**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

 **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal)**

**1. Identification of the Information Collection**

**1(a) Title of the Information Collection**

NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS)

(Renewal), EPA ICR Number 1957.08, OMB Control Number 2060-0487.

**1(b) Short Characterization/Abstract**

The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) were proposed on July 18, 2000, promulgated on June 10, 2002, and amended on March 17, 2003. These regulations apply to existing facilities and new facilities that are major sources of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) at which a coil coating line is operated. A coil coating line is a process in which special equipment is used to apply an organic coating to the surface of metal coils; the affected source at each plant site is the collection of all coil coating lines at the site. The provisions of this Subpart do not apply to coil coating lines that are part of research or laboratory equipment or coil coating lines on which at least 85 percent of the metal coil coated, based on surface area, is less than 0.15 millimeters (0.006 inches) thick, unless the coating line is controlled by a common control device. New facilities include those that commenced construction or reconstruction after the date of proposal. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS.

In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all affected facilities subject to the NESHAP.

Any owner/operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file containing these documents and retain the file for at least five years following the generation date of such maintenance reports and records. All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. If there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regional office.

The ‘burden’ to the “Affected Public” may be found below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal). The Federal Government’s ‘burden’ is attributed entirely to work performed by either Federal government employees or government contractors and may be found below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal). There are approximately 48 facilities, which are owned and operated by the metal coil coating industry. None of the 48 facilities in the United States are owned by either state, local, tribal or the Federal government. They are all owned and operated by privately-owned, for-profit businesses. We assume that they will all respond to EPA inquiries.

Based on our consultations with industry representatives, there are an average of one affected facility at each plant site and that each plant site has only one respondent (i.e., the owner/operator of the plant site).

Over the next three years, approximately 48 respondents per year will be subject to these standards, and no additional respondents are expected to become subject to these same standards.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the currently active ICR without any “Terms of Clearance”.

**2. Need for and Use of the Collection**

**2(a) Need/Authority for the Collection**

The EPA is charged under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, to establish standards of performance for each category or subcategory of major sources and area sources of hazardous air pollutants. These standards are applicable to new or existing sources of hazardous air pollutants and shall require the maximum degree of emission reduction. In addition, section 114(a) states that the Administrator may require any owner/operator subject to any requirement of this Act to:

(A) Establish and maintain such records; (B) make such reports; (C) install, use, and maintain such monitoring equipment, and use such audit procedures, or methods; (D) sample such emissions (in accordance with such procedures or methods, at such locations, at such intervals, during such periods, and in such manner as the Administrator shall prescribe); (E) keep records on control equipment parameters, production variables or other indirect data when direct monitoring of emissions is impractical; (F) submit compliance certifications in accordance with Section 114(a)(3); and (G) provide such other information as the Administrator may reasonably require.

In the Administrator's judgment, HAP emissions from facilities engaged in metal coil surface coating either cause or contribute to air pollution that may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health and/or welfare. Therefore, the NESHAP were promulgated for this source category at 40 CFR Part 63,Subpart SSSS.

**2(b) Practical Utility/Users of the Data**

The recordkeeping and reporting requirements in the standards ensure compliance with the applicable regulations which were promulgated in accordance with the Clean Air Act. The collected information is also used for targeting inspections and as evidence in legal proceedings.

Performance tests are required in order to determine an affected facility’s initial capability to comply with the emission standards. Continuous emission monitors are used to ensure compliance with these standards at all times. During the performance test, a record of the operating parameters under which compliance was achieved may be recorded and used to determine compliance in place of a continuous emission monitor.

The notifications required in the standards are used to inform the Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the requirements of the regulations. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated, leaks are being detected and repaired, and that these standards are being met. The performance test may also be observed.

The required semiannual reports are used to determine periods of excess emissions, identify problems at the facility, verify operation/maintenance procedures and for compliance determinations.

**3. Non-duplication, Consultations, and Other Collection Criteria**

The requested recordkeeping and reporting are required under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS.

**3(a) Non-duplication**

 If the subject standards have not been delegated, the information is sent directly to the appropriate EPA regional office. Otherwise, the information is sent directly to the delegated state or local agency. If a state or local agency has adopted its own similar standards to implement the Federal standards, a copy of the report submitted to the state or local agency can be sent to the Administrator in lieu of the report required by the Federal standards. Therefore, duplication does not exist.

**3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission to OMB**

An announcement of a public comment period for the renewal of this ICR was published in the Federal Register (82 FR 29552) on June 29, 2017. No comments were received on the burden published in the Federal Register.

**3(c) Consultations**

The Agency has consulted industry experts and internal data sources to project the number of affected facilities and industry growth over the next three years.The primary source of information as reported by industry, in compliance with the recordkeeping and reporting provisions in these standards, is the Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS). ICIS is EPA’s database for the collection, maintenance, and retrieval of compliance data for industrial and government-owned facilities. The growth rate for the industry is based on our consultations with the Agency’s internal industry experts. Approximately 48 respondents will be subject to these same standards over the three-year period covered by this ICR.

Industry trade association(s) and other interested parties were provided an opportunity to comment on the burden associated with these standards as they were being developed and these same standards have been reviewed previously to determine the minimum information needed for compliance purposes. In developing this ICR, we contacted both the National Coil Coating Association, at (216) 241-7333, and Aleris International, at (216) 910-3400.

It is our policy to respond after a thorough review of comments received since the last ICR renewal, as well as those submitted in response to the first Federal Register notice. In this case, no comments were received.

**3(d) Effects of Less-Frequent Collection**

Less-frequent information collection would decrease the margin of assurance that facilities are continuing to meet these standards. Requirements for information gathering and recordkeeping are useful techniques to ensure that good operation and maintenance practices are applied and emission limitations are met. If the information required by these standards was collected less frequently, the proper operation and maintenance of control equipment and the possibility of detecting violations would be less likely.

**3(e) General Guidelines**

These reporting or recordkeeping requirements do not violate any of the regulations promulgated by OMB under 5 CFR Part 1320, Section 1320.5.

These standards require the respondents to maintain all records, including reports and notifications for at least five years. This is consistent with the General Provisions as applied to these standards. EPA believes that the five-year records retention requirement is consistent with the Part 70 permit program and the five-year statute of limitations on which the permit program is based. The retention of records for five years allows EPA to establish the compliance history of a source, any pattern of non-compliance and to determine the appropriate level of enforcement action. EPA has found that the most flagrant violators have violations extending beyond five years. In addition, EPA would be prevented from pursuing the violators due to the destruction or nonexistence of essential records.

**3(f) Confidentiality**

Any information submitted to the Agency for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, chapter 1, part 2, subpart B - Confidentiality of Business Information (CBI) (see 40 CFR 2; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979).

**3(g) Sensitive Questions**

The reporting or recordkeeping requirements in these standards do not include sensitive questions.

**4. The Respondents and the Information Requested**

**4(a) Respondents/SIC Codes**

The respondents to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are facilities engaged in metal coil surface coating. The United States Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code for the respondents affected by these standards, and the corresponding North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes are listed below for the metal coil surface coating source category.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS)** | **SIC Codes** | **NAICS Codes** |
| Metal Coating, Engraving (except Jewelry and Silverware), and Allied Services to Manufacturers | 3479 | 332812 |
| Gasket, Packing, and Sealing Device Manufacturing | 3053 | 339991 |
| Iron and Steel Mills and Ferroalloy Manufacturing | 3313 | 331110 |
| Rolled Steel Shape Manufacturing  | 3312 | 331221 |
| Alumina Refining and Primary Aluminum Production | 3334 | 331313 |
| Aluminum Sheet, Plate, and Foil Manufacturing | 3353 | 331315 |
| Other Aluminum Rolling, Drawing, and Extruding | 3355 | 331318 |
| Copper Rolling, Drawing, Extruding, and Alloying | 3341 | 331420 |
| Fabricated Structural Metal Manufacturing | 3441 | 332312 |
| Sheet Metal Work Manufacturing | 3444 | 332322 |
| Prefabricated Metal Building and Component Manufacturing | 3448 | 332311 |
| All Other Miscellaneous Fabricated Metal Product Manufacturing | 3499 | 332999 |
| Blind and Shade Manufacturing | 2591 | 337920 |
| Photographic Film, Paper, Plate, and Chemical Manufacturing | 3861 | 325992 |

**4(b) Information Requested**

**(i) Data Items**

In this ICR, all the data that is recorded or reported is required by the NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal).

A source must make the following reports:

| **Notifications** |
| --- |
| Notification and application of construction or reconstruction, existing sources | 63.5180(b)(1), 63.9(b)(1)-(2) |
| Notification of intent to construct or reconstruct, new or reconstructed sources | 63.5180(b)(2), 63.9(b)(4)-(5) |
| Notification of actual startup, new or reconstructed sources | 63.5180(b)(2), 63.9(b)(4)-(5) |
| Notification of performance tests | 63.5180(c), 63.7(b) 63.9(e) |
| Notification of compliance status | 63.5180(d), 63.9(h) |

| **Reports** |
| --- |
| Report of initial performance test | 63.5180(e), 63.10(d)(2) |
| Reports of startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan | 63.5180(f), 63.6(e)(3), 63.10(d)(5) |
| Semiannual compliance report of no deviation | 63.5180(g), 63.10(d)(5) |
| Semiannual compliance report of deviation | 63.5180(h)(i), 63.10(d)(5) |

A source must keep the following records:

| **Recordkeeping** |
| --- |
| Maintain records of all reports | 63.5190(a)(1)-(4), 63.10(b)(2) |
| Maintain records of startup, shutdown, or malfunction plan | 63.5180(f), 63.10(b)(2) |
| Maintain documentation of corrective action procedures | 63.5180(f) and (h),63.10(b)(2) |

Electronic Reporting

Some of the respondents are using monitoring equipment that automatically records parameter data. Although personnel at the affected facility must still evaluate the data, internal automation has significantly reduced the burden associated with monitoring and recordkeeping at a plant site.

**(ii) Respondent Activities**

| **Respondent Activities** |
| --- |
| Familiarization with the regulatory requirements. |
| Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a coil coating line at their metal coil surface coating plants. |
| Perform initial performance test, Reference Methods 1, 1A, 2, 2A, 2C, 2D, 2F, 2G, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 25, and 25A tests, and repeat performance tests if necessary. |
| Write the notifications and reports listed above. |
| Enter information required to be recorded above. |
| Submit the required reports developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of processing and maintaining information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of disclosing and providing information. |
| Train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information. |
| Transmit, or otherwise disclose the information. |

**5. The Information Collected: Agency Activities, Collection Methodology, and Information Management**

**5(a) Agency Activities**

EPA conducts the following activities in connection with the acquisition, analysis, storage, and distribution of the required information:

| **Agency Activities** |
| --- |
| Review notifications and reports, including performance test reports, and excess emissions reports, required to be submitted by industry. |
| Audit facility records. |
| Input, analyze, and maintain data in the Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) and ICIS.  |

**5(b) Collection Methodology and Management**

Following the notification of startup, the reviewing authority could inspect the source to determine whether the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated. Performance test reports are used by the Agency to discern a source’s initial capability to comply with the emission standards. Data and records maintained by the respondents are tabulated and published for use in compliance and enforcement programs. The semiannual reports are used for problem identification, as a check on source operation and maintenance, and for compliance determinations.

Information contained in the reports is reported by state and local governments in the ICIS Air database, which is operated and maintained by EPA's Office of Compliance. ICIS is EPA’s database for the collection, maintenance, and retrieval of compliance data for industrial and government-owned facilities. EPA uses ICIS for tracking air pollution compliance and enforcement by local and state regulatory agencies, EPA regional offices and EPA headquarters. EPA and its delegated Authorities can edit, store, retrieve and analyze the data.

The records required by this regulation must be retained by the owner/operator for five years.

**5(c) Small Entity Flexibility**

The majority of the respondents are large entities (i.e., large businesses). However, the impact on small entities (i.e., small businesses) was taken into consideration during the development of the regulation. Due to technical considerations involving the process operations and the types of control equipment employed, the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are the same for both small and large entities. The Agency considers these to be the minimum requirements needed to ensure compliance and, therefore, cannot reduce them further for small entities. To the extent that larger businesses can use economies of scale to reduce their burden, the overall burden will be reduced.

**5(d) Collection Schedule**

The specific frequency for each information collection activity within this request is shown below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal).

**6. Estimating the Burden and Cost of the Collection**

Table 1 documents the computation of individual burdens for the recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to the industry for the subpart included in this ICR. The individual burdens are expressed under standardized headings believed to be consistent with the concept of ‘Burden’ under the Paperwork Reduction Act. Where appropriate, specific tasks and major assumptions have been identified. Responses to this information collection are mandatory.

The Agency may neither conduct nor sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

**6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden**

The average annual burden to industry over the next three years from these recordkeeping and reporting requirements is estimated to be 16,100 hours (Total Labor Hours from Table 1 below). These hours are based on Agency studies and background documents from the development of the regulation, Agency knowledge and experience with the NESHAP program, the previously-approved ICR, and any comments received.

**6(b) Estimating Respondent Costs**

**(i) Estimating Labor Costs**

This ICR uses the following labor rates:

Managerial $149.35 ($71.12 + 110%)

Technical $112.98 ($53.80 + 110%)

Clerical $54.81 ($26.10 + 110%)

These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 2017, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry.

**(ii) Estimating Capital/Startup and Operation and Maintenance Costs**

The type of industry costs associated with the information collection activities in the subject standard(s) are both labor costs which are addressed elsewhere in this ICR and the costs associated with continuous monitoring. The capital/startup costs are one-time costs when a facility becomes subject to these regulations. The annual operation and maintenance costs are the ongoing costs to maintain the monitor and other costs such as photocopying and postage.

**(iii) Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs**

| **Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs** |
| --- |
| (A)Continuous Monitoring Device | (B)Capital/Startup Cost for One Respondent | (C)Number of New Respondents  | (D)Total Capital/Startup Cost, (B X C) | (E)Annual O&M Costs for One Respondent | (F)Number of Respondents with O&M | (G)Total O&M,(E X F) |
| Continuous temperature monitoring system | N/A | N/A | $0 | $1,200a | 48 | $57,600 |
| Method 25 or 25A Performance Test | $18,750b | 0 | $0 | N/A | N/A | $0 |
| **Total** |  |  | **$0** |  |  | **$57,600** |

 Note: Totals have been rounded to 3 significant figures. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding.

a According to industry consultation comments received, the O&M cost to maintain continuous temperature measuring monitor is $1,200 per respondent. The cost covers replacement of the temperature sensor each calendar year.

b Costs included for a one-time initial performance test using Method 25 or Method 25A for facilities with control devices. Costs assume that (1) emissions are measured simultaneously at the inlet and outlet of the device to measure destruction efficiency, and (2) emission capture systems meet the design criteria for a permanent total enclosure in EPA Method 204 so that capture efficiency does not need to be measured. Because there are no new sources, it is assumed that no initial performance tests will be conducted over the next three years of the ICR.

The total capital/startup costs for this ICR are $0. This is the total of column D in the above table.

The total operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for this ICR are $57,600. This is the total of column G.

The average annual cost for capital/startup and operation and maintenance costs to industry over the next three years of the ICR is estimated to be $57,600. These are recordkeeping costs.

**6(c) Estimating Agency Burden and Cost**

The only costs to the Agency are those costs associated with analysis of the reported information. EPA's overall compliance and enforcement program includes activities such as the examination of records maintained by the respondents, periodic inspection of sources of emissions, and the publication and distribution of collected information.

The average annual Agency cost during the three years of the ICR is estimated to be $14,700.

This cost is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows:

 Managerial $64.80 (GS-13, Step 5, $40.50 + 60%)

 Technical $48.08 (GS-12, Step 1, $30.05 + 60%)

 Clerical $26.02 (GS-6, Step 3, $16.26 + 60%)

These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 2017 General Schedule, which excludes locality rates of pay. The rates have been increased by 60 percent to account for the benefit packages available to Federal government employees. Details upon which this estimate is based appear below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, subpart SSSS) (Renewal).

**6(d) Estimating the Respondent Universe and Total Burden and Costs**

Based on our research for this ICR, on average over the next three years, approximately 48 existing respondents will be subject to these standards. It is estimated that no additional respondents will become subject to these same standards over the next three-year period. The overall average number of respondents, as shown in the table below, is 48 per year.

The number of respondents is calculated using the following table that addresses the three years covered by this ICR:

| **Number of Respondents** |
| --- |
|  | Respondents That Submit Reports | Respondents That Do Not Submit Any Reports |  |
| Year | (A)Number of New Respondents 1 | (B)Number of Existing Respondents | (C)Number of Existing Respondents that keep records but do not submit reports | (D)Number of Existing Respondents That Are Also New Respondents | (E)Number of Respondents(E=A+B+C-D) |
| 1 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 2 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 3 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Average | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 |

1 New respondents include sources with constructed, reconstructed and modified affected facilities.

Column D is subtracted to avoid double-counting respondents. As shown above, the average Number of Respondents over the three-year period of this ICR is 48.

The total number of annual responses per year is calculated using the following table:

| **Total Annual Responses** |
| --- |
| (A)Information Collection Activity | (B)Number of Respondents | (C)Number of Responses | (D)Number of Existing Respondents That Keep Records But Do Not Submit Reports | (E)Total Annual ResponsesE=(BxC)+D |
| Initial notification | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of construction/reconstruction | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of actual startup | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of compliance status | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance test notification | 0 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance test report | 0 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 |
| Semiannual report of exceedances | 5 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Semiannual report of no exceedances | 43 | 2 | 0 | 86 |
| Startup, shutdown, malfunction report | 5 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
|  |  |  | **Total** | **106** |

The number of Total Annual Responses is 106.

The total annual labor costs are $1,760,000.00 (rounded). Details regarding these estimates may be found below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal).

**6(e) Bottom Line Burden Hours and Cost Tables**

The detailed bottom line burden hours and cost calculations for the respondents and the Agency are shown below in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, and summarized below.

**(i) Respondent Tally**

The total annual labor hours are 16,100 hours. Details regarding these estimates may be found below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal).

We assume that burdens for managerial tasks take 5% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical tasks for managers are to review and approve reports. Clerical burdens are assumed to take 10% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical duties of clerical staff are to proofread the reports, make copies and maintain records.

Furthermore, the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 151 hours per response.

The total annual capital/startup and O&M costs to the regulated entity are $57,600. The cost calculations are detailed in Section 6(b)(iii), Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs.

**(ii) The Agency Tally**

The average annual Agency burden and cost over next three years is estimated to be 313 labor hours at a cost of $14,700; see below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal).

We assume that burdens for managerial tasks take 5% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical tasks for managers are to review and approve reports. Clerical burdens are assumed to take 10% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical duties of clerical staff are to proofread the reports, make copies and maintain records.

**6(f) Reasons for Change in Burden**

There is a decrease in the total estimated burden and the number of responses as currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved Burdens. This decrease is not due to any program changes. Instead, the decrease is a result of an adjustment made to the estimated number of respondents. Based on data from internal Agency experts, we estimate 48 major sources are currently subject to Subpart SSSS. The total number of respondents is significantly reduced since the last ICR renewal based on the delisting of methyl ethyl ketone as a HAP.

**6(g) Burden Statement**

The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average of 151 hours per response. “Burden” means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may neither conduct nor sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA regulations are listed at 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

 To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2014-0078. An electronic version of the public docket is available at <http://www.regulations.gov/>, which may be used to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select “search,” then key in the docket ID number identified in this document. The documents are also available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the docket center is (202) 566-1752. Also, you can send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2014-0078 and OMB Control Number 2060-0487 in any correspondence.

**Part B of the Supporting Statement**

This part is not applicable because no statistical methods were used in collecting this information.

**Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Burden item** | **(A)** | **(B)** | **(C)** | **(D)** | **(E)** | **(F)** | **(G)** | **(H)** |
| **Person hours per occurrence** | **No. of occurrences per respondent per year** | **Person hours per respondent per year (C=AxB)** | **Respondents per year a** | **Technical person- hours per year (E=CxD)** | **Management person hours per year (Ex0.05)** | **Clerical person hours per year (Ex0.1)** | **Total Cost Per year b** |
| 1. Applications | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. Survey and Studies | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. Reporting requirements |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  A. Familiarization with the regulatory requirements a | 4 | 1 | 4 | 48 | 192 | 9.6 | 19.2 | $24,178.27  |
|  B. Required activities |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Initial oxidizer performance test c | 30 | 0.07 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Repeat oxidizer performance test c | 30 | 0.07 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Initial capture performance test, or review design criteria to ensure capture system meets design criteria for a permanent total enclosure (PTE) c, l | 8 | 0.07 | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Repeat capture performance test c, l | 8 | 0.07 | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Emission rate limit compliance determination | 16 | 12 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Startup, shutdown, malfunction plan | 32 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  C. Create information | See 4B  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  D. Gather existing information k | 60 | 1 | 60 | 48 | 2,880 | 144 | 288 | $362,674.08  |
|  E. Write Report |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Initial notification | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Notification of construction /reconstruction | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Notification of actual startup | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Notification of compliance status | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Performance test notification c | 2 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Performance test report c | 40 | 0.07 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Semiannual report of exceedances d, e | 16 | 2 | 32 | 5 | 160 | 8 | 16 | $20,148.56  |
|  Semiannual report of no exceedances f, g | 8 | 2 | 16 | 43 | 688 | 34.4 | 68.8 | $86,638.81  |
|  Startup, shutdown, malfunction report h | 8 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 80 | 4 | 8 | $10,074.28  |
| ***Subtotal for Reporting Requirements*** |   |   |   |   | ***4,600*** | ***$503,714***  |
| 4. Recordkeeping requirements |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  A. Familiarization with the regulatory requirements | See 4B |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  B. Plan activities | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  C. Implement Activities  | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  D. Develop record system | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  E. Time to enter information |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Records of all information required by standards i | 4 | 52 | 208 | 48 | 9,984 | 499.2 | 998.4 | $1,257,270.14  |
|  F. Time to train personnel  | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  G. Time to adjust existing ways to comply with previously applicable requirements | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  H. Time to transmit or disclose information j | 0.25 | 2 | 0.5 | 48 | 24 | 1.2 | 2.4 | $3,022.28  |
|  I. Time for audits | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| ***Subtotal for Recordkeeping Requirements***  |   |   |   |   | ***11,509*** |  ***$1,260,292***  |
| **TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST (rounded)m** |   |   |   |   | ***16,100*** |  ***$1,760,000***  |
| **Total CAPITAL and O&M COST (rounded)m** |   |   |   |   |  |  ***$57,600***  |
| **GRAND TOTAL (rounded)m** |   |   |   |   | ***16,100*** |  ***$1,820,000***  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Assumptions:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a We have assumed that there are approximately 48 respondents, with no additional new or reconstructed sources becoming subject to the rule over the next three years. This ICR assumes each respondent will incur a burden to re-familiarize themselves with the regulatory requirements each year. |
| b This ICR uses the following labor rates: $149.35 per hour for Executive, Administrative, and Managerial labor; $112.98 per hour for Technical labor, and $54.81 per hour for Clerical labor. These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 2017, “Table 2: Civilian Workers, by Occupational and Industry Group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total Compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry. |
| c This is a one-time startup cost associated with initial compliance determination and acquisition, installation, and utilization of technology and systems needed to support recordkeeping and reporting. The one-time startup costs were annualized over the 15-year life of control equipment at 7 percent interest. The number of occurrences per respondent per year is annualized over the 15 year~~s~~ life of the control equipment. Because there are no new sources, no initial performance tests are expected to occur. It is assumed that the facility would contract out the performance testing costs, but some labor hours from facility staff would be involved with coordinating and observing the test and reviewing the results. |
| d We have assumed that exceedances are reported semiannually. |  |  |  |  |  |
| e We have assumed that 10 percent of respondents will report exceedances (48 x 0.1 = 4.8, or 5 respondents, when rounded). |
| f Reports indicating no exceedances are required semiannually. |
| g We have assumed that 90 percent of respondents will report no exceedances (48 x 0.9 = 43.2, or 43 respondents, when rounded). |
| h We have assumed that 10 percent of respondents will file a startup, shutdown, malfunction report semiannually (48 x 0.1 = 4.8, or 5 respondents, when rounded). |
| i We have assumed that all information is entered on a weekly basis. |
| j We have assumed that each of the 48 respondents will take 15 minutes to transmit or disclose information twice a year. |  |
| k Based on comments we received from industry consultation, 60 hrs per respondent is required to gather and evaluate information in preparation of semiannual reports. |
| l We have assumed that emission capture systems meet the design criteria for a permanent total enclosure in EPA Method 204, so that capture efficiency does not need to be measured. |
| m Totals have been rounded to 3 significant figures. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding. |

**Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Metal Coil Surface Coating Plants (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart SSSS) (Renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Activity** | **(A)** | **(B)** | **(C)** | **(D)** | **(E)** | **(F)** | **(G)** | **(H)** |
| **EPA person- hours per occurrence** | **No. of occurrences per plant per year** | **EPA person- hours per plant per year (C=AxB)** | **Plants per year a** | **Technical person- hours per year (E=CxD)** | **Management person-hours per year (Ex0.05)** | **Clerical person-hours per year (Ex0.1)** | **Cost, $ b** |
| Initial performance test | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
| Repeat performance test-retesting preparation | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
| Repeat performance-retesting | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
| Excess emissions enforcement activities | 120 | 1 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
| Review reports |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Notification of applicability | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Notification of construction/reconstruction | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Notification of actual startup | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Notification of special compliance requirements | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Notification of compliance status | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Review of initial performance test report | 8 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Review of repeat performance test report | 8 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0  |
|  Semiannual report of excess emissions c, d | 8 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 80 | 4 | 8 | $4,313.76  |
|  Semiannual report of no excess emissions e, f | 2 | 2 | 4 | 43 | 172 | 8.6 | 17.2 | $9,274.58  |
|  Review of NESHAP waiver application | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Review startup, shutdown, malfunction report g | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 1 | 2 | $1,078.44  |
| **TOTAL (rounded)h** |   |   |   |   | ***313*** | ***$14,700***  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Assumptions:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a We have assumed that there are approximately 48 respondents, with no additional new or reconstructed sources becoming subject to the rule over the next three years. Because there are no new sources, no performance tests are expected to occur.  |
| b This cost is based on the following hourly labor rates increased by 60 percent to account for the benefit packages available to government employees: $64.80 for Managerial (GS-13, Step 5, $40.50 + 60%), $48.08 for Technical (GS-12, Step 1, $30.05 + 60%) and $26.02 Clerical (GS-6, Step 3, $16.26 + 60%). These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) “2017 General Schedule” which excludes locality rates of pay. |
| c It is assumed that 10 percent of respondents will report excess emissions (48 x 0.1 = 4.8, or 5 respondents, when rounded). |
| d It is assumed that reports of excess emissions are required semiannually. |  |  |  |  |
| e We have assumed that 90 percent of respondents will report no excess emissions (48 x 0.9 = 43.2, or 43 respondents, when rounded). |
| f It is assumed that reports of no excess emissions are required semiannually. |  |  |
| g We have assumed that 10 percent of respondents will submit startup, shutdown, malfunction reports to be reviewed (48 x 0.1 = 4.8, or 5 respondents, when rounded). |
| h Totals have been rounded to 3 significant figures. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding. |  |  |