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1. **CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION**

Section 83(a) of the Internal Revenue Code generally provides that if, in connection with the performance of services, property is transferred to any person other than the person for whom such services are performed, then, the excess of the fair market value of the property as of the first day that the transferee's rights in the property are transferable or not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, whichever occurs earlier, over the amount paid for the property is included in the service provider's gross income.

Under § 83(b), the service provider may elect to include as compensation for services at the time of transfer, the excess of the fair market value of the property over the amount paid for the property. If this election is made, the substantial vesting rules of § 83(a) do not apply to the property, and, generally any subsequent appreciation in the value of the property is not taxable as compensation to the service provider.

Section 83(b)(2) provides that an election under § 83(b) may not be revoked without the consent of the Commissioner. Section 1.83-2(f) of the Income Tax Regulations provides that consent to revoke the election will only be granted where the transferee is under a mistake of fact as to the underlying transaction and the request is made within 60 days of the discovery of the mistake of fact. In order for the Internal Revenue Service to determine whether consent to revoke the election should be granted, it is necessary for the applicant to submit a request for a private letter ruling. This revenue procedure addresses the information needed by the Service to determine whether revocation is appropriate.

1. **USE OF DATA**

The information will be used by the Service to determine whether permission to revoke the election should be granted.

1. **USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN**

IRS Publications, Regulations, Notices and Letters are to be electronically enabled on an as

practicable basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998. We have no

plans at this time to offer electronic filing however in this case it isn’t practicable because of the evaluative nature of the determination.

1. **EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION**

The information obtained through this collection is unique and is not already available or use or adaption from another source.

1. **METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES**

Small businesses should not be disadvantaged as the form has been structured to request the least amount of information and still satisfy the requirements of the statute and the needs of the Service.

1. **CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES**

A less frequent collection will not allow the IRS to determine whether permission to revoke the election should be granted and would hinder the IRS from meeting its mission.

1. **SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)**

There are no special circumstances requiring data collection to be inconsistent with Guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

1. **CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS**

In response to the Federal Register notice dated March 4, 2019 (84 FR 7419), we received no comments during the comment period regarding these regulations.

1. **EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS**

No payment or gift has been provided to any respondents.

1. **ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES**

Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

1. **JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS**

A privacy impact assessment (PIA) has been conducted for information collected under this request as part of the “Business Master file (BMF)” and a Privacy Act System of Records notice (SORN) has been issued for these systems under IRS 22.062 – Electronic Filing Records; IRS 24.030 – Customer Account Data Engine (CADE) Individual Master File; IRS 24.046 - CADE Business Master File (BMF); IRS 34.037 - IRS Audit Trail and Security Records System. The Internal Revenue Service PIA’s can be found at <https://www.irs.gov/uac/Privacy-Impact-Assessments-PIA> .

Title 26 USC 6109 requires inclusion of identifying numbers in returns, statements, or other documents for securing proper identification of persons required to make such returns, statements, or documents and is the authority for social security numbers (SSNs) in IRS systems.

1. **ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION**

Section 4 of the revenue procedure requires the applicant to submit a private letter ruling request in accordance with Rev. Proc. 2006-1 (or its successor).

The total estimated average annual burden varies from 1 hour to 5 hours with a total estimated average burden of 2 hours on 200 respondents for a total of 400 hours annually.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rev. Proc. | Description | # Respondents | # Responses Per Respondent-  Approximate | Total Annual Responses | Hours Per Response | Total Burden |
| 2006-1 | Revocation of Election filed under I.R.C. 83(b) | 200 | 1 | 200 | 2 | 400 |
| TOTAL |  | 200 |  | 200 |  | 400 |

1. **ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS**

To ensure more accuracy and consistency across its information collections, IRS is currently in the process of revising the methodology it uses to estimate burden and costs. Once this methodology is complete, IRS will update this information collection to reflect a more precise estimate of burden and costs.

1. **ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT**

To ensure more accuracy and consistency across its information collections, IRS is currently in the process of revising the methodology it uses to estimate burden and costs. Once this methodology is complete, IRS will update this information collection to reflect a more precise estimate of burden and costs.

1. **REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN**

There have been no changes to the forms that would affect burden.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Requested** | **Program Change Due to New Statute** | **Program Change Due to Agency Discretion** | **Change Due to Adjustment in Agency Estimate** | **Change Due to Potential Violation of the PRA** | **Previously Approved** |
| Annual Number of Responses | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| Annual Time Burden (Hr) | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400 |

1. **PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION**

There are no plans for tabulation, statistical analysis, and publication.

1. **REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS INAPPROPRIATE**

IRS believes that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the regulations sunsets as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

1. **EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.

Note: The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.