Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost - NESHAP for Miscellaneous Coating ManufacturiHHHHH) (Renewal)

Burden item	(A) Person hours per occurrence	(B) No. of occurrences per respondent per year	(C) Person hours per respondent per year (AxB)	(D) Respondents per year ^a	(E) Technical person- hours per year (CxD)
1. Applications	N/A				
2. Survey and Studies	N/A				
3. Reporting Requirements					
A. Familiarization with the regulatory requirements ^c	4	1	4	43	172
B. Required Activities					
Initial CMS performance evaluation ^d	10	1	10	0	0
Create Information	See 4				
Gather Existing Information	See 4				
C. Write Reports					
Notification of construction/reconstruction	2	1	2	0	0
Notification of anticipated startup	2	1	2	0	0
Notification of actual startup	2	1	2	0	0
Notification of applicability of standard					
i. Existing sources	2	0	0	0	0
ii. New sources	2	1	2	0	0
Emissions averaging plan ^e	40	1	40	0	0
Pre-compliance report ^f	40	1	40	0	0
Notification of performance test/re-test ^g	2	1	2	0	0
Performance test/re-test report ^g	10	1	10	0	0
Notification of initial CMS performance evaluation	2	1	1	0	0
Notification of compliance status ^g					
i. With performance test	80	1	80	0	0
ii. Without performance test	120	1	120	0	0
Notification of process change ^h	8	1	8	4	32
Semi-annual compliance report - no deviations ⁱ	4	2	8	39	312
Semi-annual compliance report - with deviations ⁱ	12	2	24	4	96
Startup, shutdown, and malfunction report ^j	8	1	8	2	16
LDAR report ^k	125	2	250	43	10,750
Emissions averaging report ¹	20	1	20	4	80
Subtotal for Reporting Requirements					
4. Recordkeeping requirements					
A. Familiarization with the regulatory requirements	See 3A				
B. Plan activities	N/A				
C. Implement Activities	N/A				
D. Develop record system ^m	40	1	40	0	0
E. Develop startup, shutdown, malfunction plan ⁿ	100	1	100	0	0

F. Develop QA/QC Plan for CMS °	40	1	40	0	0
G. Time to enter information					
i. Records of startup, shutdown, and malfunction	1.5	1	1.5	43	65
ii. Records of CMS data					
a. Record continuously monitored parameters	1	365	365	43	15,695
b. Compile data	24	2	48	43	2,064
c. Information for semi-annual reports	16	2	32	43	1,376
d. LDAR recordkeeping	See 3C				
H. Calibration of CMS	376	1	376	43	16,168
I. Time to train personnel ^{p, q}	40	1	40	0	0
J. Refresher course ^q	16	1	16	43	688
K. Time for audits	N/A				0
Subtotal for Recordkeeping Requirements					
Total Labor Burden and Costs (rounded) ^r					
Total Capital and O&M Cost (rounded) ^r					
Grand Total (rounded) ^r					

Assumptions:

^{a.} There are 43 existing major source facilities subject to the NESHAP. We assume no new sources will become subject during

^{b.} This ICR uses the following labor rates for privately-owned sources: \$141.06 for managerial, \$120.27 for technical, and \$58 from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 2019, "Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupation from column 1, "Total compensation." The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages avail industry

^{c.} Assume all 43 facilities will re-familiarize with the regulatory requirements each year.

^d Assumes 10 hours to conduct a CMS performance evaluation and 2 hours to prepare a notification. Initial CMS performance

^{e.} Assumes that all existing facilities have complied with the emissions averaging requirements; new facilities are not allowed

^{f.} Assumes 50 percent of the new facilities will submit a pre-compliance report.

- ^g Assumes all facilities will comply by submitting engineering calculations and design calculations, and that no facilities will
- ^h Assumes 10 percent of the facilities will implement process changes each year over the three year period of this ICR.
- ^{i.} Assumes 10 percent of the facilities will have deviations and 90% of facilities will have no deviations.
- ^{j.} Assumes 5% of all facilities will report actions taken during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction that is not consistent with th
- ^k Assumes all facilities will be subject to the equipment leak standards. Assume an average of 125 hours per report.
- ¹ Assumes that 10 percent of existing facilities will use the emissions averaging reports to comply.
- ^{m.} Assumes 40 hours to develop a record system for recording parameter monitoring information.
- ⁿ Assumes 80 hours to draft the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan and another 20 hours of review/revisions, for a total
- ^{o.} Assumes 40 hours to develop/review the QA/QC plan for the CMS. No QA/QC plan is required for the parameter monitorin
- ^{p.} Assumes no facilities will use the alternative standard, which requires CEMS and QA/QC plans.
- ^{q.} Assumes 40 hours to train personnel and 16 hours for an annual refresher course.
- ^{r.} Totals have been rounded to 3 significant figures. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding.

ng (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart

(F) Manageme nt person hours per year (Ex0.05)	(G) Clerical person hours per year (Ex0.1)	(H) Total Cost Per year ^b
9	17	\$22,909
	17	<i>\</i>
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0.0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
2	3	\$4,262
16	31	\$41,555
5	10	\$12,786
1	2	\$2,131
538	1,075	\$1,431,793
4	8	\$10,655
13,177		\$1,526,091
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0

Labor Rates				
Management	\$141.06			
Technical	\$120.27			
Clerical	\$58.67			

0	0	\$0
3	6	\$8,591
785	1570	\$2,090,417
103	206	\$274,904
69	138	\$183,269
808.4	1,616.8	\$2,153,416
0	0	\$0
34.4	68.8	\$91,635
0	0	\$0
41,464		\$4,802,232
54,600		\$6,330,000
		\$907,000
		\$7,240,000

; the three-year period of this ICR.

3.67 for clerical labor. These rates are nal and industry group." The rates are lable to those employed by private

• evaluation is only required for new sources to use emissions averaging.

do performance tests.

ıe plan.

of 100 hours. 1g systems included in the rule.

Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost - NESHAP for Miscellaneous Coating ManufactuSubpart HHHHH) (Renewal)

Activity	(A) EPA Hours per Occurrence	(B) Number of Occurrenc es per Year	(C) EPA Hours per Year (AxB)	(D) Plants per Year ª	(E) Technical Hours per Year (CxD)
Notifications/Reports					
A. Review Notification of Construction/Reconstruction	2	1	2	0	0
B. Review Notification of Anticipated Startup	2	1	2	0	0
C. Review Notification of Actual Startup	2	1	2	0	0
D. Review Notification of Applicability of Standard	2	1	2	0	0
E. Review Notification of Initial Performance Test ^c	2	1	2	0	0
F. Review Performance Test Report ^c	8	1	8	0	0
G. Review Repeat Performance Test Report ^{c, d}	8	1	8	0	0
H. Review Notification of Initial CMS Performance Evaluation ^e	2	1	2	0	0
I. CMS Performance Evaluation ^e	4	1	4	0	0
J. Review Emissions Averaging Plan ^f	12	1	12	0	0
K. Review Pre-compliance Report ^g	2	1	2	0	0
L. Review Notification of Compliance Status ^h					
i. With performance test	4	1	4	0	0
ii. Without performance test	4	1	4	0	0
M. Review Notification of Process Change ⁱ	6	1	6	4	24
N. Review Semiannual Compliance Report ^j					
i. No deviations	2	1	2	39	78
ii. Deviations	4	1	4	4	16
O. Startup, shutdown, and malfunction report ^k	2	1	2	2	4
R. LDAR report ¹	2	1	2	43	86
S. Emissions averaging report ^m	4	1	4	4	16
TOTAL (rounded) ⁿ					

Assumptions:

^{a.} There are 43 existing major source facilities subject to the NESHAP. No new sources are expected to become subject over 1 ^{b.} This ICR uses the following labor rates: \$66.62 for managerial, \$49.44 for technical, and \$26.75 for clerical labor. These Personnel Management (OPM), 2019 General Schedule, which excludes locality rates of pay. The rates have been increased benefit packages available to government employees.

^{c.} Assumes all facilities will comply by submitting engineering calculations based on: materials usage, materials HAP content (if applicable). Assumes no facilities will do performance tests.

^{d.} Assume a 5% failure and re-test rate.

^{e.} Initial CMS performance evaluation is only required for new sources. Assumes no performance evaluations are required for included in the rule.

^{f.} Assumes that all existing facilities have already submitted emissions averaging plans.

^{g.} Assumes 50 percent of the new facilities will submit a pre-compliance report.

^{h.} Assumes all facilities will comply by submitting engineering calculations, design calculations, etc. with no performance tes

- ^{i.} Assumes 10 percent of the facilities will implement process changes each year over the three year period of this ICR.
- ^{j.} Assumes 10 percent of the facilities will have deviations and 90% of facilities will have no deviations.
- ^k Assumes 5% of all facilities will report actions taken during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction that is not consistent with
- ¹ Assumes all facilities will be subject to the equipment leak standards.
- ^{m.} Assumes that 10 percent of existing facilities will use the emissions averaging reports to comply.
- ^{n.} Totals have been rounded to 3 significant figures. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding.

ıring (40 CFR Part 63,

(F) Manageria l Hours per Year (Ex0.05)	(G) Clerical Hours per Year (Ex0.10)	(H) Total cost per year, \$ b
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
0	0	\$0
1.2	2.4	\$1,330.70
3.9	7.8	\$4,324.79
0.8	1.6	\$887.14
0.2	0.4	\$221.78
4.3	8.6	\$4,768.36
0.8	1.6	\$887.14
258		\$12,400

Labor	Rates
Managemen	\$66.62
Technical	\$49.44
Clerical	\$26.75

the three-year period of this ICR. rates are from the Office of by 60 percent to account for the

t, and control efficiency from testing

the parameter monitoring systems

sts.

the plan.

Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs							
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)			
Process	Capital Startup Cost	Number of Respondents	Total Capital/ Startup Cost (B x C)	Annual O&M Costs for One Respondent			
Process Vessels	\$30,000	0	\$0	\$16,000			
Transfer Operations	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,100			
Wastewater Systems	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000			
Totals (rounded)			\$0				

Note: Totals have been rounded to three significant figures.

Number of Respondents							
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)			
Year	Number of New Respondents ¹	Number of Existing Respondents	Number of Existing Respondents That Keep Records But Do Not Submit Reports	Number of Existing Respondents That Are Also New Respondents			
1	0	43	0	0			
2	0	43	0	0			
3	0	43	0	0			
Average	0	43	0	0			

Total Annual Responses						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)		
Information Collection Activity	Number of Respondents	Number of Responses	Number of Existing Respondents That Keep Records But Do Not Submit Reports	Total Annual Responses E=(BxC)+D		
Notification of construction/reconstruction	0	1	N/A	0		
Notification of anticipated startup	0	1	N/A	0		
Notification of actual startup	0	1	N/A	0		
Notification of applicability of standard	0	1	N/A	0		
Emission averaging plan	0	1	N/A	0		
Pre-compliance report	0	1	N/A	0		
Notification of initial performance test	0	1	N/A	0		
Notification of initial CMS performance evaluation	0	1	N/A	0		

Notification of compliance status	0	1	N/A	0
Notification of process change ^a	4	1	N/A	4
Semiannual report	43	2	N/A	86
Startup, shutdown, malfunction report ^b	2	1	N/A	2
LDAR report ^c	43	2	N/A	86
Emission averaging report ^d	4	1	N/A	4
			Total (rounded)	182

^a Assumes 10 percent of the facilities will implement process changes each year over the three-year period of this ICR.

^b Assumes 5% of all facilities will report actions taken during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction that is not consistent

^c Assumes all facilities will be subject to the equipment leak standards.

^d Assumes that 10 percent of existing facilities will use the emissions averaging reports to comply.

(F)	(G)
Number of Respondents with O&M	Total O&M, (E x F)
43	\$688,000
43	\$133,300
43	\$86,000
	\$907,000

\$907,000

(E)	
Number of	
Despondents	
Respondents	
(E=A+B+C-D)	
43	
43	
43	
43	

300 hours/response

with the plan.