

NUCLEAR ENERGY INNOVATION AND MODERNIZATION ACT  
LOCAL COMMUNITY ADVISORY BOARD QUESTIONNAIRE

**BACKGROUND:**

As described in Section 108 of S.512, the Nuclear Energy Innovation and Modernization Act, issued on January 14, 2019, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is required to prepare a report to the Congress identifying best practices with respect to the establishment and operation of local community advisory boards, including lessons learned from any such board. The boards are intended to foster communication and information exchange between licensees planning for, and involved in, decommissioning activities and members of the community that decommissioning activities may affect. In an effort to compile this information, the NRC is soliciting your input.

**QUESTIONNAIRE:**<sup>1</sup>

Name/Organization:

Business e-mail address:

Is this feedback associated with a particular site? If so, which site?

**Questions applicable to sites where a local community advisory board (CAB) has been established. Please respond to the questions as applicable.**

- 1) Why was the local CAB established?
- 2) How and when was the local CAB established? Is there a charter for the CAB?
- 3) What is the historical and current frequency of the CAB meetings?
- 4) What is the historical and current composition of the local CAB?
- 5) What is the selection process for board members? Has this changed over the lifetime of the CAB?
- 6) What are the terms of board members?
- 7) Are there any specific rules or protocols followed by the CAB (e.g., Robert's Rules of Order)?

---

<sup>1</sup> This questionnaire contains information collections that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-XXXX, which expires MM/DD/YYYY. The burden is estimated to be 14 hours per response for sites where a local community advisory board (CAB) has been established and 8 hours for sites where one has not been established. Send comments regarding this burden estimate to the Information Services Branch (T6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by email to [INFOCOLLECTS.RESOURCE@NRC.GOV](mailto:INFOCOLLECTS.RESOURCE@NRC.GOV); and to the OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-XXXX), Attn: Desk Officer for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 725 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20503; e-mail: [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov). The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

8) Are there any specific logistics required to support the board's meetings and other routine activities? For example, are meetings transcribed, or close captioned, and/or is there audio/visual support for presentations at meetings?

9) Who sponsors (funds) the CAB expenses? What kinds of activities are included in the CAB budget (e.g., transcription service, audio/visual support, meeting venues, meals and per diem for CAB members)?

10) What topics have been (or could be) brought before a local CAB? What other topics could be useful to stakeholders' understanding of the decommissioning process?

11) How is the board's input used to inform the decision-making processes of stakeholders for various decommissioning activities?

12) What interactions does the local CAB have with the NRC and other Federal regulatory bodies (to support the board members' overall understanding of the decommissioning process and promote dialogue between the affected stakeholders and the licensee involved in decommissioning activities)?

13) How does the local CAB offer opportunities for public engagement throughout all phases of the decommissioning process?

14) In general, what are the advantages of having a local CAB?

15) In general, what are the disadvantages of having a local CAB?

16) Please share any best practices or other lessons learned related to having a local CAB.

**Questions applicable to sites where a local community advisory board (CAB) has not been established. Please respond to the questions as applicable.**

1) Has the licensee or State ever considered the establishment of a local CAB? When was it considered?

2) What are the reasons for not establishing a local CAB?

3) How does the licensee or State provide opportunities for public engagement throughout all phases of the decommissioning process?

4) In general, what are the advantages of not having a local CAB?

5) In general, what are the disadvantages of having a local CAB?