



Accord Implementation Group  
Operational Risk Working Group

## 2008 Loss Data Collection Exercise

### Contents

2008 Loss Data Collection Exercise.....	1
Overview and instructions .....	2
Background .....	2
Participation .....	2
Scope .....	3
Data security and confidentiality .....	4
Results .....	4
Timeline .....	5
Attachment A: Internal loss data, scenario data and supplemental questions .....	6
Internal loss data.....	6
Template .....	6
Template instructions.....	6
Scenario data.....	10
Template .....	10
Template instructions.....	10
Supplemental internal loss data and scenario analysis questionnaire.....	16
Process/instructions:.....	16
Attachment B: Exposure indicators and capital estimates .....	17
Questionnaire:.....	17
Process/instructions:.....	17
Attachment C: Supplemental range of practice questionnaire .....	18
Questionnaire:.....	18
Process/instructions:.....	18



## Overview and instructions

### Background

The Operational Risk Working Group of the Accord Implementation Group (AIGOR) is conducting a voluntary Loss Data Collection Exercise (LDCE). While similar to two previous international LDCEs, which focused on internal loss data, this LDCE is the first international effort to collect information on all four data elements – internal data, external data, scenario analysis, and business environment and internal control factors (BEICFs) – used in an Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA).

The objective of the exercise is to further the understanding of both supervisors and participating banking institutions regarding outstanding operational risk implementation issues, as well as to promote consistency in addressing these issues across jurisdictions. The exercise will facilitate comparative analysis across jurisdictions by benchmarking losses at the national/regional and international levels, and will provide data to assess capital levels relative to internal data and scenario analysis. Collecting data on the four elements of the AMA framework will provide benefits to participating banking institutions and national supervisors including:

1. A greater perspective on the banking industry's loss exposure;
2. Insight into how banking institutions are using internal and external loss data, scenarios and BEICFs for risk measurement and risk management;
3. Information on the four data elements and their influence on operational risk capital levels; and
4. Updated range of practice and new cross-bank comparisons.

Participating institutions will receive customised analysis comparing their data with industry data at both the international, and when possible, regional/national levels. Results will be used to benchmark a banking institution's loss experience and to gain a better understanding of the completeness of its data. In addition, participating institutions will receive updated range of practice (ROP) information on scenario analysis, external data and BEICFs. This ROP information can be used by participating institutions to assess and benchmark their practices against industry practices.

### Participation

Participation is voluntary and the exercise is open to banking organisations at the group-wide level that are implementing or using one of the three Basel II approaches for calculating operational risk capital: the Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA), the Standardised Approach (TSA) or the Basic Indicator Approach (BIA). The exercise has been designed to minimise resources needed to participate. Banking institutions may choose to participate in the full exercise or to submit information only for certain parts of the exercise. If your institution has not done work in an area addressed by a question, please leave the question blank.

Banking institutions wishing to participate should inform their national supervisors of their intent as soon as possible and provide contact information for their LDCE point of contact. Each national supervisor will provide instructions to their participating institutions regarding how to submit LDCE data and attachments. Participating institutions are encouraged to contact their national supervisor with any questions about the exercise prior to submission. Participating institutions should submit the information requested in this exercise to their national supervisors by **30 June 2008**.

## Scope

The LDCE consists of three sections. The first section includes forms to report internal loss data, scenario analysis data and qualitative information that gives context to the submitted data (Attachment A). The second section (Attachment B) requests information on exposure indicators and capital estimates. The final section (Attachment C) contains questions that will provide range of practice (ROP) information on how operational risk is measured and managed. These three sections align data access with the degree of confidentiality associated with the data and allow an option for national supervisors to complete certain information on behalf of banking institutions within their jurisdictions. These materials are summarised below:

### **Attachment A: LDCE templates for internal loss data and scenario analysis data and supplemental internal loss data and scenario analysis questionnaire:**

1. Internal Data template (Internal Loss Data Template.xls),
2. Scenario Data template (Scenario Templates.xls, and
3. Supplemental Internal Data and Scenario Analysis Questionnaire (file name Attachment A.pdf).

The forms facilitate submission of individual operational losses and scenario analysis data as well as qualitative information that provides context for these data elements.

### **Attachment B: Exposure indicators and capital estimates (Attachment B.pdf).**

The form requests data on the results of the 31 December 2007 calculation of capital (AMA, TSA or BIA). The form also requests group-wide and business line exposure indicators, which will be used to construct national/regional and international benchmarks. These benchmarks will be integrated into the customised reporting that is provided back to participating banking institutions. Individual raw data from this questionnaire will not be shared outside the participating institution's national supervisory jurisdiction.

### **Attachment C: Supplemental Range of Practice questionnaire (Attachment C.pdf)**

This attachment may be completed either by national supervisors or by participating banking institutions at the national supervisor's discretion. These questions address the AMA model framework, external data, scenario analysis practices and the use of BEICFs.

## **Data security and confidentiality**

Data security and confidentiality are a paramount concern, and will be handled in a manner similar to the 2002 LDCE. Each national supervisor will maintain all data and information submitted by institutions within its jurisdiction in a secure environment. Access to original submissions with a participant's name will be restricted to authorised staff of an institution's national supervisor(s).

As discussed above under the description of Attachment B, raw data on exposure indicators and capital received pursuant to Attachment B will be separate from other data submitted and access to these data will be limited to authorised staff from an institution's national supervisor(s). Data requested in Attachment B will remain with the national supervisor(s), where it will be restricted to authorised staff and maintained in a secure environment.

Upon receipt of submissions from institutions within their jurisdiction, national supervisors will take steps to anonymise the data and information received pursuant to Attachments A and C. This will include steps to replace each institution's name with a numeric code, to convert country references to geographic regions if necessary to preserve confidentiality, to convert all internal loss events to a common currency (Euro), and to ensure that scenario descriptions of losses exclude potential identifiers. Working with the Secretariat of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), the national supervisor will add a country code (or a regional code for jurisdictions with less than three participating banking institutions) to enhance confidentiality of the analysis.

Each national supervisor will send anonymised LDCE and ROP data (Attachments A and C), via secure pathway, to the BCBS's secure "e-BIS" website. The BCBS Secretariat will be the custodian of the data, except for data provided in Attachment B, which will remain with the primary national supervisor. In its role as custodian, the Secretariat will secure all submitted LDCE and ROP data by restricting access and housing the data in a secure environment with appropriate access controls. The Secretariat will consolidate the data into aggregate anonymised data sets and forward them to all participating national supervisors and the Central Processor.

As in the 2002 LDCE, resources from a central processor are necessary to process and analyse the data in a timely manner. AIGOR has asked the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency to be the Central Processor for the 2008 LDCE. Representatives from the two organisations serving as Central Processor will work under the auspices of the Basel Secretariat throughout the duration of the exercise to limit access to the LDCE data and maintain the data in a secure environment.

## **Results**

The Central Processor will cleanse the anonymised aggregate data sets and prepare the loss data and scenario analysis data for analysis. The Central Processor will prepare aggregate results for public release and develop comparative tables for distribution back to participating banking institutions. Aggregate public results will be similar to results from previous LDCEs, but will also include a high-level analysis of the ROP for quantifying and managing operational risk. Public results will be presented on a basis that avoids any disclosure of the identities of participating institutions. Banking institutions will receive individual reporting templates with comparisons of their loss experience, scenario analysis data, and ROP against regional/national (where feasible) and international benchmarks,

derived from the medians of the aggregate data. National supervisors will discuss individual reports with their participating banking institutions.

## **Timeline**

1 May 2008	LDCE released to banking institutions
30 June 2008	Completed LDCE material due to national supervisors
31 July 2008	National supervisors provide anonymised data to Secretariat
1 September 2008	Secretariat sends anonymised data to Central Processor and national supervisors
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter 2009	Public LDCE report issued
2nd Quarter 2009	National supervisors begin discussing individual reports with participating institutions



## Attachment A:

### Internal loss data, scenario data and supplemental questions

#### Internal loss data:



Internal Loss Data  
Template.xls

#### Template instructions

Please submit internal loss data according to the following rules. Deviations from these rules should be documented in Question 8 of the Supplemental Internal Loss Data and Scenario Analysis Questionnaire.

Participating institutions should submit a minimum of three years of internal data that they view to be reasonably complete.<sup>1</sup> For participating AMA institutions,<sup>2</sup> submitted data should cover at least the time period used to quantify their operational risk capital charge, which may be longer than three years. Data should cover loss events through 31 March 2008 or 31 December 2007 if data for March are not yet available.

#### *File type*

Data can be submitted using the Excel template provided or via a comma separated value (\*.csv) file, with the same column layout and headings as in this Excel template. To minimise burden, institutions may also choose to submit data in an alternate layout (in either Excel or csv format), provided that the data set contains the information requested in this exercise.<sup>3</sup> For example, members of a loss data consortium may provide a copy of the data set submitted to the consortium.

---

<sup>1</sup> When determining what data to submit for the minimum of three years of data, the date should be based on the date of discovery if possible, or the date of financial impact.

<sup>2</sup> For the purposes of these instructions a participant would be considered an AMA, TSA or BIA participant based on the approach used at the group-wide level.

<sup>3</sup> Minor inconsistencies with the information requested in this exercise would be acceptable. For example, reporting dates in quarter-year format rather than in day-month-year format would be considered a minor inconsistency. Using a full-text currency name rather than the three character currency codes would be considered a minor inconsistency. Reporting numeric variables in thousands rather than ones would also be considered a minor inconsistency, so long as the institution explicitly notes this issue as part of its submission.

### ***Cell formats***

All data should be formatted as either text, numeric or date. Dates should be formatted as “dd-mm-yyyy.”

### ***Currency***

Loss and insurance recovery amounts should be reported in the currency native to the internal loss database with the currency type reported in a separate column. Participating institutions should not undertake any currency conversions solely for the purpose of the LDCE. For example, if an institution converts all losses to a common reporting currency the institution could provide the losses in the common currency. Alternatively, if an institution uses the local currency where the losses occurred, the institution could provide the losses in the local currency.

### ***Units for reporting loss amounts and insurance recovery***

All numeric variables should be reported in ones – not thousands. For example, a one million Euro loss would be reported as 1,000,000.

### ***Threshold***

No specific loss threshold is required for this exercise. AMA institutions should submit all of the internal loss data used to quantify operational risk capital at a minimum; TSA and BIA institutions should report all data above their loss data collection threshold. If an institution chooses to submit consortium data, the institution may submit losses based on their own internal threshold(s) or the consortium threshold.

### ***Credit-related losses***

Operational risk-related credit risk losses should generally be excluded. However, as slight differences may occur across jurisdictions, credit-related operational losses should be reported in accordance with the rules of the institution’s primary national jurisdiction.

**For each loss, please provide the following information.**

#### ***Column A: reference number***

The reference number is the institution’s unique internal identifier for each loss event. Losses can be submitted individually or aggregated into loss events (groups of associated losses generated by a single root event). In instances where losses are submitted individually, the identifier may appear several times in the data set for multiple losses linked to the same event across dates, business lines and/or event types. If separate identifiers are used for losses associated with the same event, please provide information such that individual losses associated with the same event can be aggregated at the event level. If an institution does not have an internal process that assigns reference number, please number losses as 1, 2, 3, etc.

#### ***Columns B – D: date of occurrence, date of financial impact, and date of discovery***

For all available dates submit using format “dd-mm-yyyy.”

The date of financial impact of a loss event is the date the loss was first recorded in the general ledger.

In the case of a group of associated losses generated by a single root event that occur or are discovered over time, institutions should report all the losses with the (same) date of occurrence of the root event and the pertinent (potentially different) dates of discovery and dates of financial impact.

In the case of a claim on financial instruments (eg deceptive sales practices), the occurrence date is the date when the financial instrument has been bought or sold; the date of discovery is the date the institution received the claim.

### ***Columns E-F: business line***

Business line should be reported as one of the Level II Basel business lines, and if such detail is not available, business lines should be reported by the Level I Basel business line. If an institution cannot provide losses by Basel business line, internal business line should be reported in Column F along with a mapping of internal business lines to Basel business lines on a best efforts basis.

### ***Basel business line (Level II if available, otherwise Level I)***

For losses that are not assigned to a Basel business line, the business line should be reported "UA" for unallocated. See Table 1 (located under a separate tab in the internal loss data template) for a list of codes that should be used to refer to Basel business lines. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for the Basel business lines also will be accepted as long as a key is provided.

Losses that affect more than one business line and are apportioned across business lines should be associated using the same reference number to report the loss in each of the business lines affected. If such losses are not apportioned across business lines, then the business line should be reported as "UA" for unallocated.

Data from the insurance business line should be excluded.

### ***Internal business line***

If Basel business lines cannot be provided, provide the name of the associated business line used internally within the participating institution.

### ***Columns G – H: Basel event type (Level I and Level II)***

Event types should be reported as one of the Level I Basel event types, and if available, Level II Basel event types should also be reported. See Table 2 (located under a separate tab in the internal loss data template) for a list of codes that can be used to refer to event types. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for Basel event types will also be accepted as long as a key is provided.



**Column I: gross loss amount**

Report the total loss amount before any recoveries. The gross loss amount should be reported in currency native to the internal loss database. The loss should be reported in currency native to the internal loss database. Institutions should not undertake any currency conversions solely for the purpose of the LDCE.

**Column J: gross loss amount net of all recoveries except insurance**

Report the gross loss amount net of all recoveries *except* insurance on a pre-tax basis, reported in currency native to the internal loss database. The loss should be reported in currency native to the internal loss database. Institutions should not undertake any currency conversions solely for the purpose of the LDCE.

**Column K: currency**

Enter the three character International Organization for Standardization (ISO) currency code for the currency in which the gross and net loss amounts are reported.<sup>4</sup>

**Column L: country of origin**

Report the country where the loss occurred, if available.

**Column M: insurance coverage**

Report a “Y” if the loss is currently covered by an insurance contract. Report an “N” if the loss is not currently covered by an insurance contract. If this information is not available, report “NA”.

**Column N: insurance recovery amount**

Report the amount recovered from insurance in the same currency in which the associated loss was reported.

**Column O: used in AMA model**

Report a “Y” if the loss is used for AMA capital modelling. Report an “N” if the loss is not used for AMA capital modelling. If the institution is using the TSA or BIA approach at the group-wide level, report an “N” even if the subsidiary is using the AMA approach.

---

<sup>4</sup> The standard currency codes are contained in the international standard ISO 4217 and include Euro (EUR), U.S. Dollar (USD), Japanese Yen (JPY), U.K. Pound Sterling (GBP) and Australian Dollar (AUD). Please refer to <http://www.xe.com/iso4217.php>.



## Scenario data:



Scenario  
Templates.xls

Scenario data should be submitted using one of the three templates provided. Institutions should submit scenario data using the template that is most consistent with their scenario approach. The templates have been designed along the following scenario approaches, examples of which are contained in the Scenario Templates.

- The **percentile approach**, where scenario output includes specified percentiles of loss severity (50<sup>th</sup>, 95<sup>th</sup>, 99<sup>th</sup>, etc.).
- The **interval approach**, where scenario output is the frequency of losses estimated within a series of distinct loss ranges.
- The **individual scenario approach**, where scenario output consists of individual data points linked with a probability of occurrence.

Each institution should submit only one template for scenario data. Any inconsistencies between the scenario data requested and the scenario template submitted should be documented in Question 7 of the Scenario Analysis section of the Supplemental Internal Loss Data and Scenario Analysis Questionnaire.

## Template instructions

### **A. Percentile approach**

Submit data for all scenarios used in the measurement and management of operational risk. Reported scenario data should be the direct output of a workshop or other method used to generate scenario data and should reflect raw data prior to use in modelling. If reporting the full scenario data set is infeasible, report information on the following scenarios:

- (i) At least the 20 highest-severity scenarios where at least 20 of these scenarios are expected to happen once or more every 1,000 years (ie with a mean annual frequency greater or equal to 0.001). The highest-severity scenarios should be determined according to the loss amounts reported for the highest percentile that have been provided.

and

- (ii) The five highest-severity scenarios for each of the seven Level I Basel II event types (if available).

Scenarios that meet the criteria of both (i) and (ii) need not be reported twice.

### **Section 1: scenario reference number**

Provide the institution's unique internal identifier for each scenario. If an institution does not have an internal process that assigns reference number, please number scenarios as 1, 2, 3, etc.

### **Section 2: frequency**

Percentile 1 – On line 10, please indicate how frequency is estimated in the scenario process. This will be either via the mean (enter “mean”) or via a specific percentile of the frequency distribution (eg enter “50<sup>th</sup> percentile”). If another percentile of the frequency distribution is estimated, please indicate the relevant percentile (eg “90<sup>th</sup> percentile”) on line 10.

On line 11 and below, provide the corresponding frequency for each scenario. Frequency should be reported as the number of events per year in decimal form (i.e. a 1 in 100 year event would be reported as .01).

### **Section 3: severity**

Percentile 1 – Many institutions estimate scenario severity via a series of increasing percentiles of the severity distribution. Such institutions would indicate the relevant percentiles on line 10. For example, an institution that estimates the 75<sup>th</sup>, 90<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles would enter “75<sup>th</sup> percentile”, “90<sup>th</sup> percentile” and “99<sup>th</sup> percentile” on line 10.

Some institutions estimate scenario severity via the mean of the severity distribution, supplemented with a series of increasing percentiles of the severity distribution. Such institutions would enter “mean” and the relevant percentiles on line 10. For example, an institution that estimates the mean and the 90<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles would enter “mean”, “90<sup>th</sup> percentile” and “99<sup>th</sup> percentile” on line 10.

On line 11 and below, provide the corresponding severity data for each scenario. Please add columns to the worksheet for any additional percentiles as necessary.

### **Section 4: currency**

Enter the three character ISO standard currency code for the currency in which the severity data are reported.<sup>5</sup>

### **Section 5: Basel business line**

If a scenario is associated with exactly one Basel business line, report the Basel Level I business line. See Table 1 for a list of codes that should be used to refer to Basel business lines. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for the Basel business lines also will be accepted as long as a key is provided. Report “UA” for unallocated, for scenarios that are associated with more than one business line, including group-wide scenarios.

---

<sup>5</sup> The standard currency codes are contained in the international standard ISO 4217 and include Euro (EUR), U.S. Dollar (USD), Japanese Yen (JPY), U.K. Pound Sterling (GBP) and Australian Dollar (AUD). Please refer to <http://www.xe.com/iso4217.php>.

**Section 6: internal business line**

If an institution cannot provide losses by Basel business line, internal business line should be provided along with a mapping of internal business lines to Basel business lines on a best efforts basis.

**Section 7: Basel event type**

Event types should be reported as one of the Level I Basel event types. See Table 2 for a list of codes that can be used to refer to event types. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for Basel event types will also be accepted as long as a key is provided.

**Section 8: used in AMA model**

Enter "Y" if the scenario is used for AMA capital modelling. Enter "N" if the scenario is used only for risk management purposes.

**Section 9: brief description of loss**

Provide a brief description of the scenario loss for at least the 20 highest severity scenarios that are expected to happen once or more every 1,000 years. To preserve confidentiality, scenario descriptions should exclude any potential identifiers (such as names of companies/subsidiaries and locations). Scenario descriptions may be provided in the language that is most convenient to the respondent.

**B. Interval approach**

Submit data for all scenarios used in the measurement and management of operational risk. Reported scenario data should be the direct output of a workshop or other method used to generate scenario data and should reflect raw data prior to use in modelling. If reporting the full scenario data set is infeasible, report information on the following scenarios:

- (i) At least the 20 highest-severity scenarios where at least 20 of these scenarios are expected to happen once or more every 1,000 years (ie with a mean annual frequency greater or equal to 0.001). The highest-severity scenarios should be determined according to the values reported for the upper bound of the highest interval reported.

and

- (ii) The five highest-severity scenarios for each of the seven Level I Basel II event types (if available).

Scenarios that meet the criteria of both (i) and (ii) need not be reported twice.

**Section 1: scenario reference number**

Provide the institution's unique internal identifier for each scenario. If an institution does not have an internal process that assigns reference number, please number scenarios as 1, 2, 3, etc.

### **Section 2: intervals**

Severity – In the column labelled “Low” enter the lower bound of the lowest interval range. In the column labelled “High”, enter the upper bound of the lowest interval range.

Frequency – If frequency intervals are estimated in addition to severity intervals, in the column labelled “Low” enter the lower frequency bound and in the column labelled “High” enter the upper frequency bound. If frequency is estimated as a single number rather than an interval, enter the single frequency estimate in both columns under “Low” and “High.”

Additional Intervals (including Interval 2 and Interval 3) - For Interval 2 and subsequent intervals enter the next highest severity and/or frequency ranges in ascending order. Add additional columns as necessary to report all intervals used by your institution.

### **Section 3: currency**

Enter the three character ISO standard currency code for the currency in which the severity data are reported.<sup>6</sup>

### **Section 4: Basel business line**

If a scenario is associated with exactly one Basel business line, report the Basel Level I business line. See Table 1 for a list of codes that should be used to refer to Basel business lines. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for the Basel business lines also will be accepted as long as a key is provided. Report “UA” for unallocated, for scenarios that are associated with more than one business line, including group-wide scenarios.

### **Section 5: internal business line**

If an institution cannot provide losses by Basel business line, internal business line should be provided along with a mapping of internal business lines to Basel business lines on a best efforts basis.

### **Section 6: Basel event type**

Event types should be reported as one of the Level I Basel event types. See Table 2 for a list of codes that can be used to refer to event types. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for Basel event types will also be accepted as long as a key is provided.

### **Section 7: used in AMA model**

Enter “Y” if the scenario is used for AMA capital modelling. Enter “N” if the scenario is used only for risk management purposes.

---

<sup>6</sup> The standard currency codes are contained in the international standard ISO 4217 and include Euro (EUR), U.S. Dollar (USD), Japanese Yen (JPY), U.K. Pound Sterling (GBP) and Australian Dollar (AUD).

### **C. Individual scenario approach**

Submit data for all scenarios used in the measurement and management of operational risk. Reported scenario data should be the direct output of a workshop or other method used to generate scenario data and should reflect raw data prior to use in modelling. If reporting the full scenario data set is infeasible, report information on the following scenarios:

- (i) At least the 20 highest-severity scenarios where at least 20 of these scenarios are expected to happen once or more every 1,000 years (ie with a mean annual frequency greater or equal to 0.001). The highest-severity scenarios should be determined according to the reported loss amounts.

and

- (ii) The five highest-severity scenarios for each of the seven Level I Basel II event types (if available).

Scenarios that meet the criteria of both (i) and (ii) need not be reported twice.

#### ***Section 1: scenario reference number***

Provide the institution's unique internal identifier for each scenario. If an institution does not have an internal process that assigns reference number, please number scenarios as 1, 2, 3, etc. Scenarios that have several possible loss amounts with associated frequencies should be reported in separate rows for each severity and use the same scenario reference number.

#### ***Section 2: frequency***

Enter the frequency associated with the scenario. Frequency should be reported as the number of events per year in decimal form (i.e. a 1 in 100 year event would be reported as .01).

#### ***Section 3: loss amount***

Enter the estimated loss amount associated with the scenario.

#### ***Section 4: currency***

Enter the three character ISO standard currency code for the currency in which the severity data are reported.<sup>7</sup>

#### ***Section 5: Basel business line***

If a scenario is associated with exactly one Basel business line, report the Basel Level I business line. See Table 1 for a list of codes that should be used to refer to Basel business lines. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for the Basel business lines also will be accepted as long as a key is provided. Report "UA" for unallocated, for

---

<sup>7</sup> The standard currency codes are contained in the international standard ISO 4217 and include Euro (EUR), U.S. Dollar (USD), Japanese Yen (JPY), U.K. Pound Sterling (GBP) and Australian Dollar (AUD).

scenarios that are associated with more than one business line, including group-wide scenarios.

***Section 6: internal business line***

If an institution cannot provide losses by Basel business line, internal business line should be provided along with a mapping of internal business lines to Basel business lines on a best efforts basis.

***Section 7: Basel event type***

Event types should be reported as one of the Level I Basel event types. See Table 2 for a list of codes that can be used to refer to event types. While the use of the listed codes is preferred, internal codes for Basel event types will also be accepted as long as a key is provided.

***Section 8: used in AMA model***

Enter “Y” if the scenario is used for AMA capital modelling. Enter “N” if the scenario is used only for risk management purposes.

***Section 9: brief description of loss***

Provide a brief description of the scenario loss for at least the 20 highest severity scenarios that are expected to happen once or more every 1,000 years. To preserve confidentiality, scenario descriptions should exclude any potential identifiers (such as names of companies/subsidiaries and locations). Scenario descriptions may be provided in whichever language is most convenient to the respondent.



## Attachment A: Instructions

### Supplemental internal loss data and scenario analysis questionnaire

#### Questionnaire:



Attachment A

#### Process/instructions:

The questionnaire includes supplemental questions that give context to the internal loss and scenario analysis data submitted.

In some questions, please select one response as requested. In other questions, please select all responses that apply. If your institution has not done work in an area addressed by a question, please leave the question blank.





## Attachment B: Instructions

### Exposure indicators and capital estimates

#### Questionnaire:



Attachment B

#### Process/instructions:

Collection of exposure indicators and capital estimates will facilitate cross-bank comparisons and benchmarking of the LDCE results.

*Please note: The data requested in this attachment are solely for use by the participating institution's national supervisor and will not be shared outside of an institution's national supervisor(s). The national supervisor will use the data to compute various ratios that will be submitted to the central processor for aggregate analysis. The central processor will create national/regional (where feasible, based on confidentiality concerns) and international benchmarks that **will be presented on a basis that avoids any disclosure of the identities of participating institutions.***

In some questions, please select one response as requested. In other questions, please select all responses that apply. If your institution has not done work in an area addressed by a question, please leave the question blank.



## Attachment C: Instructions

### Supplemental range of practice questionnaire

#### Questionnaire:



Attachment C

#### Process/instructions:

This is a range of practice questionnaire designed to give context to the other elements of this exercise by providing information regarding participating institutions' operational risk measurement and management practices.

This questionnaire will be completed by either the banking institution or the national supervisor, *at the national supervisor's discretion*. Please contact your national supervisor to determine the approach selected by your home jurisdiction.

In some questions, please select one response as requested. In other questions, please select all responses that apply. If your institution has not done work in an area addressed by a question, please leave the question blank.