Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Measures under Consideration 2018

Data Template for Candidate Measures

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
1	Auto Date (no user input required)					
2	Issue Type	Yes	Select Measure Submission to nominate a measure for the 2018 MUC list. Select Question to ask a question on the MUC process. Select Modify Candidate Measure to change a measure already submitted for 2018. Select Feedback to leave feedback about the 2018 MUC process.	Select one	Measure Submission Question Modify Candidate Measure Feedback	

3 Component/s Yes Start typing to get a list of possible matches or press down to select. Enter CMS program (s) for which the measure is being submitted. Multi-select Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality Incentive Program 1 New for 2018: If you are submitting for MIPS, there are two choices of program. Choose MIPS-Quality for MIPS, there are two choices of program. Choose MIPS-Quality for quality and/or efficiency. Choose that pertain to quality and/or efficiency. Choose the new program Hospital Acquired Condition Reduction Program MIPS-Cost for the same measure. If you select MIPS Quality and MIPS-Quality and Program Hospital National Resources is a transmitting for MIPS Quality and Program Impose that pertain to quality and/or efficiency. Choose the new program Hospital Networks Reduction Program MIPS-Cost for the same measure. If you select MIPS Quality and MIPS-Cost for the same measure. Hospital Program If you select MIPS (either Quality cost), please maxigate to the Additional Resources list at this web site: Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting Program New dicaid and Medicare EHR Incentive Https://www.cms.gov/Medic are/Quality-initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/ Program Medicaid and Incentive Program Medicaid and Incentive Program Medicaid and Medicare EHR Incentive Program	Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
Making.html, download the Cost "MIPS Peer Review Template Merit-based Incentive Payment System- and a Completed Sample," Quality and attach the completed Prospective Payment System-Exempt form to your JIRA submission Cancer Hospital Quality Reporting Program using the "Attachments" Skilled Nursing Facility Quality Reporting rield at the bottom of this Program web page. Skilled Nursing Facility Value-Based			-	Start typing to get a list of possible matches or press down to select. Enter CMS program(s) for which the measure is being submitted. New for 2018: If you are submitting for MIPS, there are two choices of program. Choose MIPS-Quality for measures that pertain to quality and/or efficiency. Choose the new program MIPS-Cost only for measures that pertain to cost. Do not select both MIPS-Quality and MIPS-Cost for the same measure. If you select MIPS (either Quality or Cost), please navigate to the Additional Resources list at this web site: https://www.cms.gov/Medic are/Quality-Initiatives- Patient-Assessment- Instruments/ QualityMeasures/Pre-Rule- Making.html, download the "MIPS Peer Review Template and a Completed Sample," and attach the completed form to your JIRA submission using the "Attachments" field at the bottom of this	Multi-	Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality Reporting ProgramEnd-Stage Renal Disease Quality Incentive ProgramHome Health Quality Reporting ProgramHospice Quality Reporting ProgramHospital-Acquired Condition Reduction ProgramHospital Inpatient Quality Reporting ProgramHospital Outpatient Quality Reporting ProgramHospital Readmissions Reduction ProgramHospital Value-Based Purchasing ProgramInpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting ProgramInpatient Rehabilitation Facility Quality Reporting ProgramLong-Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting Program for Eligible Hospitals and Critical Access HospitalsMedicare Shared Savings ProgramMerit-based Incentive Payment System- CostMerit-based Incentive Payment System- QualityProspective Payment System-Exempt Cancer Hospital Quality Reporting ProgramSkilled Nursing Facility Quality Reporting Program	Add Your Content Here

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
4	What is the history or background for including this measure on the 2018 MUC list?	Yes	Select only one reason	Select one	None New measure never reviewed by MAP Workgroup or used in a CMS program Measure previously submitted to MAP, refined and resubmitted per MAP recommendation Measure currently used in a CMS program being submitted as-is for a new or different program Measure currently used in a CMS program, but the measure is undergoing substantial change	
5	If currently used:					
6	Range of year(s) this measure has been used by CMS Program(s).	No	For example: Hospice Quality Reporting (2012-2017)	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
7	What other federal	No	Select as many as apply.	Multi-	Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality	
	programs are currently		These should be current use	select	Reporting Program	
	using this measure?		programs only, not programs for the 2018 submittal.		End-Stage Renal Disease Quality Incentive Program	
					Comprehensive Primary Care Plus (CPC+)	
					Home Health Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospice Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospital-Acquired Condition Reduction Program	
					Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program	
					Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program	
					Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting Program	
					Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Quality Reporting Program	
					Long-Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting Program	
					Medicaid Adult Core Set	
					Medicaid and Medicare EHR Incentive Program for Eligible Hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals	
					Medicare Shared Savings Program	
					Merit-based Incentive Payment System- Cost	
					Merit-based Incentive Payment System- Quality	
					Prospective Payment System-Exempt Cancer Hospital Quality Reporting Program	
					Skilled Nursing Facility Quality Reporting Program	
					Skilled Nursing Facility Value-Based Purchasing Program	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
8	Summary	Yes	Provide the measure title only (255 characters or less). Put program-specific ID number in the next field, not in the title. Note: Do not enter the NQF ID, former JIRA MUC ID number, or any other ID numbers here (see below).	Free text 255 characters max		
9	Measure ID	No	Alphanumeric identifier (if applicable), such as a recognized program ID number for this measure (20 characters or less). Examples: 199 GPRO HF-5; ACO 28; CTM-3; PQI #08. Fields for the NQF ID number and previous year(s) JIRA MUC ID number are provided in other data fields within this form.	Free text 20 characters max		
10	Measure description	Yes	Provide a brief description of the measure (700 characters or less). When you paste text, any content over the limit will be truncated.	Free text 700 characters or less)		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
11	Numerator	Yes	The upper portion of a fraction used to calculate a rate, proportion, or ratio. A clinical action to be counted as meeting a measure's requirements. For all fields, especially Numerator and Denominator, use plain text whenever possible. If needed, convert any special symbols, math expressions, or equations to plain text (keyboard alphanumeric, such as + - * /). This will help reduce errors and speed up data conversion, team evaluation, and MUC report formatting.	Free text		
12	Denominator	Yes	The lower part of a fraction used to calculate a rate, proportion, or ratio. The denominator is associated with a given patient population that may be counted as eligible to meet a measure's inclusion requirements.	Free text		
13	Exclusions	Yes	If applicable, specify Numerator Exclusion, Denominator Exclusion, or Denominator Exception.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
14	Measure Type	Yes	Select only one type of measure. For definitions, visit this web site: https://www.cms.gov/Medic are/Quality-Initiatives- Patient-Assessment- Instruments/ QualityMeasures/Pre-Rule- Making.html and link to the user guide under The JIRA System.	Select one	None Composite Cost/Resource Use Efficiency Intermediate Outcome Outcome Patient Reported Outcome Process Structure Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this form)	
15	Which clinical guideline(s)?	No	The measure should improve compliance with standard clinical guidelines. Provide a detailed description of which guideline supports the measure and how the measure will enhance compliance with the clinical guidelines. Indicate whether the guideline is evidence- based or consensus-based.	Free text		
16	Is this measure similar to and/or competing with measure(s) already in a program?	Yes	Consider other measures with similar purposes.	Select one	Yes No	
17	If Yes:					
18	Which measure(s) already in a program is your measure similar to and/or competing with?	No	Identify the other measure(s) including title and any other unique identifier	Free text		
19	How will this measure add value to the CMS program?	No	Describe benefits of this measure, in comparison to measure(s) already in a program.	Free text		
20	How will this measure be distinguished from other similar and/or competing measures?	No	Describe key differences that set this measure apart from others.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
21	What is the target population of the measure?	Yes	What populations are included in this measure? e.g., Medicare Fee for Service, Medicare Advantage, Medicaid, All Payer, etc.	Free text		
22	What one area of specialty is the measure aimed to, or which specialty is most likely to report this measure?	Yes	Select the most applicable area of specialty. Use the scroll bar to view all available specialties.	Select one	See Appendix A.22 for list choices.	
23	What one healthcare priority applies to this measure?	Yes	Healthcare priorities (also known as domains); select one.	Select one	Make care safer by reducing harm caused in the delivery of care Strengthen person and family engagement as partners in their care Promote effective communication and coordination of care Promote effective prevention and treatment of chronic disease Work with communities to promote best practices of healthy living Make care affordable	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
24	What one meaningful	Yes	Select one. The meaningful	Select one	If #23 is Make care safer, then choices	
	measure applies to this		measure choices depend on		are:	
	measure?		your selection of healthcare		Healthcare-associated infections	
			priority above.		Preventable healthcare harm	
					If #23 is Strengthen person, then choices	
					are:	
					Care is personalized and aligned with	
					patient's goals	
					End of life care according to	
					preferences	
					Patient's experience of care	
					Patient reported functional outcomes	
					If #23 is Promote effective	
					communication, then choices are:	
					Medication management	
					Admissions and readmissions to	
					hospitals	
					Transfer of health information and	
					interoperability	
					If #23 is Promote effective prevention,	
					then choices are:	
					Preventive care	
					Management of chronic conditions	
					Prevention, treatment, and	
					management of mental health	
					Prevention and treatment of opioid	
					and substance use disorders	
					Risk adjusted mortality	
					If #23 is Work with communities, then	
					choices are:	
					Equity of care	
					Community engagement	
					If #22 is Make care offerdable, they shall	
					If #23 is Make care affordable, then choices	
					are:	
					Appropriate use of healthcare	
					Patient-focused episode of care	
					Risk adjusted total cost of care	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
25	Briefly describe the peer reviewed evidence justifying this measure	Yes	Add description of evidence.	Free text		
26	What is the NQF status of the measure?	Yes	Select only one. Refer to http://www.qualityforum.or g/QPS/ for information on NQF endorsement, measure ID, and other information.	Select one	None Endorsed De-endorsed Submitted Failed endorsement Never submitted	
27	NQF ID number	Yes	Four-digit number with leading zeros if needed. If no NQF ID number is known, enter numerals 0000.	Four-digit ID value		
28	Evidence that the measure can be operationalized	No	Provide evidence that the data source used by the measure is readily available to CMS. Summarize how CMS would operationalize the measure. For example, if the measure is based on registry data, the submitter must provide evidence that the majority of the hospitals in the program in which the measure will be used participate in the registry; based, the submitter must provide a plan for CMS to gain access to the registry data. For eCQMs, attach feasibility scorecard or other quantitative evidence indicating measure can be reported by the intended reporting entities.	Free text		
29	If endorsed:					
30	Is the measure being submitted exactly as endorsed by NQF?	No	Select only one	Radio button	Yes No	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
31	If not exactly as	No	Which specification fields are	Multi-	Measure title	
	endorsed, specify the		different? Select as many as	select	Description	
	locations of the differences		apply.		Numerator Denominator	
	unrerences				Exclusions	
					Target Population	
					Setting (for testing)	
					Level of analysis	
					Data source	
					eCQM status	
					Other (see next field)	
32	If not exactly as	No	Briefly describe the	Free text		
02	endorsed, describe the		differences			
	nature of the					
	differences					
33	Year of most recent	No	Select one	Select one	None	
	NQF Consensus				1999	
	Development Process				2000	
	(CDP) endorsement				2001	
					2002	
					2003	
					2004	
					2005	
					2006	
					2007	
					2008	
					2009	
					2010	
					2011	
					2012	
					2013	
					2014	
					2015	
					2016	
					2017	
					2018	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
34	Year of next anticipated	No	Select one	Select one	None	
	NQF CDP endorsement				2018	
	review				2019	
					2020	
					2021	
					2022	
35	In what state of	Yes	Select as many as apply. Hold	Multi-	Early Development	
	development is the		down the Ctrl button while	select	Field Testing	
	measure?		choosing to make multiple		Fully Developed	
			selections.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
36	State of Development	No	Details are helpful to CMS in	Free text		
	Details		understanding where the			
			measure is in the			
			developmental cycle and will			
			weigh heavily in determining			
			whether or not the measure			
			will be published on the MUC List.			
			MOC LIST.			
			If you selected early			
			development above,			
			meaning testing is not			
			currently underway, please			
			describe when testing is			
			planned (i.e., specific dates),			
			what type of testing is			
			planned (e.g., alpha, beta,			
			etc.) as well as the types of			
			facilities the measure will be			
			tested in.			
			If you selected field testing			
			or fully developed above,			
			please describe what testing			
			(e.g., alpha, beta, etc.) has			
			taken place in addition to the			
			results of that testing.			
			Related to testing,			
			summarize results from			
			validity testing including			
			number of reporting entities			
			and patients measured, and			
			how validity was assessed.			
			Summarize results from			
			reliability testing including			
			number of reporting entities			
			and patients measured, and			
			how reliability was assessed.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
Row 37	Field Label In which setting was this measure tested?	Req'd Yes	Screen Guidance Select as many as apply. Hold down the Ctrl button while choosing to make multiple selections.	Data Form Multi- select	Possible ValuesNoneAmbulatory surgery centerAmbulatory/office-based careCommunity hospitalsDialysis facilityEmergency departmentHospital outpatient department (HOD)Home healthHospital inpatientHospital/acute care facilityInpatient psychiatric facilityInpatient rehabilitation facilityIP units within acute care hospitalsLong-term care hospitalNursing homePost-acute care facility(s)PPS-exempt cancer hospitalPsychiatric outpatient	Add Your Content Here
38	At what level of analysis was the measure tested?	Yes	Select as many as apply. Hold down the Ctrl button while choosing to make multiple selections.	Multi- select	Veterans Health Administration facilities Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen) None Clinician Group Facility Health plan Not yet tested Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
Row 39	Field Label What data sources are used for the measure?	Req'd Yes	Screen Guidance Select as many as apply. Hold down the Ctrl button while choosing to make multiple selections. If Non-Medicare Administrative Claims, then enter relevant parts in the field below. If EHR, then enter relevant parts in the field below. If Registry, then enter which registry in the field below.	Data Form Multi- select	Possible ValuesAdministrative claimsAdministrative clinical dataFacility discharge dataChronic condition data warehouse (CCW)ClaimsCROWNWebEHRHybridIRF-PAILTCH CARE data setNational Healthcare Safety NetworkOASIS-C1Paper medical recordPrescription Drug Event Data ElementsPROMISRecord reviewRegistrySurveyOther (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)	Add Your Content Here
					None	
40	If Registry:					
41	Specify the registry(ies)	No	Identify the registry using the submitted measure. Select as many as apply. Use the scroll bar to view all available registries.	Multi- select	See Appendix A.41 for list choices.	
42	If EHR or Administrative Claims or Chart- Abstracted Data, description of parts related to these sources	No	Provide a brief, specific description of which parts of the measure are taken from EHR, administrative claims- based, or chart-abstracted (i.e., paper medical records) data sources.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
43	How is the measure	Yes	This differs from the data	Multi-	eCQM	
	expected to be		sources above. This is the	select	Registry	
	reported to the		anticipated data submission		Claims	
	program?		method. "Administrative		Administrative Claims	
			Claims" is for CMS-		Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of	
			developed measures only.		this screen)	
			Select as many as apply. Hold			
			down the Ctrl button while			
			choosing to make multiple			
			selections.			
44	Is this measure an	Yes	Is this an electronic clinical	Select one	Yes	
	eCQM?		quality measure (eCQM)?		No	
			Select only one. If your			
			answer is yes, the Measure			
			Authoring Tool (MAT) ID			
			number must be provided			
			below.			
45	If eCQM = Yes					
46	If eCQM, enter Measure	Yes	If not an eCQM, or if MAT	Free text		
	Authoring Tool (MAT)		number is not available,			
	number		enter 0. In the Attachments			
			field below, you must attach			
			Bonnie test cases for this			
			measure, with 100% logic			
			coverage (test cases should			
			be appended), attestation			
			that value sets are published			
			in Value Set Authority			
			Center, and NQF feasibility			
			scorecard.			
47	If eCQM, does the	Yes	If not eCQM, select No	Select one	Yes	
	measure have a Health				No	
	Quality Measures					
	Format (HQMF)					
	specification in					
	alignment with the					
	latest HQMF standards?					

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
48	Evidence of	Yes	Evidence of a performance	Free text		
	performance gap		gap among the units of			
			analysis in which the			
			measure will be			
			implemented. Provide			
			analytic evidence that the			
			units of analysis have room			
			for improvement and			
			therefore that the			
			implementation of the			
			measure would be			
			meaningful. The distribution			
			of performance should be			
			wide. Measures must not			
			address "topped-out"			
			opportunities. Please provide			
			current rate of performance			
			and standard deviation from			
			that rate to demonstrate			
			variability. If available, please			
			provide information on the			
			testing data set. If available,			
			include percent average			
			performance rate, minimum,			
			and maximum. Include			
			validity and reliability values			
			in a standard format, and the			
			population size used in			
			determining these values.			
49	Unintended	No	Summary of potential	Free text		
	consequences		unintended consequences if			
			the measure is implemented.			
			Information can be taken			
			from NQF CDP manuscripts			
			or documents. If referencing			
			NQF documents, you must			
			submit the document or a			
			link to the document, and			
			the page being referenced.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
50 50	Field Label Was this measure published on a previous year's Measures under Consideration list?	Keq a Yes	If yes, you are submitting an existing measure for expansion into additional CMS programs or the measure has substantially changed since originally published, then proceed to the following subset of data fields including: In what prior year(s) was this measure published?, What were the MUC IDs for the measure in each year?, Why was the measure not recommended by the MAP workgroups in those year(s)?, What were the programs that NQF MAP reviewed the measure for in each year?, List the NQF MAP workgroup(s) in each year, What was the NQF MAP recommendation each year?, and NQF MAP report page number being referenced for each year. If no. then skip these subset questions.	Select one	Yes No	Add Your Content Here
51	In what prior year(s) was this measure published?	No	Select as many as apply. Hold down the Ctrl button while choosing to make multiple selections.	Multi- select	None 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)	
52	What were the MUC IDs for the measure in each year?	No	List both the year and the associated MUC ID number in each year. If unknown, enter N/A.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
53	List the NQF MAP workgroup(s) in each year	No	List both the year and the associated workgroup name in each year. Workgroup options: Clinician; Hospital; Post-Acute Care/Long-Term Care; Coordinating Committee. Example: "Clinician, 2014"	Free text		
54	What were the programs that NQF MAP reviewed the measure for in each year?	No	List both the year and the associated program name in each year.	Free text		
55	What was the NQF MAP recommendation in each year?	No	List the year(s), the program(s), and the associated recommendation(s) in each year. Options: Support; Do Not Support; Conditionally Support; Refine and Resubmit	Free text		
56	Why was the measure not recommended by the MAP workgroups in those year(s)?	No	Briefly describe the reason(s) if known.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here				
57	NQF MAP report link for each year	For your reference in completing this section, click on the links below or copy/paste the links into your browser to view each year's MAP pre- rulemaking report (2012 to 2018).								
		2018: L	ink currently unavailable							
		2017: <u>http://www.qualityforum.org/map/</u>								
		2016: <u>http://www.qualityforum.org/map/</u>								
		2015: <u>h</u>	ttp://www.qualityforum.org/Wo	orkArea/linkit.a	spx?LinkIdentifier=id&ItemID=78711					
			ttp://www.qualityforum.org/Pu king Report 2014 Recommen		I/01/MAP_Pre- easures for More than 20 Federal Programs	.aspx				
		2013: <u>h</u>	ttp://www.qualityforum.org/Pu	blications/2013	8/02/MAP_Pre-Rulemaking_ReportFebruary	<u>2013.aspx</u>				
			<u>ttp://www.qualityforum.org/Pu</u> king Report Input on Measur		2/02/MAP_Pre- sideration_by_HHS_for_2012_Rulemaking.asp	<u>K</u>				
		All majo	r NQF reports going back to 200	8 should be loc	atable here: <u>http://www.qualityforum.org/Pul</u>	blications.aspx				
58	NQF MAP report page number being	No	List both the year and the associated MAP report page	Free text						
	referenced for each year		number for each year.							
59	If this measure is being submitted to meet a	No	List title and other identifying citation	Free text						
	statutory requirement, please list the corresponding statute		information.							
60	Measure steward	Yes	Select the current Measure	Multi-						
			Steward. Select as many as apply. Use the scroll bar to	select						
			view all available stewards.		See Appendix A.60-62 for list choices.					
			Hold down the Ctrl button while choosing to make							
			multiple selections.							
61	Measure Steward	Yes	Last name, First name;	Free text						
	Contact Information		Affiliation (if different); Telephone number; Email							
			address							

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
62	Long-Term Measure	No	Entity or entities that will be	Multi-		
	Steward (if different)		the permanent measure	select		
			steward(s), responsible for			
			maintaining the measure and			
			conducting NQF		See Appendix A.60-62 for list choices.	
			maintenance review. Use the		see Appendix Aloo of the list choices.	
			scroll bar to view all available			
			stewards. Hold down the Ctrl			
			button while choosing to			
			make multiple selections.			
63	Long-Term Measure	No	If different from Steward	Free text		
	Steward Contact		above: Last name, First			
	Information		name; Affiliation; Telephone			
			number; Email address			
64	Primary Submitter	Yes	If different from Steward	Free text		
	Contact Information		above: Last name, First			
			name; Affiliation; Telephone			
			number; Email address			
65	Secondary Submitter	No	If different from name(s)	Free text		
	Contact Information		above: Last name, First			
			name; Affiliation; Telephone			
			number; Email address			
66	Comments	No	Any notes, qualifiers,	Free text		
			external references, or other			
			information not specified above. For OTHER entries:			
			please indicate the type of additional data you are			
			providing, such as Measure			
			Type, Setting, Level of			
			Analysis, or Measure			
			Steward.			
			Jiewalu.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
67	Attachment(s)	No	The maximum file upload	Browse for		
			size is 10.00 MB. You are	files		
			encouraged to attach			
			measure information form			
			(MIF) if available. This is a			
			detailed description of the			
			measure used by NQF during			
			endorsement proceedings. If			
			a MIF is not available,			
			comprehensive measure			
			methodology documents are			
			encouraged.			
			If you select MIPS, please			
			navigate to the Additional			
			Resources list at this web			
			site:			
			https://www.cms.gov/Medic			
			are/Quality-Initiatives-			
			Patient-Assessment-			
			Instruments/			
			QualityMeasures/Pre-Rule-			
			Making.html, download the			
			"MIPS Peer Review Template			
			and a Completed Sample,"			
			and attach the completed			
			form to your JIRA submission			
			using the "Attachments"			
			field at the bottom of this			
			web page.			
			If eCQM, you must attach			
			Bonnie test cases for this			
			measure, with 100% logic			
			coverage (test cases should			
			be appended), attestation			
			that value sets are published			
			in Value Set Authority			
			Center, and NQF feasibility			
			scorecard.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
68	MIPS Journal Article	No	For those submitting	Radio	Yes	
	Requirement		measures to MIPS program,	button	No	
			click "Yes" after you have			
			attached your completed			
			Peer Reviewed Journal			
			Article Requirement form.			

Appendix: Lengthy Drop-Down List Choices

A.22 Choices for What area of specialty best fits the measure?

None Addiction medicine Allergy/immunology Anesthesiology Cardiac electrophysiology Cardiac surgery Cardiovascular disease (cardiology) Chiropractic medicine Colorectal surgery (proctology) Critical care medicine (intensivists) Dermatology Diagnostic radiology Electrophysiology Emergency medicine Endocrinology Family practice Gastroenterology General practice General surgery Geriatric medicine Gynecological oncology Hand surgery Hematology/oncology Hospice and palliative care Infectious disease Internal medicine Interventional pain management Interventional radiology Maxillofacial surgery Medical oncology Mental health professionals Nephrology Neurology Neuropsychiatry Neurosurgerv Nuclear medicine Obstetrics/gynecology Ophthalmology

Optometry Oral surgery (dentists only) Orthopedic surgery Osteopathic manipulative medicine Otolaryngology Pain management Palliative care Pathology Pediatric medicine Peripheral vascular disease Physical medicine and rehabilitation Plastic and reconstructive surgery Podiatry Preventive medicine Primary care Psychiatry Pulmonary disease Pulmonology Radiation oncology Rheumatology Sleep medicine Sports medicine Surgical oncology Thoracic surgery Urology Vascular surgery Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)

A.41 Choices for Specify the registry(ies)

None CDC, NHSN (National Healthcare Safety Network) American Nursing Association's National Database for Nursing Quality Indicators® (NDNQI[®]) American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program ASC NSQIP) American College of Surgeons National Cancer Data Base (ASC NCDB) American Heart Association's Get With the Guidelines Database Alere Analytics Registry American Board of Family Medicine Registry American College of Surgeons (ACS) Surgeon Specific Registry (SSR) American Health IT American Osteopathic Association Clinical Assessment Program American Society of Clinical Oncology's Quality Oncology Practice Initiative (QOPI) Anesthesia Quality Institute National Anesthesia Clinical Outcomes Registry (NACOR) **Bayview Physician Services Registry** BMC Clinical Data Warehouse Registry Care Coordination Institute Registry CECity Registry ("PQRSwizard") Cedaron Medical Central Utah Informatics CINA **Clinical Support Services** Clinicient Clinigence Conifer Value-Based Care Corrona, LLC Covisint Corporation Registry (formerly Docsite) Crimson Care Registry DC2 Healthcare (NOC2 Spine Registry and C3 Total Joint Registry) **Digital Medical Solutions Registry** DrexelMed Registry

E*HealthLine.com Inc eClinicalWeb (eClinicalWorks) Registry **EVMS Academic Physicians and Surgeons Health Services Foundation** Falcon Registry FORCE-TJR Registry QITM FOTO PQRS Registry Fresenium Medical Care CKD Data Registry Geriatric Practice Management LTC Registry Greenway Health PrimeDATACLOUD PQRS Registry HCA Physician Services PQRS Registry HCFS Health Care Financial Services LLC (HCFS) Health Focus Registry ICLOPS Ingenious Med, Inc. Intellicure, Inc Intelligent Healthcare iPatientCare Registry IPC The Hospitalist Company Registry **IRISTM Registry** Johns Hopkins Disease Registry Lumeris Registry M2S Registry Mankato Clinic Registry Massachusetts General Physicians Organization Registry McKesson Population Manager **MDinteractive** MDSync LLC MedAmerica/CEP America Registry Meditab Software. Inc MedXpress Registry MEGAS, LLC Alpha II Registry Michigan Spine Surgery Improvement Collaborative

myCatalyst American College of Cardiology Foundation FOCUS Registry Net Health Specialty Care Registry American College of Cardiology Foundation PINNACLE Registry Net.Orange cOS Registry American College of Physicians Genesis RegistryTM in collaboration with CECity NeuroPoint Alliance (NPA)'s National Neurosurgery Quality & Outcomes Database American College of Radiology National Radiology Data Registry (N2QOD) American College of Rheumatology Informatics System for Effectiveness NextGen Healthcare Solutions American Gastroenterological Association Colorectal Cancer Screening and Surveillance NJ-HITEC Clinical Reporting Registry Registry in collaboration with CECity OmniMD American Gastroenterological Association Digestive Recognition Program Registry in collaboration with CECity Patient360 American Joint Replacement Registry PMI Registry American Society of Breast Surgeons Mastery of Breast Surgery Program PQRS Solutions American Society of Clinical Oncology Quality Oncology Practice Initiative (QOPI)R PORSPRO NetHealth LLC Anesthesia Quality Institute National Anesthesia Clinical Outcomes Registry Pulse PQRS Registry Chronic Disease Registry, Inc **Quintiles PQRS Registry** CUHSM.ORG ReportingMD Registry Faculty Practice Foundation, Inc. supported by BMC Clinical Data Warehouse Registry **RexRegistry by Prometheus Research** Geriatric Practice Management LTC Qualified Clinical Data Registry Solutions for Quality Improvement (SQI) Registry GI Quality Improvement Consortium's GIQuIC Registry Specialty Benchmarks Registry Louisiana State University Health Care Quality Improvement Collaborative [Louisiana SunCoast RHIO State University, Quality in Health Care Advisory Group, LLC (QHC Advisory Group), SupportMed Data Analytics & Registry CECitv] Surgical Care and Outcomes Assessment Program (SCOAP) Massachusetts eHealth Collaborative Quality Data Center QCDR SwedishAmerican Medical Group Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation and Quality Improvement Program **TeamPraxis-Allscripts CQS** (MBSAQIP) QCDR The Pain Center USA PLLC Michigan Bariatric Surgery Collaborative QCDR Unlimited Systems Specialty Healthcare Registry Michigan Urological Surgery Improvement Collaborative QCDR Venous Patient Outcome Registry National Osteoporosis Foundation and National Bone Health Alliance Quality Improvement Registry in collaboration with CECity Vericle. Inc. Webconsort LLC **OBERD QCDR** Oncology Nursing Quality Improvement Registry in collaboration with CECity WebOutcomes LLC WebPT. Inc Oncology Quality Improvement Collaborative (The US Oncology Network, McKesson Specialty Health, Quality in Health Care Advisory Group, LLC (QHC Advisory Group), Wellcentive, Inc CECitv) Wisconsin Collaborative for Health Care Quality Registry Physician Health Partners QCDR AAAAI Allergy, Asthma & Immunology Quality Clinical Data Registry in collaboration with Premier Healthcare Alliance Physician RegistryTM CECity

Renal Physicians Association Quality Improvement Registry in collaboration with CECity

Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database

The Guideline AdvantageTM (American Cancer Society, American Diabetes Association, American Heart Association) supported by Forward Health Group's PopulationManagerR

Vancouver Clinic

Wisconsin Collaborative for Healthcare Quality

Wound Care Quality Improvement Collaborative (Paradigm Medical Management, Patient Safety Education Network (PSEN), Net Health Systems, Inc., CECity)

A.60-62 Choices for Measure steward (57) and Long-Term Measure Steward (if different) (59)

None	American Urological Association (AUA)
Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality	AQC/ASHA
Alliance of Dedicated Cancer Centers	ASC Quality Collaboration
Ambulatory Surgical Center (ASC) Quality Collaboration	Audiology Quality Consortium/American Speech Language Hearing Association
American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (AAAAI)	Bridges to Excellence
American Academy of Dermatology	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
American Academy of Neurology	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
American Academy of Ophthalmology	Eugene Gastroenterology Consultants, PC Oregon Endoscopy Center, LLC
American Academy of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery (AAOHN)	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - HIV/AIDS Bureau
American College of Cardiology	Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)
American College of Emergency Physicians	IAC
American College of Emergency Physicians (previous steward Partners-Brigham $\&$	Indian Health Service
Women's)	Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA)
American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)	KCQA- Kidney Care Quality Alliance
American College of Radiology	MN Community Measurement
American College of Rheumatology	National Committee for Quality Assurance
American College of Surgeons	National Minority Quality Forum
American Gastroenterological Association	Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology
American Health Care Association	Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology/Centers for
American Medical Association	Medicare & Medicaid Services
American Medical Association - Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement	Oregon Urology Institute
American Medical Association - Physician Consortium for Performance	Oregon Urology Institute in collaboration with Large Urology Group Practice Association
Improvement/American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association	Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)
American Nurses Association	Pharmacy Quality Alliance
American Psychological Association	Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies
American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy	PPRNet
American Society for Radiation Oncology	RAND Corporation
American Society of Addiction Medicine	Renal Physicians Association; joint copyright with American Medical Association -
American Society of Anesthesiologists	Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement
American Society of Clinical Oncology	Seattle Cancer Care Alliance
American Society of Clinical Oncology	Society of Gynecologic Oncology
American Urogynecologic Society	Society of Interventional Radiology

The Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics The Joint Commission The Society for Vascular Surgery The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center University of Minnesota Rural Health Research Center University of North Carolina- Chapel Hill Wisconsin Collaborative for Healthcare Quality (WCHQ)

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is XXXX-XXXX (Expiration date: XX/XX/XXXX). The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 0.5 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850. ****CMS Disclosure**** Please do not send applications, claims, payments, medical records or any documents containing sensitive information to the PRA Reports Clearance Office. Please note that any correspondence not pertaining to the information collection burden approved under the associated OMB control number listed on this form will not be reviewed, forwarded, or retained. If you have questions or concerns regarding where to submit your documents, please contact QPP@cms.hhs.gov.