# **Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant Assessment**

Thank you for participating in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC's) assessment of the Preventive Health and Health Services (PHHS) Block Grant. Your participation will help CDC gather important information regarding results and improvements achieved by the PHHS Block Grant from July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019.

Completing the questionnaire is voluntary and will take approximately **45** minutes.

### Instructions

To advance through the questionnaire, please use the Forward (>>) and Back (<<) buttons located in the lower-right corner of each page. Please note that you do not have to complete the questionnaire in one sitting. The data you enter are automatically saved as you progress to each new section; therefore, you may stop and return at a later time if needed. You will also have an opportunity to review and print your responses before submitting. **Your response to the questionnaire is due on MM/DD/2019**.

Throughout the questionnaire, key terms appear in <u>underlined, italicized</u> font. If you hover over a key term with your mouse/pointer, the term's definition will appear in a text box.

# **Technical Support**

For technical support on completing and submitting the questionnaire, please contact Paris Harper-Hardy (pharper-hardy@astho.org/571-527-3162).

For other questions about this questionnaire, please contact the PHHS Block Grant Evaluation Team at <a href="mailto:phhsblockgranteval@cdc.gov">phhsblockgranteval@cdc.gov</a>.

# Use of the Findings from This Assessment

The findings from this assessment will be used to inform CDC of the outputs and cross-cutting outcomes of the PHHS Block Grant, refine existing measures, and/or inform the development of future measures. No personally identifiable information will be collected. Responses will be kept secure, and results will be reported only in aggregate form. Findings will be shared with various stakeholders, including grantees, and might be included in articles and reports that will be made available publicly.

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspects of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to CDC/ATSDR Information Collection Review Office, 1600 Clifton Rd. NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, GA 30333; ATTN: PRA (XXXX-XXXX).

### **Respondent Information** I.

1. Select your PHHS Block Grant-funded jurisdiction from the drop-down menu. [List of 61 grantees]

#### II. **Public Health Infrastructure**

Public health infrastructure includes the organizational capacity (i.e., the systems, workforce, partnerships, and resources) that enables agencies to perform their core functions and provide essential services. Improvements to infrastructure may occur within the grantee health department, either department-wide or within a specific program, or across the grantee jurisdiction's public health system.

This section includes questions related to <u>measure 1.1</u> and <u>measure 1.2</u>, which are focused on two aspects of public health infrastructure respectively: 1) information systems capacity improvement and 2) quality improvement.

# Information Systems Capacity Improved - Measure 1.1

Ple	ase answer	the fol	llowing questions related to <i>information systems</i> that were newly developed, improved,
and	d/or maintai	ned th	rough <u>PHHS Block Grant-funded support</u> during the <u>12-month reporting period</u> .
2.	and/or mai	intena Yes [[	es in your jurisdiction used PHHS Block Grant funds to support development, improvement, nce of one or more information systems?  DISPLAY Q3]  KIP to Q5]
3.	and/or mai	intena Gran	ency in your jurisdiction used PHHS Block Grant funds to support development, improvement nce of one or more information systems? Select all that apply. tee health department health department [DISPLAY 3a]  How many unique local health departments used PHHS Block Grant funds to support development, improvement, and/or maintenance of one or more information systems?
		Triba 3b.	Number of local health departments: I health department [DISPLAY 3b] How many unique tribal health departments used PHHS Block Grant funds to support development, improvement, and/or maintenance of one or more information systems? Number of tribal health departments:
		Local 3c.	organization [DISPLAY 3c] How many <u>unique</u> <u>local organizations</u> used PHHS Block Grant funds to support development, improvement, and/or maintenance of one or more information systems? Number of local organizations:
4.	maintained	by ag	number of <u>unique</u> information systems that were newly developed, improved, and/or gencies within your jurisdiction? Number:e name/title of the newly developed, improved, and/or maintained information system?

	Laboratory data system
	Surveillance system
	Vital events database (e.g., birth, death, fetal death)
	Registry (e.g., cancer registry)
	Performance management system
	Program administration
	Financial management system
	Human capital management system (e.g., human resources, personnel)
	Health information exchange (HIE)
	l Electronic health record (EHR) system
	Public health database (e.g., public facing database)
	Public health digital library (e.g., publications, journals)
	Online mapping system (e.g., GIS)
	Other information system
all	at <b>type of agency</b> developed, improved, and/or maintained this information system? Select that apply.  Grantee health department [DISPLAY Q4a.2a]  Local health department  Tribal health department  Local organization
4a.	2a How many agencies <b>used or had access to</b> the system?
	<ul> <li>□ Number of local health departments:</li> <li>□ Number of tribal health departments:</li> <li>□ Number of local organizations:</li> <li>□ Not sure</li> </ul>
4a.3 Wh	nich of the following <b>best describes</b> how PHHS Block funds were used to support this
	ormation system?
	☐ <u>Initiated</u> development of the new system or module
	☐ <u>Maintained</u> existing system or module
	☐ <u>Enhanced or expanded</u> existing system or module
	☐ <u>Sustained</u> or <u>restored</u> the system or module
Quality Improved -	Moseure 1.2
	llowing questions related to improvements in the <u>efficiency and/or effectiveness</u> of
	s, or services that were achieved through <u>PHHS Block Grant-funded support</u> during the <u>12-</u>
month reporting peri	
· -	es in your jurisdiction used PHHS Block Grant funds to achieve an efficiency and/or
eπectiveness imp	provement for an operation, program, or service through a quality improvement effort?
☐ Yes [DIS	PLAY Q5a]
☐ No [SKIF	to Q6]

4a.1 What type of system was newly developed, improved, and/or maintained?

effectiveness improvement for an operation, program, or service through a question of Select all that apply.	uality improvement effort?
<ul> <li>□ Grantee health department [DISPLAY Q5b]</li> <li>□ Local health department [DISPLAY Q5c]</li> <li>□ Tribal health department [DISPLAY Q5d]</li> <li>□ Local organization [DISPLAY Q5e]</li> </ul>	
5b. What is the <b>total number</b> of <u>unique</u> operations, programs, or services for effectiveness improvement was achieved by <b>your (grantee) health depar</b> grant-funded support? Number:	•
5b.1. What types of improvements were achieved? Select all that app	ly.
☐ Time saved ☐ Reduced number of steps ☐ Costs saved ☐ Costs avoided ☐ Revenue generated due to billable service ☐ Increased staff satisfaction ☐ Organizational design improvements ☐ Quality enhancements of operations, programs, or services ☐ Other (please specify):	
5b.2. Indicate the number of operations, programs, or services that ach	lieved the following
improvements: [Display improvements selected in 5b.1]	
Time saved	Number:
<ul> <li>Reduced number of steps</li> </ul>	Number:
• <u>Costs saved</u>	Number:
<ul> <li><u>Costs avoided</u></li> </ul>	Number:
<ul> <li>Revenue generated due to billable service</li> </ul>	Number:
<ul> <li>Increased staff satisfaction</li> </ul>	Number:
<ul> <li>Organizational design improvements</li> </ul>	Number:
<ul> <li>Quality enhancements of operations, programs, or services</li> </ul>	Number:
• Other [Display specified responses provided in 5b.1]	Number:
<ul> <li>5b.3 For how many operations, programs, or services were PHHS Block</li> <li>Initiate new efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness? Note that it is a many ongoing efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness effort was ongoing from previous reporting period achieved during this reporting period)? Number:</li> <li>Enhance or expand efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness.</li> <li>Sustain or restore efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness.</li> </ul>	Number: ness (i.e., efficiency and/or , but improvement was eness? Number:

5a. What type of **agency** in your jurisdiction used PHHS Block Grant funds to achieve an efficiency and/or

5c.	How many <b>local health departments</b> used PHHS Block Grant funds to achieve an efficiency and/or effectiveness improvement for an operation, program, or service? Number of local health									
	depar	ments:								
	5c.1	5c.1 What is the <b>total number</b> of <u>unique</u> operations, programs, or services for which an efficiency and/or effectiveness improvement was achieved by a <b>local health department</b> in your jurisdiction using PHHS Block grant-funded support? Number:								
	5c.2	What types of improvements were achieved? Select all that apply  Time saved  Reduced number of steps  Costs saved  Costs avoided  Revenue generated due to billable service  Increased staff satisfaction  Organizational design improvements  Quality enhancements of operations, programs, or services  Other (please specify):	7.							
	5c.3	Indicate the number of operations, programs, or services that ach improvements: [Display improvements selected in 5c.2]  Time saved Reduced number of steps Costs saved Costs avoided Revenue generated due to billable service Increased staff satisfaction Organizational design improvements Quality enhancements of operations, programs, or services Other [Display specified responses provided in 5c.2]	Number:							
	5c.4	<ul> <li>For how many operations, programs, or services were PHHS Block Grant funds used to:         <ul> <li><u>Initiate new</u> efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness? Number:</li> <li><u>Maintain</u> ongoing efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness (i.e., efficiency and/or effectiveness effort was ongoing from previous reporting period, but improvement was achieved during this reporting period)? Number:</li> <li><u>Enhance or expand</u> efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness? Number:</li> <li><u>Sustain</u> or <u>restore</u> efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness? Number:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
5d	effe	r many <b>tribal health departments</b> used PHHS Block Grant funds to ctiveness improvement for an operation, program, or service? Numartments:	•							
	5d.1	What is the <b>total number</b> of unique operations, programs, or serve and/or effectiveness improvement was achieved by a <b>tribal health</b> jurisdiction using PHHS Block grant-funded support? Number:	department in your							

	☐ Time sa	ved			
	☐ Reduce	d number of steps			
	☐ Costs so	<u>ived</u>			
	☐ Costs av	<u>voided</u>			
	☐ Revenu	e generated due to bil	lable service		
	☐ Increase	ed staff satisfaction			
	Organiz	ational design improve	ements		
	Quality	enhancements of ope	rations, programs, or se	ervices	
	☐ Other (¡	olease specify):			
5d.3	Indicate the r	number of operations,	programs, or services t	hat achieved	the following
	improvement	S: [Display improvements s	selected in 5d.2]		
	• Time sa	ved			Number:
	<ul> <li>Reduce</li> </ul>	d number of steps			Number:
	• Costs so	<u>ıved</u>			Number:
	• Costs a	<u>voided</u>			Number:
	• Revenu	e generated due to bil	lable service		Number:
	• Increase	ed staff satisfaction			Number:
	<ul> <li>Organiz</li> </ul>	ational design improv	ements		Number:
	<ul> <li>Quality</li> </ul>	enhancements of ope	rations, programs, or so	ervices	Number:
	• Other	Display specified responses	provided in 5d.2]		Number:
5d.4	For how mar	ny operations, progran	ns, or services were PHI	HS Block Gra	nt funds used to:
	• <u>Initiate ne</u>	<u>ew</u> efforts to improve o	efficiency and/or effect	iveness? Nui	mber:
	• <u>Maintain</u>	ongoing efforts to imp	rove efficiency and/or	effectivenes	s (i.e., efficiency and/or
	effectiver	ness effort was ongoing	g from previous reporti	ng period, b	ut improvement was
	achieved	during this reporting p	eriod)? Number:		
	• Enhance	<u>or expand</u> efforts to im	prove efficiency and/o	r effectivene	ess? Number:
	• <u>Sustain</u> o	r <u>restore</u> efforts to imp	rove efficiency and/or	effectivenes	s? Number:
50 How ma	ov local organ	nizations used DUUS D	lock Grant funds to ach	iovo an offic	ioney and/or
	-		program, or service? N		•
	<u> </u>	,			
5e.1		•			s for which an efficiency
		•	t was achieved by a <b>loc</b>	_	ion in your jurisdiction
	using PHHS	Block grant-funded su	pport? Number:		
5e.2	What <b>types</b>	of improvements we	re achieved? Select all t	that apply.	
	☐ Time sa	ved			
	☐ Reduce	d number of steps			
	☐ Costs sa	<u>ived</u>			
	☐ Costs av	<u>roided</u>			

5d.2 What types of improvements were achieved? Select all that apply.

		Revenue generated due to billable service	
		Increased staff satisfaction	
		Organizational design improvements	
		Quality enhancements of operations, programs, or services	
		Other (please specify):	
		cate the number of operations, programs, or services that achiev	ved the following
	-	rovements: [Display improvements selected in 5e.2]	N. I
	•	Time saved	Number: Number:
	•	Reduced number of steps	
	•	<u>Costs saved</u> <u>Costs avoided</u>	Number:
	•	Revenue generated due to billable service	Number: Number:
	•	Increased staff satisfaction	Number:
	•	Organizational design improvements	Number:
	•	Quality enhancements of operations, programs, or services	Number:
	•	Other [Display specified responses provided in 5e.2]	Number:
a p U	• <u>l</u> • <u>l</u> • <u>s</u> Was an esta in <b>agency</b> t	how many operations, programs, or services were PHHS Block Galnitiate new efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness? Naintain ongoing efforts to improve efficiency and/or effectiveness effectiveness effort was ongoing from previous reporting period achieved during this reporting period)? Number:	Number: ness (i.e., efficiency and/or , but improvement was eness? Number: ness? Number: Lean/Six Sigma) used by
<u>effec</u>	<u>tiveness</u> of	the following information for <b>one example</b> of an improvement in an operation, program, or service for which a deliberate and deed by an <b>agency</b> :	
5f.1.	Name/tit	le of the operation, program, or service:	
5f.2.	Specific is	ssue being addressed through quality improvement:	
5f.3.	Quality in	nprovement method used:	
5f.4.	Brief desc	cription of the efficiency and/or effectiveness achieved:	

<u>funded support</u> during the <u>12-month reporting period</u>, please answer the following question focused on national standards and accreditation. 6. How did your health department use PHHS Block Grant funds to address national standards or conduct accreditation-related activities as established by the Public Health Accreditation Board (PHAB)? Select all that apply. ☐ PHHS Block Grant funds not used in this way ☐ Paid for PHAB fees ☐ Hired staff to support accreditation-related activities (e.g., performance improvement manager, accreditation coordinator) ☐ Worked to meet and/or maintain performance against the standards (including prerequisites, key plans, and processes described through the standards) ☐ Provided support to local health department(s) to pay for PHAB fees Provided support to local health department(s) to hire staff to support accreditation-related activities (e.g., performance improvement manager, accreditation coordinator) ☐ Provided support to local health department(s) to meet and/or maintain performance against the standards ☐ Provided support to tribal health department(s) to pay for PHAB fees Provided support to tribal health department(s) to hire staff to support accreditation-related activities (e.g., performance improvement manager, accreditation coordinator) ☐ Provided support to tribal health department(s) to meet and/or maintain performance against the standards ☐ Other (please specify): \_\_\_\_\_ **Emerging Needs** III. Emerging needs are public health issues that are beginning to present themselves as problems within the grantee's jurisdiction. They can affect the jurisdiction as a whole or specific areas within the jurisdiction, such as counties, tribes, and cities. This section includes questions related to <u>measure 2.1</u>, which is focused on all types of emerging public health needs. **Emerging Public Health Needs Addressed - Measure 2.1** Please answer the following questions related to emerging public health needs that were addressed through PHHS <u>Block Grant-funded support</u> during the <u>12-month reporting period</u>. 7. Have any agencies in your jurisdiction used PHHS Block Grant funds to support an effort to address an emerging public health need? ☐ Yes [DISPLAY Q7a] ■ No [SKIP to Q8] 7a. What is the total number of <u>unique</u> emerging public health needs that were addressed by agencies in

To help us learn more about public health infrastructure improvements achieved through PHHS Block Grant-

your jurisdiction through PHHS Block Grant-funded support?
Number of unique emerging public health needs: \_\_\_\_\_

7b.	What is	the <b>name/title</b> of the <b>emerging public health need</b> that was ad	dressed?						
	7b.1	How would you characterize this emerging public health need?							
		☐ Newly developing							
		☐ Newly prioritized							
	7b.2	How was this emerging public health need identified? Select al	l that apply.						
		☐ Conducted, monitored, or updated a jurisdiction health asse	essment (e.g., state health						
		assessment)							
		☐ Conducted a topic- or program-specific assessment (e.g., tobacco assessment,							
		environmental health assessment)							
		☐ Identified via surveillance systems or other data sources							
		<ul><li>Prioritized within a strategic plan</li></ul>							
		☐ Declared as an emergency within your jurisdiction							
			Governor (or other political leader) established as a priority						
		Legislature established as a priority							
		☐ Tribal government/elected official established as a priority							
		☐ Other (please specify):							
	7b.3	What <u>Healthy People 2020 health topic area</u> best aligns with the emerging need? Select the							
		health topic area that was the primary focus for the emerging	need.						
		1-Access to health services							
		2-Adolescent health							
		3-Arthritis, osteoporosis, and chronic back conditions							
		4-Blood disorders and blood safety							
		5-Cancer							
		6-Chronic kidney disease							
		7-Dementias, including Alzheimer's disease							
		8-Diabetes							
		9-Disability and health							
		10-Early and middle childhood							
		11-Educational and community-based programs							
		12-Environmental health							
		13-Family planning							
		14-Food safety							
		15-Genomics							
		16-Global health							
		17-Health communication and health information technology							
		18-Health-related quality of life and well-being							
		19-Healthcare-associated infections							
		20-Hearing and other sensory or communication disorders							
		21-Heart disease and stroke							
		22-HIV							

	23-Immunization and infectious diseases		
	24-Injury and violence prevention		
	25-Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender health		
	26-Maternal, infant, and child health		
	27-Medical product safety		
	28-Mental health and mental disorders		
	29-Nutrition and weight status		
	30-Occupational safety and health		
	31-Older adults		
	32-Oral health		
	33-Physical activity		
	34-Preparedness		
	35-Public health infrastructure		
	36-Respiratory diseases		
	37-Sexually transmitted diseases		
	38-Sleep health		
	39-Social determinants of health		
	40-Substance abuse		
	41-Tobacco use		
	42-Vision		
	43-Emergency medical services		
	44-Rape or attempted rape		
7b.4	What was the focus of the emerging need?		
	☐ Health-related (e.g., health risk factors, disease outcom	mes) [DISPLAY 7b.4a - 4c]	
	☐ Organizational or systems-related (e.g., agency infrastr	ructure)	
	7b.4a What was the geographic area affected by this emerg	ging need?	
	☐ Jurisdiction-wide: Entire grantee jurisdiction	-	
	Regional: More than one city, county, borough	n, etc. but not jurisdictio	n-wide
	☐ Local: Limited to one city, county, borough, etc	с.	
	☐ Not sure		
	7b.4b What was the size of the population potentially affect Number:	cted by this emerging no	eed?
	7b.4c Please provide a brief description of how the populat	tion estimate was identi	fied.
7b.5	Which of the following <b>best describes</b> how PHHS Block Graefforts to address this emerging need?	ant funds were used to s	upport
<u>Initiat</u>	ted a new effort to address the emerging public health need		
<u>Maint</u>	tained an existing effort to address the emerging public healt	th need	
<u>Enhar</u>	nced or expanded an existing effort to address the emerging	public health need	
<u>Sustai</u>	<u>ined</u> or <u>restored</u> an effort to address the emerging public hea	alth need	

## VI. Evidence-Based Public Health

Evidence-based public health practice involves implementing effective interventions. It also includes both building and using evidence (i.e., data and information) to assess and define public health needs, prioritize public health needs for action, and determine the effectiveness of interventions.

This section includes questions related to <u>measure 3.1</u>, which is focused on a key aspect of evidence-based public health practice—implementing evidence-based public health interventions.

# Evidence-Based Public Health Interventions Implemented - Measure 3.1 Please answer the following questions related to *public health interventions* that were implemented (i.e., delivered for the first time, ongoing, or completed) through PHHS Block Grant-funded support during the 12month reporting period. 8. Have any agencies in your jurisdiction used PHHS Block Grant funds to support implementation of public health interventions? ☐ Yes [DISPLAY 8a] ■ No [SKIP to Q9] 8a. What is the total number of unique public health interventions that were implemented by agencies in your jurisdiction through PHHS Block Grant-funded support? Number of unique public health interventions: \_\_\_ 8a.1 Through the use of PHHS Block Grant funds, how many public health interventions were: • <u>Newly initiated?</u> Number: \_\_\_\_\_ (If none, enter a zero.) • <u>Maintained</u>? Number: \_\_\_\_\_ (If none, enter a zero.) • <u>Enhanced or expanded</u>? Number: \_\_\_\_\_ (If none, enter a zero.) • <u>Sustained or restored</u>? Number: \_\_\_\_\_ (If none, enter a zero.) 8b. What type of agency in your jurisdiction used PHHS Block Grant funds to support implementation of public health interventions? Select all that apply. ☐ Grantee health department [DISPLAY 8b.1] ☐ Local health department [DISPLAY 8c.1] ☐ Tribal health department [DISPLAY 8d.1] ☐ Local organization [DISPLAY 8e.1] 8b.1 What is the total number of unique public health interventions that were implemented by your (grantee) health department through PHHS Block Grant-funded support? Number of unique public health interventions:

8b.2 Which <u>Healthy People 2020 health topic areas</u> were addressed by the public health interventions implemented by **your (grantee) health department**? Select each health topic area that was

3-Arthritis, osteoporosis, and chronic back conditions

identified as the primary focus for an intervention.

1-Access to health services

4-Blood disorders and blood safety

2-Adolescent health

5-Cancer

6-Chronic kidney disease	Ш
7-Dementias, including Alzheimer's disease	
8-Diabetes	
9-Disability and health	
10-Early and middle childhood	
11-Educational and community-based programs	
12-Environmental health	
13-Family planning	
14-Food safety	
15-Genomics	
16-Global health	
17-Health communication and health information technology	
18-Health-related quality of life and well-being	
19-Healthcare-associated infections	
20-Hearing and other sensory or communication disorders	
21-Heart disease and stroke	
22-HIV	
23-Immunization and infectious diseases	
24-Injury and violence prevention	
25-Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender health	
26-Maternal, infant, and child health	
27-Medical product safety	
28-Mental health and mental disorders	
29-Nutrition and weight status	
30-Occupational safety and health	
31-Older adults	
32-Oral health	
33-Physical activity	
34-Preparedness	
35-Public health infrastructure	
36-Respiratory diseases	
37-Sexually transmitted diseases	
38-Sleep health	
39-Social determinants of health	
40-Substance abuse	
41-Tobacco use	
42-Vision	
43-Emergency medical services	
44-Rape or attempted rape	

8b.3 For each *Healthy People 2020* health topic area addressed, how many of the public health interventions implemented **by your (grantee) health department** were supported by rigorous, <u>strong</u>, <u>moderate</u>, <u>weak</u>, or <u>no evidence</u>?

	Rigorous	Strong	Moderate	Weak	No Evidence	Not
in 8b.2]				(If >0, display	(If >0, display	

			8b.3a - 8b.3l	b) 8b.3a - 8b.3b)	Sure
				,	Jule
8b.3a	How many of the public	health intervention	ons with either <u>wea</u>	<u>k</u> or <u>no evidence</u>	were
	untested, new, and/or <u>ir</u>	nnovative?			
8b.3b	For how many of the pu				
	were data or information			ition's effectiver	ness at
	achieving intended outc	omes?			
2 - 4 - 34th - 4 to 4h	And all assembles as a formal assemble	l. II l lal			. 1 1
	e <b>total number</b> of <u>unique</u> p <b>artments</b> through PHHS Bl				
interventic	<del>-</del>	iock Grant-Turiueu	support: Number	or arrique public	. Health
interventio					
8c 2 Which Heal	thy People 2020 health top	nic areas were ado	dressed by the nubl	ic health interve	ntions
	ed by <b>local health depart</b> r				
	focus for an intervention				
1-Acces	s to health services				
2-Adole	scent health				
3-Arthr	tis, osteoporosis, and chro	nic back condition	ns 🚨		
4-Blood	disorders and blood safet	у			
5-Cance	r				
6-Chror	ic kidney disease				
7-Deme	ntias, including Alzheimer	's disease			
8-Diabe	tes				
9-Disab	lity and health				
10-Early	and middle childhood				
11-Educ	ational and community-ba	ased programs			
12-Envi	ronmental health				
13-Fam	ly planning				
14-Food	l safety				
15-Gen	omics				
16-Glob	al health				
17-Hea	th communication and hea	alth information to	echnology 🔲		
18-Hea	th-related quality of life ar	nd well-being			
19-Hea	thcare-associated infection	ns			
20-Hea	ing and other sensory or c	communication dis	sorders $\Box$		
21-Hea	t disease and stroke				
22-HIV					
23-Imm	unization and infectious d	iseases			
24-Injui	y and violence prevention				
25-Lesb	ian, gay, bisexual, and trar	nsgender health			
26-Mat	ernal, infant, and child hea	ılth			
27-Med	ical product safety				

	28-Mental health and mental disorders 29-Nutrition and weight status 30-Occupational safety and health 31-Older adults 32-Oral health 33-Physical activity 34-Preparedness 35-Public health infrastructure 36-Respiratory diseases 37-Sexually transmitted diseases 38-Sleep health							
	39-Socia 40-Subs 41-Toba 42-Visio	al determinants of he stance abuse acco use on				_ _ _ _		
	43-Emergency medical services 44-Rape or attempted rape							
8c.3	interver <u>modera</u>	h Healthy People 202 ntions implemented I <u>te, weak,</u> or <u>no evide</u>	oy local hea nce?	ilth depa	rtments wer	re supported	by <u>rigorous, str</u>	ong,
8c.2]	a on nealth	topic areas selected in	Rigorous	Strong	Moderat e	Weak (If >0, display 8c.3a - 8c.3b)	No Evidence (If >0, display 8c.3a - 8c.3b)	Not Sure
	8c.3a 8c.3b	How many of the puntested, new, and For how many of the were data or informachieving intended	d/or <u>innova</u> hese public mation colle	tive? health in	terventions letermine th	with either <u>w</u> ne interventio	r <u>eak</u> or <u>no evide</u>	ence
he	ealth dep	e <b>total number</b> of <u>uni</u> artments through Ph ons:				-	-	
in	nplement ne primar 1-Acces 2-Adole 3-Arthri	Ithy People 2020 hear red by tribal health d y focus for an interve s to health services escent health itis, osteoporosis, and disorders and blood	epartments ention.  d chronic ba	? Select	each health	-		

5-Cancer	
6-Chronic kidney disease	
7-Dementias, including Alzheimer's disease	
8-Diabetes	
9-Disability and health	
10-Early and middle childhood	
11-Educational and community-based programs	
12-Environmental health	
13-Family planning	
14-Food safety	
15-Genomics	
16-Global health	
17-Health communication and health information technology	
18-Health-related quality of life and well-being	
19-Healthcare-associated infections	
20-Hearing and other sensory or communication disorders	
21-Heart disease and stroke	
22-HIV	
23-Immunization and infectious diseases	
24-Injury and violence prevention	
25-Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender health	
26-Maternal, infant, and child health	
27-Medical product safety	
28-Mental health and mental disorders	
29-Nutrition and weight status	
30-Occupational safety and health	
31-Older adults	
32-Oral health	
33-Physical activity	
34-Preparedness	
35-Public health infrastructure	
36-Respiratory diseases	
37-Sexually transmitted diseases	
38-Sleep health	
39-Social determinants of health	
40-Substance abuse	
41-Tobacco use	
42-Vision	
43-Emergency medical services	
44-Rape or attempted rape	

8d.3 For each *Healthy People 2020* health topic area addressed, how many of the public health interventions implemented **by tribal health departments** were supported by <u>rigorous</u>, <u>strong</u>, <u>moderate</u>, <u>weak</u>, or <u>no evidence</u>?

[Populate list in 8d.2]	pased on health topic areas selected	Rigorous	Strong	Moderate	Weak (If >0, display 8d.3a - 8d.3b)	No Evidence (If >0, display 8d.3a - 8d.3b)	Not Sure
	8d.3a How many of the untested, new, a	-		ntions with e	either <u>weak</u> o 	r <u>no evidence</u> v	vere
	8d.3b For how many of were data or info	ormation col	lected to	determine th	ne interventio	<u> </u>	
8e.1	What is the <b>total number</b> of <u>u</u> <b>organizations</b> through PHHS I Number of unique public hea	Block Grant-1	funded su	pport?	that were im <sub>l</sub>	olemented by l	ocal
8e.2	Which <u>Healthy People 2020 h</u> implemented by <u>local organiz</u> primary focus for an interven	zations? Sele					
	1-Access to health services						
	2-Adolescent health						
	3-Arthritis, osteoporosis, a	nd chronic b	ack cond	itions			
	4-Blood disorders and bloo						
	5-Cancer						
	6-Chronic kidney disease						
	7-Dementias, including Alz	heimer's dis	ease				
	8-Diabetes						
	9-Disability and health						
	10-Early and middle childh	ood					
	, 11-Educational and comm		programs				
	12-Environmental health	,	. 0				
	13-Family planning						
	14-Food safety						
	15-Genomics						
	16-Global health						
	17-Health communication	and health i	nformatio	n technology	y 🗖		
	18-Health-related quality						
	19-Healthcare-associated		J				
	20-Hearing and other sens	ory or comn	nunication	n disorders			
	21-Heart disease and strok	-					
	22-HIV						
	23-Immunization and infe	ctious diseas	es				
	24-Injury and violence pre	vention					
	25-Lesbian, gay, bisexual,	and transger	nder healt	h			

	26-Mat	ernal, infant, and ch	ild health						
	27-Medical product safety 28-Mental health and mental disorders				_ _ _				
		ition and weight sta							
	30-Occupational safety and health								
		er adults							
	32-Oral								
		sical activity							
	•	aredness							
	•	ic health infrastruct	ure			_			
	36-Resp	oiratory diseases							
		, Ially transmitted disc	eases						
		, p health							
		' al determinants of h	ealth						
		stance abuse					_		
	41-Toba	acco use							
	42-Visio						_		
	43-Eme	rgency medical serv	ices						
		e or attempted rape							
[Populate list base in 8e.2]	<u>modera</u>	ntions implemented te, weak, or no evid topic areas selected	-	ganizatio Strong	<u>ns</u> were supp Moderate	Weak (If >0, display 8e.3a - 8e.3b)	No Evidence (If >0, display 8e.3a - 8e.3b)	Not Sure	
	8e.3a 8e.3b	How many of the untested, new, and For how many of were data or informachieving intende	d/or <u>innove</u> these public mation coll	ative? health in	nterventions determine th	with either <u>w</u> e interventio	<u>eak</u> or <u>no evide</u>	<u>ence</u>	
funded support dubuilding the evide  9. How did your health? Select	iring the note base health dall that a	It evidence-based po 12-month reporting for public health an epartment use PHH pply. Int funds not used in	<i>period</i> , plea d 2) making S Block Gra	ase answe evidence	er the followi e-based decis	ng questions ions.	focused on 1)		
☐ Condu			,						

	assessment)
	Analyzed or monitored surveillance or other types of data
	Provided support to local health department(s) to conduct, monitor, or update a community health
	assessment
	Provided support to local health department(s) to conduct a topic- or program-specific assessment
	(e.g., tobacco assessment, environmental health assessment)
	Provided support to local health department(s) to analyze or monitor surveillance or other types of data
	<b>Provided support to tribal health department(s)</b> to conduct, monitor, or update a tribal health assessment
	Provided support to tribal health department(s) to conduct, monitor, or update a tribal community
	health assessment
	Provided support to tribal health department(s) to conduct a topic- or program-specific assessment
	(e.g., tobacco assessment, environmental health assessment)
	Provided support to tribal health department(s) to analyze or monitor surveillance or other types of
	data
	<b>Provided support to local organization(s)</b> to conduct, monitor, or update a community health assessment
	Provided support to local organization(s) to conduct a topic- or program-specific assessment (e.g.,
	tobacco assessment, environmental health assessment)
	Other (please specify):
all that	
	PHHS Block Grant funds not used in this way
	Developed or updated a jurisdiction's health improvement plan (e.g., state health improvement plan) based on a jurisdiction health assessment (e.g., state health assessment)
	Developed or updated a community health improvement plan based on a community health assessment
_	Developed or updated a topic- or program-specific action plan
	Provided support to local health department(s) to develop or update a community health improvement
	plan
	Provided support to local health department(s) to develop or update a topic- or program-specific action
	plan
	Provided support to tribal health department(s) to develop or update a tribal health improvement plan
	based on a tribal health assessment
	Provided support to tribal health department(s) to develop or update a tribal community health
	improvement plan
	Provided support to tribal health department(s) to develop or update a topic- or program-specific
	action plan
	Provided support to local organization(s) to develop or update a community health improvement plan
	Provided support to local organization(s) to develop or update a topic- or program-specific action plan
	Other (please specify):

☐ Conducted a topic- or program-specific assessment (e.g., tobacco assessment, environmental health

You have reached the end of the survey. On the next page, you will be given an opportunity to review and print your responses. [Forward button on this page reads "Review Your Responses >>"]

[Summary response page] You have not yet submitted your responses. Please review your responses to the survey below. You may also print a copy of your responses for your records.

If you are finished reporting your data, please scroll to the bottom of this page and **click the forward (>>) button to submit the questionnaire**. You will not be able to return to the questionnaire after submitting your responses. To revise a response after submission, please contact Paris Harper-Hardy (pharper-hardy@astho.org/571-527-3162).

## Thank you!

[After submission page] Thank you for taking the time to participate in this assessment of the PHHS Block Grant. Please contact the PHHS Block Grant Evaluation Team at <a href="mailto:phhsblockgranteval@cdc.gov">phhsblockgranteval@cdc.gov</a> if you have any questions. Also, please feel free to provide any feedback about this questionnaire, the process used to collect/report the required information, or the measures in general to <a href="mailto:phhsblockgranteval@cdc.gov">phhsblockgranteval@cdc.gov</a>.

# Definition of key terms by survey section—for use in "hover over" function

### **Overall survey**

- 12-month reporting period: July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019.
- **PHHS Block Grant-funded support:** Use of PHHS Block Grant funds, in any amount, to directly fund, provide staff for, or provide technical assistance to support an activity.
- Local organizations: Governmental and non-governmental entities within a grantee's jurisdiction that
  receive support from the grantee to implement public health efforts in support of communities within that
  jurisdiction. Types of local organizations include community-based organizations, schools, faith-based
  organizations, community health centers, and medical clinics. Local organizations do not include local
  health departments.
- Initiate new public health efforts: Develop and implement new programs, services, and activities that address public health needs that were previously not funded, either due to lack of available funds or an absence of funding allotted to the need.
- Maintain existing public health efforts: Support established programs, services, and activities from year to year.
- Enhance or expand existing public health efforts: Enhance an effort by refining and improving its quality or expand an effort by adding components or outreach to additional populations.
- Sustain public health efforts: Continue an effort without disruptions after original funding for the effort has ended.
- **Restore public health efforts:** Reinstate or rebuild an effort that was significantly disrupted or had ended due to loss of original funding.
- Healthy People 2020 health topic area(s): The 42 health topic areas designated by Healthy People 2020. Note: Two additional categories—"emergency medical services" and "rape or attempted rape"—are included in the Block Grant Management Information System as health topic areas that grantees can select to identify the focus of their work.

## **Information Systems Capacity Improved**

- Measure 1.1: Number of state, territorial, tribal, and local agencies whose capacity to collect or enhance
  data that provide information of public health importance was improved or maintained through the use of
  PHHS Block Grant funds.
- **Information systems:** Systems that provide the ability to collect, store, protect, process, manage, analyze, use, and communicate information.
- Information of public health importance: Any data that provide insight into health, health inequities, contributing factors or causes of health challenges, and/or potential policy, public health, or community solutions. These are data that are needed for the planning, implementation, and evaluation of public health practice.

### **Quality Improved**

• **Measure 1.2:** Number of state, territorial, tribal, and local agencies in which the efficiency or effectiveness of operations, programs, or services was improved through the use of PHHS Block Grant funds.

- **Costs avoided:** Reduction in future costs due to innovations in, or changes to, process or program implementation or service delivery. The difference between the documented costs after implementation of a quality improvement effort and the predicted costs before the effort was implemented.
- Costs saved: Reduction in existing costs of completing a process, implementing a program, or delivering a
  service. The difference between the documented costs after implementation of a quality improvement
  effort and the costs that occurred before the effort was implemented.
- Efficiency and/or effectiveness (i.e., quality improvements): Improvements in programs, operations, or services that result in reductions in the amount of resources required for implementation (i.e., efficiency) or in a greater ability to achieved agency or program goals through improved delivery of programs or services or implementation of organizational processes (i.e., effectiveness).
- **Public Health Accreditation Board (PHAB):** A nonprofit organization dedicated to advancing the continuous quality improvement of state, territorial, tribal, and local public health departments.

# **Emerging Needs Addressed**

- Measure 2.1: Number of emerging public health needs that were addressed through the use of PHHS Block Grant funds.
- **Emerging public health needs:** Public health needs within a grantee's jurisdiction that are newly developing or newly prioritized.
- **Newly developing:** A public health need that is newly arisen; exists, but has developed new characteristics; or has re-emerged.
- **Newly prioritized:** A public health need that has been known to the grantee but lacked funding or support; is new to the public health field; or has new expectations for a public health response.

### **Evidence-Based Public Health Interventions Implemented**

- **Measure 3.1:** Number of evidence-based public health interventions implemented through the use of PHHS Block Grant funds.
- **Build the evidence base for public health:** Produce new or strengthen existing data and information that are used to 1) define public health needs and 2) determine the effectiveness of interventions at achieving intended outcomes.
- **Public health intervention(s):** Any type of planned activity (e.g., program, service, policy) designed to prevent disease or injury or promote health in a group of people.
- Innovative: Incorporating novel, creative thinking around new or existing programs or services.
- **Evidence-based decision making**: The use of data and information to prioritize public health needs and approaches for addressing those public health needs.
- Rigorous: Healthy People 2020 rating criteria for strength of evidence (Rating category 4)—Formal, comprehensive, and systematic review of all relevant literature (i.e., published intervention evaluations or studies that have evidence of effectiveness, feasibility, reach, sustainability, and transferability). Examples of sources of evidence qualifying as rigorous include The Guide to Community Preventive Services, the US Preventive Services Task Force, and systematic reviews published in peer-reviewed journals.

- **Strong:** Healthy People 2020 rating criteria for strength of evidence (Rating category 3)—An informal, non-comprehensive, non-systematic review of some but not all relevant literature (i.e., multiple published evaluations or studies that have evidence of effectiveness, feasibility, reach, sustainability, and transferability). Examples of sources of evidence qualifying as strong include non-systematic reviews published by the federal government and non-systematic reviews published in peer-reviewed journals.
- Moderate: Healthy People 2020 rating criteria for strength of evidence (Rating category 2) —At least one
  published evaluation or study, with peer review, that has evidence of effectiveness, feasibility, reach,
  sustainability, and transferability. Examples of sources of evidence qualifying as moderate include journal
  articles of individual studies, published intervention research, and published pilot studies.
- Weak: Healthy People 2020 rating criteria for strength of evidence (Rating category 1) —At least one unpublished evaluation or study without peer review, that has evidence of effectiveness, feasibility, reach, sustainability, and transferability. Examples of sources of evidence qualifying as weak include unpublished intervention research, pilot studies, case studies, and field-based summaries.
- **No evidence:** No evaluation or study either peer reviewed or non-peer reviewed, that has evidence of effectiveness, feasibility, reach, sustainability, and transferability. New and/or innovative interventions would most likely have no evidence established.