SUPPORTING STATEMENT

for the Paperwork Reduction Act Information Collection Submission for

Rule 606 of Regulation NMS

# A. Justification

## 1. Necessity of Information Collection

This information collection is required under Rule 606 and Section 17(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”).[[1]](#footnote-2) The Commission adopted Rule 606 f/k/a Rule

11Ac1-6 as part of Regulation NMS.[[2]](#footnote-3) The order routing information required by Rule 606 is necessary to further the national market system objectives. These objectives include the economically efficient execution of orders, fair competition among broker-dealers and among markets, the availability to broker-dealers and investors of information with respect to transactions in securities, and the practicability of broker-dealers executing investors’ orders in the best market.

On July 13, 2016, the Commission proposed amendments to Rule 606 of Regulation NMS to require new disclosures by broker-dealers relating to their handling of certain categories of orders and enhance existing disclosures relating to their handling to certain other categories of orders and solicited public comment.[[3]](#footnote-4) On November 2, 2018, after considering comments received, the Commission adopted amendments to Rule 606 of Regulation NMS to require disclosure by broker-dealers of (1) a report, upon request of a customer that places with the broker-dealer, directly or indirectly, NMS stock orders of any size that are submitted on a not held basis (subject to two de minimis exceptions), containing certain information on the broker-dealer’s handling of such orders for that customer for the prior six months (“Customer-Specific Disclosure on Handling of Non-Exempt Not Held Orders”); (2) a quarterly aggregated public report on the handling of orders in NMS stocks that are submitted on a held basis and orders in NMS securities that are option contracts with a market value less than $50,000 (“Quarterly Public Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders and Options Contracts”); and (3) a report, upon request of a customer, on the routing of that customer’s orders in NMS stocks that are submitted on a held basis; orders in NMS stocks that are submitted on a not held basis and do not qualify for the two de minimis exceptions; and orders in NMS securities that are option contracts, containing certain information on the broker-dealer’s routing of such orders for that customer for the prior six months (“Customer-Specific Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders, Exempt Not Held Orders, and Options Contracts”).[[4]](#footnote-5)

As adopted, the amendments to Rule 606 would require collections of information, as summarized in the table below. The existing information collection associated with Rule 606(a) is being amended in a manner that will create new initial hourly and cost burdens and new annual hourly burdens. The previously approved annual burdens associated with Rule 606(a) are not changing. The existing information collection associated with Rule 606(b)(1) is being amended in a manner that will create new initial hourly and cost burdens. The previously approved annual burdens associated with Rule 606(b)(1) are not changing. The amendments to Rule 606 add a new information collection described in Rule 606(b)(3) that will create new initial hourly and cost burdens and new annual hourly and cost burdens. Finally, as part of the amendments to Rule 606, new defined terms are being added to the definitions provision of Regulation NMS, Rule 600, and this will create a one-time hourly burden for respondents to update compliance manuals and written supervisory procedures and update citation references to any defined terms in Rule 600 whose references may have changed.

| Information Item | Rule | Existing or New Collection |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Quarterly Public Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders and Options Contracts | 606(a)(1) | Existing |
| Customer-Specific Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders, Exempt Not Held Orders, and Options Contracts | 606(b)(1) | Existing |
| Customer-Specific Disclosure on Handling of Non-Exempt Not Held Orders | 606(b)(3) | New |
| Update compliance manuals | 600/606 | New |

## 2. Purpose and Use of the Information Collection

The purpose of the information collection is to make information about order handling practices available to individual investors and the public. The information disclosed by Rule 606 is used by investors and broker-dealers. Investors use the information in selecting a broker-dealer and in determining whether the broker-dealers they have chosen are making sound order handling decisions. Broker-dealers use the information to compete on the basis of order handling services.

Generally, the order handling disclosures required under the adopted amendments to Rule 606 would provide detailed information that would enable investors to evaluate how their orders were handled by their broker-dealers, assess conflicts of interest facing their broker-dealers in providing order routing services, and have the ability to engage in informed discussions with their broker-dealers about the broker-dealer’s order handling practices. The adopted order handling disclosures could inform future decisions on whether to retain a broker-dealer’s order handling services or engage the order handling services of a new broker-dealer. In addition, broker-dealers may use the public disclosures to compete on the basis of order handling services.

## 3. Consideration Given to Information Technology

To comply with the adopted amendments to the Rule, all broker-dealers would incur burdens to update their systems to create and retain the information and disseminate the disclosures required by the Rule. The Commission also adopted a new requirement to require that all three disclosures be made available using the most recent versions of the XML schema and the associated PDF renderer as published on the Commission’s Web site, compliance with which would also require broker-dealers to incur burdens.

The Commission believes that broker-dealers would upgrade their systems either in-house or via a third-party service provider.[[5]](#footnote-6) The Commission solicited comment on whether there are ways to minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology[[6]](#footnote-7) and received no comments.

Broker-dealers are not prohibited from using any technology that facilitates consumer understanding and that permits review, as appropriate, by the Commission or other appropriate regulatory authorities.

4. Duplication

Duplication of information is currently not a concern; the reported information is not available elsewhere.

5. Effect on Small Entities

Under the standard for determining whether a broker-dealer is a small entity in Exchange Act Rule 0-10(b), the Commission estimates that no broker-dealers subject to adopted Rule 606 is a small entity.

6. Consequences of Not Conducting Collection

The quarterly and customer-specific disclosures on order handling adopted in the amendments to Rule 606 would allow customers to evaluate and monitor their broker-dealer’s order routing decisions on both a periodic and ad-hoc basis. A less frequent collection would limit investors’ opportunities to undertake this critical evaluation.

7. Inconsistencies with Guidelines in 5 CFR Part 1320.5(d)(2)

There are no special circumstances. This collection is consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

8. Consultations Outside the Agency

The Commission solicited comments on the proposed new collection of information requirements and associated paperwork burdens.[[7]](#footnote-8)  The Commission received one comment letter on the estimates of the collection of information burden included in the Proposal.[[8]](#footnote-9) The Commission considered all comments received prior to publishing the final rule as required by 5 C.F.R. 1320.11(f) and, as a result, revised burden estimates for Rule 606(a)(1) and Rule 606(b)(3) upward as described in Item 12. The letter is available to the public on the Commission’s website at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-14-16/s71416.htm>.

9. Payment or Gift

Not applicable.

10. Confidentiality

Not applicable.

11. Sensitive Questions

No information of a sensitive nature, will be required under this collection of information. The information collection does not collect personally identifiable information (PII). The agency has determined that a system of records notice (SORN) and privacy impact assessment (PIA) are not required in connection with the collection of information.

12. Burden of Information Collection

1. Burden of Previous Rule 606

The collection of information obligations of the previous version of Rule 606 applied to broker-dealers that route non-directed customer orders in covered securities. As of the most recent PRA renewal in 2016, the Commission estimated that there are 266 broker-dealers (185 clearing brokers and 81 introducing brokers) that are potentially subject to the collection of information obligations of Rule 606.[[9]](#footnote-10) Each of these respondents (if engaged in the business of routing non-directed orders on behalf of customers) is required to respond to the collection of information on a quarterly basis with respect to the Rule’s reporting obligations, and on an ongoing basis with respect to the Rule’s requirement to respond to customer requests for order routing information.

The Commission staff estimated that each firm significantly involved in order routing practices will incur an average burden of 40 hours to prepare and disseminate a quarterly report required by Rule 606(a), or a burden of 160 hours per year. With an estimated 266 broker-dealers significantly involved in order routing practices, the total industry-wide burden per year to comply with the quarterly reporting requirement in Rule 606 was estimated to be 42,560 hours (160 x 266).

The Commission estimates that these individual burdens will continue to apply to broker-dealers that have information collection obligations under Rule 606(a), but the Commission is updating the number of respondents to 292 (217 clearing brokers and 75 introducing brokers) to reflect the availability of more recent data.[[10]](#footnote-11) Therefore the total industry-wide burden per year to comply with the quarterly reporting requirement in Rule 606(a)(1) is estimated to be 46,720 hours (292 x 160).

Rule 606(b)(1) also requires broker-dealers to respond to individual customer requests for information on orders handled by the broker-dealer for that customer. Clearing brokers generally bear the burden of responding to these requests. The Commission staff estimated that an average clearing broker will incur an annual burden of 400 hours (2000 responses x 0.2 hours/response) to prepare, disseminate, and retain responses to customers required by Rule 606(b)(1). With an estimated 185 clearing brokers subject to the Rule, the total industry-wide burden per year to comply with the customer response requirement in Rule 606 was estimated to be 74,000 hours (185 x 400).

The Commission estimates that these individual burdens will continue to apply to broker-dealers that have information collection obligations under Rule 606(b)(1) but the Commission is updating the number of respondents to 217 clearing brokers to reflect the availability of more recent data.[[11]](#footnote-12) Therefore the total industry-wide burden per year to comply with the to comply with the customer response requirement in Rule 606 is estimated to be 86,800 hours (217 x 400).

1. Burden of Adopted Amendments to Rule 606

The collection of information obligations of the amendments to Rule 606 also apply to broker-dealers that route non-directed customer orders in covered securities. The Commission estimates that there are 292 broker-dealers that are potentially subject to the collection of information obligations of Rule 606.[[12]](#footnote-13) The Commission estimates that all 292 broker-dealers are involved in routing orders subject to the disclosure requirements of 606(a)(1) and 606(b)(1), and 200 broker-dealers are involved in routing orders subject to the disclosure requirements of Rule 606(b)(3).[[13]](#footnote-14)

* 1. New Rule 606(b)(3)
     1. Initial Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden

Adopted Rule 606(b)(3) establishes new recordkeeping requirements applicable to broker-dealers that route, directly or indirectly, NMS stock orders of any size that are submitted on a not held basis, subject to two de minimis exceptions. The Commission believes that all 200 broker-dealers that handle orders subject to the customer-specific disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(3) will need to update their systems to both update their data capture systems and format the report required by the rule,[[14]](#footnote-15) and that some broker-dealers would update their systems in house while others will engage a third-party service provider to do so.[[15]](#footnote-16)

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 67 broker-dealer that handles orders subject to the customer-specific disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(3) to implement the requirements of the rule in-house to be 260 hours,[[16]](#footnote-17) for a total initial burden of 17,420 hours.[[17]](#footnote-18) The Commission initially estimated that 10 broker-dealers would need to update their systems to capture the data required by the rule for an individual burden of 200 hours and a total burden of 2,000 hours.[[18]](#footnote-19) The increase in total burden from the Proposing Release estimate reflects the increase in number of respondents from 10 to 67, as discussed above.[[19]](#footnote-20) It also represents an increase in the initial individual hourly burden from 200 hours to 260 hours in response to the comment letter received.[[20]](#footnote-21)

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 133 broker-dealer that handles orders subject to the customer-specific disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(3) to engage a third-party service provider to implement the requirements of the rule to be 50 hours,[[21]](#footnote-22) for a total initial burden of 6,650 hours.[[22]](#footnote-23) The Commission initially estimated that 15 broker-dealers would need to update their systems to capture the data required by the rule for an individual burden of 50 hours and a total burden of 750 hours.[[23]](#footnote-24) The increase in burden from the Proposing Release estimate reflects the increase in number of respondents from 15 to 133, as discussed above.[[24]](#footnote-25)

Therefore, the estimated total initial burden to comply with adopted Rule 606(b)(3) is 24,070 hours[[25]](#footnote-26) or 8,023.33 hours per year when annualized over three years.[[26]](#footnote-27) This is an increase over the initial Proposing Release estimate of 8,750 hours or 2,916.67 hours per year annualized over three years, for the reasons discussed above.

* + 1. Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden

The Commission estimates that for each broker-dealer that will respond in-house to individual customer requests for information on order handling under adopted Rule 606(b)(3), the individual annual burden will be 400 hours,[[27]](#footnote-28) with a total annual burden of 54,000 hours.[[28]](#footnote-29) The annual burden for broker-dealers that will engage a third-party to respond to individual customer requests will be 200 hours,[[29]](#footnote-30) with a total annual burden of 13,000 hours.[[30]](#footnote-31)

Therefore, the estimated total annual burden to comply with adopted Rule 606(b)(3) is 67,000 hours.[[31]](#footnote-32) This burden is unchanged from Proposing Release.

* 1. Amendments to Rules 606(a)(1) and 606(b)(1)
     1. Initial Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden

Adopted Rule 606(a)(1) enhances existing recordkeeping requirements and makes them applicable to broker-dealers that route orders in NMS stocks that are submitted on a held basis and orders in NMS securities that are option contracts. Adopted Rule 606(b)(1) does not change existing record-keeping requirements but makes them applicable to broker-dealers that route orders in NMS stocks that are submitted on a held basis, orders in NMS stocks submitted on a not held basis that do not qualify for the two de minimis exceptions of Rule 606(b)(3), and orders in NMS securities that are option contracts.

As with Rule 606(b)(3), the Commission believes that all 292 broker-dealers that handle orders subject to the disclosures required by Rules 606(a)(1) and 606(b)(1) will need to update their systems to both update their data capture systems and format the report required by the rule,[[32]](#footnote-33) and that some broker-dealers would update their systems in house while others will engage a third-party service provider to do so.

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 97 broker-dealer that handles orders subject to the disclosures required by Rule 606(a)(1) to implement the requirements of the rule in-house to be 240 hours,[[33]](#footnote-34) for a total initial burden of 23,280 hours.[[34]](#footnote-35) The Commission initially estimated that 25 broker-dealers would need to update their systems to capture the data required by the rule for an individual burden of 76 hours and a total burden of 1,900 hours.[[35]](#footnote-36) The increase in burden from the Proposing Release estimate reflects the increase in number of respondents from 25 to 97, as discussed above.[[36]](#footnote-37) It also represents an increase in the initial individual hourly burden from 76 hours to 240 hours in response to the comment letter received.[[37]](#footnote-38)

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 195 broker-dealer that handles orders subject to the disclosures required by Rule 606(a)(1) to engage a third-party service provider to implement the requirements of the rule to be 20 hours,[[38]](#footnote-39) for a total initial burden of 3,900 hours.[[39]](#footnote-40) The increase in burden from the Proposing Release estimate reflects the increase in number of respondents from 25 to 195, as discussed above.[[40]](#footnote-41)

For each of the 292 broker-dealers that handle orders subject to the disclosures required by Rule 606(a)(1), the initial burden to review, assess, and disclose their payment for order flow arrangements and profit-sharing relationships would be 10 hours,[[41]](#footnote-42) for a total initial burden of 2,920 hours.[[42]](#footnote-43) This burden is unchanged from Proposing Release but reflects an increase in the number of respondents from 266 to 292 due to the availability of more recent data.[[43]](#footnote-44)

The Commission is including a burden that was not estimated in the Proposing Release because it reflects a change made to complementary categories of orders subject to disclosure under Rules 606(a), (b)(1) and (b)(3).[[44]](#footnote-45) The Commission now estimates a burden for each of the 292 broker-dealers that handle orders subject to the disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(1) to update their systems to include the amended categories of orders to which the disclosure applies. The Commission believes that it is reasonable to estimate that one third of the 292 broker-dealers that route orders subject to the disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(1) – 97 broker-dealers – will implement these changes in-house, while the remaining number – 195 broker-dealers – will engage a third-party vendor to do so. The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 97 broker-dealer that will program their systems in-house to comply with Rule 606(b)(1) to be 24 hours,[[45]](#footnote-46) for a total initial burden of 2,328 hours.[[46]](#footnote-47) The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 195 broker-dealers that will engage a third-party vendor to program their systems to comply with the rule to be 3 hours,[[47]](#footnote-48) for a total initial burden of 585 hours.[[48]](#footnote-49)

The Commission included in these estimates the initial burden of making the reports available using the most recent versions of the XML schema and the associated PDF renderer as published on the Commission’s Web site, as required by Rules 606(a)(1) and (b)(1), as amended.[[49]](#footnote-50)

Therefore, the estimated total initial burden to comply with adopted Rules 606(a)(1) and (b)(1) is 33,013 hours[[50]](#footnote-51) or 11,004.33 hours per year when annualized over three years.[[51]](#footnote-52) This is an increase over the initial Proposing Release estimate of 8,084 hours or 2,694.67 hours per year annualized over three years, for the reasons discussed above.

* + 1. Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden

For each of the 292 broker-dealers subject to disclosure requirements of adopted Rule 606(a)(1), the Commission estimates the annual burden to monitor payment for order flow and profit-sharing relationships and potential self-regulatory organization rule changes that could impact their order routing decisions and incorporate any new information into their reports to be 10 hours,[[52]](#footnote-53) for a total annual burden of 2,920 hours.[[53]](#footnote-54) The Commission estimates the annual burden for each broker-dealers to describe and update any terms of payment for order flow arrangements and profit-sharing relationships with a Specified Venue that may influence their order routing decisions pursuant to adopted Rule 606(a)(1)(iv) to be 15 hours,[[54]](#footnote-55) for a total annual burden of 4,380 hours.[[55]](#footnote-56) This burden is unchanged from Proposing Release but reflects an increase in the number of respondents from 266 to 292 due to the availability of more recent data.[[56]](#footnote-57)

Therefore, the estimated total annual burden to comply with adopted Rule 606(a)(1)(iv) is 7,300 hours.[[57]](#footnote-58) This is an increase over the initial Proposing Release estimate of 6,650, for the reasons discussed above.

* 1. Revisions to Compliance Manuals

The Commission estimated an initial burden previously proposed for market centers and broker-dealers to review and update compliance manuals and written supervisory procedures and update citation references to any defined terms in Rule 600 of Regulation NMS whose references may have changed due to the addition by the Adopting Release of several defined terms to Rule 600.[[58]](#footnote-59) The Commission estimates that each of the 381 market centers and 4,024 broker-dealers[[59]](#footnote-60) would make these updates in house at a one-time burden of 2 hours for each respondent,[[60]](#footnote-61) for a total initial burden of 8,810 hours.[[61]](#footnote-62) The total burden would be 2,936.67 hours per year when annualized over three years.[[62]](#footnote-63) There is no ongoing annual burden associated with this requirement.

**Total Annual Hourly Burden for Respondents: 229,784.33 hours**

|  |  |  | A. | B. | C. | D. | E. | F. | G. |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Information Collection** | **Type of Burden** | **Burden Description** | **Number of Entities Impacted** | **Annual Responses per Entity** | **Initial Burden per Entity per Response** | **Initial Burden Annualized per Entity per Response** | **Ongoing Burden per Entity per Response** | **Annual Burden Per Entity per Response** | **Total Annual Burden Per Entity** | **Total Annual Burden** | **Small Business Entities Affected** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | [C / 3 years] |  | [ D + E] | [F \* B] | [G \* A] |  |
| Customer-Specific Disclosure on Handling of Non-Exempt Not Held Orders – Rule 606(b)(3) | Recordkeeping/Third party disclosure – New information collection | Update data capture systems and create reports in house | 67 | 1 | 260 hours | 86.67 hours | 0 hours | 86.67 hours | 86.67 hours | 5,806.67 hours | 0 |
| Engage third party to update data capture systems and create reports | 133 | 1 | 50 hours | 16.67 hours | 0 hours | 16.67 hours | 16.67 hours | 2,216.67 hours | 0 |
|  |
| Respond to customer requests in house | 135 | 200 | 0 hours | 0 hours | 2 hours | 2 hours | 400 hours | 54,000 hours | 0 |
| Engage third party to respond to customer requests | 65 | 200 | 0 hours | 0 hours | 1 hour | 1 hour | 200 hours | 13,000 hours | 0 |
| Quarterly Public Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders and Options Contracts – Rule 606(a)(1) | Recordkeeping/Third party disclosure – New information collection | Update data capture systems and create reports in house | 97 | 1 | 240 hours | 80 hours | 0 hours | 80 hours | 80 hours | 7,760 hours | 0 |
| Engage third party to update data capture systems and create reports | 195 | 1 | 20 hours | 6.67 hours | 0 hours | 6.67 hours | 6.67 hours | 1,300 hours | 0 |
| Assess payment for order flow and profit-sharing relationships | 292 | 1 | 10 hours | 3.33 hours | 25 hours | 28.33 hours | 28.33 hours | 8,273.33 hours | 0 |
| Customer-Specific Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders, Exempt Not Held Orders, and Options Contracts – Rule 606(b)(1) | Recordkeeping – New information collection | Update systems in-house to apply existing disclosure requirements to updated order categories | 97 | 24 | 8 hours | 8 hours | 0 hours | 8 hours | 8 hours | 776 hours | 0 |
| Engage third party to update systems to apply existing disclosure requirements to updated order categories | 195 | 3 | 1 hour | 1 hour | 0 hours | 1 hour | 1 hour | 195 hours | 0 |
| Update Compliance Manuals | Recordkeeping - New information collection | Revise compliance manuals in house | 4,405 | 1 | 2 hours | 0.67 hours | 0 hours | 0.67 hours | 0.67 hours | 2,936.67 hours |  |
| Rule 606(a)(1) | Third party disclosure – Existing information collection | Create and issue quarterly report | 292 | 4 | 0 hours | 0 hours | 40 hours | 40 hours | 160 hours | 46,720 hours | 0 |
| Rule 606(b)(1) | Third party disclosure – Existing information collection | Respond to customer requests | 217 | 2,000 | 0 hours | 0 hours | 0.2 hours | 0.2 hours | 400 hours | 86,800 hours | 0 |
| **TOTAL:** | | | | | | | | | | **229,784.33**  **hours** |  |

13. Costs to Respondents

1. Current Cost of Rule 606

As of the most recent PRA renewal in 2016, there were no applicable costs associated with the information collections associated with Rules 606(a) and (b)(1): (a) it was not anticipated that respondents would have to incur any capital and start-up cost to comply with these rules; (b) it was not anticipated that the respondents would have to incur any additional operational or maintenance cost to comply with these rules.

1. Cost of Adopted Rule 606
2. New Rule 606(b)(3)
   * 1. Initial Reporting and Recordkeeping Costs

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 67 broker-dealer that handles orders subject to the customer-specific disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(3) to purchase hardware and software upgrades to implement the requirements of the rule in-house to be $15,000,[[63]](#footnote-64) for a total initial burden of $1,005,000.[[64]](#footnote-65) The Commission initially estimated that 10 broker-dealers would need to update their systems to capture the data required by the rule for an individual burden of $15,000 and a total burden of $150,000.[[65]](#footnote-66) The increase in burden from the Proposing Release estimate reflects the increase in number of respondents from 10 to 67, as discussed above.[[66]](#footnote-67)

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 133 broker-dealer that handles orders subject to the customer-specific disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(3) to pay a third-party service provider to implement the requirements of the rule to be $35,000,[[67]](#footnote-68) for a total initial burden of $4,655,000.[[68]](#footnote-69) The Commission initially estimated that 15 broker-dealers would need to update their systems to capture the data required by the rule for an individual burden of $35,000 and a total burden of $525,000.[[69]](#footnote-70) The increase in burden from the Proposing Release estimate reflects the increase in number of respondents from 15 to 133, as discussed above.[[70]](#footnote-71)

Therefore, the estimated total initial cost to comply with adopted Rule 606(b)(3) is $5,660,000[[71]](#footnote-72) or $1,886,666.67 per year when annualized over three years.[[72]](#footnote-73) This is an increase over the initial Proposing Release estimate of $925,000 or $308,333.33 per year when annualized over three years, for the reasons discussed above.

* + 1. Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Costs

The Commission estimates the annual burden for broker-dealers that handle orders subject to the customer-specific disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(3) to respond to individual customer requests for information on order handling to be $1,300,000.[[73]](#footnote-74) This burden is unchanged from Proposing Release.

* 1. Amendments to Rules 606(a)(1) and 606(b)(1)
     1. Initial Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 195 broker-dealer that handles orders subject to the disclosures required by Rule 606(a)(1) to engage a third-party service provider to implement the requirements of the rule to be $32,000,[[74]](#footnote-75) for a total initial burden of $6,240,000.[[75]](#footnote-76) The Commission initially estimated that 25 broker-dealers would need to update their systems to capture the data required by the rule for an individual burden of $10,000 and a total burden of $250,000.[[76]](#footnote-77) The increase in burden from the Proposing Release estimate reflects the increase in number of respondents from 25 to 195, as discussed above.[[77]](#footnote-78) It also represents an increase in the initial individual cost burden from $10,000 hours to $32,000 in response to the comment letter received.[[78]](#footnote-79)

The Commission estimates the initial burden for each of the 195 broker-dealers that handle orders subject to the disclosures required by Rule 606(b)(1) to pay a third-party service provider to update their systems to include the amended categories of orders to which the disclosure applies[[79]](#footnote-80) to be $5,000,[[80]](#footnote-81) for a total initial burden of $975,000.[[81]](#footnote-82)

The Commission included in these estimates the initial burden of making the reports available using the most recent versions of the XML schema and the associated PDF renderer as published on the Commission’s Web site, as required by Rules 606(a)(1) and (b)(1), as amended.[[82]](#footnote-83)

Therefore, the estimated total initial cost to comply with adopted Rules 606(a)(1) and (b)(1) is $7,215,000[[83]](#footnote-84) or $2,405,000 per year when annualized over three years.[[84]](#footnote-85) This is an increase over the initial Proposing Release estimate of $466,000 or $155,333.33 per year when annualized over three years, for the reasons discussed above.

* + 1. Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Costs

The Commission estimates no annual reporting or recordkeeping costs to comply with the amendments to Rules 606(a)(1) and (b)(1).

**Total Annual Cost for Respondents: $5,591,666.67**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Summary of Dollar Costs** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  |  | A. | B. | C. | D. | E. | F. | G. |  |  |
| **Name of Information Collection** | **Type of Burden** | **Burden Description** | **Number of Entities Impacted** | **Annual Responses per Entity** | **Initial Cost per Entity per Response** | **Initial Cost Annualized per Entity per Response** | **Ongoing Cost per Entity per Response** | **Annual Cost Per Entity per Response** | **Total Annual Cost Per Entity** | **Total Annual Industry Cost** | **Small Business Entities Affected** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | [C ÷ 3 years] |  | [ D + E] | [F \* B] | [G \* A] | [A \* 0 %] |
| Customer-Specific Disclosure on Handling of Non-Exempt Not Held Orders –Rule 606(b)(3) | Recordkeeping/Third party disclosure – New information collection | Engage third party to update data capture systems and create reports | 133 | 1 | $35,000.00 | $11,666.67 | $0.00 | $11,666.67 | $11,666.67 | $1,551,666.67 | 0 |
| Update data capture systems and create reports in house (hardware and software) | 67 | 1 | $15,000 | $5,000.00 | $0.00 | $5,000.00 | $5,000.00 | $335,000.00 | 0 |
| Engage third party to respond to customer requests | 65 | 200 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $100.00 | $100.00 | $20,000.00 | $1,300,000.00 | 0 |
| Quarterly Public Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders and Options Contracts – Rule 606(a)(1) | Recordkeeping/Third party disclosure – New information collection | Engage third party to update data capture systems and create reports | 195 | 1 | $32,000.00 | $10,666.67 | $0.00 | $10,666.67 | $10,666.67 | $2,080,000.00 | 0 |
| Customer-Specific Disclosure on Routing of Held Orders, Exempt Not Held Orders, and Options Contracts –Rule 606(b)(1) | Recordkeeping/Third party disclosure – New information collection | Engage third party to update data capture systems and create reports | 195 | 1 | $5,000.00 | $1,666.67 | $0.00 | $1,666.67 | $1,666.67 | $325,000.00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL:** | | | | | | | | | | **$5,591,666.67** |  |

14. Costs to Federal Government

Not applicable.

15. Changes in Burden

As discussed above, the total annual hourly and cost burden have increased from the previous version of the Rule, as the adopted amendments are enhancing the current quarterly and customer-specific disclosure requirements for order routing under Rule 606(a)(1) and (b)(1), respectively, and adding new requirements for customer-specific reports on order handling under Rule 606(b)(3). The burdens for the existing collections for Rule 606(a)(1) and 606(b)(1) are also increasing due an increase in the number of respondents since the most recent renewal: the number of broker-dealers subject to the information collection requirements of Rule 606(a)(1) increased from 266 to 292 and the number of clearing brokers subject to the information collection requirements of Rule 606(b)(1) increased from 185 to 217.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2016** | | | **2018** | | | **Change** | | |
|  | **Respondents** | **Individual burden** | **Annual burden** | **Respondents** | **Individual burden** | **Annual burden** | **Respondents** | **Individual burden** | **Annual burden** |
| **Rule 606(a)(1)** | **Hourly burden** | | | | | | | | |
| 266 | 160 hours/year to issue quarterly reports | 42,560 hours | 292 | 160 hours/year to issue quarterly reports | 46,720 hours | 26 | 0 hours/year | 4,160 hours |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 97 | 80 hours/year  annualized initial burden to implement amendments in-house. | 7,760 hours | 97 | 80 hours/year | 7,760 hours |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 195 | 6.67 hours/year annualized initial burden to implement amendments using third party. | 1,300 hours | 195 | 6.67 hours/year | 1,300 hours |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 292 | 28.33 hours/year to Assess payment for order flow and profit-sharing relationships | 8,273.33 hours | 292 | 28.33 hours/year | 8,273.33 hours |
| **Cost burden** | | | | | | | | |
| N/A | $0 | $0 | 195 | $10,666.67/year annualized initial cost to implement amendments using third party. | $2,080,000 | 195 | $10,666.67/year | $2,080,000 |
| **Rule 606(b)(1)** | **Hourly burden** | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | 400 hours/year | 74,000 hours | 217 | 400 hours/year | 86,800 hours | 32 | 0 hours/year | 12,800 hours |
| **Cost burden** | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | $0 | $0 | 217 | $1,666.67 | $325,000 | 32 | $1,666.67/year | $325,000 |
| **Rule 606(b)(3)** | **Hourly burden** | | | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 67 | 86.67 hours/year  annualized initial burden to implement amendments in-house. | 5,806.67 hours | 67 | 86.67 hours/year | 5,806.67 hours |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 133 | 16.67 hours/year annualized initial burden to implement amendments using third party. | 2,216.67 hours | 133 | 16.67 hours/year | 2,216.67 hours |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 135 | 400 hours/year to respond to customer requests in-house | 54,000 hours | 135 | 400 hours/year | 54,000 hours |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 65 | 200 hours/year to respond to customer requests using third party | 13,000 hours | 65 | 200 hours/year | 13,000 hours |
| **Cost burden** | | | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 67 | $5,000.00/year annualized initial cost for hardware/software upgrades | $335,000 | 67 | $5,000/year | $335,000 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 133 | $11,666.67/year annualized initial cost to implement amendments using third party. | $1,551,666.67 | 133 | $11,666.67/year | $1,551,666.67 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 65 | $20,000.00/year to respond to customer requests using third party | $1,300,000 | 65 | $20,000  /year | $1,300,000 |
| **Update Compliance Manuals** | **Hourly burden** | | | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 4,405 | 0.67 hours | 2,936.67 hours | 4,405 | 0.67 hours | 2,936.67 hours |

16. Information Collections Planned for Statistical Purposes

These are disclosures from brokers to the general public and to specific consumers who request certain information. The Commission is not collecting data. The Commission has no information to publish and no plans to publish any data for statistical or other purposes.

17. Approval to Omit OMB Expiration Date

Not applicable. The Commission is not seeking approval to omit the expiration date.

18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

Not applicable. This collection complies with the requirements in 5 CFR 1320.9.

# B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods.

Not applicable.
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