


# TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION: Survey to Assess the Quality of Grant Review Among NIH Center for Scientific Review (CSR) Reviewers

https://feedback2.csr.nih.gov/mr1Web/mr1Web.dll

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Home - NIH P&E Commu... Suggested Sites Links CSR Intranet NIH Hub CSR Internet Home IMB Information Security Administrative Hub CIT Training - HomePage Intranet Home Page Web Slice Gallery



OMB#: 0925-0648, Exp., 05/2021

Burden Disclosure Statement: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0648) Do not return the completed form to this address.

As part of our efforts to ensure that NIH study section output reflects high quality science, we would like to hear your opinions about the study section you recently participated in. Your identity will be kept confidential and only aggregated responses will be used in our reports.

Please select how much you agree with the following statements.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
The panel was able to prioritize applications according to their impact and scientific merit.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The roster of reviewers was an appropriate assembly of scientific expertise for the set of applications in the meeting.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Assignment of applications to reviewers made appropriate use of their broad expertise.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The scientific discussions helped the panel evaluate the applications being reviewed.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please provide any comments about the quality of the scientific discussion and/or the panel's ability to score and rank the applications.

General Comments - Please provide any other additional comments about this study section.

Thank you for your participation.

Please click on the 'Submit' button below to send your responses and complete the survey.

Submit

100%