OMB Control Number: XXXX-XXXX

The National Study of the Implementation of Adult Education Under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act

Request for Clearance

Appendix A: State Survey

May 2019



1000 Thomas Jefferson Street NW Washington, DC 20007-3835 202.403.5000 www.air.org

National Study of the Implementation of Adult Education



National Assessment of Adult Education

Adult Education State Director Survey

Instructions: Please answer questions about THE PROGRAM YEAR JULY 1, 2018 TO JUNE 30, 2019.

Information collected for this study comes under the confidentiality and data protection requirements of the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002, Title I, Part E, Section 183. Responses to this data collection will be used only for statistical purposes. The reports prepared for this study will provide state-level information on the implementation of adult education. Although your name will not be released, data displayed by state will be attributed to the state agency and could be attributed to an individual respondent by readers of the report.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1850-XXXX. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time needed to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: Melanie Ali, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, 550 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20004. Do not return the completed form to this address.

Key Terms

In the survey we refer to specific terms from the federal legislation for adult education and workforce development —WIOA. These are listed here so that all state directors are applying the same definition to these terms.

Adult Education and Literacy Activities: Programs, activities, and services that include adult education, literacy, workplace adult education and literacy activities, family literacy activities, English language acquisition activities, integrated English literacy and civics education, workforce preparation activities, or integrated education and training.

Core Partners: The state agencies defined as core partners in your unified or combined state plan that are responsible for administering adult education and training activities, and employment and vocational rehabilitation services under WIOA.

Integrated Education and Training (IET): A service approach that provides adult education and literacy activities concurrently and contextually with workforce preparation activities and workforce training for a specific occupation or occupational cluster for the purpose of educational and career advancement.

Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE; Sec. 243): A service provided to English language learners that includes instruction in literacy and English language acquisition and instruction on the rights and responsibilities of citizenship and civic participation and may include workforce training.

One-Stop Centers (also called American Job Centers or Career Centers): Local, co-located employment service providers that offer a range of assistance to job seekers. One-Stops offer access to training programs and activities, career counseling, job listings, and similar employment-related services either in person or online.

Workforce Development: Activities and services made available through the core program partners and other One-Stop partners to support employment and training.

Workforce Preparation Activities: Activities, programs, or services designed to help an individual acquire a combination of basic academic skills, critical thinking skills, digital literacy skills, and employability skills. This includes competencies in utilizing resources, using information, working with others, understanding systems, and obtaining skills necessary for successful transition into and completion of postsecondary education or training, or employment.

Workforce Development Partners: *State* workforce development partners include all those identified in your unified or combined state plan, and other state-level agencies or organizations that support workforce development (e.g., through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF], postsecondary education, or other resources). *Local* workforce development partners include local workforce development boards, One-Stop/American Job Center operators, employers, postsecondary education and training providers, and other local agencies or organizations that support workforce development.

Funding and Grantmaking

Q1. For what program year did your state hold its most recent funding competition for providers of adult education and literacy under Title II (AEFLA)?
20 <u> </u> Year
Q2. What was the total number of years in the funding cycle for the most recent competition, including any base and optional years? □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
Q3. Does your state hold a separate funding competition for integrated English literacy and civics education (IELCE) offered by providers of adult education and literacy under Sec. 243 of Title II (AEFLA)?
[Hover over text: the term "Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education" (IELCE; Sec. 243) means a service provided to English language learners that includes instruction in literacy and English language acquisition and instruction on the rights and responsibilities of citizenship and civic participation and may include workforce training.] □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
Q4. For what program year did your state hold its most recent funding competition for IELCE? 20 Year
 Q5. What was the total dollar amount your state's adult education program received from governmental and other sources (e.g., foundations) to support Title II adult education and literacy activities and related state leadership activities from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019? Include full state and local funding and other state line item funding, including funds beyond those
reported for federal match. [Hover over text: The term "adult education and literacy activities" means programs, activities, and services that
include adult education, literacy, workplace adult education and literacy activities, family literacy activities, English language acquisition activities, integrated English literacy and civics education, workforce preparation activities, or integrated education and training.]
Enter dollar amount. \$ _ _ , _ , _ .00

Partnerships and Collaborations

Q6. To what extent did you or your adult education staff work on policies, plans, or activities related to workforce development with each of the entities below from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

• In each row, please select the one response that indicates the highest level of collaboration.

[Hover over text in cell Q6a: The state's workforce development board is established under WIOA Sec. 101. It may have a different name in your state.]

		From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019			
	Not at all	We discussed policies or plans	We drafted policies or plans	My program or this entity implemented the activities or policies	This entity does not exist in my state
a. State Workforce Development Board (including subcommittees or working groups)					
b. State labor agency (independent of a workforce development board)					
c. State vocational rehabilitation agency (independent of a workforce development board)					
d. Postsecondary education providers (independent of a workforce development board)					
e. Local Workforce Development Boards (including subcommittees or working groups)					
f. Businesses, employers or representatives (e.g., Chamber of Commerce) (independent of a workforce development board)					
g. Other, please specify:					

Q7. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, did you or your adult education staff participate in any of the following ways with workforce development entities?

• The state's workforce development board is established under WIOA Sec. 101. It may have a different name in your state.

[Q7a-c will not appear if the response to Q6a is "This partner does not exist in my state".]

	Yes	No
a. Served as the official representative for adult education on the state's workforce development board (WDB)		
b. Served as an official member on any subcommittees or working groups of the state's WDB		
c. Participated in the state's WDB or subcommittee/working group activities but did not serve as an official member		
d. Participated in working groups or collaborations with core partners independent of state WDB activities (core partners are those listed in your unified or combined state plan)		
e. Participated in working groups or collaborations that included postsecondary education providers <i>independent of</i> state WDB activities		
f. Attended meetings of one or more <i>local</i> WDBs or any of their subcommittees or working groups		
g. Participated in business roundtables or other types of working groups that include employers at the state or local level		

Collaborating with State Workforce Development Partners

Q8. To what extent did you or your adult education staff work with any state workforce development partners on policies, plans, or activities related to **establishing and administering a shared workforce development system** from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019? Specifically, to what extent did you work together on...

- State workforce development partners include all those identified in your unified or combined state plan, and other state-level agencies or organizations that support workforce development (e.g., through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF], postsecondary education, or other resources). This excludes local entities, such as local workforce development boards.
- In each row, please select the one response that indicates the highest level of collaboration.

	Fro	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019			
	Not at all	We discussed policies or plans	We drafted policies or plans	My program or our partner(s) implemented the activities or policies)	
Supporting state-level staff training (e.g., training on each partner's roles and responsibilities under WIOA or training on shared data systems)					
b. Putting agreements in place to operate and fund the local workforce development (One-Stop) delivery system (e.g., for infrastructure cost sharing)					
c. Putting agreements in place to provide shared instructional services (e.g., for sharing the cost of instructional programming, for co-enrollment policies and procedures)					
d. Aligning technology and data systems across One-Stop partner programs (e.g., using a common intake system)				0	

Q9. To what extent did you or your adult education staff work with any state workforce development partners on policies, plans, or activities related to **providing adult education and literacy instructional programming** from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019? Specifically, to what extent did you work together on...

In each row, please select the one response that indicates the highest level of collaboration.

[Hover over text: State workforce development partners include all those identified in your unified or combined state plan, and other state-level agencies or organizations that support workforce development (e.g., through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF], postsecondary education, or other resources). This excludes local entities such as local workforce development boards.]

	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019			
	Not at all	We discussed policies or plans	We drafted policies or plans	My program or our partner(s) implemented the activities or policies
a. Identifying or developing programming designed to enhance digital literacy skills				
b. Identifying or developing short-term programs to assist participants with transitioning to occupational skills training programs (e.g., bootcamps)				
c. Identifying or developing short-term programs to assist participants with transitioning to post- secondary programs (e.g., bridge courses)		0		
d. Identifying or developing strategies to accelerate instruction (e.g., through compressed schedules)			0	
e. Identifying or developing strategies to reduce the time or cost to earn a recognized postsec- ondary credential				
f. Developing Integrated Education and Training programs				
g. Providing English language acquisition (ELA/ ESL) instruction for participants				
h. Expanding the focus of ELA/ESL programs to include preparation for postsecondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)				

Q10. To what extent did you or your adult education staff work with any state workforce development partners on policies, plans, or activities related to **providing accessibility and support services** from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019? Specifically, to what extent did you work together on...

In each row, please select the one response that indicates the highest level of collaboration.

[Hover over text: State workforce development partners include all those identified in your unified or combined state plan, and other state-level agencies or organizations that support workforce development (e.g., through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF], postsecondary education, or other resources) This excludes local entities such as local workforce development boards.

	Fr	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019			
	Not at all	We discussed policies or plans	We drafted policies or plans	My program or our partner(s) implemented the activities or policies	
a. Conducting outreach to individuals who could benefit from program activities and services					
b. Making the internet accessible to participants living in remote areas (e.g., providing hotspots, having statewide broadband initiatives)					
c. Making assistive technology available to participants with disabilities (e.g., through device lending libraries, accessible One-Stop web sites and services)		0			
d. Providing support services for participants with disabilities or other barriers to employment (e.g., childcare, transportation, career counseling)					
e. Providing career services for job seekers (e.g., individualized career plans, job search assistance)					

Q11. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, how challenging was it to work with your state workforce development partners on these activities related to **establishing and administering a shared workforce development system?**

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

[Hover over text: State workforce development partners include all those identified in your unified or combined state plan, and other state-level agencies or organizations that support workforce development (e.g., through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF], postsecondary education, or other resources) This excludes local entities such as local workforce development boards.

[Subitem a-e will **not** appear if the corresponding response to subitem Q8a-d is equal to "We did not work on this...]

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging
 a. Supporting state-level staff training (e.g., training on each partner's roles and responsibilities under WIOA or training on shared data systems) 				
b. Putting agreements in place to operate and fund the local workforce development (One-Stop) delivery system (e.g., for infrastructure cost sharing)				
c. Putting agreements in place to provide shared instructional services (e.g., for sharing the cost of instructional programming, for co-enrollment policies and procedures)				
d. Aligning technology and data systems across One-Stop partner programs (e.g., using a common intake system)				
e. Other, please specify:				

Q12. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, how challenging was it to work with your state workforce development partners on these activities related to **providing adult education and literacy instructional programming**?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

[Hover over text: State workforce development partners include all those identified in your unified or combined state plan, and other state-level agencies or organizations that support workforce development (e.g., through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF], postsecondary education, or other resources) This excludes local entities such as local workforce development boards.

[Subitem a-h will **not** appear if the corresponding response to subitem Q9a-g is equal to "We did not work on this...]

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging
a. Identifying or developing programming designed to enhance digital literacy skills				
b. Identifying or developing short-term programs to assist participants with transitioning to occupational skills training programs (e.g., bootcamps)				
c. Identifying or developing short-term programs to assist participants with transitioning to postsecondary programs (e.g., bridge courses)				
d. Identifying or developing strategies to accelerate instruction (e.g., through compressed schedules)				
e. Identifying or developing strategies to reduce the time or cost to earn a recognized postsecondary credential				
f. Developing Integrated Education and Training programs				
g. Providing English language acquisition instruction for participants				
h. Other, please specify:				

Q13. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, how challenging was it to work with your state workforce development partners on these activities related to **providing accessibility and support services**?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

[Hover over text: State workforce development partners include all those identified in your unified or combined state plan, and other state-level agencies or organizations that support workforce development (e.g., through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF], postsecondary education, or other resources) This excludes local entities such as local workforce development boards.

[Subitem a-f will **not** appear if the corresponding response to subitem Q10a-e is equal to "We did not work on this...]

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging
a. Conducting outreach to individuals who could benefit from program activities and services				
b. Making the internet accessible to participants living in remote areas (e.g., providing hotspots, having statewide broadband initiatives)				
c. Making assistive technology available to participants with disabilities (e.g., through device lending libraries, accessible One-Stop web sites and services)				
d. Providing support services for participants with disabilities or other barriers to employment (e.g., childcare, transportation, career counseling)				
e. Providing career services for job seekers (e.g., individualized career plans, job search assistance)				
f. Other, please specify:				

Collaborating with Local Workforce Development Partners

Q14. Did you or your adult education staff work with local workforce development partners, excluding your adult education grantees, on any of the following activities from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

• Local workforce development partners include local workforce development boards, One-Stop/American Job Center operators, employers, postsecondary education and training providers, and other local agencies or organizations that support workforce development.

	Yes	No
a. Supporting local-level staff training about WIOA and the roles and responsibilities of workforce development partners		
b. Putting agreements in place to operate and fund the local workforce development (One Stop) delivery system (e.g., infrastructure cost sharing)	9- 0	
c. Putting agreements in place to provide shared instructional services (e.g., for sharing the cost of instructional services, for co-enrollment policies and procedures)		
d. Aligning curricula or credentials offered with local workforce development plans or needs expressed by employers (e.g., through funding IET, apprenticeship or postsecondary bridge programs to prepare learners for pathways into high-demand occupations)		

Q15. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, how challenging was it to work with local workforce development partners on the following activities?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

[Hover-over text: Local workforce development partners include local workforce development boards, One-Stop/American Job Center operators, employers, postsecondary education and training providers, and other local agencies or organizations that support workforce development.]

[Subitem a-e will not appear if the corresponding response to subitem Q14a-d is equal to "No".]

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging
a. Supporting local-level staff training about WIOA and the roles and responsibilities of workforce development partners				
b. Putting agreements in place to operate and fund the local workforce development (One-Stop) de- livery system (e.g., infrastructure cost sharing)				
c. Putting agreements in place to provide shared instructional services (e.g., for sharing the cost of instructional services, for co-enrollment policies and procedures)				
d. Aligning curricula or credentials offered with				

	Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very
	challenging	challenging	challenging	challenging
local workforce development plans or needs				
expressed by employers (e.g., through funding				
IET, apprenticeship or postsecondary bridge				
programs to prepare learners for pathways into				
high-demand occupations)				
e. Other, please specify:				

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Q16. To what extent did your state program discuss, develop, or provide technical assistance (TA) or professional development (PD) for adult education providers on the following topics from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

- If your state program had supports in place at any point throughout the year on a topic (e.g., online courses or guidelines available on the web), select "We provided TA or PD on this topic."
- If your state program provided support <u>before</u> July 1, 2018 but not during July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, please select "We did not discuss or provide TA or PD on this topic."

	F	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019			
	We did not discuss or provide TA or PD on this topic	We discussed providing TA or PD on this topic	We developed TA or PD on this topic	We provided TA or PD on this topic	
Aligning curricula and instruction to state-adopted academic standards for adult education	0		0	О	
b. Incorporating the essential components of reading instruction				П	
c. Becoming an effective One-Stop/American Job Center partner to provide adult learners with access to employment, education, and training services	0			О	
d. Developing or implementing workforce preparation activities	0				
e. Developing or implementing integrated education and training (IET) programs				П	
f. Developing or implementing Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) programs	0			О	
g. Developing or implementing programs and partnerships to transition learners					

	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019			
from adult education to postsecondary education	We did not discuss or provide TA or PD on this topic	We discussed providing TA or PD on this topic	We developed TA or PD on this topic	We provided TA or PD on this topic
h. Expanding the focus of English language acquisition (ELA/ESL) programs to include preparation for postsecondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)				
i. Developing or implementing distance, blended or hybrid learning courses				
j. Using technology for noninstructional purposes (e.g., for recruitment, screening, assessment)	0			П
k. Developing materials, tools or services to help adult learners progress on a ca- reer path (e.g., through career naviga- tors, transition advisors, etc.)	0		0	О
Meeting federal performance account- ability reporting requirements				
m. Other, please specify:		П		П

Q17. On which three technical assistance or professional development topics did your state program focus most of its efforts from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

•	By "focus", we mean where your state program invested the most resources (e.g., staπ time, funding).
•	Please select up to three only.
	Aligning curricula and instruction to state-adopted academic standards for adult education
	Incorporating the essential components of reading instruction
	Becoming an effective One-Stop/American Job Center partner to provide adult learners with access to
	employment, education, and training services
	Developing or implementing workforce preparation activities
	Developing or implementing integrated education and training (IET) programs
	Developing or implementing Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) programs
	Developing or implementing programs and partnerships to transition learners from adult education to
	postsecondary education
	Expanding the focus of English language acquisition (ELA/ESL) programs to include preparation for post-
	secondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)
	Developing or implementing distance, blended or hybrid learning courses
	Using technology for noninstructional purposes (e.g., for recruitment, screening, assessment)
	Developing materials, tools or services to help adult learners progress on a career path (e.g., through ca-
	reer navigators, transition advisors, etc.)
	Meeting federal performance accountability reporting requirements
	Other, please specify:

Q18. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, how challenging was it for your state program to provide technical assistance or professional development to adult education providers on the following topics?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

[Subitem a-m will **not** appear if the corresponding response to subitem Q16a-m is equal to "We did not discuss or provide...".]

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging
a. Aligning curricula and instruction to state- adopted academic standards for adult education				
b. Incorporating the essential components of reading instruction				
c. Becoming an effective One-Stop/American Job Center partner to provide adult learners with access to employment, education, and training services				
d. Developing or implementing workforce preparation activities				
e. Developing or implementing integrated education and training (IET) programs				
f. Developing or implementing Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) programs				
g. Developing or implementing programs and part- nerships to transition learners from adult educa- tion to postsecondary education				
h. Expanding the focus of English language acquisition (ELA/ESL) programs to include preparation for postsecondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)				
i. Developing or implementing distance, blended or hybrid learning courses				
j. Using technology for noninstructional purposes (e.g., for recruitment, screening, assessment)				
k. Developing materials, tools or services to help adult learners progress on a career path (e.g., through career navigators, transition advisors, etc.)				
Meeting federal accountability reporting requirements		П		
m. Other, please specify:				

Policies and Procedures

Q19. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, did your state have **a statewide policy** in place requiring adult education providers to use the following types of programming or services?

	Yes	No
a. Instruction that integrates occupational skills training with adult education (e.g., IET)		
b. Postsecondary transition programming		
c. English language acquisition (ELA/ESL) programs that include preparation for postsecondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)		
d. Programming delivered through distance, blended or hybrid learning		
e. Supports designed to help adult learners progress on a career path (e.g., assistance in career planning, accessing financial supports for education, and developing study and work skills)		

Q20. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, to what extent did your state program discuss or put in place **a competitive funding application that requires** adult education providers to use the following types of programming or services?

- If your state program had a competitive funding application requirement in place at any point throughout the year for a particular type of programming or service, select "We required this."
- If your state program had a competitive funding application requirement for a particular type of programming or service <u>before</u> July 1, 2018 but not during July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, please select "We did not discuss or require this."

	F	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019		
	We did not discuss or require this	We discussed requiring this	We prepared for requiring this	We required this
a. Instruction that integrates occupational skills training with adult education (e.g., IET)				
b. Postsecondary transition programming				
c. English Language acquisition (ELA/ESL) programs that include preparation for post-secondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)				
d. Programming delivered through distance, blended or hybrid learning			0	
e. Supports designed to help adult learners progress on a career path (e.g., assistance				

	F	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019			
	We did not discuss or require this	We discussed requiring this	We prepared for requiring this	We required this	
in career planning, accessing financial sup- ports for education, and developing study and work skills)					

Q21. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, to what extent did your state program discuss or put in place **other state-level funding policies or practices** (e.g., funding formulas, allocation models, awarding additional points in competitive funding applications, use of in-kind resources) that favor or facilitate adult education providers' use of the following types of programming or services?

- If your state program had other state-level funding policies or practices in place at any point throughout the year for a particular type of programming or approach, select "We implemented other state-level funding policies or practices for this."
- If your state program had other state-level funding policies or practices in place <u>before</u> July 1, 2018 but not during July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, please select "We did not discuss or implement other state-level funding policies or practices for this."

	Fro	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019		
	We did not discuss or implement this	We discussed policies or practices for this	We drafted policies or practices for this	We implemented policies or practices for this
a. Instruction that integrates occupational skills training with adult education (e.g., IET)				
b. Postsecondary transition programming				
c. English Language acquisition (ELA/ESL) programs that include preparation for post-secondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)				
d. Programming delivered through distance, blended or hybrid learning				
e. Supports designed to help adult learners progress on a career path (e.g., assistance in career planning, accessing financial supports for education, and developing study and work skills)				

Q22. Did your state program attempt to identify and/or literacy activities during the time period of July 1, 2018			odels of adult e	education and
Q23. How important were the following factors in decid "promising" during the time period of July 1, 2018 to Ju	-	of adult educati	on and literacy	activities was
	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important
Model was identified as promising by OCTAE or through national technical assistance projects				
b. Model was identified as effective or based on "best practices" in one or more research articles				
c. Model showed evidence of improved outcomes for adult learners compared to other instruction models based on an analysis of state or local data				
d. Other, please specify:				
By "challenging" we mean the complexity involunt number of obstacles you faced. D00000000000000000000000000000000000	ved in tackling	an issue, the le	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	t all challenging htly challenging tely challenging ery challenging
Q25b. Did your state program identify and/or of education and literacy activities in any of the June 30, 2019?	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	→ GO TO Q26
			Yes	No
a. Instruction that integrates occupational skills (e.g., IET)	s training with a	adult education		
b. Postsecondary transition programming c. English Language acquisition (FLA/ESL) pro	ourams that inc	rlude nrenara-		

	Yes	No
tion for postsecondary education and careers (e.g., use of state content standards, links to career pathways, etc.)		
d. Programming delivered through distance, blended or hybrid learning		
e. Supports designed to help adult learners progress on a career path (e.g., assistance in career planning, accessing financial supports for education, and developing study and work skills)	0	0
f. Other promising model, please specify:		

Q26. How challenging were the following aspects of identifying and disseminating promising models of adult education and literacy activities for your state program during the time period of July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging	We did not do this
a. Identifying models that have a research base					
b. Identifying promising models that apply to particular types of adult learners (e.g., English language learners, ex-offenders)					
c. Programming delivered through distance, blended or hybrid learning					
d. Disseminating promising models effectively					
e. Providing training on promising models					
f. Convincing program providers to use promising models					
g. Other, please specify:					

Monitoring and Evaluation

227. How often does your state program typically assess the performance of each adult education provider?
□000000000000000000000000000000000000
lacktriangled

lacktriangle
lacktriangled
lacktriangled
□000000000000000000000000000000000000
Q28. Are all adult education providers assessed on this schedule?
Q28. Are all adult education providers assessed on this schedule?

Q29. How important to your state program were the following criteria for assessing the performance of individual providers from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important
a. Provider's performance based on federal ac- countability measures	О			
b. Whether the provider's instruction is based on state-adopted standards				
c. Provider's progress in planning for or implementing programs that integrate adult education and occupational skills training (i.e., IET and/or IELCE)				
d. Provider's progress in planning for or implementing programs designed to help adult learners transition to or participate in postsecondary education				
e. Number and type of professional development activities available to program staff				
f. Number and type of support services offered to adult learners				
g. Level of intensity of instructional services pro- vided				
h. Whether offerings demonstrate responsiveness to local employer needs based on employer surveys or other sources of feedback		0		0
i. Provider's fiscal performance and procedures				
j. Other, please specify:				

Q30. How important to your state program was using the results from your assessment of individual providers' performance for the following purposes from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

• Please select one response per row.

	Fr	From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019				
	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important		
a. Informing future decisions to fund the adult education provider						
b. Providing technical assistance or professional development to address the adult education provider's weakness(es)	0			П		
c. Modifying or expanding technical assistance or professional development offerings to address common weaknesses among adult education providers				0		
d. Modifying the grant application process to improve program performance						
e. Modifying the funding formula to improve program performance						
f. Publicizing high performing adult education providers to potential partners, funders, or learners						
g. Other, please specify:						

Q31. How challenging was using the following criteria for **assessing the performance of adult education providers** during the time period of July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging	We did not do this
a. Providers' performance based on federal accountability measures					
b. Whether the providers' instruction is based on state-adopted standards					
c. Providers' progress in planning for or implementing programs that in- tegrate adult education and occu- pational skills training (i.e., IET and/or IELCE)					
d. Providers' progress in planning for or implementing programs designed to help adult learners transition to or participate in postsecondary education					
e. Number and type of professional development activities available to program staff					
f. Number and type of support ser- vices offered to adult learners					
g. Level of intensity of instructional services provided					
h. Whether offerings demonstrate responsiveness to local employer needs based on employer surveys or other sources of feedback					
i. Providers' fiscal performance and procedures	П				
j. Other, please specify:					

Q32. How challenging were the following aspects of **meeting performance accountability reporting requirements** during the time period of July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

		Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	We did not do this
	Getting complete and	challenging	challenging	challenging	challenging	uo tnis
a.	accurate data from providers					
	on their learners' barriers to	_	_	_	_	_
	employment at intake (e.g.,					
	displaced homemaker, ex-					
	offender status, etc.)					
b.	Getting complete and					
	accurate measurable skill					
	gains data from providers					
	(e.g., obtaining high post-					
	testing rates)					
C.	Having enough information on					
	learners (e.g., date of birth,					
	SSN) to determine their					
	postsecondary outcomes					
	using data matching to					
<u> </u>	existing data sources					
d.	Obtaining postsecondary					
	outcomes data from existing					
	sources for learners who leave the state					
	Having enough information on					
C.	learners (e.g., date of birth,					
	SSN) to determine their	_	_	_	_	_
	employment outcomes using					
	data matching to existing data					
	sources					
f.	Obtaining employment					
	outcomes data from existing					
	sources for learners who					
	leave the state					
g.	Having enough information					
	from supplemental data					
	sources such as surveys to					
	determine learners' follow-up					
	postsecondary or employment					
-	outcomes					
h.	Other, please specify:					

Overall Challenges Under WIOA

Q33. From July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, how challenging were these aspects of providing adult education and literacy services?

• By "challenging" we mean the complexity involved in tackling an issue, the level of effort required, or the number of obstacles you faced.

[Do not present a subitem if the corresponding response in a prior section is that the topic was not discussed or worked on.]

	Not at all challenging	Slightly challenging	Moderately challenging	Very challenging
Working with state workforce development partners to establish and administer a shared workforce development system				
b. Working with state workforce development partners to provide adult education and literacy instructional programming				
c. Working with state workforce development partners to provide accessibility and support services				
d. Developing or implementing integrated education and training (IET) programs				
e. Developing or implementing Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) programs				
f. Developing or implementing programs and partnerships to transition learners from adult education to postsecondary education				
g. Expanding the focus of English Language Acquisition (ELA/ESL) programs to include preparation for postsecondary education and careers				
h. Putting funding policies or practices in place to require or encourage providers' use of particular types of programming or services				
i. Identifying or disseminating promising models of adult education and literacy activities				
j. Assessing the performance of adult education providers				
k. Meeting performance accountability reporting requirements				
I. Other (please specify):				