## APPENDIX B TEACHER LEADER APPLICANT BACKGROUND FORM

OMB No.: xxxx-xxxx Expiration Date: xx/xx/xxxx

## Impact Evaluation to Inform the Teacher and School Leader Incentive Program Conducted on behalf of the U.S. Department of Education TEACHER LEADER APPLICANT BACKGROUND FORM

District name:	
School name:	
A. Teacher's Background	
Applicant's first and last name:	
Applicant's district ID #	
Years of teaching experience:	In the district: Over career:
Gender:	
Race/ethnicity:	

## **B.** Initial Screening Information

Table B1. Supporting evidence of prior effectiveness over last three years

Applicant's effectiveness ratings	District scale (prefilled by study team)	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
a. Teaching location		☐ Within district	☐ Within district	☐ Within district
		$\square$ Within state	$\square$ Within state	☐ Within state
		Outside of district and state	Outside of district and state	Outside of district and state
b. Overall rating	<ol> <li>Distinguished</li> <li>Accomplished</li> <li>Effective</li> <li>Developing</li> </ol>	<u>  </u>	<u>  </u>	<u>  </u>
c. Overall rating based on classroom observations	<ol> <li>Distinguished</li> <li>Accomplished</li> <li>Effective</li> <li>Developing</li> </ol>	<u>  </u>	<u>  </u>	<u>  </u>
d. Value-added (if available): Overall	Scores – Continuous Ratings:  1. at or above +0.5, exceeds expected growth	Score:	Score:	Score:
	<ol> <li>between -0.5 and +0.5, meets expected growth</li> <li>at or below -0.5, does not meet expected growth</li> </ol>	Rating:	Rating:	Rating:
e. Value-added (if available): Math	Scores – Continuous Ratings:  1. at or above +0.5, exceeds expected growth	Score:	Score:	Score:
	<ul><li>2. between -0.5 and +0.5, meets expected growth</li><li>3. at or below -0.5, does not meet expected growth</li></ul>	Rating:	Rating:	Rating:
f. Value-added (if available): ELA	Scores – Continuous Ratings:  1. at or above +0.5, exceeds expected growth	Score:	Score:	Score:
	2. between -0.5 and +0.5, meets expected growth	Rating:	Rating:	Rating:

Applicant's District scale (prefiller study team)	d by	2018-19		2017-18			2016-17	
3. at or below -0.5, does n expected growth	ot meet							
g. Student 1. Exemplary								
achievement growth 2. Proficient (e.g. SLOs): Math 3. Needs Improvement			ı		<u>  </u>			<u>  </u>
(e.g. SLOs): Math  3. Needs Improvement 4. Unsatisfactory		_			ı—ı			
h. Student 1. Exemplary		ı	ı		1 1			1 1
achievement growth 2. Proficient (e.g. SLOs); ELA 3. Needs Improvement		I-	<u>l</u>		II			ll
(e.g. SLOs): ELA  3. Needs Improvement 4. Unsatisfactory								
i. Other evidence: (specify)								
Table B2. Initial Screening Summary								
Item	3	-Strong	2-Ассер	table	1- Bore	derline	0-U	nacceptable
Explanation of prior effectiveness data						]		
Short essay responses								
Cover letter								
Resume		ППП			П			
Overall score on initial screen					П			
Teacher moves to advanced screening	1 [	☐ Yes	o [	□ No				
C. Advanced Screening								
Table C1. Behavioral Event Interview								
		Level						
		High (5)				Low (1)		
				5	4	3	2	1
Competency: Achievement								
Competency: Impact & Influence								
Competency: (specify)								
Table C2. Skill Demonstrations								
					2-Wo	rking	1-	Needs
	4-A	dvanced	3-Prof	icient		ards		ovement
Coaching conversation				]				
Instruction (e.g., mini-lesson)								
Use of data				]				
Other demonstration (and if )				,	Г	7		
Other demonstration: (specify)					L			
Other demonstration: (specify)				]	L			
					L			
D. Overall Application Rating	<b>2</b> _C+						0-l Inac	
D. Overall Application Rating		rong	2-Accepta		1- Border			ceptable