Key Partner

Introduction

PIMPAC is a network of partners committed to improving capacity for ecosystem-based management throughout the Pacific island region. The purpose of this survey is to better understand the degree of impact PIMPAC has had over the past 10+ years in building capacity toward effective site-based management that ultimately contributes to positive social and ecological changes. It also aims at examining challenges to overcome, successes to build on, and ways to improve PIMPAC moving into the next 10 years.

PIMPAC was developed, designed, and updated over time to reflect partner needs and input. This survey was designed to understand your perceptions and opinions of PIMPAC. There are no right or wrong answers. Results of this survey will be shared with you by PIMPAC Coordinators and will feed into the next phase of strategic planning. Your total honesty and constructive criticism is both appreciated and critical to help improve PIMPAC.

I will read questions and write the answers for you. None of your personal information will be included in the survey results. The data we collect today will be considered private and kept securely. The results may be used in a report and/or publication, and your input will be aggregated with other surveys. You do not have to answer any question that you don't want to, and you may stop whenever you want. Also, for any questions that you don't feel you have the knowledge to answer, you can skip that question and we will mark it as "no knowledge to assess". Your risk in participating in this study is minimal. The questionnaires will be destroyed after the data analysis is completed.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 75 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other suggestions for reducing this burden to Michael Lameier, NMFS, Pacific Islands Regional Office 1845 Wasp Blvd., Building 176 Honolulu, HI 96818.

(Statement on protection of information, if applicable, to be inserted here unless already elsewhere on the form). Notwithstanding any other provisions of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subjected to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

Privacy Act Statement

Authority: The collection of this information is authorized under the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-562; 16 U.S.C. 6401 et seq.

Purpose: The purpose of the evaluation survey is for NOAA to better understand the effectiveness of the capacity development efforts of the Pacific Islands Managed and Protected Area Community, as known as PIMPAC. NOAA's Coral Reef Conservation Program has provided partial funding via grants to PIMPAC since 2010.

NOAA Routine Uses: NOAA will use this information to understand your perceptions and opinions of PIMPAC. Results of this survey will be shared with respondents by PIMPAC Coordinators and will feed into the next phase of strategic planning. Disclosure of this information is permitted under the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. Section 552a) to be shared among NOAA staff for work-related purposes. Disclosure of this information is also subject to all of the published routine uses as identified in the Privacy Act System of Records Notice Commerce/NOAA-11, Contact Information for Members of the Public Requesting or Providing Information Related to NOAA's Mission.

Disclosure: Furnishing this information is voluntary; however, failure to participate in the survey will provide less information for use in this endeavor.

Talk Story Time - How did you get involved in PIMPAC? (TAKE BULLETED NOTES)

Category 1: Quality of Approach that PIMPAC Uses for Capacity Development

These first questions are about the "big picture" of PIMPAC. We are examining if PIMPACs overall approach to capacity development for effective site based and ecosystem based management is the most strategic one possible. Over the past 10+ years PIMPAC's carried out capacity development using the following approaches 1) training and technical support on various topics, 2) learning exchanges, 3) coordination and leveraging funds, and 4) partnership building with academic institutions.

| de | velop a | nd stre | ngthen s | ite-base | ed mana | | apacity | in the P | acific re | gion. | designed o Ranking is f | - | |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--|-----------|----------|--------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|----------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | a. T | raining | and Tecl | nnical Su | upport | | | | | | | | |
| Not | 0 at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Moderately | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Highly | DK | NKA |
| | b. Le | earning | Exchang | ges | | | | | | | | | |
| Not d | 0 at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Moderately | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Highly | DK | NKA |
| | c. C | oordina | ation and | Levera | ging Fur | nds | | | | | | | |
| Not a | 0 at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Moderately | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Highly | DK | NKA |
| | d. P | artners | hip Build | ing with | n Acader | mic Institu | tions | | | | | | |
| 、 Not | 0 at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Moderately | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Highly | DK | NKA |
| | | _ | | | | gned and Pacific Isla | - | | - | | lop and stre | engther | n site- |
| Le | earning pordina | Exchar tion an | chnical S nges nd Levera n Acader | ging Fur | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | developme velopmen | | | | | gion, to wha | at exte | nt is the |
| Not a | 0 et all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 N | 5 Ioderately | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Fully | DK | NKA |

Explain your answer:

Not Effective at all

CAPTURE IN BULLET POINTS FOR EACH SPECIFIC IDEA UNLESS THERE IS A DIRECT QUOTE. AVOID TAKING NOTES PARTIALLY OR INACCURATELY. OR RECORD AND TRANSCRIBE!

| 3. | Are there | any oth | ner appro | oaches t | hat PIM | IPAC shou | uld use | for capa | city de | velopme | nt? | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| 4. | To what e | | | | | trategic p | olan, to | plan and | l desigr | n training | g and ted | chnical ass | sistance or |
| Ν | 0 lot at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 So | 5 omewhat | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Fully | D/K | NKA |
| C2: | Efficiency | of inpu | t to prod | duce out | tputs | | | | | | | | |
| pla par des bei | e next set n and imp tners. *** sired or int ng not eff | lement For the tended fective | t capaci e purpo result". at all an | ty deve se of th Please d 10 be | elopmen is surve e use th eing ver | nt activit ey, the te e follow y effecti | ies, ind erm "E ing sca ve. | cluding of ffective" ale to an | clarity is defi swer t | of the roned as ' | oles of v 'success' wing qu | various P sful in pro uestions v | IMPAC oducing a with 0 |
| 5. | How effec | ctively h | as PIMP | AC com | municat | ion and o | coordin | ation sup | oporte | d capacit | y develc | pment ac | tivities? |
| Not | 0 Effective at | 1 : all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 Very Effe | 10 ective | D/K | NKA |
| 6. | How effect | - | | AC Coor | rdinatio | n and Cor | mmuni | cation rai | ised aw | /areness | of differ | ent PIMP | AC |
| ٨ | 0 lot Effective | 1 at all | 2 | 3 | 4 ! | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 Very Eff | 10 ective | D/K | NKA |
| 7. | How effect | - | | | | | mmuni | cation fo | stered | collabora | ation am | nong advis | sors & |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |

Effective

D/K

Very Effective

NKA

| | | | | | | | | | | | OT READ CHO CKED ANSWE | |
|--------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------|-------------|--------|------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| | More of Improve More of Sharing | coording face-to- updates funding coording ved PIM training g more g more | ation ca face me about suppor ation of PAC We videos about t about t | ills eetings PIMPAG t for co fundin ebsite raining raining | Cactivitie ordinatio g for capa opportur impleme | n and co acity de nities ntation | velopme and resu | ent ults | | | | |
| NOTES | <u>:</u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. W | So Lis | cial me st serve, ebsite ewslette ce-to-fa | dia / Email ers | | nethods f | | ving info | ormation | ı aboı | it PIMPAC? | | |
| 10. To | what ex | ktent ar | e the ro | oles of t | he follow | ing PIM | IPAC con | nmunity | partr | ners clearly u | ınderstood? | |
| a) | NOAA | PIMPAG | C Coordi | inator: | (If asked | who, ex | plain it i | s Mike L | ameie | er) | | |
| 0 Not Cle | 1 ar at all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Clear | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Clear | D/K | NKA |
| b) | МСТ Р | IMPAC | Coordin | ator: (I | f asked w | ho, exp | lain it is | Betty Sig | grah) | | | |
| 0 | 1 ar at all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Clear | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Clear | D/K | NKA |

| c, | PIMPA | C Jurisd | ictional | Team: : | (If ask | ed who, e. | xplain th | iey are <mark>X</mark> | <mark>(X</mark>) | | | | |
|--------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|---------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Not CI | 0 ear at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Clear | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Clear | D/K | NKA |
| d |) PIMPA | C Mento | ors: (If a | sked wh | о, ехр | lain they a | re <mark>XX</mark>) | | | | | | |
| Not CI | 0 ear at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Clear | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Clear | D/K | NKA |
| e |) PIMPA | C Advis | ors: (If a | sked wh | о, ехр | lain they a | re <mark>XX</mark>) | | | | | | |
| Not Cl | 0 ear at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Clear | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Clear | D/K | NKA |
| f) | | C Partn MMA, <mark>??</mark> | | orks and | Orgai | nizations: | (If asked | who, ex | plain it i | is N | OAA, MCT, TN | NC, DOI | , Rare, |
| Not CI | 0 ear at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Clear | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Clear | D/K | NKA |
| 11. H | low effect | ive are | PIMPAC | Coordin | ators | at coordin | ating ca | pacity de | evelopm | nent | ? | | |
| Not Cl | 0 ear at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Clear | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very Clear | D/K | NKA |
| li | | s the re | gional a | | | | | | | | ance effective Wongbusard | - | |
| Not E | 0 ffective at | 1 all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 Ve | 10 ry Effective | D/K | NKA |
| | | | | | | | | | | | sistance effect aathan, Mark | | |
| Not E | 0 fective at a | 1 all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 Ve | 10 ry Effective | D/K | NKA |

| Or ac th | n average what tivities (i.e. cap | amount pacity de punt of re | of functions of the second of | ling an ent/str s that o | d in-kind su ategic plan | upport activit | does you ies)? Th | ur orgar rough t | VIDE INFO DURII nization contribut his question we h s collectively. [RI | e to PIM ope to u | PAC nderstand |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|
| | ess than \$500 \$500 - \$1000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$1001 - \$5000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$5001 - \$10,00 | 00 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Greater than \$ | 10,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| C3: Re | sults and Out | comes fr | om PIM | IPAC A | ctivities | | | | | | |
| manag | ement, and fa | ctors tha | t have f | ostere | d or hinder | ed effe | ctivenes | ss. For t | ing capacity for s the purpose of t ended result". | | |
| | ow effective ha | ve each | of the fo | ollowir | ng been <i>at i</i> | mprovi | ng capa | city for | site-based mana | gement ii | ı your |
| | n g and Technic Management p 0 1 | | | g and T 4 | echnical Su 5 | pport 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 | | |
| Not Effe | ective at all | | | | Effective | | | | Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| b) <i>i</i> | Adaptive Mana | igement | Trainin | g and 1 | echnical Su | ıpport | | | | | |
| Not Effe | 0 1 ective at all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Socio-economi | | _ | _ | | | | o | 0 10 | | |
| 0 Not Effe | 1 ective at all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| d) I | Marine Biologi | cal Moni | toring T | raining | and Techn | nical Su | pport | | | | |
| ۱ ۵٫ | 0 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 | | |
| Not Effe | ective at all | | | | Effective | | | | Verv Effective | D/K | NKA |

| e) Terrestrial Biol 0 1 Not Effective at all | _ | _ | ining and Ted 5 Effective | chnical : 6 | Support 7 | 8 | 9 10 Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
|--|---|------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|-------|----------|
| f) Enforcement & | c Complianc | e Training | | | | | | | |
| 0 1 Not Effective at all | 2 | 3 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| g) Climate Chang | e Adaptatio | n Training | and Technic | al Supp | ort | | | | |
| 0 1 Not Effective at all | 2 | 3 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| h) Management E | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | : (MPAMI | E) Training a | nd Tech | nical Sur | port | | | |
| 0 1 Not Effective at all | | | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| i) Ecosystem App | oroach to Fis | heries Ma | nagement T | raining | and Tech | nnical Su | ıpport | | |
| 0 1 Not Effective at all | | | 5 Effective | 6 | | 8 | 9 10 Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| j) Strategic Comr | munications | /Rehavior | · Change Tra | ining an | nd Techni | ical Suni | nort | | |
| 0 1 | | | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 | | |
| Not Effective at all | | | Effective | | | | Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| k) Learning Exch | anges | | | | | | | | |
| 0 1 | _ | 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 | | |
| Not Effective at all | | | Effective | | | | Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| l) Leveraging Fui | nds for capa | city devel | opment | | | | | | |
| 0 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 | D /// | NUZA |
| Not Effective at all | | | Effective | | | | Very Effective | D/K | NKA |
| m) Partnership Bu | ilding with | Academic | Institutions | ; | | | | | |
| 0 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 10 | D /// | . |
| Not Effective at all | | | Effective | | | | Very Effective | D/K | NKA |

Overall Capacity Development

16. How effective has PIMPAC been at improving capacity for site-based management overall **IN YOUR JURISDICTION**?

| 0 lot Effective a | 1 at all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 Very | 10 Effective | D/K | NKA |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| .7. How effe | ective h | as PIMPA | C been a | at impro | oving capa | acity fo | r site-ba | sed mar | nageme | nt overall _. | IN THE R | <u>:EGION</u> ? |
| 0 lot Effective a | 1 at all | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Effective | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 Very | 10 Effective | D/K | NKA |
| .8. To what integrati | | | | | | _ | - | | _ | ement app planning a | | |
| 0 Io Extent | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 Mod | 5 erate Exte | 6 nt | 7 | 8 | 9 Hig | 10 h Extent | D/K | NKA |
| | ment? | DO NOT | READ C | HOICES | . CAPTUR | RE NOT | ES FIRST | , THEN (| CHECK 1 | g capacity THE THREE E CORREC | THAT A | |
| Flex | ormal Ap kibility in | proach . | ; & tech | | to respo | nd to e | merging | needs | | | | |
| On- | ionally going su | based mou | entors f trainers | s/tech s | upport n NOAA/N | MCT/TN | ۱C | | | | | |
| | rning ex | changes | _ | | n | | | | | | | |
| Sha Too | ring info lkits/Gu | uidance n | /exampl naterials | les/case | studies b | | - | | | | | |
| enforcer | | | _ | _ | | ssistan | it areas (| i.e. man | agemer | nt planning | g, SEM, | |
| | ategic pl | nd Strate ans to gu sessmen | ide activ | | ns | | | | | | | |
| | ween co | ships/Tru oordinato oordinato | ors and f | | i | | | | | | | |

| | Between advisors and local teams |
|-----|--|
| | Between mentors and local teams |
| | Between teams and communities |
| | All of the above |
| | Strong network/links between people and organizations |
| | Community commitment/involvement |
| | Other: |
| | Other ? Describe |
| | : Describe |
| NO | TES: |
| 20. | What are the top three barriers that have hindered PIMPAC progress (i.e. developing capacity for improving |
| | management effectiveness)? [DO NOT READ CHOICES. CAPTURE NOTES FIRST, THEN CHECK THE THREE |
| | THAT APPLY AND CONFIRM THE CHECKED ANSWERS ARE CORRECT WITH THE INTERVIEWEE.] |
| | High staff turnover |
| _ | Night staff turnover Small number of organization staff |
| | Lack of follow-up after training |
| | Not enough training/technical support |
| | Lack of communication among partners |
| _ | Lack of political will to support necessary capacity |
| _ | Lack of funding |
| | Lack of organizational capacity |
| | Poor telecommunication infrastructure |
| _ | Limited in person interaction with coordinators |
| _ | Limited in person interaction with mentors/advisors |
| _ | Limited in person interaction with partner organizations |
| | Other? |
| NO. | TFC. |
| NO | TES: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The | following questions are about training and technical support provided by PIMPAC advisors and mentors |
| 0.4 | |
| 21. | Please rate the following statements from 0 -10 with 0 being not at all and 10 being greatly, or Don't know (D/K): |
| a) | PIMPAC trainings and technical support lead to direct use of skills in participants' jobs |
| | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | Not at all Somewhat Greatly D/K NKA |

| b) | PIMPAC tra | _ | | - | - | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| | 0 Not at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Somewhat | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Greatly | D/K | NKA |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 2. 23.3.7 | 2, | |
| | Outcomes ectiveness, | | | | AC A | ctivities to | Long Te | rm Impa | cts (C | Conserva | tion target | s, Mana | agement |
| | s section of mately the | - | | | | | | - | _ | nagemen | t of natura | l resour | ces, and |
| 22. | In your opi | inion, to | what ex | tent has | PIM | PAC contril | outed to | a positiv | e cha | ange in th | e following | g? | |
| a) | Local team | ı's capac | ity to im | plement | effe | ctive site-b | ased ma | nageme | nt | | | | |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |
| No (| Contribution | | | | Μ | loderately | | | Very | High Con | tribution | D/K | NKA |
| b) | Manageme | ent of pr | otected | areas | | | | | | | | | |
| • | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |
| No (| Contribution | | | | Μ | loderately | | | Very | High Con | tribution | D/K | NKA |
| c) No (| Ecosyste 0 Contribution | m-based 1 | l manage 2 | ement 3 | 4 M | 5 Ioderately | 6 | 7 | 8 Very | 9 High Con | 10 tribution | D/K | NKA |
| | 0 " | | 1 101 | | | | | | | | | | |
| d) | Overall eco | osystem 1 | nealth 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |
| No (| Contribution | _ | _ | • | - | loderately | - | · | | High Con | | D/K | NKA |
| | Natures be 0 Contribution | enefits to 1 | people 2 | (e.g. foo | 4 | pastal prote 5 Ioderately | ection, cu 6 | ultural id 7 | 8 | y, clean w 9 High Con | 10 | D/K | NKA |
| f) C | ommunity r | esilience | e to the i | impacts | of cli | mate chan | ge and/c | r decline | e in n | atural res | ources | | |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |
| No (| Contribution | | | | M | Ioderately | | | Very | High Con | tribution | D/K | NKA |

Inity well-being

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

1 Moderately Very High Contribution D/K NKA

Community well-being

g)

No Contribution

| h) | Evidence - F contributed | | | | gh ratii | ngs (9/10), | , please | share ar | n examp | le o | f how PIMPAC h | nas | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------|------------|-----------|------|-----------------------------------|----------|------|
| C 5. | Adaptive Ca | anacity (| (monito | ring eva | duatio | n adantat | tion and | d learnir | ng) | | | | |
| CJ. | Auaptive Co | αρατιτή | (IIIOIIIIO | ilig, eva | iluatio | ii, auaptai | lion, and | a icariiii | 16/ | | | | |
| | | | | | | • | _ | | | | strategic plann gaps and emerg | - | es. |
| 23. | PIMPAC ass | | | | | | kills befo | ore and | after PIN | /IP/ | AC activities (i.e. | trainin | gs |
| | 0 Never | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 metimes | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Always | D/K | NKA |
| 24. | and technic | cal supp | ort | | | | | | | | ment outcomes | of trai | ning |
| | 0 Never | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 Soi | 5 metimes | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Always | D/K | NKA |
| 25. | To what ex | | es PIMP/ | AC take s | steps to | o ensure e | fficiency | of oper | ations a | nd | effectiveness at | achievi | ng |
| No | 0 Extent | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 Mode | 5 rate Extent | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very High Extent | D/K | NKA |
| 26. | To what ex manageme | | the PIM | 1PAC stra | ategic | planning p | rocess b | oeen effe | ective at | su | oporting adaptiv | ⁄e | |
| No | 0 Extent | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 Mode | 5 rate Extent | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very High Extent | D/K | NKA |
| 27. | To what ex strategic ap | | | ring the | results | of PIMPA | .C activit | ies beer | n used to | su | pport adaptatio | n of the | 9 |
| No | 0 Extent | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 Mode | 5 rate Extent | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Very High Extent | D/K | NKA |
| 28. | To what excommunity | | lessons | docume | ented a | ınd shared | in a ma | nner tha | at promo | ote | s learning by the | PIMPA | C |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |

No Extent Very High Extent D/K NKA

*IF ANSWER IS 9 OR 10 PROVIDE EXAMPLE IF POSSIBLE. EXAMPLE:

| 29. PIMPAC has focused on the following capacity deve medium, or low priority for PIMPAC members in the | - | in the first 10+ | years. For each, rate high |
|--|------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Management planning | High | Medium | Low |
| Adaptive Management | High | Medium | Low |
| Socio-economic Monitoring | High | Medium | Low |
| Biological Monitoring | High | Medium | Low |
| Enforcement & Compliance | High | Medium | Low |
| Climate Change Adaptation | High | Medium | Low |
| Management Effectiveness (MPAME) | High | Medium | Low |
| Ecosystem Approaches to Fisheries Managemen | - | Medium | Low |
| Strategic Communications and Behavior Change | _ | Medium | Low |
| Other (please specify) | High | Medium | Low |
| 1 2 30. Based on your experience with PIMPAC over time, effectiveness in the coming years? <i>Open-Ended</i> | | — n be taken and a | applied to improve |
| Demographic Information | | | |
| 31. Gender: ☐ Male ☐ Female | | | |
| 32. Island of Residence? : ☐ American Samoa ☐ CNMI ☐ Hawaii ☐ Guam | | | |

| □ RMI |
|--|
| ☐ Kosrae |
| ☐ Pohnpei |
| ☐ Chuuk |
| □ Yap |
| □ Palau |
| □ DC |
| 33. Other are outside of PIMPAC: |
| |
| 34. Where have you worked work? CHECK ALL THAT APPLY: |
| ☐ American Samoa |
| CNMI |
| ☐ Hawaii |
| Guam |
| □ RMI |
| Kosrae |
| Pohnpei |
| Chuuk |
| □ Yap |
| □ Palau |
| |
| ☐ Other are outside of PIMPAC: |
| 35. How long have you been involved in PIMPAC? years. |
| |
| |
| END OF FORMAL SURVEY |

OPTIONAL: Talk Story: Identify any exceptional experiences that should be highlighted regarding what worked and didn't work (e.g. case-studies, stories, good practices)