# Supporting Statement for Request of Information Collection Approval for the Renewal of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) Performance Data Form (LPDF)

## A. Justification

## 1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary

The Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) block grant (42 U.S.C. 8621) was established under Title XXVI of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, Public Law 97-35. The Office of Community Services (OCS) within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF) administers LIHEAP at the Federal level.

The LIHEAP statute requires the program to report to Congress on program impacts annually, to develop performance goals, to ensure that benefits are targeted to those households with the greatest home energy need, and to assure that timely resources are available to households experiencing home energy crises. More specifically:

- Section 2610 of the LIHEAP Statute stipulates that the Secretary shall provide for the collection of certain data (<u>Attachment 1</u>). This section also requires the Secretary to submit to Congress each fiscal year a report on the data collected, on how States carry out certain requirements, on the impact of each State's program on recipient and eligible households, and on other relevant data.
- Section 2605(b) of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Act of 1981 (42 U.S.C. §8624(b)) as amended by Sec. 311(b) of the Human Services Amendments of 1994 (Public Law 103-252) requires HHS to develop, in consultation with LIHEAP grantees, model performance goals that measure the success of each State's LIHEAP activities.
- Section 2610(b)(2) of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Act of 1981 (42 U.S.C. §8629(b)(2)) requires that HHS annually report to Congress on the impact LIHEAP is making on recipient and income-eligible households (see <a href="Attachment1">Attachment 1</a> for statutory language).
- Section 2605(b)(5) of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Act of 1981 (42 U.S. C. §8624(b)(5)) requires LIHEAP grantees to provide, in a timely manner, that the highest level of energy assistance will be furnished to those households that have the lowest incomes and the highest energy costs or needs in relation to income, taking into account family size.
- Section 2604(c) of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Act of 1981 (42 U.S. C. §8623(c)) requires that
  grantees reserve a reasonable amount of LIHEAP funds (based on data from prior years) until March 15 of
  each program year for energy crisis intervention. Furthermore, grantees are required to address home
  energy crises within expedited timeframes.

The LIHEAP Performance Data Form is an annual data collection effort conducted by the U.S. Office of Community Services (OCS) to collect information from state LIHEAP grantees and the District of Columbia to meet statutory requirements and program objectives. Data are collected in three distinct Modules:

Module 1 (Grantee Survey) - This module collects information on the different purposes for which LIHEAP
funding is used and the estimated average benefits furnished to clients for each type of assistance. This
information has been collected by OCS and reported annually to Congress for over thirty years. These data
collection efforts have furnished OCS with important information on how each grantee has chosen to

implement the LIHEAP program to address the needs of low-income households in their jurisdiction. (Note: Data from this Module are included in the annual LIHEAP Report to Congress (<u>Attachment 3</u>, Section I) and in the LIHEAP Data Warehouse available on the LIHEAP Performance Management Website.)

- Module 2 (LIHEAP Performance Measures) This module collects information for four developmental performance measures: the Benefit Targeting Index for High Burden Households, the Burden Reduction Targeting Index for High Burden Households, the restoration of home energy service, and the prevention of loss of home energy service. These measures were approved for data collection by OMB in 2014 and mandatory data collection began for Fiscal Year 2016. (Note: Data from this Module are included in the annual LIHEAP Report to Congress¹ and in the annual Congressional Budget Justification, and will be made available in the LIHEAP Data Warehouse.)
- Module 3 (Optional LIHEAP Performance Measures) This module is optional and allows grantees to voluntarily
  collect and report supplementary information that compliments the required performance measures data.
  These optional data increase the individual grantee's ability to use the Performance Data for LIHEAP program
  management.

There are no other data collection efforts being conducted by the U.S. Office of Community Services (OCS) or other entities that provide the fiscal data included on Module 1 or the performance measures data included on Module 2 or Module 3. Without the collection of these data, there is no way to report to Congress on State-specific and aggregated fiscal and performance measures data for the most recent fiscal year on the program.

The LIHEAP Performance Data Form was approved by OMB in 2014 (OMB Control Number 0970-0449, see <a href="Attachment 5"><u>Attachment 5</u></a>). The purpose of this Supporting Statement is to request the renewal of the LIHEAP Performance Data Form without any changes to support continued outcome-based LIHEAP performance measurement procedures, to meet statutory requirements, and to maintain annual reporting of these data to Congress.

## 2. Purpose and Use of the Information Collection

Below is a summary of the types of information that OCS is seeking authorization to continue to collect, the purpose in collecting these data, and how these data are used.

#### Module 1 (Grantee Survey)

OCS uses the mandatory Module 1 to collect and report on the States' annual estimates of their sources and uses of LIHEAP funding, LIHEAP average household benefits, and maximum annual income cutoffs for 4-person households for LIHEAP (<u>Attachment 2</u>).

The purpose of collecting the data for Module 1 is to obtain fiscal and benefits data from all 50 states and the District of Columbia. These data are used by OCS to analyze how grantees have chosen to implement the LIHEAP program to address the needs of low-income households and to report aggregated and state-specific fiscal data in the Secretary's annual LIHEAP Report to Congress (<u>Attachment 3</u>, Section I) and in the LIHEAP Data Warehouse. The data also are used to respond to inquiries from Congress, OMB, and the White House relating to budget and program decisions.

#### Module 2 (LIHEAP Performance Measures)

OCS uses the mandatory Module 2 to collect and report data for four developmental performance measures. The four performance measures are as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The FY 2016 Report to Congress is the first to contain performance measures data. This report is currently in the Department's clearance process and is not yet published.

- 1. The benefit targeting index for high burden households receiving LIHEAP fuel assistance. This measure quantifies LIHEAP's benefit targeting performance by measuring the extent to which the highest LIHEAP benefits are provided to households with the highest energy costs<sup>2</sup> relative to household income.
- 2. The burden reduction targeting index for high burden households receiving LIHEAP fuel assistance. This measure quantifies LIHEAP's burden reduction targeting performance by measuring the extent to which households with the highest energy costs relative to income have a larger percentage of their energy bill paid with LIHEAP than households with average energy costs relative to income.
- 3. The number of occurrences where LIHEAP benefits restored home energy services. This measure includes the number of occurrences where energy service was restored after disconnection, where fuel was delivered after the household ran out of fuel, and where inoperable home energy equipment was repaired or replaced.
- 4. The number of occurrences where LIHEAP prevented the loss of home energy services. This measure includes the number of occurrences where a household had a past due or disconnect notice at the time of application and receipt of LIHEAP benefits, where assistance was provided to a household at imminent risk of running out of fuel, and where operable home energy equipment at imminent risk of failure was repaired or replaced.

The purpose of collecting the data for Module 2 is to obtain data from all 50 states and the District of Columbia that directly relates to the LIHEAP statutory mandate that LIHEAP assistance be targeted to those low income households with the highest home energy needs, i.e., vulnerable households and high-energy burden households. The four performance measures quantify how each LIHEAP program is targeting benefits to high energy burden and vulnerable households to help them maintain healthy, safe, and continuous use of home energy services, allowing for comparison over time and providing each grantee with information valuable for effective performance management and program implementation.

OCS uses the Performance Measures data to calculate aggregate performance data and report the results through the annual Congressional Justification budget process and in the annual LIHEAP Report to Congress. Once the data are published in the LIHEAP Report to Congress, grantees can compare their own results to the results for other states, as well as to regional and national summaries through the LIHEAP Data Warehouse.

#### Module 3 (Optional LIHEAP Performance Measures)

Module 3 is optional. OCS includes this module to allow grantees to report additional data that supplements the data reported for Module 2, including data on energy consumption, air conditioning use, and home energy service restoration and prevention of loss. Individual grantees use the information voluntarily reported in this module to help analyze and interpret their Performance Measures results.

## 3. Use of Information Technology and Burden Reduction

Every effort will be made to minimize the burden of this data collection by providing grantees with resources for efficient data collection, data processing, and data reporting.

Data Collection – OCS has provided grantees with multiple resources to assist with collecting the necessary
data to complete the LIHEAP Performance Data Form, including a data collection guide, data collection
templates, and training webinars reviewing data collection procedures. OCS also has furnished one-on-one

The LIHEAP program is intended to assist low-income households with their "home energy" costs. Home energy is energy used for home heating or cooling. This performance measure asks grantees to report on total residential energy bills because grantees and energy vendors do not have the statistical tools needed to estimate home energy usage. However, analysis of the 2009 RECS data shows that the correlation between households with high home energy costs and high residential energy costs averages 85%.

training and technical assistance to numerous grantees and makes such assistance available annually.

- Data Processing OCS has developed guidance documents to assist grantees with data processing. In addition, the ACF On-Line Data Collection System (OLDC) calculates some of the data fields, rather than requiring the grantee to do so.
- Data Reporting The LIHEAP Performance Data Form is collected by OCS using the ACF On-Line Data Collection System (OLDC), which is a web-based reporting tool that grantees use to complete several LIHEAP reports. The OLDC tool assists grantees with completing the form by providing instructions, alerting grantees to possible errors, and allowing grantees to access prior versions of their report. OCS also provides extensive resources and training on data reporting with the intention of minimizing the burden associated with reporting accurate information. This includes detailed instructions on data reporting, webinars, a "Check before you submit" document, one-on-one technical assistance, and providing a summary of data reporting issues or questions following the review of each grantee's submitted information.

Since 2010, the LIHEAP Performance Measures Work Group (PMIWG), consisting of state LIHEAP directors and HHS staff, has worked with OCS to develop resources and to encourage grantees to share information to assist with minimizing the burden of this data collection. Since the LIHEAP Performance Data Form was approved by OMB in 2014, a number of grantees have implemented or enhanced their existing data systems, client applications, client waivers, and vendor agreements to support collecting and reporting the required data.

## 4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information

OCS investigation has revealed no duplicate sources of the state-level data elements required for the LIHEAP Performance Data Form.

For Module 1 (Grantee Survey), no similar data are available for all categories of sources and uses of federal LIHEAP funds. Without this collection effort, there would be no timely uniform data available to comply with requests from Congress, OMB, and the White House. Nor would information regarding the impact of program funds be available for the Department's Annual LIHEAP Report to Congress (see <a href="Attachment 3">Attachment 3</a>, Section I), for hearings, and during the appropriations and oversight process.

For Module 2 (LIHEAP Performance Measures), similar data are available from two surveys published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA): the Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) and the State Energy Consumption, Price, and Expenditure Estimates (SEDS). However, while these surveys provide similar information, neither survey provides adequate data for measuring LIHEAP performance:

- RECS This survey collects utility consumption, energy expenditure, and energy insecurity data for a
  nationally representative sample of households. However, the RECS data has two limitations that make in
  inadequate for measuring LIHEAP performance. First, the RECS can only provide statistics for the nation,
  Census regions, and Census Division. Due to RECS sample design, state-level estimates are not available.
   Second, the RECS is conducted approximately every five years, limiting the timeliness of the data and
  prohibiting annual estimates. Due to these limitations, the RECS is not suitable for annual, state-level
  LIHEAP performance measurement.
- SEDS This is an annual survey that furnishes information on energy consumption and energy expenditures for a number of different fuels at the state level. However, the SEDS data cannot furnish any information on the LIHEAP population and LIHEAP performance.

In summary, while both RECS and SEDS provide useful information that is used by OCS for a number of purposes, neither data source can furnish information on the annual performance of LIHEAP at the state-level.

## 5. Impact on Small Businesses or Other Small Entities

There are four different sources of information for the proposed data collection – LIHEAP grantees, LIHEAP subgrantees (i.e., local agencies), energy vendors, and LIHEAP recipients. LIHEAP sub-grantees are often small community-based organizations. Some energy vendors are small businesses.

In many states, small community-based organizations serve as LIHEAP sub-grantees. In the normal course of service delivery, LIHEAP sub-grantees are expected to collect information from applicant households and to report those data to the LIHEAP grantee. LIHEAP sub-grantees are compensated for program intake services. It is expected that data collection and transmission requirements associated with these data would be included in the agreement between the grantee and the sub-grantee.

In all states, some of the energy vendors are small businesses. However, at this time, it is recommended that most grantees can develop statistically robust estimates of the targeted performance measures by restricting data collection to the largest utilities and delivered fuel companies. (See Section B)

In a few states, most LIHEAP clients are served by energy vendors that are a small business. In those states, it would be necessary to include small businesses in the sample of companies from which data is collected. The impact on these small businesses would be minimized by restricting their reporting to a sample of their LIHEAP clients and by furnishing convenient formats for submitting the data to the grantee. (A number of grantees have already implemented such systems.)

## 6. Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently

OCS is seeking approval to continue to collect this information annually, on a continuous basis. This will allow OCS and its grantees, as well as Congress and other decision-makers and stakeholders, to understand how grantees use federal LIHEAP funds and to gauge the impact LIHEAP services are having on its recipients each year. In addition, LIHEAP grantees can use the results of their own data to track their use of funds, average benefit levels, and their performance measure outcomes to observe year-after-year changes and to make adjustments to their programs, if desired. They will also be able to see the impact of program changes in future years' data. Collecting these data any less frequently will prevent fiscal and performance measures data from being reported in the annual LIHEAP Report to Congress and will invalidate their usefulness as a timely benchmark of performance and measure of impact.

## 7. Special Circumstances Relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5

No special circumstances apply to this data collection.

## 8. Comments in Response to the *Federal Register* Notice and Efforts to Consult Outside the Agency

A 60-day notice was published on pages 47211-47212 of the Federal Register on October 11, 2017 (see <u>Attachment 4</u>). OCS did not receive comments during this timeframe.

## 9. Explanation of Any Payment or Gift to Respondents.

No payments or gifts of any kind will be provided to respondents.

## 10. Assurance of Confidentiality Provided to Respondents

We will protect the information to the extent allowed by Federal law.

## 11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

No sensitive questions are asked in this data collection.

## 12. Estimates of Annualized Hours Burden

The estimated burden hours associated with this data collection are shown in the table below.

OCS conducted in-depth interviews with a small number of grantees to learn more about the annual burden hours required to implement their data collection and reporting systems for this effort. The time and costs estimated is lower than the 2014 burden estimates, which were based on grantee reporting capabilities at that time and included time and costs for initial system development. Since 2014, most grantees have improved their reporting capabilities, many grantees have completed their system development, and OCS has furnished reporting resources and technical assistance to assist grantees.

For Module 1, the incremental burden is associated with developing reports on data that are already collected by the grantee. Our interviews found that some grantees have fully developed reporting systems and that the time required each year to obtain the data and enter it into OLDC is less than 10 hours. Other grantees, particularly those where the fiscal office is separate from the LIHEAP program office face more challenges in preparing reports. Those grantees report that Module 1 takes them at least 40 hours. The average reported time for completion was 30 hours.

For Module 2, the incremental burden associated with collecting the required data elements and furnishing reports to OCS through OLDC will be different for each grantee. Some grantees have fully developed information systems that already collect the required data for benefit determination procedures. Other grantees collect the data only for Module 2 reporting purposes; those grantees have greater incremental burden associated with collecting and reporting these data.

Based on reports from with grantees, OCS has been able to categorize grantees into three groups with respect to approaches to collecting and reporting Module 2 data.

- Group 1- Automated Systems: These grantees have centralized LIHEAP information systems that collect and report the required information electronically. The reporting burden for these grantees is the incremental time required to prepare, check, and submit the required reports. OCS estimates that at least 15 State grantees can be categorized in this way.
- Group 2 Ad Hoc Centralized Systems: These grantees have centralized LIHEAP information systems that have direct electronic data interchange with energy vendors. However, they do not have automated systems to collect and report the data. For these grantees, there is more substantial work on annually to request, obtain, and check the data. OCS estimates that approximately 31 State grantees can be categorized in this way.
- Group 3 Ad Hoc Subgrantee Managed System: These grantees have de-centralized LIHEAP information systems
  and/or do not have direct electronic data interchange with either sub-grantees or energy vendors. Some of
  these grantees have delegated certain grant management functions to sub-grantees, each of which have
  developed different management information systems. For these grantees, there is more extensive work
  required to collect and process data on clients from the subgrantees and to collect and process data on energy

expenditures from the energy vendors. OCS estimates that about 5 grantees can be categorized in this way.

Using data furnished by grantees of each type that completed Module 2 of the form, we developed average burden estimates for each partner in the data collection process.

#### Annual Burden Estimates for LIHEAP Performance Data Form

	Number of Respondents	Number of Responses Per Respondent	Average Hour Burden Per Response	Total Burden Hours
Module 1 (Grantee Survey)				
State Grantees	51	1	30	1,530
M	odule 2 (LIHEAP Pe	rformance Measures	)	
State Grantees—Part II	51	1	150	7,650
Sub-Grantees (in states with sub-grantee managed systems) — Part II	100	1	8	800
Energy Vendors (largest 5 electric, 5 natural gas, 10 fuel oil, and 10 propane vendors per state - average) — Part II	1,530 (estimate)	1	8	12,240
Total Annual Burden Hours				22,220

## 13. Estimates of Other Total Annual Cost Burden to Respondents and Record Keepers/Capital Costs

The data collection procedures should not require any new capital expenditures by grantees, sub-grantees, or energy vendors.

#### 14. Annualized Cost to the Federal Government

Federal Government Staff tasks associated with the collection of these data include:

- 1. Grantee Monitoring Notifying grantees of the reporting requirement and conducting follow-up with grantees.
- 2. Report Review Reviewing submitted reports and working with grantees to furnish reliable data.
- 3. Analysis and Reporting Conducting analysis of the reported data and preparing tables to be submitted with targeted administrative reports (e.g., LIHEAP Report to Congress).

The table below furnishes information on the estimated Federal Staff hours and costs associated with each task:

#### **Annual Federal Staff Hours and Costs**

Task	Number of Hours	Rate	Total Cost
Grantee Monitoring	40	\$66/hour	\$2,640
Grantee Report Review	60	\$66/hour	\$3,960
Analysis and Reporting	105	\$66/hour	\$6,930
Subtotal:			\$13,530

The table below furnishes information on the estimated Federal Contractor Staff hours and costs associated with each task:

#### **Annual Federal Contractor Staff Hours and Costs**

Task	Number of Hours	Average Rate	Total Cost
<b>Grantee Report Review</b>	320	\$60	\$19,200
Analysis and Reporting	180	\$60	\$10,800
Technical Assistance	240	\$80	\$19,200
		Subtotal:	\$49,200

Total federal government costs are \$62,730.

## 15. Explanation of Program Changes or Adjustments

There is no adjustment from the previously approved collection.

## 16. Plans for Tabulation and Publication and Project Time Schedule

The data from Module 1 of the LIHEAP Performance Data Form is included in the LIHEAP Report to Congress (see <u>Attachment 3</u>, Section I) to furnish Congress with information on how LIHEAP funds are used by grantees to deliver services to clients.

The data from Module 2 will be synthesized into the four performance measures described above and published in the annual LIHEAP Report to Congress. In addition, the raw data, as well as the synthesized performance measures will be made available to each grantee through the LIHEAP Data Warehouse. Each grantee will also be able to access their performance measures, the measures for other grantees, and national and regional summaries.

Activity	Weeks Following Report Submission Deadline
Completion of quality assurance testing on performance measures data (requires review of data inconsistencies and outliers with grantees)	8 Weeks

Activity	Weeks Following Report Submission Deadline
Synthesis of data into performance measures indicators	12 Weeks
Draft of statistical tables for inclusion in annual LIHEAP Report to Congress – text and appendices	16 Weeks
Final statistical tables for inclusion in annual LIHEAP Report to Congress	20 Weeks
Publication of annual LIHEAP Report to Congress*	
Live launch of raw data and performance measures indicators on the LIHEAP Data Warehouse	1 day post publication of Report to Congress

<sup>\*</sup> Publication is dependent upon the Department's clearance process of the annual LIHEAP Report to Congress.

## 17. Reason(s) Display of OMB Expiration Date is Inappropriate

Non-applicable.

## 18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission

None.

## B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods

Statistical methods are not employed for collecting, analyzing, reporting data from Module 1 (Grantee Survey) as only total amounts and percent distributions are reported or tabulated.

One purpose of Module 2 (LIHEAP Performance Measures) is to calculate performance measures for individual states and the nation on the targeting of benefits and energy burden reduction to high burden households. As part of this process, grantees collect data on LIHEAP client energy expenditures from energy vendors. OCS worked with grantees to identify procedures that would furnish high quality data but also minimize reporting burden by targeting data collection to a subset of large energy vendors. Analysis of data by OCS found that the client-level variability in energy burden is mainly a function of client income, client energy usage, and fuel price. Fuel price variability is mainly a function of the fuel used (e.g., electricity, natural gas, fuel oil, or propane) rather that the company that supplied the fuel. (Delivered fuel markets, in particular, are very price sensitive.) For that reason, restricting the data collection to a subset of companies does not affect the data quality. (Note: Average expenditures for clients served by the largest companies were within 1% of the value of average expenditures for clients served by all companies.)

One reason to restrict the data collection to large companies is that those companies tend to high quality information systems and are more likely to have existing data exchange procedures with the grantee's LIHEAP office and thereby can minimize reporting burden. Those grantees that request data from larger companies (including larger delivered fuel vendors) have found that automated procedures make reporting burden independent of sample size. For that reason, the vendors are able to report on all clients served by the program and thereby can furnish statistically robust data on the energy burden outcomes for clients.

For most grantees, the top 5 electric and gas companies serve 75% or more of LIHEAP clients using those fuels. For the small number of grantees that were reviewed by OCS, the top 10 fuel oil and LPG companies serve at least one-third of LIHEAP grantees using those fuels. For most grantees, those sample sizes are sufficient to furnish statistically robust estimates.

In a few jurisdictions (e.g., Tennessee and Nebraska), the electricity and/or natural gas markets are not so concentrated. In those jurisdictions, a large number of companies might need to be included in the reporting requirements to furnish robust estimates. However, those are balanced out by some small states that have only one or two major electric and/or natural gas utilities.

#### **Attachment 1**

## Section 2610 (42 USC 8629).

- (a) The Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall provide for the collection of data, including--
  - (1) information concerning home energy consumption;
  - (2) the amount, cost and type of fuels used for households eligible for assistance under this title;
    - (3) the type of fuel used by various income groups;
  - (4) the number and income levels of households assisted by this title;
  - (5) the number of households which received such assistance and include one or more individuals who are 60 years or older or disabled or include young children; and
- (6) any other information which the Secretary determines to be reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of this title. Nothing in this subsection may be construed to require the Secretary to collect data which has been collected and made available to the Secretary by any other agency of the Federal Government.
- (b) The Secretary shall, no later than June 30 of each fiscal year, submit a report to the Congress containing a detailed compilation of the data under subsection (a) with respect to the prior fiscal year, and a report that describes for the prior fiscal year--
  - (1) the manner in which States carry out the requirements of clauses (2), (5), (8), and (15) of section 2605(b); and
  - (2) the impact of each State's program on recipient and eligible households.

(42 U.S.C. 8629)

OMB Control No. 0970-0449

#### LIHEAP Performance Data Form for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2017

Expiration Date: XX/XX/XX

Module 1 - LIHEAP Grantee Survey

## SECTION I. GRANTEE INFORMATION Grantee Name: Date: Contact Person: Phone Number: SECTION II. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The 50 States and the District of Columbia are required to complete the LIHEAP Grantee Survey Section of the LIHEAP Performance Data Form in providing estimates of sources and uses of funds, average benefits, and the maximum income cutoff in dollars for a 4-person household as of 10/1/16 for each type of LIHEAP assistance provided in FFY 2017.

Additionally, the 50 states and the District of Columbia are required to complete the LIHEAP Performance Measurement Section of the LIHEAP Performance Data Form. This Federal Report provides data on three required LIHEAP Performance Measures for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2017, the period of October 1, 2016 - September 30, 2017. The Report consists of the following sections: (1) Energy Burden Targeting, (2) Restoration of Home Energy Service, and (3) Prevention of Loss of Home Energy Service.

Timely response to questions on this report is mandatory. The information will be used to respond to Congressional inquiries, to calculate LIHEAP benefit targeting, and to provide Federal Fiscal Year data for the Department's annual LIHEAP Report to Congress that is required under Section 2610 of Public Law 967-35, as amended. The data are also used in measuring LIHEAP performance under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993, as amended by the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010. As the reported data are aggregated, the information in this report is not considered to be confidential.

SECTION III. ESTIMATED S	OURCES OF LIHEAP FUNDS	
	ALL OF FFY 2017 10/1/2016 TO	9/30/2017)
	Amount Rounded to the Neare	est Dollar
A. All funds Except Leveraging Incentive Awards (Items 1-8)		
FFY LIHEAP Block Grant Allotment (Net of Indian Tribal Set-Asides)	\$0	
1.111 Elli Eni Diok Ofalit Alloutient (Net of Indian Tribal Gerhaldes)	40	
2. FFY Emergency Contingency Funds (Net of Indian Tribal Set-Asides)	\$0	
3. LIHEAP Block Grant Funds Realloted to FFY	\$0	
S. EITEAL Block Grant Funds (Vealibled to 11 )	40	
4. Previous FFY Unobligated Emergency Contingency Funds, not Subject to 10% Carryover Limit	\$0	
5. Reserved		
0. 116261 FEW		
All Funds Carried Over From Previous FFY (except Funds in item 4 and 10 in this Section)	\$0	
Petroleum Violation Escrow (Oil Overcharge) Funds Obligated in FFY	\$0	
7. Teadleath Violation Escrew (oil Overcharge) Funds Obligated III 1 1	40	
8. FFY Residential Energy Assistance Challenge (REACH) Program	\$0	
B. Leveraging Incentive Award (Items 9-10)		
Entertaining incontino Amara (nonto e-ro)		
9. FFY Leveraging Incentive Award	\$0	
10. Previous FFY Leveraging Incentive Award obligated in FFY	\$0	
To the second of		
C. Estimated Total Sources of Funds (Items 1-10)		
11. Sum of Items 1-10. This should equal the sum in Section IV. Column D, Item 13.	\$0	
11. Com or nemo 1 10. This should equal the sum in occasion 14. Column D, nem 10.	40	

#### SECTION IV. ESTIMATED USES OF LIHEAP FUNDS All of FFY 2017 (10/1/2016) to 9/30/2017) A. Type of LIHEAP Assistance (Items 1-4) Amount Rounded to the Nearest Dollar Amount Rounded to the Nearest Dollar Average | Maximum Annual Dollar Income for 4Household Benefit | Person Household as of 10/1/16 Total Funds/Awards 1. Heating Assistance Benefits \$0 \$0 2. Cooling Assistance Benefits Crisis Benefits by Type: a. Winter Crisis Benefits b. Summer Crisis Benefits c. Year-round Crisis Benefits \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **\$**0 d. Other Crisis Benefits \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 (1) Specify: (3) Specify: 4. Weatherization Assistance Benefits \$0 \$0

			Bill Payment	-Assisted Househo	old Main Fuel	
All Households with 12 Consecutive Months of Bill Data (Main Fuel and Electric)	All Households	Electricity	Natural Gas	Fuel Oil	Propane	Other Fuels
<ol><li>Average Annual Total LIHEAP Benefit per Household (including Heating, Cooling, Crisis, Supplemental Benefits)</li></ol>						
B. Other Permitted Uses of LIHEAP Funds (Items 6-14)						
6. Nominal Payments		S	0			
7. FFY Unobligated Funds (excluding funds in Items 8 & 9) Carried Over to next FFY		S	0			
8. FFY Allowable Unobligated Emergency Contingency Funds, not Subject to 10% Carryover Limit, Obligated in next FFY		S	0			
9. FFY Leveraging Incentive Award Obligated in next FFY		S	0			
10. Reserved						
11. FFY LIHEAP Block Grant Allotment Used to Identify, Develop & Demonstrate Leveraging Incentive Activities		SI	0			
12. Assurance 16 Activities		S	0			
13. FFY Residential Energy Assistance Challenge (REACH) Program		S	0			
14. Administration/Planning Costs		S	0			
•						
C. Estimated Total Uses of Funds (Items 1-4 and 6-14)		SI	0			
·						
15. Sum of Items 1-4 and 6-14. This should equal the sum in Section III. Column D, Item 11.		S	0			
	•					
Q1. Obligated funding for a given type of assistance in current FFY, but will serve households in the subsequent FFY		yes	/no			
		·				
Q2. Average Household Benefits are estimated due to unique program operation, rather than directly calculated		yes	/no			
		,				
Notes: Include any notes: Please indicate type of LIHEAP assistance and item being referenced						

Notes: Include any notes. Please indicate type of LIHEAP assistance and item being referenced.

			Bill Paymen	t-Assisted Househo	old Main Fuel	
	All Households	Electricity	Natural Gas	Fuel Oil	Propane	Other Fuels
Unduplicated Number of LIHEAP Bill Payment-Assisted Households	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
All Households with 12 Consecutive Months of Bill Data (Main Fuel and Electric)						
Unduplicated Number of Households with 12 Consecutive Months of Bill Data (Main Fuel and Electric)	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
Average Annual Household Income	Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Average Annual Total LIHEAP Benefit per Household (including Heating, Cooling, Crisis, Supplemental Benefits)	Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Average Annual Main Heating Fuel Bill	Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Average Annual Electricity Bill	Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Average Annual Total Residential Energy Bill	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calcula
7. Average Annual Burden Before Receiving LIHEAP	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calcula
8. Average Annual Burden After Receiving LIHEAP	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculat
9. Average Percentage Point Change in Energy Burden	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculat
10. Average Percentage Reduction in Energy Burden	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculat
High Burden Households with 12 Consecutive Months of Bill Data (Main Fuel and Electric)						
<ol> <li>Unduplicated Number of High Burden Households (Top 25%) with 12 Consecutive Months of Bill Data (Main Fuel ar</li> </ol>	nd Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
Average Annual Household Income for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<ol> <li>Average Annual Total LIHEAP Benefit per High Burden Household (including Heating, Cooling, Crisis, Supplemental)</li> </ol>	Be Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Average Annual Main Heating Fuel Bill for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Average Annual Electricity Bill for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Average Annual Total Residential Energy Bill for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calcula
7. Average Annual Burden Before Receiving LIHEAP for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calcula
Average Annual Burden After Receiving LIHEAP for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calcula
Average Percentage Point Change in Energy Burden for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calcula
10. Average Percentage Reduction in Energy Burden for High Burden Households	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculat
Benefit Targeting Index for High Burden Households:	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculat
Burden Reduction Targeting Index for High Burden Households:	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculated	Auto-Calculat
VI. RESTORATION OF	HOME ENERGY	SERVICE	'	,	•	•
			Energy Source (	where LIHEAP bene	efit was applied)	
All Occurrences of LIHEAP Households that Had:	All Occurrences	Electricity	Natural Gas	Fuel Oil	Propane	Other Fuel:
1. Energy Service Restored After Disconnection	Auto-Calculated	0	0			
2. Fuel Delivered to Home that Ran Out of Fuel	Auto-Calculated			0	0	0
3. Repair/Replacement of Inoperable Home Energy Equipment	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
VII. PREVENTION OF LOS	S OF HOME ENER	GY SERVICE				
		Energy Source (where LIHEAP benefit was applied)				
All Occurrences of LIHEAP Households that Had:	All Occurrences	Electricity	Natural Gas	Fuel Oil	Propane	Other Fuel
1. Past Due Notice or Utility Disconnect Notice	Auto-Calculated	0	0			
2. Imminent Risk of Running out of Fuel	Auto-Calculated			0	0	0
3. Repair/Replacement of Operable Equipment to Prevent Imminent Home Energy Loss	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
DTES: Include any notes.						
VIII. CERTIFICATION FOR  I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for Administration and						

OMB Control No. 0970-0449					Expiration Date: XX/XX/XX		
	LIHEAP F	Performance Measu	res				
	Module 3	3 - OPTIONAL MEASUR	RES				
	V. ENERGY BURDEI	N TARGETING (OPTIONAL	MEASURES)				
		T		Bill Payment	-Assisted Househo	d Main Fuel	
A. All Households with 12 Consecutive Me	onths of Bill Data (Main Fuel and Electric)	All Households	Electricity	Natural Gas	Fuel Oil	Propane	Other Fuel
Average Annual Electricity Usage	·	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
2. Average Annual Main Heating Usage		Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	
J. High Burden Households with 12 Conse	ecutive Months of Bill Data (Main Fuel and Electric)						
<ol> <li>Average Annual Electricity Usage for High E</li> </ol>	Burden	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
Main Heating Usage for High Burden		Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	
. Unduplicated Number of LIHEAP Bill Pa	ryment-Assisted Households that Use:						
<ol> <li>Electricity as Supplemental Heating Fuel</li> </ol>		Auto-Calculated		0	0	0	0
Wood as Supplemental Heating Fuel		Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
3. Other Supplemental Heating Fuel		Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
Central Air Conditioning		Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
Window/Wall A/C (including evaporative co	ooler)	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
	VII. DEGTODATION OF HOM	IE ENERGY AERUNAE (AR		550			
	VI. RESTORATION OF HOM	IE ENERGY SERVICE (OP	HONAL MEASU	RES)			
		_					
					<b>Energy Source</b>		
A. Number of All LIHEAP-Assisted Househ	olds that Had:	All Households	Electricity	Natural Gas	Fuel Oil	Propane	Other Fue
Unduplicated Count of Restorations of Home	Energy Service	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
		'					
	VII. PREVENTION OF LOSS OF	HOME ENERGY SERVICE	(OPTIONAL ME	ASURES)			
		Ī			Energy Source		
A. Number of All LIHEAP-Assisted Househ	olds that Had:	All Households	Electricity	Natural Gas	Fuel Oil	Propane	Other Fue
Unduplicated Count of Preventions of Loss of	f Home Energy Service	Auto-Calculated	0	0	0	0	0
		•					
		FICATION FOR OPTIONAL D					
	belief that this report is correct and complete for Administra	ation and Congressional overs	ight the program a	and for the purposes	set forth in the awa		
<ol> <li>Typed or Printed Name and Title of Aut</li> </ol>							ıbmitted:

See Section I of the 2014 Report to Congress, found here: https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ocs/fy14\_liheap\_rtc\_final.pdf

Title: LIHEAP Performance Data Form for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) XXXX.

OMB No.: 0970-0449.

Description: In response to the 2010 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report, Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program—Greater Fraud Prevention Controls are Needed (GAO-10-621), and in consideration of the recommendations issued by the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) Performance Measures Implementation Work Group, the Office of Community Services (OCS) required the collection and reporting of the new performance measures by state LIHEAP grantees and the District of Columbia. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the LIHEAP Performance Data Form (LPDF) in November 2014 (OMB Clearance No. 0970-0449) that expires on October 31, 2017. The LPDF provides for the collection of the following LIHEAP performance measures which are considered to be developmental as part of the LPDF:

- 1. The benefit targeting index for high burden households receiving LIHEAP fuel assistance;
- 2. The burden reduction targeting index for high burden households receiving LIHEAP fuel assistance;
- 3. The number of households where LIHEAP prevented a potential home energy crisis; and
- 4. The number of households where LIHEAP benefits restored home energy.

All State LIHEAP grantees and the District of Columbia are required to complete the LPDF data through the Administration for Children and Families' (ACF) web-based data collection and reporting system, the Online Data Collection (OLDC), which is available at: <a href="https://home.grantsolutions.gov/home">https://home.grantsolutions.gov/home</a>. The reporting requirements will be described through OLDC.

The previous OMB-approved *LIHEAP Grantee Survey* on sources and uses of LIHEAP funds was added in 2014 to the LPDF as an addition to the LIHEAP performance data. No substantive changes are being proposed for this data collection activity. A sample of the draft form is available for viewing here: <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/ocs/resource/funding-applications">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/ocs/resource/funding-applications</a>.

The form is divided into the following three modules to add clarity:

#### **Module 1. LIHEAP Grantee Survey (Required Reporting)**

Module 1 of the LPDF will continue to require the following data from each state for the federal fiscal year:

- Grantee information,
- sources and uses of LIHEAP funds,
- average LIHEAP household benefits, and
- maximum income cutoffs for 4-person households for each type of LIHEAP assistance provided by each grantee for the fiscal year.

### **Module 2. LIHEAP Performance Measures (Required Reporting)**

Module 2 of the *LPDF* will continue to require the following data from each state for the federal fiscal year:

- Grantee information.
- energy burden targeting,
- restoration of home energy service, and
- prevention of loss of home energy.

#### **Module 3. LIHEAP Performance Measures (Optional Reporting)**

Module 3 of the LIHEAP *LPDF* will continue to voluntarily collect the following additional information from each interested grantee for the federal fiscal year:

- Average annual energy usage,
- Unduplicated number of households using supplemental heating fuel and air conditioning,
- Unduplicated number of households that had restoration of home energy service,
   and
- Unduplicated number of households that had prevention of loss of home energy.

Based on the data collected in the LPDF:

- ACF will provide reliable and complete LIHEAP fiscal and household data to Congress in the Department's annual *LIHEAP Report to Congress*.
- ACF will calculate LHEAP performance measures and report the results through the annual budget development process and in LIHEAP's annual Congressional Justification (CJ) under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993.
- ACF and grantees will be informed about the impact LIHEAP has with respect to LIHEAP households' home energy burden (the proportion of their income spent towards their home heating and cooling bills), including information on the difference between the average recipient and high burden recipients, restoring home energy service, and preventing loss of home energy service.
- ACF will be able to respond to questions on sources and uses of LIHEAP funds from the Congress, Department, OMB, White House, and other interested parties in a timely manner.
- LIHEAP grantees will be able to compare their own results to the results for other states, as well as to regional and national results, through the Data Warehouse of the LIHEAP Performance Management Web site as they manage their programs.

Respondents: State Governments and the District of Columbia.

### **Annual Burden Estimates**

The table below shows the estimated data collection and reporting burden for the LPDF as reported in 2014. These estimates are based on a small number of interviews conducted in 2014 with grantees, sub-grantees, and energy vendors. In support of this submission requesting comments, ACF is currently re-assessing the level of effort to collect and report the required data in order to develop updated burden estimates. The original 2014 estimates were based on grantee reporting capabilities at that time and included time and costs for initial system development. However, since most grantees have improved their reporting capabilities, many grantees have completed their system development, and ACF has furnished reporting resources and technical assistance to assist grantees, it is expected that the time and costs associated with reporting are lower than the original 2014 estimates show below.

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Module 1: Grantee Survey				
Grantees	51	1	3.5	178.50

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
	Module 2	2: Performance Measures		
Grantees	51	1	100	5,100
Sub-Grantees (in states with sub-grantee managed systems)  Large Energy Vendors (largest 5 electric, 5 gas, 10 fuel oil, and 10 propane vendors per	200 (estimate)	1	80 hours 40 hours	16,000
state—average) Small Energy Vendors (excluded except in special circumstances)	(estimate)	1	40 nours 10	61,200 2,000
Total Annual Burden Hours	2,032	1	Varies	84,478

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 168,956.50.

In compliance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chap 35), the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 330 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20201. Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. Email address: <a href="mailto:infocollection@acf.hhs.gov">infocollection@acf.hhs.gov</a>. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Mary Jones, Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017-21774 Filed 10-10-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-80-P

## NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

Date 10/24/2014

Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families

FOR CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: Franklin Baitman FOR CLEARANCE OFFICER: Darius Taylor

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB has taken action on your request received <u>06/05/2014</u>

ACTION REQUESTED: New collection (Request for a new OMB Control Number)

TYPE OF REVIEW REQUESTED: Regular

ICR REFERENCE NUMBER:  $\frac{201406-0970-003}{OCS}$ 

AGENCY ICR TRACKING NUMBER:

TITLE: LIHEAP Performance Measures

LIST OF INFORMATION COLLECTIONS: See next page

OMB ACTION: Approved without change

OMB CONTROL NUMBER: 0970-0449

The agency is required to display the OMB Control Number and inform respondents of its legal significance in

accordance with 5 CFR 1320.5(b).

EXPIRATION DATE: 10/31/2017

#### **DISCONTINUE DATE:**

BURDEN:	RESPONSES	HOURS	COSTS
Previous	0	0	0
New	2,032	84,479	5,000,000
Difference			
Change due to New Statute	0	0	0
Change due to Agency Discretion	2,032	84,479	5,000,000
Change due to Agency Adjustment	0	0	0
Change due to PRA Violation	0	0	0

### TERMS OF CLEARANCE:

OMB Authorizing Official: Dominic J. Mancini

Acting Deputy Administrator,

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs