIONS, PRIOR ACHIEVEMENT, INTERVIEWS, TEACHER RECOMMENDATIONS, OR SPECIAL NEEDS.

1 $\square$	Yes (specify)
o 🗆	No

- B2. Is there a default or "zoned" school students attend if they do not apply or are not accepted to a MSAP-funded school, another magnet school, or a charter school in your district? Please indicate whether students have a default or zoned school at each of the elementary, middle, and high school levels in your district.
  - 1. Yes, students attend a default or zoned school if they do not apply or are not accepted to a MSAP-funded or other choice school at this grade level.
  - 2. No, students at this grade level are required to make a school choice.

Grade level	Students attend a default or zoned school if they do not apply or are not accepted to a MSAP-funded or other choice school at this grade level	Students who apply to schools at this grade level are required to make a school choice
Elementary schools	1 🗆	2
Middle schools	1 🗆	2
High schools	1 🗆	2

If the application process that best describes how	to marl	differs by				
	subi sepa applica atten	nit a arate ation to d this	Students submit single or common application to attend this school and any other magnet/choice school of interest in the district	Students submit a single or common application to attend this or <i>any</i> school in the district ("open choice" or "full choice")	Otl	her [SPECIFY]
DCESS FOR ALL .S	1		2	3 🗆		99 🔲
nool name and grant year						
NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 7]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	1		2	3	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 9]	1		2	з□	99	
NAME/GRANT YEAR 10]	1		2	3	99	
	that best describes how school, go to C3b to mare ach individual school.  DCESS FOR ALL.S  TOOI name and grant year  NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 7]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	school, go to C3b to mark the calcach individual school.  Studi submark the calcach individual school.  CCESS FOR ALL School 1  NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	that best describes how applications a school, go to C3b to mark the category each individual school.  Students submit a separate application to attend this school  DCESS FOR ALL.  NOOI name and grant year  NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]  NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	that best describes how applications are administered. school, go to C3b to mark the category that best describes each individual school.  Students submit a separate application to attend this school and any other magnet/choice school of interest in the district  DCESS FOR ALL S.  DOCESS FOR ALL S.  DOCESS FOR ALL S.  DOCESS FOR ALL S.  DOCESS FOR ALL S.  DOME STOR ALL S.  DOCESS FOR ALL S.  DOCEST FOR ALL S.  DOCESS FOR ALL S.	that best describes how applications are administered. If the application p school, go to C3b to mark the category that best describes how applications a each individual school.    Students submit a single or common application to attend this school and any other magnet/choice school of interest in the district ("open choice" or "full choice")    DCESS FOR ALL	School, go to C3b to mark the category that best describes how applications are admireach individual school.    Students submit single or common application to attend this school and any other magnet/choice school of interest in the district   Students submit a single or common application to attend this school of interest in the district   Copen choice or or full choice or or or other magnetic or or full choice or or full

B4.	indicate the	category t		ribes how options are	schools, students can a offered at each of the					
	Students may rank or apply to a <u>specific, maximum number of schools</u> from among all the options in the district.									
	Students may rank or apply to a <u>specific, maximum number of schools</u> , from a list customized for the student (e.g., schools they are eligible to attend based on their home address).									
	$_3$ $\square$ Students may rank or apply to <u>as many schools as they want</u> from among all the options in the district.									
					ey want, from a list custo I on their based on their					
Grade	level	apply to number o among al	s may rank or o a maximum of schools from I the options in e district	Students may rank or apply to a maximum number of schools, from a list customized for the student	Students may rank or apply to as many schools as they want from among all the options in the district	Students may rank or apply to as many schools as they want, from a list customized for the student				
Elemen	ntary schools	1		2	3	4				
Middle	schools	1		2	3	4 🗆				
High so	chools	1		2	3	4				
	external to th	e district dual schoo istrict —	? bls	external to the district	e other third party, firn					
	₄ □ Other	[SPECIF	Y]		— SKIP 10	O SECTION C				
В6.	the district m	onitor it (	for example, l	by receiving reports of	the number of applica	ss, to what extent does tions and admissions by dmission off of the wait				
	1 🗌 Monit	or closely								
		me extent	•							
	₃ □ Not a	t all		SKIP TO SECTION E						

## C. SCHOOL-LEVEL PREFERENCES AND DEMAND

C1. Some MSAP-funded schools give preference to specific groups of students when they are admitting them. For each of the MSAP-funded schools, please indicate which (if any) of the following groups of students receive admissions preferences.

		FOR EACH SCHOOL, MARK ALL THAT APPLY									
			SAP OOL 1:		SAP OOL 2:		SAP OOL 3:		SAP OOL 4:	MSAP	SCHOOL 5:
a.	No admission preferences	n	N/A	n	N/A	n	N/A	n	] N/A	n	] N/A
b.	Students from area of residence or base school(s) located close to the MSAP school (specify)	1	☐ YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES
C.	Students from area of residence or base school(s) considered high socioeconomic status (specify)	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES
d.	Students from area of residence or base school considered low socioeconomic status (specify)	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
e.	Students from families with high socioeconomic status	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES
f.	Students from families with low socioeconomic status	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
g.	High achieving students	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
h.	Low achieving students	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
i.	Students considered "at risk"	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
j.	Students NOT considered "at risk"	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES
k.	Students who might otherwise attend a crowded or overcrowded school	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES

I. Other (specify):		1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES
INTERVIEWE	ER: CONTINUE TO NEXT PAGE FO	OR SCHOOLS (	ô-10 (IF NI	EEDED)	).						

		FOR EACH SCHOOL, MARK ALL THAT APPLY									
		1	SAP DOL 6:	М	SAP OOL 7:	MS	SAP DOL 8:	M	SAP OOL 9:	1	SCHOOL 10:
a.	No admission preferences	n	N/A	n	] N/A	n 🗆	N/A	n	] N/A	n	] N/A
b.	Students from area of residence or base school(s) located close to the MSAP school (specify)	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES
C.	Students from area of residence or base school(s) considered high socioeconomic status (specify)	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES
d.	Students from area of residence or base school(s) considered low socioeconomic status (specify)	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
e.	Students from families with high socioeconomic status	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
f.	Students from families with low socioeconomic status	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
g.	High achieving students	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
h.	Low achieving students	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
i.	Students considered "at risk"	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
j.	Students NOT considered "at risk"	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
k.	Students who might otherwise attend a crowded or overcrowded school	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES	1	☐ YES
I.	Other (specify):	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	YES	1	☐ YES

INTERVIEWER:	IF NO MSAP-FUNDED SCHOOLS GIVE ADMISSION PREFERENCE TO SPECIFIC GROUPS OF STUDENTS, SKIP TO C3.

# C2. Which of the following processes most accurately reflects preferences in admissions for each school? Please mark all that apply.

- 1. Students in a given preference group are **automatically admitted** to the school, or have to be allowed to attend the school no matter what (for example, these students may live in a particular neighborhood zone, or attend a particular feeder school).
- 2. Individual students in a given preference group are assigned higher **weights or probabilities** of admission based on preference characteristics.
- 3. **Quotas, predetermined ratios, or a specific number or percentage of reserved seats** are allocated for different preference groups.
- 4. Preference groups are **admitted sequentially** (such that all students in a given group are offered admission before moving on to the group with the next highest preference).

	How preferences are reflected in admissions [MARK ALL THAT APPLY]:				
School name and grant year	Automatic admission	Weights or probabilities	Reserved seats	Sequential admission	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]	1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]	1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]	1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]	1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]	1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 7]	1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	1 🗆	2	3 🗌	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 9]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲	4 🗌	
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 10]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲	4 🗌	

C3.	For each MSAP-funded s the approximate percenta					district students attend, and if so, e district.
	School name and year	grant		Do any o district st atten [CHEC APPLIC	udents d? CK IF	If YES, the percentage from outside the district
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 1]	1		YES	_ %
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 2]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 3]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 4]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 5]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 6]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 7]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 8]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 9]	1		YES	%
	[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEA	AR 10]	1		YES	
C4b.		t used, how	are deci	sions mad	le about who	o gets to enroll at the school (for is based on test scores or other
			C4a.			C4b.
	School name and grant year	r proc s a det	A lottery candomize admission ess is us some or a applicants ermine w admitted [CHECK	ed ns ed for all to ho is I		IO, how decisions are made about who gets to enroll at the school [SPECIFY]
[PREF	ILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]	1		YES		
[PREF	ILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]	1		YES		
[PREF	ILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]	1		YES		

	C4a		C4b.
School name and grant year	A lottery randomi admissi process is u some o applican determine admitti [CHECHAPPLICA	zed ons used for r all ts to who is ed K IF	If NO, how decisions are made about who gets to enroll at the school [SPECIFY]
PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]	1	YES	
INTERVIEWER: CONTIN	UE TO NEXT PAG	E FOR SCHO	OLS 5-10 (IF NEEDED).
Γ	C4a.		C4b.
School name and grant year	A lottery randomi admissi process is u some o applican determine admitti [CHECH APPLICA	ized ons used for r all ts to who is ed K IF	If NO, how decisions are made about who gets to enroll at the school [SPECIFY]
PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]	1	YES	
PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]	1	YES	
PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 7]	1	YES	
PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 7] PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	1	YES YES	

PROCESS, SKIP TO SECTION D.

- C5. Thinking about the admissions process this past spring (2018) for admission for the current school year (2018-2019), for each MSAP-funded school, which of the following best captures the extent of applications to the school?
  - 1. There were more applicants than open seats by the application deadline in spring 2018, so a lottery or random process was used to determine admissions.
  - 2. A lottery or random process was not needed in 2018, but demand is expected to increase such that a lottery may be necessary for the following school year (spring 2019 for admission in the 2019-2020 school year).
  - 3. No lottery or random process was used in 2018 nor is one expected to be needed in 2019.

	Extent of applications in spring 2018 [MARK ONE PER SCHOOL]:								
School name and grant year	A lottery was used in 2018	No lottery in 2018 but one may be necessary in 2019	No lottery in 2018 or expected in 2019						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 1]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 2]	1 🗆	2	з 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 3]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 4]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 5]	1 🗆	2	з 🔲						

INTERVIEWER: CONTINUE TO NEXT PAGE FOR SCHOOLS 5-10 (IF NEEDED).

		Extent of applications in spring 2018 [MARK ONE PER SCHOOL]:							
School name and grant year	A lottery was used in 2018	No lottery in 2018 but one may be necessary in 2019	No lottery in 2018 or expected in 2019						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 6]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 7]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 8]	1 🗆	2	3 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 9]	1 🗆	2	з 🔲						
[PREFILL NAME/GRANT YEAR 10]	1 🗆	2	з 🔲						

INTERVIEWER: PROCEED TO SECTION E FOR EACH SCHOOL MARKED "1" ABOVE. THIS SUBSET

OF SCHOOLS WILL BE CONSIDERED THE "2018 LOTTERY SCHOOLS." IF NO MSAP-FUNDED SCHOOLS USED A LOTTERY OR RANDOM ADMISSIONS PROCESS IN

2018, SKIP TO SECTION E.

#### D. ADDITIONAL LOTTERY DETAIL FOR 2018 LOTTERY SCHOOLS

For each MSAP-funded school that conducted a lottery for admission for the 2018-2019 school year—referred to as "2018 lottery schools"—our next series of questions will help us better understand how many students are interested in attending those schools and how many might ultimately enroll. This information will help us determine whether a school may be eligible for an effectiveness study comparing students who were admitted via a lottery to students who did not receive an admissions offer.

- D1. For each 2018 lottery school identified in the previous section, please provide the following information on its lottery results. If the school lottery is part of a unified lottery process where students rank multiple schools, please provide the following information for students ranking the school as their first choice.
  - a. The lowest grade for which a lottery was conducted
  - b. The total number of students to be enrolled in the lottery grade (the total number of slots to be filled; should equal d + f)
  - c. The total number of applicants for the lottery grade prior to the lottery (should equal d + e)
  - d. The number of applicants for the lottery grade *excluded* from the lottery because they had guaranteed or automatic admission (for example, students promoted from a lower grade at the same school, or students from a particular area of residence or base school)
  - e. The number of applicants for the lottery grade *included* in the lottery, whether or not the lottery was combined with lotteries for other schools ("lottery participants," should equal f + g)
  - f. Number of lottery participants offered admission initially, based on lottery results (initial lottery "winners")
  - g. Number of lottery participants not offered admission initially, based on lottery results (initial lottery "losers")

If the lottery includes quotas, predetermined ratios, or a specific number of reserved seats for different preference groups of students, or the lottery places students into different preference groups and those groups are admitted sequentially (such that all students in a given group are offered admission before moving on to the group with the next highest preference), please report the total number of lottery winners and losers in rows f and g and proceed to D1a. If the lottery weights students individually based on their characteristics, or if the lottery does not use preference groups, please skip D1a.

		LOTTERY SCHOOL 1:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 2:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 3:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 4:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 5:
a.	Lowest grade for which a lottery was conducted	_				_
b.	Number of students to be enrolled for the lottery grade (should equal the sum of rows $d + f$ )		<u>                                    </u>			_
c.	Total number of applicants for the lottery grade (should equal the sum of rows $d + e$ )			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_
d.	Number of applicants excluded from lottery (specify groups)			<u> </u>	<u>                                    </u>	
e.	Number of applicants <i>included</i> in the lottery (should equal the sum of rows $f + g$ )		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
f.	Number of initial lottery "winners"		 	 		

		LOTTERY SCHOOL 1:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 2:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 3:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 4:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 5:
g.	Number of initial lottery "losers"				_ _	
D	1a. For lotteries <u>with preference group quadmission</u> in 2018, please record the separately, by group, in the order in whave either all winners or all losers at	number of wir hich that gro	nners and los up is given p	ers within ea reference. (In	ch of those g	roups
		LOTTERY SCHOOL 1:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 2:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 3:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 4:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 5:
a.	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 1 (specify)	<u> </u>		 	 	
b.	Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 1		_	_		
C.	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 2 (specify)		<u>                                    </u>	<u>                                    </u>	<u>                                    </u>	_
d.	Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 2					
e.	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 3 (specify)		<u>                                    </u>	<u>                                    </u>	<u>                                    </u>	
f.	Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 3			_		
g.	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 4 (specify)	<u> </u>	<u>                                    </u>	_	<u>                                    </u>	_
h.	Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 4			_		
i.	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 5 (specify)	_ _	_ _	_ _	_ _	_
j.	Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 5	 				
k.	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 6 (specify)	_ _	_ _	_ _	_ _	
l.	Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 6	 				

	LOTTERY SCHOOL 1:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 2:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 3:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 4:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 5:
Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 7 (specify)				<u> </u>	
Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 7					
Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 8 (specify)		<u>                                    </u>	<u> </u>	<u>                                    </u>	_
Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 8					
	Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 7  Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 8 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 7 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 7  Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 8 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 7  (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 7  Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 8 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 7 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 7  Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 7  Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 8 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference	Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 7 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference group 7  Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 7  Number of initial lottery "winners" from preference group 8 (specify)  Number of initial lottery "losers" from preference

INTERVIEWER: ADD ROWS FOR ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE GROUPS AS NEEDED.

D											
		LOTTERY SCHOOL 1:	_	LOTTERY SCHOOL 2:		LOTTERY SCHOOL 3:		OTTERY SHOOL 4:	LOTTERY SCHOOL 5:		
Pei	centage of students enrolling in:		<u> </u>							_	
a.	Other magnet schools	_ %	_	_  %		%		_ %	_	<u> </u> %	
b.	Charter schools	%	_	_  %		%	<u> </u>	_ %	_	<u> </u> %	
c.	Traditional public schools in the district	%	_	_  %		%		_ %	_	%	
d.	Traditional public schools outside the district	      %	<u>  _</u>	_  %	ll_	%	l	.  %	_	<u> </u> %	
e.	Private schools	%	_	_  %		%	<u> </u>	_ %		%	
f.	Home schools	_ %	_	_  %		%		_ %	_	%	
D	<ul> <li>Many magnet schools end up decline an offer. For each of acceptances and waitlist offer.</li> <li>a. The total number of appli b - d)</li> <li>b. The number of students of guaranteed or automatic 2018</li> <li>c. The number of students of enrolled in a different school who enrolled in the school e. Number of initial lottery "</li> </ul>	the 2018 lottery rs. cants to the local offered admiss admission and offered admiss bool in fall 2018 offered in fall 2018 v losers" never	y schools ttery grad ion at the ion at the ion at the d ed admis ia waitlis	e time of ttery "v time of time of toffer	to the lifthe lifthe tire the tire	vide the force lottery ( ottery, income, who cottery when the	shou cluding enrol to dec	ing inform Id equal D ng student led in the s	ation about  1 c as well a  s with school in fal  offer and  ottery "lose	as D3 II rs")	
a.	Total number of students offered admiss time of the lottery in spring 2018 (should both D1 $c$ as well as E3 $b$ - $e$ )		_	<u>      </u>		<u>                                    </u>	_	_	_	.	
b.	Number of students offered admission a of the lottery who enrolled in the school in 2018		_	- 		_	_	_	<u>                                    </u>	.1	
C.	Number of students offered admission a of the lottery but declined the offer and e a different school in fall 2018		_	<u>  </u>		_	_		<u> </u>	_[	
d.	Number of initial lottery "losers" enrolled school in fall 2018 via waitlist offer	in the	_	<u>                                    </u>		<u>                                    </u>	_		<u>                                    </u>	_[	

e.	Number of initial lottery "losers" never offered admission for fall 2018					

		A \ / A \ \ A D \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ALL EFFECTS (FLIFO)	0=1101/
	11111		AN EFFECTIVENESS	CHILL
E. LUNGII ODINAL	DAIA	AVAILADILIII FUR	AN EFFECTIVENESS	SIUDI

As I mentioned earlier, the Department is interested in conducting an effectiveness study to produce rigorous findings on whether magnet schools promote diversity and boost student achievement, and whether particular features of magnet schools are associated with better outcomes. To help us figure out whether this kind of study is possible, I have just a few more questions about data availability in your school district.

is p	oossible, I have j	just	a fe	w mo	ore c	ques	stior	ıs a	bou	t d	ata	ava	iilal	bility in your school district.
E1.	information	Does your district have a longitudinal data system that includes student test scores and enrollment information, allowing you to track individual students' progress across schools and over time or are those data only available at the state level?												
	₁ ☐ Yes	[GC	) TO	E1a	.]									
	o □ No,	long	itud	inal d	lata a	are 1	mair	ntair	ed a	at t	he s	state	e lev	vel only
E1a	a. Do your gra	Do your grantee schools serve students from multiple feeder districts? If yes, how many districts?												
	₁ ☐ Yes	[SP	ECI	FY N	UME	BER	OF	DIS	TRIC	СТ	S			
	₀ □ No[	GO.	TO	E2]										
E1I	o. Do all the for from the sta			stric	ts ha	ave	long	gitud	dina	l d	ata	ava	ilal	ole or would student data need to be requested
	1 🗌 All f	eede	er di:	stricts	s hav	ve Ic	ngit	udir	al da	ata	av	ailal	ole	
	<sub>2</sub> Not from				tricts	ha\	ve lo	ngit	udin	al (	data	a av	aila	ble – student data would need to be requested
E2.		ools	? W	Vhat 1	type	of a	asse	essn	nent	(fe	or e	xan	npl	ble for individual students attending MSAP- e, statewide assessments by grade level,
Sub	oject						rade( Circle							Assessment type
a.	Math	K	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
b.	Reading	K	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
C.	Science	K	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
d.	Social Studies	K	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
E3.	maintained  1	ery reem in ery r	esul man esul stric	Its an aged Its an t Its an	nd wa I by t nd wa	aitlis he c aitlis	t offe distri t offe t offe	ers a ct ers a	are to are to	rac rac	cked cked	d an d an d an	d m d m d m	s, including lottery results and waitlist offers, raintained using a districtwide electronic or online raintained districtwide by a contractor or firm external raintained electronically at the individual school level raintained manually at the individual school level
		,				_				-				,

E4.	access	ng are those admissions data, including lottery results and waitlist offers, archived and ible? For example, are these data stored indefinitely, stored for a specified number of years, or hived beyond the admissions period?
	1 🗌	Admissions data are archived and accessible for all of the MSAP-funded schools indefinitely
	2	Admissions data are archived and accessible for all of the MSAP-funded schools for a certain number of years [SPECIFY]:
	3	Admissions data for all of the MSAP-funded schools are not archived beyond the admissions period
	4	The length of time that admissions data are archived varies by school [DESCRIBE]:
E5.	that wo	ere identifiers (e.g., name and date of birth or school, district or state identification numbers) and allow us to link electronic files containing the longitudinal data on student test scores and nent with data on the lottery results?
	1	Yes
	0	No
	d $\square$	Don't know
E6.	bounda charter attendi 1	districts have data on students who attend charter schools located within the geographical aries of the district, while others may not. Sometimes this depends on who authorized the schools. Does your district maintain the longitudinal data discussed above for students and charter schools located within the geographical boundaries of the district?  Yes, for all charter schools located within the geographical boundaries of the district  Yes, for some charter schools located within the geographical boundaries of the district  [SPECIFY]:  No, the district does not have data on students attending any charter schools located within the geographical boundaries of the district  There are no charter schools within the geographical boundaries of the district
import and wi To tha	ant to th th Cong t end, w	ne questions I have. Your patience and cooperation has been incredibly helpful. It will be so be success and future of the MSAP program to have rigorous information to share across grantees ress.  The have a short set of questions for your [2016 and/or 2017] MSAP-funded schools about their lent recruitment and admissions practices. To support the study, we are requesting that you
coordi	nate wit	th the principals of those schools to complete and return the accompanying school recruitment and vey for each school.
		et back to you once the Department determines the next steps for the effectiveness study. Thank your time!