Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) 109: Teachers' Use of Technology for School and Homework Assignments - Preliminary Activities

Supporting Statement Part B

OMB # 1850-0857 v.2

National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) U.S. Department of Education Institute of Education Sciences Washington, DC

November 2017

Section B. Description of Statistical Methodology

B.1. Respondent Universe and Sample Design

The respondents for the proposed survey on teachers' use of technology will include public school classroom teachers of grades 3 through 12 who teach one or more core academic subjects (e.g., English/language arts, math, science, social studies/social science). Teachers for the survey will be selected randomly from teacher lists to be compiled from a stratified sample of regular public elementary and secondary schools in the United States. The school sample will be selected from the most current Common Core of Data (CCD) Public School Universe File maintained by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). Vocational education, special education, alternative/other non-regular schools, and schools operated by the Department of Defense or Bureau of Indian Affairs are ineligible for the survey, as are schools with a high grade of 3 or lower, ungraded schools, and schools in the outlying U.S. territories.

Using the definitions given in the 2014–15 CCD Public School Universe File, the following four categories of schools based on the low and high grades taught in the school will be defined for sample stratification purposes:

Primary – low grade of PK through 3 and a high grade of PK through 8

Middle – low grade of 4 through 7 and high grade of 4 through 9

High – low grade of 7 through 12 and high grade of 12 only

Other – all other schools not falling in the above three categories

Table 1 summarizes the distribution of regular schools, students, and full-time equivalent (FTE) teachers in the 2014–15 CCD Public School Universe File by instructional-level category and enrollment size class, indicating that 49 percent of all FTE teachers were in primary schools, 20 percent in middle schools, 27 percent in high schools, and 4 percent in schools with instructional levels categorized as "other".

Instructional	Enrollment size class						
level	Population count	<300	300-499	500-999	1000- 1499	1500+	Total
Primary	Schools	11,597	18,461	19,882	996	69	51,005
	Enrollment Teachers (FTE)	2,181,513 144,008	7,430,869 434,744	13,070,501 726,950	1,128,980 60,958	122,261 6,243	23,934,124 1,372,904
Middle	Schools	3,750	3,559	7,176	1,670	163	16,318
	Enrollment Teachers (FTE)	649,895 42,552	1,423,175 87,222	5,158,438 300,683	1,947,752 107,030	280,587 13,608	9,459,847 551,096
High	Schools	4,653	2,719	3,448	2,284	3,071	16,175
	Enrollment Teachers (FTE)	719,494 53,478	1,071,816 65,477	2,468,613 145,436	2,824,071 158,923	6,452,532 328,235	13,536,526 751,549
Other	Schools	1,771	739	926	254	182	3,872
	Enrollment Teachers (FTE)	242,938 18,340	289,374 17,717	641,865 35,317	305,637 16,314	481,913 19,876	1,961,727 107,565
TOTAL	Schools	21,771	25,478	31,432	5,204	3,485	87,370
	Enrollment	3,793,840	10,215,234	21,339,417	6,206,440	7,337,293	48,892,224
	Teachers (FTE)	258,378	605,160	1,208,386	343,226	367,963	2,783,113

Table 1. Distribution of schools, students, and teachers in the 2014–15 CCD Public School Universe File by instructional level and enrollment size class

B.2 Statistical Methodology

The sample design for the proposed teacher survey will be a stratified two-stage sample consisting of the selection of schools at the first stage of sampling and the selection of teachers within the sampled schools at the second stage. The primary strata for selecting schools will be defined by crossing the four instructional levels and five enrollment size classes given in Table 1. Within each primary sampling stratum, schools in the sampling frame will be sorted by type of locale (central city, suburban, town, rural) within size class, and categories of poverty level based on the percent of students eligible for free/reduced price lunch within type of locale. When used in conjunction with systematic sampling, the sorting will induce additional implicit substratification within the primary strata to ensure that relevant

subcategories of schools are appropriately represented in the sample.

Selection of Schools

A total of 2,000 schools will be selected for the study. Roughly equal sample sizes will be allocated to the major instructional levels (primary, middle, and high) and a minimum of 150 schools will be allocated to the remaining "other" category. Such an allocation is approximately optimal for separate analyses of the three major instructional levels and comparisons between them, while ensuring that the other instructional levels are adequately represented in the sample for overall national estimates. Within each category of instructional level, the specified number of sample schools will be distributed to the five enrollment size classes indicated in Table 1 in proportion to the number of FTE teachers in the size class. After sorting the schools in the sampling frame by type of locale and poverty status category within each primary sampling stratum, the sample of schools will be selected with probabilities proportionate to the number of FTE teachers in the school. In addition to analyses by instructional level, the proposed sample sizes are expected to be large enough to permit limited analysis by type-of-locale and poverty status categories. Table 2 summarizes the number of schools to be selected for FRSS 109 by various subgroups and the corresponding numbers of participating schools (i.e., schools providing teacher lists for subsequent sampling purposes) assuming an overall school-level response rate of 85 percent.

Selection of Teachers

Participating schools will be requested to provide lists of their classroom teachers for subsequent sampling purposes. Eligible teachers are those with self-contained classrooms in grades 3 or higher (generally teaching in primary schools) and those with departmentalized classes in one or more of the core academic subjects (generally teaching in middle and high schools). On average 2.4 teachers will be randomly selected from each participating school for a total teacher sample size of 4,000. Based on experience in previous FRSS teacher surveys, we expect to obtain completed questionnaires from 85 percent of the sampled teachers, or about 3,400 completed questionnaires. The last two columns of Table 2 summarize the numbers of teachers to be selected and the corresponding expected numbers of respondents, respectively, for various subgroups of schools. Note that within each instructional level stratum, the teacher samples will be self-weighting (i.e., equal probability samples) if the number of eligible teachers reported by the schools is proportional to the FTE teacher count used to select the sample of schools. To the extent that the two sets of teacher counts depart appreciably from proportionality, the use of a fixed sample size per school will increase design effects and, hence, lower sampling precision. To minimize the impact of such design effects, it may be desirable on occasion to increase the sample size in some schools.

questionnaires, by subgroup					
	Number of schools	Expected number of	Number of teachers	Expected number of	
Subgroup	to be sampled	participating schools*	to be sampled	responding teachers*	
Total	2,000	1,700	4,000	3,400	
Instructional level					
Primary	616	524	1,232	1,047	
Middle	617	524	1,234	1,049	
High	617	524	1,234	1,049	
Other	150	128	300	255	
Enrollment size class					
Under 500	553	470	1,106	940	
500 to 999	831	707	1,663	1,413	
1,000 or more	616	523	1,231	1,047	
Type of locale					
City	576	490	1,152	979	
Suburban	764	649	1,528	1,299	
Town	242	205	483	411	
Rural	418	356	837	711	
Percent of students eligible					
for free/reduced price lunch					
Under 35 percent	616	523	1,231	1,047	
35 to 49 percent	367	312	734	624	
50 to 75 percent	562	477	1,123	955	
75 percent or more	456	388	912	775	

Table 2. Number of schools and teachers to be sampled and expected number of teachers completing questionnaires, by subgroup

* Both school-level and teacher-level response rates are assumed to be 85 percent.

Expected Levels of Precision

Under the proposed sample design, the sampling precision of an estimated proportion derived from the survey (e.g., the estimated proportion of teachers who are very knowledgeable about their students' access to computers outside of school) will be affected by two types of design effects. The total design effect, D_T , is the product of two design effects, D_{c} and D_{w} . The first is a design effect due to clustering. Such a design effect arises from the selection of approximately two teachers per school, which can lead to similar (correlated) responses from the sampled teachers for some survey items. This design effect can be expressed as $D_c = (1 + rho)$, where rho = the intraclass correlation between teachers within schools. For purposes of estimating sampling precision under the proposed sample design, we speculate that rho = 0.05 for many types of items to be collected in the survey. The second type of design effect arises from variable sampling weights that could occur from (a) the use of a fixed sample size per school and (b) weighting adjustments to account for differential levels of nonresponse. As indicated previously, the use of a fixed sample size per school will not result in appreciable variable weighting effects within the major instructional levels unless there are large disparities between the measure of size (FTE teachers) used to select schools and the corresponding number of eligible teachers provided on the teacher lists. Together with the variation in weights introduced from the nonresponse adjustment process, we estimate that the weighting deign effect, denoted by D_w , will be no greater than $D_w = 1.10$ for estimates by instructional level strata, and $D_w = 1.20$ for estimates that cover all instructional levels. Thus, depending on the subgroup being analyzed, the total design effect for an estimated proportion can be expected to range from D_T = 1.16 to D_T = 1.26. Based on these design effects, the standard errors of an estimated proportion to be expected under the proposed design are shown in Table 3. The standard errors can be converted to approximate 95 percent confidence bounds by multiplying the entries by 2. For example, from Table 3, an estimated proportion of the order of 20 percent (P = 0.20) based on a sample of 1,047 responding primary school teachers would be subject to a margin of error of ± 2.6 percent at the 95 percent confidence level. An estimated proportion of the order of 50 percent (P = 0.50) based on a sample of 775 teachers in high poverty schools (schools where the percent of students eligible for free/reduced price lunch is 75% or greater) would be subject to a margin of error of ±4.0 percent at the 95 percent confidence level.

Cuberroure	Responding	Standard error for estimated proportion (F		
Subgroup	teachers	P = 0.20	P = 0.33	P = 0.50
Total	3,400	0.008	0.009	0.010
Instructional level				
Primary	1,047	0.013	0.016	0.017
Middle	1,049	0.013	0.016	0.017
High	1,049	0.013	0.016	0.017
Other	255	0.027	0.032	0.034
Enrollment size class				
Under 500	940	0.015	0.017	0.018
500 to 999	1,413	0.012	0.014	0.015
1,000 or more	1,047	0.014	0.016	0.017
Type of locale				
City	979	0.014	0.017	0.018
Suburban	1,299	0.012	0.015	0.016
Town	411	0.022	0.026	0.028
Rural	711	0.017	0.020	0.021
Percent of students eligible for free/reduced price				
lunch				
Under 35 percent	1,047	0.014	0.016	0.017
35 to 49 percent	624	0.018	0.021	0.022
50 to 75 percent	955	0.015	0.017	0.018
75 percent or more	775	0.016	0.019	0.020

Table 3.	Expected sample sizes for the teachers'	' survey and standard errors o	f estimated proportions for
	selected subgroups		

Estimation and Calculation of Sampling Errors

For estimation purposes, sampling weights reflecting the overall probabilities of selection and adjustments for

nonresponse will be attached to each data record. To properly reflect the complex features of the sample design, standard errors of the survey-based estimates will be calculated using jackknife replication. Under the jackknife replication approach, 50–100 subsamples or "replicates" will be formed in a way that preserves the basic features of the full sample design. A set of estimation weights (referred to as "replicate weights") will then be constructed for each jackknife replicate. Using the full sample weights and the replicate weights, estimates of any survey statistic can be calculated for the full sample and each of the jackknife replicates. The variability of the replicate estimates is used to obtain a measure of the variance (standard error) of the survey statistic. Previous surveys, using similar sample designs, have yielded relative standard errors (i.e., coefficients of variation) in the range of 2 to 10 percent for most national estimates. Similar results are expected for this survey.

B.3. Methods for Maximizing the Response Rate

A number of public school districts have structured procedures that researchers must follow to obtain permission to contact their schools or teachers for a study. These districts' cooperation is important in obtaining data that represents all public schools and teachers. Westat has a group of staff members that specializes in obtaining research approvals from these districts. Westat maintains a set of required materials for each special contact district, which will be updated as needed for this survey. Once the school sample is selected for FRSS 109, Westat staff will identify the relevant special contact districts and begin preparing research application materials to send to them. FRSS 109 will follow a standardized approach to submit the applications as quickly as possibl, and FRSS 109 staff will communicate with each district on a regular basis to check that the application is being processed and to respond to any additional requirements. The Westat survey manager and project director will monitor the progress of this activity weekly and add more staff to this task if needed.

For collection of teacher lists, we will mail the request and materials to each sampled school. We will begin telephone followup for nonresponse about 3 weeks after the request has been mailed to the schools. Experienced telephone interviewers will be trained in prompting the nonrespondents and will be monitored by Westat supervisory personnel during all interviewing hours. Recent response rates for FRSS school-level surveys average about 85 percent and a similar response rate is expected for the teacher lists. Ratio-weighting within adjustment cells will be used to partially compensate for the expected approximately 15 percent nonresponse for teacher list collection.

B.4. Tests of Procedures and Methods

Feasibility calls (OMB# 1850-0803 v.202) and a pretest (OMB# 1850-0803 submittal expected in early February 2018) are being conducted for this survey. The purpose of the feasibility calls and pretest is to determine what problems respondents might have in providing the desired information and to finalize the content, wording, and layout of the FRSS 109 questionnaire. Responses and comments on the questionnaire will be collected by fax and telephone during the pretest, and the results will be summarized as part of the final documentation for the survey.

B.5. Reviewing Statisticians

FRSS surveys are conducted by NCES. NCES contracted Westat to administer FRSS 109 developmental studies and the national data collection. Adam Chu, Senior Statistician at Westat, was consulted about the statistical aspects of the FRSS samples. Chris Chapman, the Associate Commissioner of the Sample Surveys Division within NCES, is the Contracting Officer's Representative for QRIS, supervises staff working on FRSS surveys, and approves all aspects of the survey, including all aspects of statistical design.