

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-
Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments)
October 2017**

Part A of the Supporting Statement

1. Identification of the Information Collection

1(a) Title of the Information Collection

“NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments),” EPA ICR Number 1805.09, OMB Control Number 2060-0377.

1(b) Short Characterization/Abstract

The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills was proposed on April 15, 1998, promulgated on January 12, 2001, and most recently amended on April 20, 2006. The NESHAP is codified at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM. Amendments to the NESHAP were proposed on December 30, 2016 and are being finalized as a result of the residual risk and technology review (RTR) required under the Clean Air Act (CAA) (as discussed further below). The NESHAP applies to kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semichemical pulp mills that have chemical recovery combustion sources and that emit greater than or equal to 10 tons per year (tpy) of any one hazardous air pollutant (HAP) or greater than or equal to 25 tpy of any combination of HAPs. Affected sources include recovery furnaces, smelt dissolving tanks (SDTs), and lime kilns at kraft and soda pulp mills and chemical recovery combustion units at sulfite and stand-alone semichemical pulp mills. The pollutants regulated include HAP metals, using particulate matter (PM) as a surrogate, and gaseous organic HAP, using methanol or total hydrocarbon (THC) as a surrogate, depending on the affected source. New facilities include those that commenced construction or reconstruction after the date of the original proposal (April 15, 1998). This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM.

In general, all NESHAP require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. Owners/operators are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any failures to meet applicable standards, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all sources subject to NESHAP. A semiannual report is also required.

Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least 5 years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records. All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In

the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Regional office.

The final amendments to the rule eliminate the startup, shutdown, and malfunction (SSM) exemption; remove the SSM plan requirement; add periodic emissions testing; revise the opacity monitoring allowances; add a requirement to maintain proper operation of the electrostatic precipitator (ESP) automatic voltage control (AVC) for recovery furnaces and lime kilns equipped with ESPs; add electronic submittal of selected notifications, semiannual reports, and performance test reports; and make technical and editorial changes. The remaining portions of the NESHAP remain unchanged.

Of 167 major source mills, an estimated 107 mills have equipment subject to the standard. This estimate consists of 97 kraft pulp mills, 1 soda pulp mill, 3 sulfite pulp mills, and 6 stand-alone semichemical pulp mills. These estimates are based on the research conducted by the EPA during the subpart MM RTR rulemaking, consultation with the industry, and an information collection request (ICR) conducted by EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) in 2011. The EPA is also aware that this industry is undergoing widespread consolidation and corporate restructuring, and that no new major source facilities are being built, though one existing facility on average is expected to construct new process units each year over the 3-year period of this ICR that will be subject to Subpart MM. Mills can have more than one process unit (e.g., recovery furnace, SDT, lime kiln) onsite. Based on current industry trends, any new furnace is expected to be a non-direct contact evaporator (NDCE) recovery furnace equipped with a dry ESP system.

None of the 107 kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semichemical pulp mills in the United States are owned by state, local, or tribal governments or the Federal government. They are owned and operated by privately-owned for-profit businesses.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the currently active ICR without any "Terms of Clearance."

2. Need for and Use of the Collection

2(a) Need/Authority for the Collection

Section 112 of the CAA requires the EPA to establish NESHAP for major sources of HAP that are listed for regulation under CAA section 112(c). A major source is a stationary source that emits or has the potential to emit more than 10 tpy of any single HAP or more than 25 tpy of any combination of HAP. For major sources, the NESHAP includes technology-based standards that must reflect the maximum degree of emission reductions of HAP achievable (after considering cost, energy requirements, and non-air quality health and environmental impacts). The NESHAP are commonly referred to as maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standards. In the Administrator's judgment, HAP emissions, including acetaldehyde, benzene, formaldehyde, methanol, methyl isobutyl ketone, phenol, styrene, toluene, and xylenes, from chemical recovery combustion sources at kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semichemical pulp mills cause or contribute to air pollution that may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public

health or welfare. Therefore, the NESHAP for this source category were promulgated at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM in 2001.

Section 112(d)(6) of the CAA requires the EPA to review the technology-based MACT standards and revise them “as necessary (taking into account developments in practices, processes, and control technologies)” no less frequently than every 8 years. In addition, section 112(f) of the CAA requires the EPA to determine whether the MACT emissions limitations provide an ample margin of safety to protect public health. For MACT standards for HAP “classified as a known, probable, or possible human carcinogen” that “do not reduce lifetime excess cancer risks to the individual most exposed to emissions from a source in the category or subcategory to less than 1-in-1 million,” the EPA must promulgate residual risk standards for the source category (or subcategory) as necessary to provide an ample margin of safety to protect public health. In doing so, EPA may adopt standards equal to existing MACT standards, if the EPA determines that the existing standards are sufficiently protective. The EPA must also adopt more stringent standards, if necessary, to prevent an adverse environmental effect, but must consider cost, energy, safety, and other relevant factors in doing so.

Certain records and reports are necessary for the Administrator to confirm the compliance status of sources subject to NESHAP, identify any new or reconstructed sources subject to the standards, and confirm that the standards are being achieved on a continuous basis. These recordkeeping and reporting requirements are specifically authorized by section 114 of the CAA (42 U.S.C. 7414) and set out in the part 63 NESHAP General Provisions (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A). CAA Section 114(a) states that the Administrator may require any owner or operator subject to any requirement of this Act to:

- (A) Establish and maintain such records;
- (B) make such reports;
- (C) install, use, and maintain such monitoring equipment, and use such audit procedures, or methods;
- (D) sample such emissions (in accordance with such procedures or methods, at such locations, at such intervals, during such periods, and in such manner as the Administrator shall prescribe);
- (E) keep records on control equipment parameters, production variables or other indirect data when direct monitoring of emissions is impractical;
- (F) submit compliance certifications in accordance with Section 114(a)(3);
- and (G) provide such other information as the Administrator may reasonably require.

2(b) Practical Utility/Users of the Data

The control of emissions of HAP from chemical recovery combustion sources at kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semichemical pulp mills requires not only the installation of properly designed equipment, but also the operation and maintenance of that equipment. Emissions of HAP from these sources are the result of operation of the affected sources.

The standards are achieved by the reduction of pollutant emissions using process changes and control technology. The notifications required in the standards are used to inform the

Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the requirements of the regulations. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated, leaks are being detected and repaired, and the standards are being met.

Performance test reports are needed, as these are the Agency's record of a source's initial and ongoing capability to comply with the emission standards and serve as a record of the operating conditions under which compliance was achieved. The semiannual reports are used for problem identification, as a check on source operation and maintenance and for compliance determinations.

The information generated by the monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements described in this ICR is used by the Agency to ensure that facilities affected by the NESHAP continue to operate their control equipment and achieve continuous compliance with the regulation. Adequate monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting are necessary to ensure compliance with these standards, as required by the CAA. The information collected from recordkeeping and reporting requirements is also used for targeting inspections, and is of sufficient quality to be used as evidence in court.

3. Nonduplication, Consultations, and Other Collection Criteria

The recordkeeping and reporting requested is required under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM.

3(a) Nonduplication

If the subject standards have not been delegated, the information is sent directly to the appropriate EPA regional office. Otherwise, the information is sent directly to the delegated state or local agency. If a state or local agency has adopted its own similar standards to implement the Federal standards, a copy of the report submitted to the state or local agency can be sent to the Administrator in lieu of the report required by the Federal standards. Therefore, no duplication exists.

Some of the facilities subject to this NESHAP will also be subject to New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Kraft Pulp Mills, 40 CFR Part 60 Subparts BB or BBa. An effort has been made to eliminate any duplication of information-gathering efforts by allowing facilities to combine excess emissions and/or summary reports for the facility, as indicated in §63.867(c)(5) of Subpart MM.

3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission to OMB

This section is not applicable because this is a rule-related ICR. Nevertheless, the preamble to the proposed RTR (81 FR 97046) provided public notice of this ICR. One public comment specifically relating to the burden estimates in this ICR was received. This ICR was updated following proposal to respond to this comment, reflect changes made to the final rule as a result of other public comments, and update the inventory of sources.

3(c) Consultations

Stakeholder outreach occurred prior to proposal with industry groups, including American Forest and Paper Association (AF&PA), National Council for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI), and member companies of AF&PA. Further stakeholder and public input occurred through public comment on the proposed amendments and follow-up meetings with interested stakeholders.

In addition, the EPA/OAQPS conducted a three-part ICR in 2011 to gather data from the pulp and paper industry. The results from Part III of this ICR were used in updating the burden estimates contained in this supporting statement.

3(d) Effects of Less Frequent Collection

The final amendments decrease the frequency of excess emissions reporting from quarterly to semiannually. Information collection less frequent than semiannually would decrease the margin of assurance that facilities are continuing to meet the standards. Requirements for information gathering and recordkeeping are useful techniques to ensure that good operation and maintenance practices are applied and emission limitations are met. If the information required by these standards was collected less frequently, the likelihood of detecting poor operation and maintenance of control equipment and noncompliance would decrease.

3(e) General Guidelines

None of these reporting or recordkeeping requirements violate any of the regulations established by OMB under 5 CFR 1320.5.

3(f) Confidentiality

Any information submitted to the Agency for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, Chapter 1, Part 2, Subpart B - Confidentiality of Business Information (CBI) (see 40 CFR 2; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979).

3(g) Sensitive Questions

None of the reporting or recordkeeping requirements contain sensitive questions.

4. The Respondents and the Information Requested

4(a) Respondents/NAICS Codes

The respondents to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are chemical recovery combustion sources at kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semichemical pulp mills. The North

American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes for respondents affected by the standards are listed in the table below.

Standard (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM)	NAICS Codes
Pulp Mills	32211
Paper Mills	32212
Paperboard Mills	32213

4(b) Information Requested

(i) Data Items

All data in this ICR that are recorded and/or reported are required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM. Subpart MM references 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A for several general reporting and recordkeeping requirements that apply for all NESHAP.

A source must make the following notifications and reports:

Notifications/Reports	
Requirement	Regulation Reference (40 CFR Part 63)
Construction/reconstruction	63.5(d)
Actual startup	63.9(b)(4)(v)
Applicability of standard	63.9(b)(2)
Performance test	63.7(b), 63.9(e)
Results of performance test	63.10(d)(2)
Electronic submittal of selected notifications and semiannual reports and performance test data	63.867(d)(1)-(2)
Performance evaluation	63.9(g)
Results of performance evaluation	63.10(e)(2)
Compliance status	63.9(h), 63.867(b)(1)-(2)
Excess emissions report (semiannual)	63.10(e)(3), 63.867(c)

A source must keep the following records:

Recordkeeping	
Requirement	Regulation Reference (40 CFR Part 63)
5 years retention of records	63.10(b)(1)
Records of performance tests	63.10(b)(2)(viii)

Recordkeeping	
Documentation supporting initial notifications and notification of compliance status	63.10(b)(2)(xiv)
Exceedances under section 63.864(k) requiring corrective action and violations	63.866(b)
Black liquor solids firing rates for all recovery furnaces and semichemical combustion units	63.866(c)(1)
Lime production rates for all lime kilns	63.866(c)(2)
All parameter monitoring data required in section 63.864	63.866(c)(3)
Supporting calculations for compliance determinations made under section 63.865(a) through (d)	63.866(c)(4)
Compliant parameter operating limits established for each affected source or process unit	63.866(c)(5)
Certification that an NDCE recovery furnace equipped with a dry ESP system is used to comply with the gaseous organic HAP standard in section 63.862(c)(1)	63.866(c)(6)
Bag leak detection system alarms and corrective actions	63.866(c)(7)
Compliance with requirement to maintain proper operation of ESP's AVC	63.866(c)(8)
Number, timing, and duration of failures to meet applicable standards	63.866(d)(1)
For each failure, a list of affected sources or equipment, noncompliant emissions estimates, and method used to estimate emissions	63.866(d)(2)
Actions taken to minimize emissions and corrective actions taken to return affected unit to normal operation	63.866(d)(3)

Electronic Reporting

Currently, sources are using monitoring equipment that provides automated parameter data (e.g., continuous opacity or control device parameter monitoring). Although personnel at the facilities still need to evaluate the data, this type of monitoring equipment has significantly reduced the burden associated with monitoring and recordkeeping. Modern pulp and paper facilities employ distributive controls on their manufacturing process and have integrated many of the compliance recordkeeping and reporting requirements into their systems. In addition, some regulatory agencies are setting up electronic reporting systems to allow sources to report electronically, which is reducing the reporting burden. As part of the RTR amendments, respondents are required to submit performance test results to the EPA through the EPA's Compliance and Emissions Data Reporting Interface (CEDRI), for data collected using test methods supported by the EPA's Electronic Reporting Tool (ERT).¹ The performance test data must be submitted in a file format generated through the use of the EPA's ERT or an alternate electronic file format consistent with the extensible markup language (XML) schema listed on

¹ As of December 2016, all test methods referenced in subpart MM are included in the ERT. <https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ert/update%20history.pdf>.

the EPA's ERT Web site. Respondents are also required to submit selected notifications and semiannual reports through the EPA's CEDRI.

(ii) Respondent Activities

The respondent activities required by Subpart MM are listed in the following table.

Respondent Activities
Read and understand the rule requirements.
Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate CMS.
Conduct performance tests using EPA Reference Methods 1, 1A, 2, 2A, 2C, 2D, 2F, 2G, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 5, 17, 25A, 29, or 308, and repeat performance tests if necessary.
Write the notifications and reports listed above.
Develop a record system (e.g., develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of processing information).
Enter information required to be recorded above.
Train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information.
Transmit, or otherwise disclose the information.
Adjust existing ways to comply with previously applicable instructions and requirements.

5. The Information Collected: Agency Activities, Collection Methodology, and Information Management

5(a) Agency Activities

The EPA conducts the following activities in connection with the acquisition, analysis, storage, and distribution of the required information.

Agency Activities
Observe initial and periodic performance tests and retests.
Review reports, including performance test reports and excess emissions reports, required to be submitted by industry.
Review notifications, including notifications of construction/reconstruction, actual startup, applicability of standard, performance test, performance evaluation, and compliance status.

5(b) Collection Methodology and Management

Following notification of startup, the reviewing authority could inspect the source to determine whether the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated. Performance test reports are used by the Agency to discern a source's initial capability to comply with the emission standard and note the operating conditions under which compliance was achieved. Data and records maintained by the respondents are tabulated and published for use in compliance and enforcement programs. The semiannual reports are used for problem identification, as a check on source operation and maintenance, and for compliance determinations.

Information contained in the reports is entered into the EPA's Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO), which is operated and maintained by the EPA's Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance. ECHO is the EPA's database to provide integrated compliance and enforcement information for about 800,000 regulated facilities nationwide. The EPA uses ECHO for tracking air pollution compliance and enforcement by local and state regulatory agencies, EPA regional offices and EPA headquarters. The EPA and its delegated Authorities can edit, store, retrieve and analyze the data. ECHO allows users (including the public) to search and obtain information on permits data, inspections, violations, enforcement actions, and penalties.

The records required by this regulation must be retained by the owner/operator for 5 years.

5(c) Small Entity Flexibility

Most of the respondents are large entities (i.e., large businesses). However, the impact on small entities (i.e., small businesses) was taken into consideration during the development of the regulation. Three small entities are anticipated to be minimally affected by the RTR amendments. Due to technical considerations involving the process operations and the types of control equipment employed, the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are the same for both small and large entities. The Agency considers these requirements to be the minimum needed to ensure compliance and, therefore, cannot reduce them further for small entities. To the extent that larger businesses can use economies of scale to reduce their burden, the overall burden will be reduced.

5(d) Collection Schedule

The specific frequency for each information collection activity within this request is shown below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semicheical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments).

6. Estimating the Burden and Cost of the Collection

Table 1 documents the computation of individual burdens for the recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to the industry for the subpart included in this ICR. The individual burdens are expressed under standardized headings believed to be consistent with the concept of burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act. Where appropriate, specific tasks and major assumptions have been identified. Responses to this information collection are mandatory.

The Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden

The average annual burden to industry over the next 3 years from these recordkeeping and reporting requirements is estimated to be 124,085 hours per year (Total Labor Hours from Table 1). These hours are based on Agency studies and background documents from the development of the regulation, Agency knowledge and experience with the NESHAP program, the previously approved ICR for Subpart MM, and any comments received.

6(b) Estimating Respondent Costs

(i) Estimating Labor Costs

This ICR uses the following labor rates:

Civilian Worker Rates	Labor Rates, \$/hr ^a	110% Overhead	Total, \$/hr
Managerial	\$69.89	\$76.88	\$146.77
Technical	\$53.09	\$58.40	\$111.49
Clerical	\$25.75	\$28.33	\$54.08

^a <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.t02.htm>

These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, December 2016, "Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group." The rates are from column 1, "Total compensation." The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry.

(ii) Estimating Capital/Startup and O&M Costs

The type of industry costs associated with the information collection activities in the subject standard are both labor costs (which are addressed elsewhere in this ICR) and the costs associated with continuous monitoring, performance testing, and other compliance activities. The capital/startup costs are one-time costs when a facility becomes subject to the regulation and include startup cost for continuous monitoring systems (CMS) and the purchase of stack testing services. The annual operation and maintenance (O&M) costs are the ongoing costs to maintain

the monitors.

(iii) Capital/Startup Costs

The table below summarizes the capital/startup costs associated with performance tests. We estimate that O&M costs to maintain monitors are already included in the costs of existing monitors.

Capital/Startup Costs			
(A) Cost Item	(B) Capital/Startup Cost for One Respondent	(C) Number of Respondents	(D) Total Capital/ Startup Cost, (B x C)
Performance tests:			
Method 5 for PM	\$10,000	261	\$2,610,000
Method 25A for THC	\$14,000	5	\$70,000
Method 308 for methanol	\$14,000	6	\$84,000
Retests ^a			\$552,800
Total capital/startup cost			\$3,316,800
Total annualized capital cost ^b			
3% interest			\$724,389
7% interest			\$808,968

^a We estimate that 20% of respondents will repeat the performance test due to failure.

^b Annualized capital costs were estimated assuming a 5-year payment period at 3% and 7% interest for initial performance tests (with capital recovery factors of 0.218 and 0.244, respectively).

The total capital/startup costs for this ICR are \$3,316,800. This is the total of the capital/startup costs in column D in the above table. The annualized capital/startup costs are \$724,389 at 3 percent interest and \$808,968 at 7 percent interest.

6(c) Estimating Agency Burden and Cost

The only costs to the Agency are costs associated with observation of the initial performance tests and analysis of the reported information. Publication and distribution of the information are part of the ECHO program. Examination of records to be maintained by the respondents will occur as part of the periodic inspection of sources, which is part of the EPA's overall compliance and enforcement program. The average annual Agency cost during the 3 years of the ICR is estimated to be \$43,627.

This cost is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows:

Agency Worker Rates	Labor Rates, \$/hr^a	60% Overhead	Total, \$/hr
Managerial (GS-13, step 5)	\$40.50	\$24.30	\$64.80
Technical (GS-12, step 1)	\$30.05	\$18.03	\$48.08
Clerical (GS-6, step 3)	\$16.26	\$9.76	\$26.02

^a https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/pdf/2017/GS_h.pdf

These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 2017 General Schedule, which excludes locality rates of pay. The rates have been increased by 60 percent to account for the benefit packages available to government employees. Details upon which this estimate is based appear below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments).

6(d) Estimating the Respondent Universe and Total Burden and Costs

Based on our research for this ICR, there are approximately 107 existing sources currently subject to the standard, all of which will keep records and submit reports. It is estimated that one existing mill per year (for a total of three existing mills) will have new process units that will become subject to the regulation in the next 3 years. The average number of respondents is calculated using the following table that addresses the 3 years covered by this ICR.

Number of Respondents					
	Respondents That Submit Reports		Respondents That Do Not Submit Any Reports		
Year	(A) Number of New Respondents^a	(B) Number of Existing Respondents	(C) Number of Existing Respondents that keep records but do not submit reports	(D) Number of Existing Respondents That Are Also New Respondents	(E) Number of Respondents (E=A+B+C-D)
1	1	107	0	1	107
2	1	107	0	1	107
3	1	107	0	1	107
Average	1	107	0	1	107

^a New respondents include sources with constructed and reconstructed affected facilities.

Column D is subtracted to avoid double-counting respondents. As shown above, the average Number of Respondents over the 3-year period of this ICR is 107.

The total number of annual responses per year is calculated using the following table:

Total Annual Responses				
(A) Information Collection Activity ^a	(B) Number of Respondents	(C) Number of Responses	(D) Number of Existing Respondents That Keep Records But Do Not Submit Reports	(E) Total Annual Responses E=(BxC)+D
Notification of construction/ reconstruction	1	1	0	1
Notification of actual startup	1	1	0	1
Notification of applicability of standard	1	1	0	1
Notification of performance test/ retest	43	1	0	43
Notification of performance evaluation	43	1	0	43
Notification of compliance status	1	1	0	1
Report of performance test/retest	43	1	0	43
Semiannual report of monitoring exceedances and periods of noncompliance	5	2	0	10
Semiannual report of no exceedances	102	2	0	204
			Total	347

^a Performance test results, selected notifications, and semiannual reports submitted through CEDRI.

The number of Total Annual Responses is 347, all of which will be submitted electronically.

The total annual labor costs are \$13,405,001. Details regarding these estimates may be found below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments).

6(e) Bottom Line Burden Hours and Cost Tables

The detailed bottom line burden hours and cost calculations for the respondents and the Agency are shown in Tables 1 and 2 below, respectively, and summarized below.

(i) Respondent Tally

The total annual labor hours are 124,085. Details regarding these estimates may be found in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments). Furthermore, the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 358 hours per response.

The total annual capital/startup costs to the regulated entity are \$724,389 at 3 percent interest and \$808,968 at 7 percent interest. The cost calculations are detailed in Section 6(b)(iii), Capital/Startup Costs.

(ii) The Agency Tally

The average annual Agency burden and cost over next 3 years is estimated to be 889 labor hours at a cost of \$43,627. See Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments).

6(f) Reasons for Change in Burden

This ICR is prepared for amendments to the NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR, Part 63, Subpart MM). These amendments: (1) adjust references to the Part 63 General Provisions (40 CFR, Part 63, Subpart A) and revise provisions in the NESHAP (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) to remove the SSM exemption and SSM plan requirement; (2) add periodic emissions testing; (3) revise the opacity monitoring allowances; (4) add a requirement to maintain proper operation of the ESP's AVC for processes equipped with ESPs; (5) add electronic submittal of selected notifications, semiannual reports, and performance test reports; and (6) make technical and editorial changes. Where applicable, adjustments for these amendments are reflected in Tables 1 and 2 of this ICR.

The number of affected mills changed because of: (1) continued consolidation and closures within the pulp and paper industry, which reduced the number of mills previously affected by Subpart MM; and (2) updates to the number of affected mills based on EPA's 2011 pulp and paper sector survey and subsequent updates from other information sources.

Costs per labor hour increased due to increases in labor rates. In addition, the burden estimate for reading and understanding the rule requirements was increased to reflect the actual time it would take industry to review the amended rule. Burden estimates were added for the industry to prepare for/attend performance tests and retests, report the results of the performance tests/retests through CEDRI using the ERT, and adjust existing data acquisition systems to include startup and shutdown periods and the revised opacity monitoring allowances and to transition to submitting selected notifications and semiannual reports through CEDRI. Burden estimates were removed for developing SSM plans and submitting periodic SSM reports. Burden

estimates were reduced by changing the excess emissions reporting frequency from quarterly to semiannually.

6(g) Burden Statement

The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 358 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA regulations are listed at 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, the EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0741. An electronic version of the public docket is available at <http://www.regulations.gov/>, which may be used to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified in this document. The documents are also available for public viewing at the EPA Docket Center, EPA WJC West Building, Room Number 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (EST), Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Docket Center is (202) 566-1742. Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503. Please include the EPA Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0741 and OMB Control Number 2060-NEW in any correspondence.

Part B of the Supporting Statement

This part is not applicable because no statistical methods were used in data collection associated with the rule.

Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments)

Burden item	(A) Person hours per occurrence	(B) No. of occurrences per respondent per year	(C) Person hours per respondent per year (C=AxB)	(D) Respondent s per year ^a	(E) Technical person- hours per year (E=CxD)	(F) Management person hours per year (Ex0.05)	(G) Clerical person hours per year (Ex0.1)	(H) Total Cost per year ^b
1. Applications	N/A							
2. Surveys and studies	N/A							
3. Reporting requirements								
A. Read and understand rule requirements ^c	30	1	30	36	1,080	54	108	\$134,174
B. Required activities ^d								
Prepare for initial/periodic performance test	24	1	24	24	576	29	58	\$71,559
Attend initial/periodic performance test	24	2	48	24	1,152	58	115	\$143,119
Prepare for retest	24	1	24	5	120	6.0	12	\$14,908
Attend retest	24	2	48	5	240	12	24	\$29,816
C. Create information	See 3B							
D. Gather existing information	See 3B							
E. Write report								
Notifications ^{e-g}								
Notification of construction/reconstruction	2	1	2	1	2.0	0.10	0.20	\$248
Notification of actual startup	2	1	2	1	2.0	0.10	0.20	\$248
Notification of applicability of standard	2	1	2	1	2.0	0.10	0.20	\$248
Notification of compliance status	80	1	80	1	80	4.0	8.0	\$9,939
Notification of performance test/retest	2	1	2	43	86	4.3	8.6	\$10,684
Notification of performance evaluation	2	1	2	43	86	4.3	8.6	\$10,684
Report of performance test/retest (through CEDRI using ERT) ^h	8	1	8	43	344	17	34	\$42,737
Excess emissions report (through CEDRI) ⁱ								
Semiannual reports of monitoring exceedances and periods of noncompliance	16	2	32	5	160	8.0	16	\$19,878
Semiannual reports of no exceedances	8	2	16	102	1,632	82	163	\$202,751
Subtotal for Reporting Requirements						6,396		\$690,995
4. Recordkeeping requirements								
A. Read instructions	See 3A							
B. Plan activities	See 3B							

Burden item	(A) Person hours per occurrence	(B) No. of occurrences per respondent per year	(C) Person hours per respondent per year (C=AxB)	(D) Respondent s per year ^a	(E) Technical person- hours per year (E=CxD)	(F) Management person hours per year (Ex0.05)	(G) Clerical person hours per year (Ex0.1)	(H) Total Cost per year ^b
C. Implement activities	See 3B							
D. Develop record system ⁱ	40	1	40	1	40	2	4	\$4,969
E. Time to enter information								
Records and documentation of supporting calculations for compliance determinations ^k	8	1	8	43	344	17	34	\$42,737
Record of compliant monitoring parameter ranges	2	1	2	43	86	4.3	8.6	\$10,684
Records certifying that an NDCE recovery furnace equipped with a dry ESP system is used to comply with the gaseous organic HAP standard for kraft and soda recovery furnaces ^l	2	1	2	0.7	1.4	0.07	0.14	\$174
Records demonstrating compliance with requirement to maintain proper operation of ESP's AVC ^m	8	2	16	183	2,928	146	293	\$363,760
Records of failures to meet standards ⁿ	2	12	24	5	120	6.0	12	\$14,908
Records of black liquor solids firing rates for recovery furnaces and semichemical combustion units ^o	1.5	52	78	104	8,112	406	811	\$1,007,794
Records of lime production for lime kilns ^p	1.5	52	78	98	7,644	382	764	\$949,652
Records of CMS data ^q	0.5	1,050	525	107	56,175	2,809	5,618	\$6,978,898
F. Time to train personnel								
Initial training ^r	40	1	40	1	40	2.0	4.0	\$4,969
Refresher training ^s	16	1	16	107	1,712	86	171	\$212,690
G. Time to adjust existing ways to comply with previously applicable requirements ^t	80	1	80	36	2,880	144	288	\$357,797
H. Time to transmit or disclose information								
Compile data for semiannual periods ^u	96	2	192	107	20,544	1,027	2,054	\$2,552,283
Enter/verify information for semiannual reports ^v	8	2	16	107	1,712	86	171	\$212,690
I. Time for audits	N/A							
Subtotal for Recordkeeping Requirements						117,689		\$12,714,006
TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST						124,085		\$13,405,001
Capital/Startup Costs ^w								
Method 5 (PM)								\$2,610,000
Method 25A (THC)								\$70,000
Method 308 (methanol)								\$84,000
Retests								\$552,800
TOTAL CAPITAL/STARTUP COSTS								\$3,316,800

Burden item	(A) Person hours per occurrence	(B) No. of occurrences per respondent per year	(C) Person hours per respondent per year (C=AxB)	(D) Respondent s per year ^a	(E) Technical person- hours per year (E=CxD)	(F) Management person hours per year (Ex0.05)	(G) Clerical person hours per year (Ex0.1)	(H) Total Cost per year ^b
Annualized Capital Costs ^x								
3% interest								\$724,389
7% interest								\$808,968
Annual O&M Costs								N/A
TOTAL ANNUALIZED COSTS (Annualized Capital + O&M Costs)								
3% interest								\$724,389
7% interest								\$808,968

^a We estimate that the number of existing sources subject to the rule is 107 pulp mills. We estimate two new recovery furnaces, two new SDTs, and one new lime kiln, located at three existing pulp mills, will become subject to the rule, for an average of one mill with new affected sources per year over the 3-year ICR period.

^b This ICR uses the following labor rates: \$146.77 per hour for Managerial labor; \$111.49 per hour for Technical labor, and \$54.08 per hour for Clerical labor. These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, December 2016, Table 2. Civilian Workers, by Occupational and Industry groups. The rates are from column 1, Total Compensation. The rates have been increased by 110% to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry.

^c We estimate that it will take the respondent 30 hours to read and understand rule requirements; this is a one-time requirement (107 respondents/3 years = 36).

^d We estimate that it will take the respondent 24 hours to prepare for initial/periodic performance test (e.g., prepare test plan) and 24 hours to attend the test. We also estimate 2 plant personnel will attend the test. We estimate that 73 mills will need to conduct a test (the rest of the 107 mills are already required under existing state rules to conduct tests); this will occur once during the 3-year ICR period (73 respondents/3 years = 24). In addition, we estimate that 20% of respondents (20% x 24 respondents = 5) will repeat performance test due to failure.

^e With the exception of the notification of compliance status, we estimate that it will take the respondent 2 hours once per year to complete the notifications and submit selected ones through the EPA's CEDRI.

^f We estimate that it will take the respondent 80 hours once per year to prepare the notification of compliance status and submit it through the EPA's CEDRI.

^g We estimate that the three mills with new process units will submit initial notifications (construction/reconstruction, actual startup, applicability of standard) and a notification of compliance status, which are one-time requirements (3 new respondents/3 years = 1). We estimate that 43 mills will submit notifications of performance test/retest and performance evaluation over the 3-year ICR period (test: 107 respondents/3 years = 36; retest: 20% x 36 respondents = 7; total: 36 + 7 = 43).

^h Hard copy report of performance test/retest is included in capital/startup costs. Submittal of performance test/retest data through the EPA's CEDRI in ERT format is estimated to require 8 hours for 43 mills (see respondent calculation in footnote g).

ⁱ We estimate that 5% of respondents (5% x 107 respondents = 5) will each take 16 hours two times per year to complete reports of monitoring exceedances and periods of noncompliance and submit them through the EPA's CEDRI. We estimate that 95% of respondents (95% x 107 respondents = 102) will each take 8 hours two times per year to write reports of no exceedances and submit them through the EPA's CEDRI.

^j We estimate that it will take the respondent 40 hours to develop a record system to comply with monitoring requirements (3 new respondents/3 years = 1).

^k We estimate that it will take the respondent 8 hours (1 day) each year to enter records and documentation of supporting calculation for compliance determinations and 2 hours to enter a record of compliant monitoring parameter ranges. We estimate that 43 mills (see footnote g) will enter this information (includes initial test and retest, for mills required to retest).

^l We estimate that two existing mills will install new recovery furnaces over 3 years, for an average of 0.7 mill with new recovery furnaces per year over the ICR period. Based on current industry trends, the new furnace is expected to be a NDCE recovery furnace equipped with a dry ESP system. We estimate that it will take the respondent 2 hours to record this information.

^m We estimate that it will take 8 hours per semiannual period each year to keep records demonstrating compliance with the requirement to maintain proper operation of the ESP AVC for 183 recovery furnace and lime kiln ESPs.

ⁿ We estimate that 5% of respondents (5% x 107 respondents = 5) will fail to meet standards each year. We estimate that each respondent will take 2 hours 12 times per year to keep records of failures to meet the standards.

^o We estimate 104 kraft, soda, and stand-alone semichemical pulp mills have recovery furnaces or other chemical recovery combustion units that will need to keep records of black liquor solids firing rate. We estimate that each respondent will take 1.5 hours 52 times per year to keep these records.

- ^p We estimate 98 kraft and soda pulp mills have lime kilns that will need to keep records of lime production rate. We estimate that each respondent will take 1.5 hours 52 times per year to keep these records.
- ^q We estimate that each respondent will take 0.5 hours 1,050 times per year to record wet scrubber and regenerative thermal oxidizer (RTO) parameters at all 107 mills.
- ^r We estimate that it will take the respondent 40 hours (1 week) once per year for initial training of personnel with new sources (3 new respondents/3 years) = 1).
- ^s We estimate that it will take each respondent 16 hours to provide refresher training each year for personnel at all 107 mills.
- ^t We estimate that it will take each respondent 80 hours to make a one-time adjustment over the 3-year ICR period to existing data acquisition systems to include startup and shutdown periods and the revised opacity monitoring allowances, and to transition to electronic excess emissions reporting (107 respondents/3 years = 36).
- ^u We estimate that each respondent will take 96 hours per semiannual period to compile data for all 107 mills.
- ^v We estimate that each respondent will take 8 hours two times per year to verify information for reports for all 107 mills.
- ^w We estimate that 261 process units will need to conduct initial/periodic PM performance tests, at \$10,000 per process unit, 5 process units will need to conduct periodic THC performance tests, at \$14,000 per process unit, and 6 process units will need to conduct initial/periodic methanol tests, at \$14,000 per process unit (the rest of the process units are already required under existing state rules to conduct tests). We also estimate that 20% of respondents will repeat performance test due to failure.
- ^x We have assumed a 5-year payment period at 3% and 7% interest for initial/periodic performance tests.

Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulphite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM) (Amendments)

Activity	(A) EPA person- hours per occurrence	(B) No. of occurrences per plant per year	(C) EPA person hours per plant per year (AxB)	(D) Plants per year ^a	(E) Technical person- hours per year (Cx D)	(F) Management person-hours per year (Ex0.05)	(G) Clerical person- hours per year (Ex0.1)	(H) Cost, \$ ^b
1. Attend initial/periodic performance test ^c	24	1	24	3.6	86	4.3	8.6	\$4,659
2. Attend retest ^{c,d}	24	1	24	0.7	17	0.84	1.7	\$906
3. Report review								
Notification of construction/reconstruction ^e	2	1	2	1	2.0	0.10	0.20	\$108
Notification of actual startup ^e	2	1	2	1	2.0	0.10	0.20	\$108
Notification of applicability of standard ^e	2	1	2	1	2.0	0.10	0.20	\$108
Notification of initial performance test ^e	2	1	2	43	86	4.3	8.6	\$4,637
Notification of performance evaluation ^e	2	1	2	43	86	4.3	8.6	\$4,637
Review of notification of compliance status ^f	4	1	4	1	4.0	0.20	0.40	\$216
Review of excess emissions report								
Semiannual reports of monitoring exceedances and periods of noncompliance ^g	8	2	16	5	80	4.0	8.0	\$4,314
Semiannual reports of no exceedances ^h	2	2	4	102	408	20	41	\$22,000
TOTAL ANNUAL BURDEN AND COST (SALARY)					889			\$41,692
Travel Expenses for Tests Attended ⁱ								\$1,935
TOTAL ANNUAL COST (SALARY + EXPENSES)								\$43,627

^a We estimate that the number of existing sources subject to the rule is 107. We estimate two new recovery furnaces, two new SDTs, and one new lime kiln, located at three existing pulp mills, will become subject to the rule, for an average of one mill with new affected sources per year over the ICR period.

^b This cost is based on the following labor rates which incorporate a 1.6 benefits multiplication factor to account for government overhead expenses: \$64.80 Managerial rate (GS-13, Step 5, \$40.50 x 1.6), \$48.08 Technical rate (GS-12, Step 1, \$30.05 x 1.6), and \$26.02 Clerical rate (GS-6, Step 3, \$16.26 x 1.6). These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) 2017 General Schedule which excludes locality rates of pay.

^c We estimate that it will take EPA personnel 24 hours once per year to attend initial and periodic performance tests at 10% of plants (1% x 107/3 years = 3.6).

^d We estimate that 20% of respondents will repeat performance test due to failure and that EPA personnel will attend 10% of retests (20% x 10% x 107/3 years = 0.7).

^e We estimate that it will take EPA personnel 2 hours once per year to complete review of the initial notifications (construction/reconstruction, actual startup, applicability of standard) and 4 hours once per year to review the notification of compliance status for new process units (3 mills with new process units/3 years = 1).

^f We estimate that it will take EPA personnel 2 hours once per year to complete review of the notifications of performance test/retest and performance evaluation. We estimate that 43 mills will submit notifications of performance test/retest and performance evaluation over the 3-year ICR period (test: 107/3 years = 36; retest: 20% x 36 = 7; total: 36 + 7 = 43).

^g We estimate that it will take EPA personnel 8 hours two times per year to review the monitoring exceedances and periods of noncompliance in the excess emissions report for 5% of respondents (5% x 107 = 5).

^h We estimate that it will take EPA personnel 2 hours two times per year to review the no exceedances report for 95% of respondents (95% x 107 = 102).

ⁱ We estimate that it will take EPA personnel 1 day per plant plus time for travel, at \$50 per diem per day, and \$400 transportation expense per round trip to attend performance tests.