

**Annual Performance Report for Grants under the  
Educational Opportunity Centers Program**

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT  
FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION**

**A. Justification**

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

The Department of Education (Department) is requesting approval for an extension of the Educational Opportunity Centers (EOC) Annual Performance Report (APR) that will expire December 31, 2019 (OMB No.: 1840-0830). The EOC APR form is used to collect annual performance report data from projects funded by EOC program grants.

The EOC program is a Student Service program that provides Federal financial assistance in the form of discretionary grants to: (a) institutions of higher education; (b) public and private agencies and organizations including community-based organizations with experience in serving disadvantaged youth; (c) secondary schools; and (d) combinations of such institutions, agencies and organizations. The specific goal of the EOC program is to help youth and adults from disadvantaged backgrounds complete secondary education and enroll in programs of postsecondary education; and to publicize the availability of, and facilitate the application for, student financial assistance for persons who seek to pursue postsecondary education (20 U.S.C. 1070a–11 and 1070a–16).

The information that grantees submit in the APR allows the Department to annually assess each grantee's progress in meeting their project's approved goals and objectives. The APR data are compared with the projects' approved objectives to determine the projects' accomplishments, to make decisions regarding whether funding should be continued, and to award "prior experience" points. The regulations for this program provide for awarding up to 15 points for prior experience (34 CR 644.22). During a competition for new grant awards, the prior experience points are added to the average of the field reader scores to arrive at a total score for each application. Funding recommendations and decisions are primarily based on the rank order of applications on the slate; therefore, assessment of prior experience points, based on data in the annual performance report, is a crucial part of the overall application process.

Further, this performance report form is the main source of data for the Department's response to the requirements of the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) for this program.

The Department collects information from EOC grantees under the authority of Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2, Division 1, Sections 402A and 402B of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, the program regulations in 34 CFR 644, and the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR), in 34 CFR 74.51, 75.720, and 75.732.

<https://www2.ed.gov/programs/trioec/legislation.html>

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The Department uses the data collected to (a) evaluate projects' accomplishments; (b) determine the number of prior experience points to be awarded to current grantees; and (c) aid in compliance monitoring (i.e., to determine whether grantees are in compliance with the selection requirements for project participants [34 CFR 644.3]).

In addition, the Department uses the annual performance reports to produce program-level data for annual reporting, budget submissions to OMB, Congressional hearings and inquiries, and responding to inquiries from higher education interest groups and the general public.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.

The data collection method allows the grantees to use computerized data systems to collect, retrieve, and report the requested information. A Web-based software application has been developed for grantees to enter the data online and submit the entire report via the Internet. The EOC projects have been submitting the annual performance report via the Internet since 1998.

The data collected are on the aggregate form at the program level, not data on individual participants; thus the reports are a low-level security risk. Nonetheless, the Web site is secured to ensure that the data are seen only by authorized individuals and are protected from network hackers. Further, online data edits are in place to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the data submitted.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

Since the information grantees submit in their performance reports is unique to each project and is not collected elsewhere, no duplication exists. No other instrument is available to collect the information that the program needs to assess prior experience or program outcomes. The data collected in the APR are fundamental to this program.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

This information collection does not affect small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

The collection of performance reports is required annually. Collection of information on a less frequent basis is not feasible. These reports are used to determine if the grantee is making satisfactory progress in meeting the goals and objectives proposed in its initial grant application. In addition, the information is needed to award prior experience points to grantees. Without this data collection, the Department would not have the data to assess the prior experience provision of the authorizing statute, respond to the GPRA, and other program performance and efficiency measures, or develop improved policies for program administration.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
- requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
- requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
- in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;
- requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

This information collection is conducted in a manner consistent with 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping,

disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

Department staff members have attended a number of state, regional, and national meetings at which the Department solicited informal views and comments on reporting requirements from grantees and other interested persons. We solicited public comments on the draft package through a 60-day notice published in the Federal Register on May 2019 (84 FR 20867). A separate 30-day Federal Register notice, will be published to solicit public comment on the APR form.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

The Department will not provide payment or gifts to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes that pledge of confidentiality should be provided. If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state the Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data.

No assurances of confidentiality are provided to the respondents. The Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

The performance report form does not include questions about sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, or other matters that are commonly considered sensitive and private.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

- Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector – businesses or other for-profit, private sector – not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to

base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

- If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at a minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Responses, and Total Hours)
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.

Estimated total annual burden hours for this collection of information is 1,120. We estimate approximately 140 respondents annually. The performance reports are submitted annually.

	Number of Respondents	Estimated Preparation Time, per respondent	Total estimated burden hours
Public Sector	109	8 hours	872 hours
Private Sector	31	8 hours	248 hours
<b>Total estimated burden hours</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>8 hours</b>	<b>1,120 hours</b>

(Estimated annual burden: 1,120 hrs. Total number of hours (preparation time) multiplied by the total number of respondents equals estimated burden hours). Preparation time includes 7.5 hours for professional staff to gather the information using computerized technology and 0.5 hours for clerical staff to enter the data into the Web-based form.

Professional staff (140 respondents X 7.5 hours X \$35 per hour)	\$36,750
Clerical staff (140 clerical staff members X 0.5 hours X \$18 per hour)	\$1,260
Total estimated cost to all respondents	\$38,010

*Note: As many of the respondents are project staff whose salaries are largely financed with Federal grant funds, the Department believes that the actual cost to respondents is lower than those indicated above but cannot provide an accurate estimate at this time.*

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)

- The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.
- If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.
- Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost:  
Total Annual Costs (O&M):

Total Annualized Costs Requested:

There are no other costs to the respondents associated with this information collection. Grantees are required by program regulations to collect and maintain this information. The costs to transmit the data electronically via the Web are customary and usual business practices.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

The largest portion of the Government's cost is borne directly by the Department of Education in designing the report form, securing clearance of the form, and collecting, aggregating, and disseminating the information.

<b>Designing performance report form 120 hours at \$40 per hour Overhead (est. at 50%): 120 times \$20</b>	<b>\$7,200</b>
<b>Clearing performance report form 120 hours at \$40 per hour Overhead (est. at 50%): 120 times \$20</b>	<b>\$7,200</b>
<b>Annual updates to Web application, Web site hosting, help desk, and data processing (contractor's costs)</b>	<b>\$80,000</b>
<b>Analyses of data and preparation of national summary reports and individual project data (contractor's costs)</b>	<b>\$90,000</b>
<b>Professional staff to review and edit reports for dissemination 160 hours at \$40 per hour Overhead (est. at 50%): 160 times \$20</b>	<b>\$9,600</b>
<b>TOTAL FEDERAL COST</b>	<b>\$194,000</b>

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and /or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

The statutory changes to the EOC program resulting from the Higher Education Opportunity Act of 2008 (HEOA) and the FY 2016 EOC Notice Inviting Applications allowed applicants to receive additional points in the FY 2016 EOC grant competition for addressing the two Competitive Preference Priorities (CPPs). Two questions have been added to the existing collection to allow grantees to report on the outcomes of the CPPs: list community organizations and partners projects collaborate with during the performance period, and number of military-connected subgroups served during the performance period. The aggregated data that will be collected is being added. These additional questions will not result in an increase of burden hours per grantee.

In addition, the number of respondents has decreased from 165 to 140, as a result of 25 projects not meeting substantial progress in FY 17, and therefore not receiving continuation funding. Therefore, the number of projects currently completing the EOC APR is 140 grantees.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

Collected information will be analyzed annually to determine if each grantee is meeting its approved goals and objectives and to award prior experience points. Performance

measures and efficiency measures for the EOC program, based on data conveyed in grantees' annual performance reports, are disseminated in the Department's Annual Program Performance Plan. In addition, the Department's Web site provides data on performance and efficiency measures, beginning with the 2007–08 performance period, *at the grantee level*; this more detailed reporting has been made possible due to improvements in accuracy resulting from incorporating the standard objectives into the report form.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

This report form and the Web site will display the expiration date for OMB's approval of the information collection.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.

**B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods**

This collection does not employ statistical methods.