Assisted Outpatient Treatment Evaluation AOT CHARACTERISTICS FORM

AOT Program Site:	
Individual Completing Form:	
Date:/	
Previous Form Completion Date (if applicable)://	

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0990-NEW. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, OS/OCIO/PRA, 200 Independence Ave., S.W., Suite 336-E, Washington D.C. 20201, Attention: PRA Reports Clearance Officer

INTRODUCTION

The Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE) has contracted with RTI International and its partners—Policy Research Associates (PRA) and Duke University—to conduct a cross-site evaluation of the 2016 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration grant program, entitled "Assisted Outpatient Treatment Grant Program for Individuals with Serious Mental Illness". This grant program provides funds for 17 newly implemented assisted outpatient treatment (AOT) programs that are located across the nation.

As part of our evaluation, we are investigating the civil and legal processes of AOT at each indepth site. To achieve this critical aim of our project we are asking AOT local evaluators to complete this AOT characteristics form on a monthly basis. If this is the first time you are completing this form, the reference period dates back to initial implementation of your AOT program. On subsequent completions, the reference period will date back to the "Previous Form Completion Date" you provide above.

Target Populations

otalı	number of civil AOT petitions since initial implementation of your AOT program
previ	ous form completion date]:
Nι	umber of these petitions that are ultimately dismissed/not placed on AOT:
0	How many of these are due to inappropriate diagnostic/clinical criteria? Specifically:
	Primary substance use disorder:
	 Antisocial personality disorder:
	 Severe violence/dangerousness:
	Other: Please specify:
0	How many of these AOT case dismissals are due to the judge/magistrate/DA not
	approving the AOT order when the treatment team thinks the case is appropriate?
0	How many of these AOT case dismissals are due to a lack of clinical capacity (e.g.,
	no spots currently available for ACT or other treatment)?
0	If any petitions have been dismissed/not placed on AOT for reasons not listed above,
	please describe them here.
Nι	umber of these petitions that have been placed on AOT:
0	How many of these were stepped up from the community to an AOT order?

0		AOT order?
0		ow many of these were stepped down from an inpatient setting to an AOT order?
	0	Has the case mix presented above changed since initial implementation of your
		civil AOT program [previous form completion date]? If so, how?
		happens when the individual being petitioned agrees to voluntarily comply with the Are they still placed on the AOT court order or is a VSA used instead? Please expla
		er of petitions that have been referred to a VSA:
0		ow many of these were stepped up from the community to a VSA?
0		ow many of these were stepped down from an AOT order to a VSA?
0	Но	ow many of these were stepped down from an inpatient setting to a VSA?
	0	Has the case mix presented above changed since initial implementation of your
		civil AOT program [previous form completion date]? If so, how?
Ar	e in	dividuals with ongoing criminal justice involvement (e.g., pending criminal
ch	arge	es) permitted to enter your civil AOT program?
-		Yes
_		No
J	f ye	s, are there additional, established criteria regarding types of charges to be
6	excl	uded from AOT (e.g., felony, violent offenses)? Can these exclusions be overridden
	y tł	ne judge/magistrate based on judicial or clinical judgment of the case in question?
ł		

	Nun	ber of AOT cases whose charges were dismissed prior to being placed on AOT, in
	whic	th the order is used as a form of conditional release:
	Nun	ber of AOT cases with ongoing criminal charges:
	0	Has this proportion changed since initial implementation of your civil AOT
		program [previous form completion date]? If so, how?
		aber of AOT cases with ongoing criminal charges that end up on ation:
	0	Has this proportion changed since initial implementation of your civil AOT
		program [previous form completion date]? If so, how?
	0	Number of these cases in which the probation officer is present during the initial
		AOT hearing:
		Has this proportion changed since initial implementation of your AOT program
		[previous form completion date]? If so, how?
Initia		
	_	ength of time from petition to civil AOT commitment (in days):
0		is changed since initial implementation of your civil AOT program [previous form
	_	etion date]? What are the most common delays in getting a case on the docket?
	Explai	n/describe
		_
		<u> </u>
• A	verage l	ength of AOT docket per court day (in hours):

	verage number of AOT cases heard during the docket (do not include other non-AC
0	ses here): Has this changed since initial implementation of your civil AOT program [previou
U	completion date]? If so, how?
	Completion date). If 50, now.
	<u> </u>
	verage time spent on/discussing each AOT case during the docket (in minutes, from
	ading the case number to the conclusion of the case):
0	Has this changed since initial implementation of your AOT program [previous for
	completion date]? If so, how?
If	statutorily required for the judge/magistrate to review the treatment plan prior to ap
the	e civil AOT order, is the treatment plan discussed during the docket or reviewed wit
	atment team/patient? Please also describe how/if patient and treatment provider
	eferences are solicited during the treatment plan review
	_
If :	– yes, does the legal team, including the judge/magistrate, public defender, district att
etc	c., solicit patient preferences when discussing the treatment plan, including what has
wo	orked (or not worked) for them previously? Is the treatment team also involved in the
	scussion during the docket? Are patients able to meaningfully/appropriately particip
	version during the doctor. The patients dote to meaning-range appropriately particip

_	
	the legal stakeholders (i.e., judge/magistrate, public defender, district attorney) se
	mily and/or caregiver opinions regarding the case and treatment plan before or duri
A(OT docket? Please describe:
W	hat happens in instances of disagreement, such as when legal representatives disag
m	edical testimony, or when the client/family disagrees with the AOT order?
	_
_	_
_	
Pe	rceived level of judicial involvement during the initial civil AOT hearing:
	_ Minimal/low
	_ Medium
	High
0	Please explain the reason for your selection regarding level of involvement. For explain the reason for your selection regarding level of involvement.
	does the judge/magistrate make conditions of AOT explicit to the patient and/or i
	specific warnings, reminders, or words of encouragement? Does the judge/magis
	make sure that the treatment team is on board and able to provide all required ser

	changed over time at your site? If so, how?
-	
-In	itiation Processes
۱re	status hearings used to monitor progress over the course of an order?
	Yes
	No
f ye	es, on average, how frequently?
f ye	es, are they official proceedings (e.g., with a court reporter and proceedings being read
nto	record)?
	Yes
	No
(o If yes, who is present at the hearings?
lea	se describe your AOT program's response to noncompliance via the use of pickup
rde	ers, sanctions, appearances before the judge, consideration of order modification, etc.:

an	d if your AOT program makes use of voluntary settlement agreements as a step-down fr
Α(OT:
	_
-	
	_
	_
0	Has this changed since initial implementation of your AOT program [previous form
	completion date]? If so, how?
~ (
	the total number of AOT cases specified above, how many have been renewed even
tho	ough the client has been successful on AOT (i.e., the order is renewed because the
tre	atment or legal stakeholders thinks that the civil AOT order is the reason the person is
im	proving, and without the order, for example, the client would stop attending treatment)?
Ple	ease describe:
	_
	_
Pe	rceived level of judicial involvement during the civil AOT order:
_	_ Minimal/low
	_ Medium
	_ High
	_ 111811
	Diago explain the warran for your calcution. For example, does the judge for existing
0	Please explain the reason for your selection. For example, does the judge/magistrate
	Please explain the reason for your selection. For example, does the judge/magistrate include specific warnings, reminders, or words of encouragement during any hearings held during the order? How do they respond in instances of noncompliance?

0	Has this involvement varied significantly from judge to judge/magistrate to magistrate, or
	changed over time? Do you think this level of involvement is appropriate/useful? If so,
	how/explain your thoughts regarding the level of judicial involvement or lack thereof an
	its relationship to effective/not effective AOT processes and treatment required under th
	civil AOT order?
0	Does your site's AOT statute limit judicial involvement once the order has been granted
	in ways that limit the effectiveness of AOT or the treatment required under the civil AO
	order? If so, how?