Attachment 21

March 25, 2018

Census of State and Federal Adult Correctional Facilities

2005 Data Quality Report

Prepared for:

Laura Maruschak
U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Statistics
810 Seventh Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20531

Prepared by

RTI International
3040 Cornwallis Road
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

RTI Project Number 0216089.000.002

I. Introduction

In preparations for the 2018 Census of State and Federal Adult Correctional Facilities (CCF), RTI International (RTI) reviewed the data from the 2005 CCF to examine the extent of item nonresponse and reporting inconsistencies. We also attempted to determine whether identified problems result from (1) data processing errors; (2) ambiguity of survey instructions, question wording, or response options; or (3) other issues related to the response task (e.g., respondent reporting behavior/reactions to question formats such as "mark all that apply" versus "select only one option").

The 2005 CCF data file appears to include high numbers of missing values for many questions. However, our review indicates that these levels do not represent true item nonresponse. The problem could be due to varying data collection procedures, inaccurate data cleaning processes, or issues with the data collection instrument.

This report describes the methods we used to review the data and provides a detailed summary of item missingness resulting from our efforts. This report is accompanied by an Excel file that maps the variables listed in the 2005 analysis file with the survey questions. It includes information on the number of eligible respondents and percent of cases with missing data by item, type of facility (Confinement or Community), and type of facility operator (Federal, State, Local, State and Local, or Private).

We have highlighted survey items with high levels of missing data and suggested reasons for the nonresponse, where appropriate. Following this report, we will conduct a formal questionnaire appraisal to identify ways to correct problems with question and response wording that may be contributing to nonresponse.

II. Methods

We began by defining item missingness for each survey variable. Item missing rates for most items were calculated as the rate of observations with no value or a missing level value such as 99. The missing value level was determined from the codebook and an examination of the data. For example, question 8 (V41) had values of DK and 9999, both of which we considered as missing. Items did not count toward missing rates if the item should legitimately skip based on the answer of a prior question.

For many numeric questions, we applied some editing prior to calculating the missing rate because we believe many respondents left answers blank rather than inputting a 0 as instructed. For example, for question 15, if a facility only had adult males in their facility, they may have put in a number for 15a and 15e but left 15b–15d blank. If the sum of 15a through 15d equaled 15e, we edited the missing values to be 0 prior to calculating the item missing rate. We made such edits for questions 15, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 27FT, 27PT, 28M, and 28F.

For question 25, if question 24a was equal to 0, then all values of question 25 were set to 0 prior to calculating missing rates. For question 29FT, if 27A_FT was equal to 0, then all values in question 29FT were set to 0. For question 29PT, if question 27A_PT was equal to 0, then all values in question

29PT were set to 0. For question 30, if the sum of 28bMale and 28bFemale was equal to 0, then all values in question 30 were set to 0.

Analyzing the level of missing data present in the 2005 analysis file required that we look for patterns that could explain the nonresponse. We began by creating a file to calculate the missing rate by type of facility and facility operator. This was done for every variable in the 2005 analysis file so we could determine if response was due to a legitimate skip pattern or whether there was true item nonresponse. Missing rates were reviewed for each question and sub-question. As patterns appeared, we reviewed the 2005 analysis file to determine whether the facilities in a specific group (i.e., type or operator) consistently skipped questions or types of questions. Presented below are global and question-by-question findings.

III. Global Findings

Our analysis of nonresponse highlighted the following global issues:

1. One factor contributing to high item nonresponse is that some data reporters completed a shortened questionnaire of core items. As questionnaires were received, the data collection agent should have coded the none-core items that were not asked to differentiate between true item nonresponse and completion of a truncated questionnaire.

2. There are several questions consisting of sub-questions that should be totaled (e.g., question 15, 16, 18). It appears that some respondents entered values for some of the sub-questions and left the remaining questions blank rather than enter "0" as instructed. This would show up as item nonresponse on the data file. Paper questionnaires should have been edited by the data collection agent upon receipt. Online instruments should automatically have filled these sub-questions with zeroes to avoid having the responses treated as missing values.

3. For several questions we found higher rates of missingness among community and private facilities. These types of data reporters tended to complete questions at the beginning of the questionnaire, then skipped most of the remaining items. It appears these respondents "cherry-picked" questions that were easy to answer (e.g., questions 12a and 12b), but left more burdensome questions blank (e.g., questions 12c, 26, or 41). This is particularly evident beginning with question 31 (and through the remainder of the questionnaire), where we found higher levels of item nonresponse among community and private facilities.

4. Several questions had high item nonresponse across all types of facilities and operators, likely due to the respondent not having the information available or feeling these were too burdensome to complete. Questions in this category include questions 12c, 21_specify, 26, 27 (all part time), 29 (all part time), and 30 (all part time), as well as questions that asked about a specific year (e.g., questions 11b and 12c).

5. A consistent pattern of nonresponse was found among all 102 federal facilities. This occurred between question 24a (V117 of data file) and question 26 (V136 of data file). For these variables, there was a 100% nonresponse among all federal facilities.

IV. Item-by-Item Findings

The following is an item-by-item analysis of missingness. The questions from the 2005 CJ-43 form are presented here. However, the format has been altered to facilitate presentation in this report.

Section I – FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

1. Who operates this facility? *Mark only ONE box.*
___Federal authority
___State authority
___Local authority
___Joint State and Local authority
___Private contractor

Findings:
No item nonresponse found.

2. Is this facility authorized to house *Mark only ONE box.*
___Males only
___Females only
___Both males and females only

Findings:
No item nonresponse found.

3. What is the physical security of this facility?
Mark the ONE box that best describes the physical security of this facility.

___Super maximum
___Maximum/close/high
___Medium
___Minimum/low
___Administrative (e.g., Federal medical facilities)
___Other — *Specify:* _____
___None

Findings:
No item nonresponse found.

4. What are the functions of this facility?
Mark all that apply.

a. Facility functions
__General adult population confinement
__Alcohol/drug treatment confinement
__Reception/diagnosis/classification
__Medical treatment/hospitalization confinement
__Mental health/psychiatric confinement
__Community corrections/work release/prerelease
__Boot camp
__Primarily for persons returned to custody (e.g., parole violators)
__Primarily for confinement of youthful offenders
__Geriatric care
__Other — *Specify:* _____

b. Which facility function in Item 4a applies to the largest number of inmates?
_____ Facility function number

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was low (4a = 0.3%) (4b = 0.5%).

5. What percentage of the inmates in this facility are regularly permitted to leave the facility unaccompanied to work release, study release, rehabilitation)? *Mark only ONE box.*
__ 50% or more of the inmates
__ Less than 50% of the inmates
__ None

Findings:
No item nonresponse found.

6. On December 30, 2005, what was the rated capacity of this facility?
• Rated capacity is the maximum number of beds or inmates authorized by a rating official for safe and efficient operation of this facility.

_____ Rated capacity

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was low (1.9%). There were 30 facilities that had missing data for item #6 (rated capacity of facility). Another 9 facilities provided a value of "0" for either the rated or design capacity and in some cases both. Of the 30 facilities, 5 had missing data on item 7 (design capacity of facility), although those 5 agencies went on to complete question 8 (year of construction).

7. On December 30, 2005, what was the design capacity of this facility?
• Design capacity is the number of inmates that planners or architects intended for this facility.

_____ Design capacity

Findings:
Overall missing rate was high: 16.4%. This is likely due to the information not being available or known. While only 39 facilities had a combined missing value or "0" value for question 6, 350 facilities had a combined missing value or "0" value for question 7. This suggests that facilities may not know the design capacity of their facility. Missingness among Federal facilities was nearly 100%.

8. In what year was the original construction completed on this facility?
• If more than one building, provide the year for the oldest building that includes a sleeping area for inmates.

_____ Year of original construction

Findings:
Overall missing rate was high: 11.3%. Recalling year of original construction may have been problematic for these respondents. As a result, they may have skipped the question rather than providing an estimate. There was a large discrepancy between confinement (3.4% missing) and community facilities (30.4% missing) and between private facilities (34.9% missing) and other operators (ranging from 0.0% to 4.9% missing).

9. Are there any definite plans to add to this facility, close this facility, renovate this existing facility, or construct a new facility between January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2009? *Mark all that apply.*

• Report all plans that have received final administrative approval, even though the necessary funds may not have been authorized.

__ Add on to existing facility
__ Close this facility
__ Renovate existing housing space
__ Construct a new facility
__ No change planned – *SKIP to item 11*

Findings:

Overall missing rate was high: 11%. This was not considered a "core item," which would account for nonresponse among those cases where only core items were collected. There was high missingness among both confinement (9.1% missing) and community facilities (15.7% missing), and among private facilities (21.7% missing).

10. What will be the net effect of these planned changes on this facility? *Mark only ONE box.*
__ No change in bed capacity
__ An increase in capacity of . . . _____ beds
__ A decrease in capacity of . . . _____ beds

Findings:
Overall missing rate was very high: 46.1%.

We also found high rates of missingness among all facility types and operators, except for State-operated and State and Locally-operated facilities. We observed the following missing rates by facility type: confinement (41.8%) and community (53.9%). Missing rates by facility operator were as follows: Federal (100%), State (5.2%), Local (0%)*, State and Local (0%)*, Private (21.7%).

The overall missing rate for the number of beds due to an increase in capacity, was 1.8%, compared with a rate of 33.3% for the number of beds due to a decrease in capacity, although there were only 6 respondents who indicated an expected decrease in capacity.

* Data were received from only a few facilities.

11. On December 30, 2005, was this facility under a State or Federal court order or consent decree to limit the number of inmates it can house?

__Yes ------- **a. What is the maximum number of inmates this facility is allowed to house?**
_____ Inmates

b. In what year did this order or decree take effect?
__ __ __ __ Year

__No

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was low for question 11 (0.3%) and question 11a (2.3%), but it was high for question 11b (20.5%). It is likely that the year this order or decree took effect was unknown to the respondent or burdensome to answer.

12. On December 30, 2005, was this facility under a State or Federal court order or consent decree for specific conditions of confinement?

__Yes —**a. What were the specific conditions?** *Mark all conditions that apply.*

__Crowding
__Visiting/mail/telephone policy
__Accommodation of disabled
__Religious practices
__Mental health services/treatment
__Search policies or practices
__Fire hazards
__Medical facilities or services
__Disciplinary procedures or policies
__Grievance procedures or policies
__Staffing
__Administrative segregation procedures or policies
__Library services
__Recreation/exercise
__Inmate classification
__Food services/nutrition/cleanliness
__Counseling programs
__Education
__Other — *Specify:* _____

b. Was this facility under court order or consent decree for the totality of conditions (the cumulative effect of several conditions)?

__Yes
__No

c. In what year did this order or decree take effect
__ __ __ __ Year

__No

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was low for question 12 (0.4%), question 12a (0.5%), and question 12b (0.7%), but it was very high for question 12c (77.2%). Similar to question 11b, it is likely that the year this order or decree took effect was unknown to the respondent or burdensome to answer.

Section II – INMATE COUNTS

13. Between January 1, 2005, and December 30, 2005, what was the average daily population (ADP) of this facility?
• *To calculate the average daily population, add the number of persons for each day during the period January 1, 2005, to December 30, 2005, and divide the result by 364.*

Average daily population ____ Males ____ Females

Findings:
No item nonresponse found. This was a core question and would have been easy for the respondent to answer.

14. As of the last count of the day on December 30, 2005, what was the total number of inmates in this facility?
• Include all inmates temporarily absent from this facility (e.g., for court appearances, brief furloughs, and medical leave).
• Exclude all inmates who were on escape or absent without leave (AWOL).

_____ Inmates

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was low (0.2%). This was a core question and would have been easy for the respondent to answer.

15. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates in this facility were —

a. Males age 18 or older _____
b. Females age 18 or older _____
c. Males under age 18 _____
d. Females under age 18 _____
e. TOTAL *(Sum of items 15a to 15d should equal item 14)* _____

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was moderately high for question 15a (5.5%), question 15b (5.6%), question 15c (5.5%), and question 15d (5.5%). For question 15e, the item nonresponse was 0.2%, consistent with question 14. There was also consistency of item nonresponse across questions 15a–15d by facility type and operator. It appears that this reflects true item nonresponse for the detailed age breakdown.

16. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates confined in this facility were —

a. White, not of Hispanic origin _____
b. Black or African American, not of Hispanic origin _____
c. Hispanic or Latin _____
d. American Indian/ Alaska Native _____
e. Asian _____
f. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander _____
g. Two or more races _____
h. Additional categories in your information system _____
Specify: _____
i. TOTAL *(Sum of items 16a to 16h should equal item 14)* _____

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was moderately high for question 16a (7.0%), question 16b (7.0%), question 16c (7.2%), question 16d (7.0%), question 16e (7.0%), question 16f (7.4%), question 16g (7.4%), and question 16h (7.4%). Overall item nonresponse for question 16i (0.2%) was again consistent with the rate for question 14. Nonresponse was very high across questions 16a–16h among community facilities (roughly 19%) and private facilities (roughly 26%). Although most respondents can provide a one-day count (as noted in question 14 and in the total for question 16), they may have found providing breakdowns by race more challenging, either because the information is not available or is burdensome to gather and provide.

17. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates in this facility were held in —

a. Maximum/close/high custody _____
b. Medium custody _____
c. Minimum/low custody _____
d. Not classified/other
(e.g., unsentenced or sentenced and awaiting classification) _____
e. TOTAL *(Sum of lines 17a to 17d should equal item 14* _____

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was moderately high for question 17a (6.8%), question 17b (6.8%), question 17c (6.8%), and for question 17d (6.8%). The item total (question e) was consistent with question 14 at 0.2%. Nonresponse was higher for questions 17a–17d among community facilities (roughly 19%) and private facilities (roughly 24%). Some respondents may be unable or unwilling to provide breakdowns by custody level, particularly among community and private facilities.

18. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates confined in this facility were —

a. Sentenced to more than 1 year _____
b. Sentenced to 1 year or less _____
c. Unsentenced _____
d. TOTAL *(Sum of item 18a to 18c should equal item 14)* _____

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was moderately high for question 18a (7.8%), question 18b (7.8%), and question 18c (7.7%). Nonresponse across questions 18a–18c was higher among community facilities (roughly 21%) and private facilities (27%). Some respondents may be unwilling or unable to provide breakdowns by status of sentencing or sentence length, particularly among community and private facilities.

19. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates confined in this facility were sentenced to death?

_____

Findings:

Overall item nonresponse was high: 11.9%. Nonresponse was even higher among community facilities (19.1%) and private facilities (26.5%). Because it is very unlikely that community and private facilities would house anyone sentenced to death, it is likely that these respondents left this item blank rather than report a true 0. This same explanation may be true for other types of facilities.

20. On December 30, 2005, did this facility house any inmates who were not citizens of the United States?

___ Yes — **How many inmates were not citizens of the United States? _____**
___ No

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was high for the yes/no portion of question 20: 11.6%. Nonresponse was higher among community facilities (20.2%) and private facilities (26%). Overall nonresponse was nonexistent (0%) for the follow-up question of how many inmates were not U.S. citizens for those who responded yes.

21. Does this facility have a geriatric unit specifically designed for inmates of advance age?

___Yes — **On December 30, 2005, how many inmates were housed in this unit? _____**
___No

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was moderately high for the yes/no portion of question 21: 7.2%. Nonresponse was higher among community facilities (17.8%) and private facilities (24.3%).

Overall nonresponse was very high (26.8%) for the follow-up question of how many inmates were housed for those who responded yes. Nonresponse was similarly high among all facility types and operators.

22. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates confined in this facility were veterans of the U.S. military? _____

Findings:
No item nonresponse found. However, more than half of the facilities reported "0" veterans.

23. Does this facility have a restricted population unit?

___Yes — **On December 30, 2005, how many inmates were housed for —**

a. Protective custody? _____ Inmates
b. Disciplinary action? _____ Inmates
c. Administrative segregation? _____ Inmates
d. Death row? _____ _____ Inmates
e. Other – *Specify* _____ _____ Inmates
f. Total *(Sum of items 23a to 23e)* _____ Inmates

___No

Findings:
Item nonresponse was high overall (15.5%) and among all facility types and operators for the yes/no portion of question 23. However, it may not reflect true nonresponse, because overall item nonresponse was low for the follow-up questions, ranging between 1.1% and 1.6%. Respondents may have answered the sub questions (23a-23f) without responding "yes" or "no" to question 23. Paper surveys should have been edited upon receipt and computerized instruments should have had a soft-check to bring the omission to the respondent's attention.

There were 153 facilities that did not answer question 23 and did not provide a value in questions 23a-23f.

24. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates confined in this facility were being held for
a. Federal authorities? _____
b. State prison authorities
(1) For your State? _____
(2) For other States? _____
c. For local authorities? _____
d. For tribal authorities? _____
e. TOTAL *(Sum of items 24a to 24d)*_____

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was high for question 24a (15.2%), question 24b1 (15.1%), question 24b2 (15.2%), question 24c (15.2%, question 24d (15.2%), and question 24e (15.1%). Among particular types of facilities, nonresponse varied: confinement facilities (12.8%), community facilities (20.8%), and private facilities (24.3%). The nonresponse rate was similar between the total and the detailed breakdowns.

As noted in the global comments, a consistent pattern of nonresponse was found among all 102 federal facilities. This occurred between question 24a (V117 of data file) and question 26 (V136 of data file). For these variables, there was a 100% nonresponse among all federal facilities.

25. Of all inmates held for Federal authorities in item 24a, how many were held for —
• If item 24a equals 0 (zero), enter "0" in items 25a to 25f.

a. Federal Bureau of Prisons? _____
b. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (I.C.E.) (formerly known as I.N.S.)? _____
c. U.S. Marshals Service? _____
d. Bureau of Indian Affairs? _____
e. Other? — *Specify:*_____ _____
f. TOTAL *(Sum of items 25a to 25e should equal item 24a)* _____

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was high for questions 25a through 25f (15.7%). There was also consistency within facility type and operator.

26. Do other authorities pay a per diem fee for their inmates held in your facility?

___Yes — **How much per day per inmate (in whole dollars) do Federal, State, and local authorities pay?**

Federal
Bureau of Prisons $_____
U.S. Marshals Service $_____
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (I.C.E.) $_____

State
This State $_____
Other States $_____

Local
This State $_____
Other States $_____

___ No – No fee is charged, or this facility holds no inmates for other authorities.

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse was high (22.77%) for the yes/no portion of question 26. Among those who responded yes, item nonresponse was even higher for each of the sub-items asking about per diem amounts paid by Federal, State, and local authorities. Missing rates were high among all types of facilities and facility operators. Over 50% of all respondents did not provide values to these questions. However, the missing rate varied within type of facility across the 7 sub-items. Because nonresponse differed for each of the sub-items, this could be due to respondents answering some categories and leaving the other categories blank.

SECTION III – FACILITY STAFF

27. On December 30, 2005, how many staff employed by this facility were —

• Exclude staff paid through contractual agreements and community volunteers.

a. Payroll staff _____Full-time _____Part-time

b. Nonpayroll staff

• Include staff on the payroll of other government agencies (e.g., health, human services, education, and court) and unpaid interns

_____Full-time _____Part-time

c. Total staff *(Sum of Items 27a and 27b)*

_____Full-time _____Part-time

Findings:
We found a different pattern of nonresponse for the full-time (FT) and part-time (PT) items. Overall item nonresponse for questions 27a–27c was moderate for FT (6.2%) and high for PT (22.7%). This pattern suggests that about 6% of all data reporters did not provide a response to all items in question 27. An additional 16% of respondents provided a response to FT, but did not provide a value for PT. It is possible that facilities do not have data on PT staff readily available or perceive these questions to be overly burdensome.

28. On December 30, 2005, how many staff employed by this facility were —

• Count each employee only once.
• Classify employees with multiple functions by the one performed most frequently.

a. Administrators

• Wardens, superintendents, administrations, and others in administrative positions

_____Male _____Female

b. Security staff

• Correctional officers, line staff, and their supervisors

_____Male _____Female

c. Clerical and maintenance staff

• Typists, secretaries records clerks, janitors cooks, groundskeepers, etc...

_____Male _____Female

d. Educational staff

• Academic and vocational staff, etc.

_____Male _____Female

e. Professional and technical staff

• Counselors, psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, classification officers, doctors, dentists, nurses, chaplains, etc…

_____Male _____Female

f. Other staff — _____Male _____Female
Specify _____

g. TOTAL *(Sum of items 28a to 28f should equal sum of item 27c)*
_____Male _____Female

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse for questions 28a–28g was low for male (1.8%) and female (1.4%). This pattern suggests that data reporters found this question less burdensome and were able to provide the data requested.

29. On December 30, 2005, how many FULL-TIME and PART-TIME PAYROLL staff (sum of item 27a) in the facility were —

a. White, not of Hispanic origin	____Full-time	___Part-time
b. Black or African American, not of Hispanic origin	____Full-time	___Part-time
c. Hispanic or Latino	____Full-time	___Part-time
d. American Indian/Alaska Native	____Full-time	___Part-time
e. Asian	____Full-time	___Part-time
f. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	____Full-time	___Part-time
g. Two or more races	____Full-time	___Part-time
h. Additional categories in your information system — *Specify* _____	____Full-time	___Part-time
i. TOTAL *(Sum of items 29a to 29h should equal item 27a)*	____Full-time	___Part-time

Findings:
Overall item nonresponse for questions 29a–29i was moderate for FT (7.6%) and high for PT (25.9%). Similar to question 27, it appears that about 7% of all data reporters did not provide a response to all of question 29. An additional 19% of respondents provided a response to FT, but did not provide a value for PT, suggesting that facilities do not have data on PT staff readily available or perceive these questions to be overly burdensome.

30. Of all male and female SECURITY STAFF reported in item 28b, how many were —

a. White, not of Hispanic origin	____Full-time	___Part-time
b. Black or African American, not of Hispanic origin	____Full-time	___Part-time
c. Hispanic or Latino	____Full-time	___Part-time
d. American Indian/Alaska Native	____Full-time	___Part-time
e. Asian	____Full-time	___Part-time
f. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	____Full-time	___Part-time
g. Two or more races	____Full-time	___Part-time
h. Additional categories in your information system — *Specify* _____	____Full-time	___Part-time

i. **TOTAL** *(Sum of items 29a to 29h should equal item 27a)* ____Full-time ___Part-time

Findings:
Similar to question 27, overall item nonresponse for questions 30a–30i was moderate for FT (6.6%) and high for PT (27.6%). It appears that about 6% of all data reporters did not provide a response to all of question 30. An additional 21% of respondents provided a response to FT, but did not provide a value for PT, suggesting that facilities do not have data on PT staff readily available or perceive these questions to be overly burdensome.

Section IV – FACILITY OPERATIONS AND SECURITY

31. Between January 1, 2005, and December 30, 2005, how many misconduct/disciplinary reports for major infractions were filed on inmates in this facility.

• Include major infractions, such as drug and alcohol violations; possession of stolen property, contraband, or weapons; verbal or physical assaults, work slowdowns, food strikes, setting fires, escapes, and similar major violations.
• Exclude minor violations such as horseplay, failure to follow sanitary or other facility regulations, and chewing gum where prohibited.

_____Reports

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 9.8%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (23.3%) and private facilities (29.6%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 4%).

Beginning with question 31, nonresponse among community and private facilities was high. Looking at the data file, it appears that a large percentage of these types of facilities did not respond to the remaining questions.

32. Between January 1, 2005, and December 30, 2005, were there any inmate-inflicted physical or sexual assaults on facility staff that involved a weapon or a serious injury?

• Include assaults resulting in deaths.

___Yes — **How many assaults were on staff? _____**
___No

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate for the yes/no portion of question 32 (8.7%). We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (22.1%) and private facilities (25.5%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 3%).

33. Between January 1, 2005 and December 30, 2005, how many facility staff deaths resulted from assaults by inmates?

_____ Staff deaths inflicted by inmates

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 6.2%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (18.3%) and private facilities (25.1%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 1%).

This information should be easy to provide. We believe that this is related to the observation that community and private facilities tended to break off after question 30.

34. Between January 1, 2005, and December 30, 2005, how many inmate-inflicted physical or sexual assaults occurred on other inmates in this facility?

_____ Assaults

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 7%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (19.5%) and private facilities (26%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 1%).

35. Between January 1, 2005, and December 30, 2005, how many disturbances at this facility were —

a. **Major disturbances** (incidents which resulted in serious injury or significant property damage and loss of control of a portion of the facility and required extraordinary measures to regain control) _____

b. **Other disturbances** (Include hunger strikes, work slowdowns, or other inmate disruptions which resulted in loss of control of a portion of the facility, and required extraordinary measures to regain control, but did not entail serious injury or significant property damage.) — *Specify* _____ _____

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 7.1%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (18.5%) and private facilities (25.1%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 2%).

36. Does this facility have a perimeter and barriers such as walls to keep prisoners from leaving? Or does it have surveillance methods such as guard towers, perimeter patrols and electronic monitoring devices to detect those attempting to escape?

___Yes — **How many escapes occurred from this secure facility between January 1, 2005, and December 30, 2005? _____** Escapes
___No

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 6.6%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (18.7%) and private facilities (25.5%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 2%).

Overall nonresponse was low (3.9%) for the follow-up question of how many escapes occurred from this secure facility for those who responded yes.

37. Between January 1, 2005, and December 30, 2005, how many inmates walked away from community custody or fled from this facility while on work detail, medical appointment, court appearance, work release, or furlough and as a consequence were officially recorded as AWOL? _____ Walkaways

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 9.4%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (21.7%) and private facilities (26.7%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 3%).

Section V – FACILITY PROGRAMS

38. What types of work assignments are available to inmates in this facility? *Mark all that apply.*

___Prison industries (e.g., license plates, wood product, textiles, etc.)
___Facility support services (e.g., office and administration work, food services, and building maintenance)
___Farming/agriculture
___Public works assignments — inmates work outside the facility and perform road, park, or other public maintenance work
___Other — *Specify* _____
___None

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 5.7%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (16.4%) and private facilities (22.7%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 1%).

39. On December 30, 2005, how many inmates in this facility had work assignments?
_____ Inmates

Findings:
Overall missing rate was high: 19.3%. We found high rates of missing among all types of facilities and operators. We see the same pattern of consistent nonresponse among community and private data reporters beginning at question 31. In addition, we found another set of community and private facilities, that had been responding to previous questions, did not answer this item. Also, this item was missing for all Federal facilities.

This suggests that a large percentage of facilities of varying types and operators did not have this information available or found it too burdensome to report.

40. Does this facility operate a work release program that allows inmates to work in the community unsupervised by facility staff, but requires them to return to the facility at night?

___Yes — **How many inmates were participating on December 30, 2005? _____** Inmates
___No

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 5.9%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (17%) and private facilities (23.4%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 1%).

41. What types of educational programs are available to inmates in this facility?

- Include only formal programs.
- Exclude unscheduled activities and informal programs.

Mark all that apply.

___Literacy training or other Lower adult basic education (ABE) - 1st to 4th grade level
___Upper Basic adult education – 5th to 8th grade level
___Secondary education or GED
___Special education (e.g., programs for inmates with learning disabilities)
___English as a second language (ESL)
___Vocational training (e.g., auto repair, drafting, and data processing)
___College courses
___Study release programs (i.e., release to community to attend school)
___Other — *Specify* _____
___None

Findings:

Overall missing rate was moderate: 5.8%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (17.0%) and private facilities (23.4%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 1%).

42. Which types of counseling or special programs are available to inmates in this facility?
Mark all that apply.

___Drug dependency/counseling/awareness
___Alcohol dependency/counseling/awareness
___Psychological/psychiatric counseling
___HIV/AIDS counseling
___Sex offender counseling
___Employment (e.g., job seeking and interviewing skills)
___Life skills and community adjustment (including personal finance, conflict resolution, etc.)
___Parenting/child rearing skills
___Other — *Specify* _____
___None

Findings:
Overall missing rate was moderate: 5.8%. We found high rates of missingness among community facilities (16.8%) and private facilities (23.1%), while other types of facilities had relatively low missing rates (averaging approximately 1%).