REQUEST FOR DETERMINATION OF RESEARCH STATUS

To be completed by the staff member with lead responsibility for the project and approved by branch chief (if applicable) and Division ADS. A separate PGO funding memo is required if project is research and involves human subjects regardless of the CDC staff role.

Instructions: **(1) Use this form to declare: (a) the research status of any project, (b) role or roles of CDC staff**

(2) A short summary should be attached offering specific details about the project and the role of staff.

(3) Be sure to complete all applicable items, obtain appropriate signatures and submit this form for approval.

Tracking Number: TBD/TBD/TBD

(Use PGO number if cooperative agreement, grant, etc.)

Date submitted: 01/29/2015

Title of Project: CDC Worksite Health Scorecard Evidence Review and Update

Dates for project period:

Beginning: 09/30/2015

Ending: 03/31/2018

Dates for funding (if applicable):

Beginning:

Ending:

Project is (choose one):

NOTE: Revision, as used below, refers to any substantive change made to the project including scope of project, funding restrictions, personnel, role of CDC staff member, determination of research status, etc.

[X] **New** [ ] **Revision**

[ ] **Continuation, without revision(s)** **[ ] Continuation, with revision(s)**

Lead staff member:

Name: Jason Lang

User ID: BZL0

Scientific Ethics number: 5935

Contact information:

Division: DPH

Telephone: 770-488-5597

Mailstop: F78

Please indicate your role(s) in this project:

[X] **Project officer** [ ] **Technical monitor**

[ ] **Principal investigator** [ ] **Investigator**

[ ] **Consultant** [ ] **Other (please explain)**

1. Are any or all of the activities within this project DESIGNED to contribute to generalizable knowledge (i.e., research)?

[ ] **YES** [X] **NO**

If YES, list those activities which are research:

2. Is this CDC project research or public health practice (check all that apply)?

[ ] **Research**

Check one:

[ ] **Human subjects involved**

[ ] **Human subjects not involved**

[X] **Public health practice**

Check all that apply:

[ ] **Emergency Response** [ ] **Surveillance**

[X] **Program evaluation** [ ] **Other (please explain)**

3. If RESEARCH involving human subjects, has the project or research activities been reviewed by the CDC IRB for human subjects protection?

a. [ ] **NO, New project, not yet reviewed**

b. [ ] **NO, Existing project, not ready to submit**

c. [ ] **NO, Submitted for approval**

d. [ ] **YES, Reviewed and approved by CDC**

If YES, please list protocol number and expiration date

e. [ ] **NO, RESEARCH, no CDC investigators (CDC IRB not required)**

f. [ ] **N/A (Not Applicable)**

If RESEARCH, list any other CDC staff involved in this project, please include the name, role, and scientific ethics number

Name	Role (project officer, investigator, consultant, etc.)	Scientific ethics number Prin
Jason Lang		5935

IF YOU THINK THE RESEARCH PROJECT MIGHT QUALIFY AS EXEMPT RESEARCH (as identified in 45CFR46.101), PLEASE ANSWER questions 4-6, OTHERWISE SKIP TO question 7.

4. Does the proposed research involve prisoners?

[ ] **YES** **If YES, this research cannot be exempted and must be reviewed by an IRB (skip to question 7).**

[ ] **NO**

5. Does the proposed research involve fetuses, pregnant women, or human in vitro fertilization as targets (such that Subpart B would apply)?

[ ] **YES** **If YES, this research cannot be exempted and must be reviewed by an IRB (skip to question 7).**

[ ] **NO**

Educational Research

6.1 Is this research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, AND does the research involve normal educational practices (e.g., research on regular and special education strategies or research on the effectiveness of, or comparison among instrucational techniques, curricula or classroom management methods)?

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO**

Research Involving Surveys, Interview Procedures (including Focus groups), Observation of Public Behavior, or Educational Tests

6.2 Will this research use educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior?

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO** **If NO skip 6.3**

Will children (<18 years of age) be research subjects?

[ ] **YES** **If YES, this research cannot be exempted and must be reviewed by an IRB (skip to item 7)**

[ ] **NO**

6.2.1 Is the information obtained recorded in such a manner that human subjects can be identified directly or indirectly through identifiers (such as a code) linked to the subjects;

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO**

6.2.2 Will any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside of the research setting have the potential to place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability, or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability or reputation? (Examples here may include: the collection of sensitive data regarding the subjects' (or relatives' or associates') possible substance abuse, sexuality, criminal history or intent, medical or psychological condition, financial status, or similarly compromising information).

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO**

6.3 Will this research use educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior but the research is not exempt under paragraph 6.2 of this section:

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO** **If NO skip to 6.4**

6.3.1 Will this research involve human subjects that are elected or appointed public officials or candidates for public office?

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO**

6.3.2 Does federal statute(s) require(s) without exception that confidentiality of the personally identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter? (Note: CDC can use this exemption criterion only in the case where a 308(d) Assurance of Confidentiality has been obtained to cover the research).

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO**

Existing Data Which Is Publicly Available or Unidentifiable

6.4 Does this research involve only the collection or study of existing* data, documents, records, pathological or diagnostic specimens? (* 'existing' means existing before the study begins)?

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO** **If NO skip to 7**

6.4.1 Is this material or information publicly available?

[ ] **YES** [ ] **NO**

6.4.2 Is this material or information recorded in such a manner by the investigator that the subjects cannot be identified directly or indirectly through identifiers linked to the subjects?

(Note: If a link is created by an investigator even temporarily, for research purposes, this criterion is not met. If a temporary link is created by clinical staff who already have access to the data, this criterion is met).

[ ] **YES** **(there are no identifying information and no unique identifiers or codes)YES**

[ ] **NO** **(there are identifiers (including codes))**

7. Please prepare and attach a short summary paragraph (<1 page); if this is new:

a. Be sure to include the purpose of the project, specific details about the project and the role of the CDC staff member (s) in the project. In explaining one's role as a consultant be particularly careful to identify involvement in things like: study design decisions, oversight of protocol development, participation in review of data collection procedures, and particpation in data analysis and/or manuscript preparation, as well as whether there will be access to identifiable or personal data.

b. Explain your project status selection (research--non-exempt, exempt, no CDC investigator or not involving human subjects; public health practice). If you selected research not involving human subjects be sure to indicate if the data includes any personal information (e.g., name, SSN), linkable study identification numbers or codes, or geographical information.

One of the strengths of the CDC Worksite Health Scorecard is that the strategy and intervention questions posed in it are based on evidence and are validated. This requires a regular review and update to ensure that the science-base for the effectiveness and health impact of the strategies contained in the Scorecard are current and valid. The Scorecard has also demonstrated some initial success as a useful assessment and planning tool by employers and organizations that work to support employer-based health promotion and protection programs. This has generated interest in examining potential areas or topics to be added to the Scorecard. The purpose of this project is to do a complete review and update as necessary to the CDC Worksite Health Scorecard topic areas, individual questions, evidence-base, and weighted scores as well as developing new Scorecard modules using the same methodology as previous versions. This project will build on the existing Scorecard methods and content and ensure that the Scorecard can continue to be viewed as a credible instrument of practical use to employers and practitioners who are developing or enhancing workplace health promotion and protection programs.
The project is designated public health practice. This is an existing evidence based tool under going reviewed. The organizational level data that will be reviewed through literature and epxert input will be used to determine the effectiveness and health impact of the interventions that are available to employers to implement at worksites. The knowledge generated will probably not be generalizable in all workplace settings. No individual employee data will be collected for this project.
This is a contract where CDC NCCDPHP staff will participate mostly as the contracting officers representative (COR). The methods proposed will be those of the contract in accordance to the tasks specified in the requirement. CDC will provide oversight and surveillance of the contractor's progress. CDC will have regular communications with the contractor to provide input and technical guidance but will not be involved in overall operations, data collection or analysis. CDC will receive monthly and annual progress reports.

8. Please list the primary project site and all collaborating site(s).

Explanation of project components:

9. If project involves research that is funded extramurally, list amount of award that should be restricted pending IRB approval and describe which project components will be affected, if known:

Approvals (signature and position title)	**Date**	**Research Determination / Remarks**
Jason Lang - TEAM LEAD-WORKSITE HLTH PROGRAMS staff member completing this form	01/29/2015	[X] Public health practice [ ] Research not involving human subjects [ ] Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) [ ] Local IRB [ ] CDC Exemption [ ] CDC IRB Comments:

-	01/30/2015	[X] Public health practice [ ] Research not involving human subjects [ ] Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) [ ] Local IRB [ ] CDC Exemption [ ] CDC IRB
Team Lead		Comments: Approved, Mehran
Kurt Greenlund - EPIDEMIOLOGIST	02/03/2015	[X] Public health practice [ ] Research not involving human subjects [ ] Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) [ ] Local IRB [ ] CDC Exemption [ ] CDC IRB
Division ADS		Comments:
Shanna Cox - Associate Director for Science	02/09/2015	[X] Public health practice [ ] Research not involving human subjects [ ] Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt [ ] Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) [ ] Local IRB [ ] CDC Exemption [ ] CDC IRB
CUC ADS, Deputy ADS, or Human Subjects Contact		Comments: