SETO 2020 Peer Review: Reviewer Checkout Questionnaire

* 1. Overall, how would you rate this event?

◯ Excellent

◯ Above Average

◯ Average

◯ Below Average

◯ Poor

2. What was your role?

◯ Reviewer

◯ Lead Reviewer

◯ Chair

* 3. Which track(s) did you participate in?

☐ Photovoltaics

☐ Concentrating Solar-Thermal Power

☐ Systems Integration

☐ Soft Costs

☐ Planning and Strategy

* 4. How would you rate the track breakout sessions?

◯ Excellent

◯ Above Average

◯ Average

◯ Below Average

◯ Poor

* 5. How would you rate the plenary session?

( ) Excellent

( ) Above Average

( ) Average

( ) Below Average

( ) Poor

* 6. How likely is it that you would participate in this event in the future or would recommend a colleague to do so?

0 (Not at all likely)	1	2	3	4	5 (Neutral)	6	7	8	9	10 (Extremely likely)
( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )

* 7. How well do you feel you understand the scope of SETO's goals and project portfolio?

( ) A great deal

( ) A lot

( ) A moderate amount

( ) A little

( ) None at all

* 8. Has your view of SETO changed as a result of this event?

( ) Much More Favorable

( ) More Favorable

( ) Unchanged

( ) Less Favorable

( ) Much Less Favorable

* 9. How well did the resources we provided prepare you for the peer review event? (Ex: informational emails, webinars, instruction guides)

( ) Exceptionally prepared

( ) Well prepared

( ) Adequately prepared

( ) Poorly prepared

( ) Not prepared at all

* 10. How do you feel the switch to a virtual event affected your ability to give valuable feedback?

○ Much better

○ Better

○ About the same

○ Worse

○ Much worse

11. Is there anything else you would like us to know or would you like to elaborate on any of the above?

Your response to this question is optional.