Attachment T9. Resource Management Comprehensive Review Form

This information is being collected from State agencies, school food authorities, schools. This is a revision of a currently approved information collection. The Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (NSLA) 42 U.S.C. § 1758, as amended, authorizes the National School Lunch Program (NSLP). This information is required to administer and operate this program in accordance with the NSLA. Under the Privacy Act of 1974, any personally identifying information obtained will be kept private to the extent of the law. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0584-0006. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 47.5 hours of reporting burden per response. The burden consists of the time it takes for the State agency to conduct the off-site portion of the review which includes scheduling of the review and the completion of the Off-site Assessment, Resource Management Risk Indicator, and Site Selection Tools. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Services, Office of Policy Support, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1014, Alexandria, VA 22302, ATTN: PRA (0584-0006). Do not return the completed form to this address.

This form is for the use of the State Agency (SA) reviewer in conducting Resource Management (RM) comprehensive reviews. A RM comprehensive review must be completed for each Resource Management area in which one or more risk indicators are assessed in the *Resource Management Risk Indicator Tool* during the Administrative Review. The SA reviewer is expected to contact the SFA and collect the information and documentation needed to answer the questions on this form. The RM comprehensive review in its entirety can be completed onsite, off-site if the SA reviewer is able to secure the necessary documentation from the SFA for an off-site review, or through a combination of off-site and on-site.

This form includes four review areas, each corresponding with one of the Resource Management modules in the Administrative Review manual:

- Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account
- Paid Lunch Equity
- Revenue from Nonprogram Foods
- Indirect Costs

Reviewers must document findings and corrective action, as well as technical assistance provided to the SFA. For further direction on how to complete this form, please refer to the appropriate module in the Administrative Review Manual.

Documents for the RM comprehensive review should be gathered for the Resource Management review period. The RM review period chosen by the State agency may be either the previous school year or last audited school year. When reviewing the Paid Lunch Equity and/or Revenue from Nonprogram Foods, however, the SA has the option of reviewing the current school year if sufficient financial documentation is available rather than the previous or last audited school year. The SA should note the review period used in the appropriate review module.

Corrective action for findings identified during the RM review period must be implemented for the entire Resource Management review period and, if applicable, during the Administrative Review period. Further, internal control procedures and/or processes should be adopted by the SFA to ensure that the noncompliance identified during the RM review period will not reoccur or continue in the future. If noncompliance is identified during the RM review period, State agencies have discretion to examine financial documents from the current school year to determine if additional corrective action is necessary. For instance, if Smith Unified School District is found by the SA to have charged the nonprofit school food service account the wrong indirect cost rate during a review of the SFA's financial records from the previous school year, the corrective action required would be to require the SFA to ensure that the correct indirect cost rate(s) is applied to the previous school year and to the current school year. Internal controls should also be adopted by the SFA to ensure that the correct indirect cost rate is applied in future years. Smith Unified School District would also need to replace funds to the nonprofit school food service account for the difference between the approved indirect cost

rate and the indirect cost rate actually applied to the school district, if the rate charged was higher than was allowed, during the Resource Management review period.

Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account
Note the RM review period used by the SA to assess Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service:
☐ Previous School Year ☐ Last Audited School Year
Nonprofit School Food Service Account and Year End Available Balance
To monitor compliance, the SA must determine the revenue shortfall or excess available at the end of the RM review period. This amount should include the beginning fund balance including carryover applied to the nonprofit school food service account for the RM review period (i.e., the fund balance or carryover funds from the year prior to the RM review period). The SA should use the SFA's "Statement of Revenues and Expenses" or similar documentation to conduct the following calculations for the RM review period:
Fund balance or carryover from year prior to RM review period + Total Revenues - Total Expenses = Revenue Shortfall or Excess
Step 1 — Total Nonprofit Food Service Revenues for the SFA Determine the SFA's available revenues for the RM review period. Revenues should include the beginning fund balance.
Record the total revenue below or explain why this was not available:
Step 2 — Total Expenses Determine the total operating and nonoperating expenses incurred in the operation or improvement of the nonprofit food service program. Record the total expenses below:

Subtract total expenses (Step 2) from total nonprofit food service revenue (Step 1). Record the

Step 3 — Year End Balance

value below or describe why this was not available. Record all elements of the calculation below: Calculation: 1) Were the SFA's year-end expenses greater than its year-end revenues, requiring a general fund transfer to cover the balance? ☐ Yes □ No If yes, record the amount of the deficit: 2) If yes, were general funds transferred into the account to cover the entire deficit? ☐ YES ☐ Not Applicable If yes, record date, source of non-Federal funds and amount of transfer(s) into the nonprofit school food service account: If no, describe what the SFA did to resolve the deficit.

Nonprofit School Food Service Account and Limitation on Net Cash Resources

Step 1a — Calculate net cash resources:

Using the SFA's year-end Balance Sheet (or comparable documentation) for the RM review period, subtract the SFA's current liabilities from its current assets (excluding inventories) to obtain the SFA's net cash resources.

Step 2a – Calculate net adjusted total operating expenses:

Using the SFA's Statement of Revenues and Expenses for the RM Review period, determine the SFA's total operating expenses (minus depreciation).

Step 3a – Calculate average monthly expenses:

Divide the total operating expenses (calculated in Step 2a) by the number of the SFA's operating months (typically 9 or 10 months) to obtain the SFA's average monthly expenses.

Step 4a: Calculate average expenses for 3 month period:

Multiply the SFA's average monthly expense amount (calculated in Step 3a) by 3 to obtain the SFA's 3 month average monthly expenses.

Document calculations for	Step 1a throug	gh Step 4a:		
Step 5a: Determine comp	lianco with 2	month not	rach rocource lim	nitation:
Compare the SFA's net cast three month average mor	sh resource ar	mount calcu	lated in Step 1a c	
3) Did the SFA's net cash r	esources exce	eed its 3 mo	nth average mon	thly expenses?
	□ YE	S	□ NO	
Comments:				
4) If yes, did the SFA deve	lop a spend d	own plan ap	proved by the St	ate agency?
	☐ YES	□ NO	☐ Not Applica	ble
If yes, record date of appro	oved plan:			
If no, describe the circums accumulation of cash for a	_		xceed the maximu	m 3 months, such as an

	es, is the SFA on t he three month r	·		ss cash resources so that it is in compliance
		☐ YES	□ NO	□ Not Applicable
Com	ments:			
Intern	nal Controls			
funds		ges to the nonpro	•	to maintain effective oversight over federa ood service are allowable (2 CFR 200.303)?
	_	_	-	g allowable costs. who attend in comments box
mone	Separation of d y are not also inv			who receive or manage the collection of funds.
from	A written policy being used to cov	•	e use of no	nprofit school food service account funds
	=		_	lost or stolen (examples: lockboxes for scollecting cash at the POS)
	Other/addition	al internal contro	ls (list in co	mments)
Com	ments:			

7) Did the SFA pro	ovide nonreimb	oursable me	als free of charge to students?
	☐ YES	□ NO	
Comments:			
O) If you did the C			estale respond the number of free negroimburgable
weals served?	FA nave a prod	cess to accur	rately record the number of free nonreimbursable
	☐ YES	□NO	□ Not Applicable
Comments:			
Allowable Costs			
9) If the SFA encu period, how did it	ensure that o	nly allowabl	paid student meal accounts during the RM review e non-Federal revenues were used to restore iidance and Q&A SP 23-2017)
Comments:			

Equipment Purchases:

during the RM revi	ew period that o	ost at or ab	ove the ca	ants, did the SFA purchase equipment apitalization level established by the pove \$5,000 (whichever was less)?
	I	□ YES		□ NO
Comments:				
	ire prior approv	al from the S		State agency equipment list or did the new percent of the new perchasing the equipment
	☐ YES	□NO	□ Not	Applicable
				purchased and list the amount(s) of rofit school food service account:
		_		iew period, did the SFA deposit any ofit school food service account?
	☐ YES		NO	☐ Not Applicable
Comments:				

Allowable Costs Test:

The State agency must:

- Select a sample representing at least 10% of expenses in several categories; or
- Select one full months' worth of expenses in several categories from the RM review period using the SFA's detailed general ledger or comparable document.

If conducting a procurement review of the SFA in the same year as the Administrative Review/Resource Management review, the State agency may use the SFA's vendor paid list.

(More detail is provided regarding the sampling process in the Administrative Review Manual

under the Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Module).
13) Did all recorded expenses represent an activity or function recognized as reasonable, necessary, allocable and otherwise compliant with the provisions of 2 CFR 200 Subpart E?
□ YES □ NO
If no, record any unallowable expenses, including purpose of expenditure(s) and amount(s):
Document corrective action required, which must include repayment of the unallowable expenditures to the nonprofit school food service account:
14) Did the SFA maintain records that adequately identified the source and use of funds for food service activities [2 CFR 200.302(b)(3)]?
Comments:

Paid Lunch Equity
Note the RM review period used by the SA to assess the Paid Lunch Equity:
☐ Previous School Year ☐ Last Audited School Year ☐ Current School Year
Review procedures for State agencies reviewing the SFA's current school year:
Obtain a balance sheet or comparable documentation for the SFA that details the SFA's balance in its nonprofit school food service account as of January 31, 2018.
1) Did the SFA have a positive or zero balance in the nonprofit school food service account on January 31, 2018?
□ YES □ NO
Note the balance in the nonprofit school food service account:
If yes to Question 1, the Paid Lunch Equity review is completedo not complete the remaining Paid Lunch Equity questions. If no, proceed to the next question.
2) If the SFA had a negative balance in its nonprofit school food service account, did it complete the PLE Tool or a comparable mechanism to assess its need to raise its paid lunch prices for the current school year?
□ YES □ NO
If no, detail why the SFA did not assess its compliance and note any corrective action required by the SA.

If the SFA did not assess its compliance with the PLE requirements, the State agency must require corrective action and provide technical assistance. Once corrective action is assessed under Q2, the comprehensive review of the PLE is complete.

If the SFA completed the PLE Tool or a comparable mechanism to assess its need to raise its paid lunch prices for the current school year, the State agency should answer the review questions below, starting with Q2 below, for PLE reviews using financial data from the RM review period (previous school year or last audited school year).

Review procedures for State agencies reviewing the SFA's RM review period (previous school year or last audited school year):

1)	Did the SFA assess its compliance with the Paid Lunch Equity requirements under 7 CFR 210.14(e) during the RM review period by completing the FNS Paid Lunch Equity Tool or a comparable substitute?			
	☐ YES	□ NC		
If SA	•	ess its compliance and n	ote any corrective action required by the	

If the SFA did not assess its compliance with the PLE requirements, the State agency must require corrective action and provide technical assistance. Once corrective action is assessed under Q1, the comprehensive review of the PLE is complete.

If the SFA completed the PLE Tool or a comparable mechanism, gather the following information:

- The SFA's calculations for the RM review period used to determine if the SFA needed to increase its paid lunch price. This could be a SFA-completed Paid Lunch Equity Tool or alternative documentation
- All paid lunch prices and the respective number of paid lunches served for October of the School Year prior to the RM Review Period.
- Total paid lunches claimed in the second preceding school year (needed if using non-federal funds)

Paid Lunch Equity Tool

Validate the SFA's Paid Lunch Equity calculations by cross-checking the data the reviewer gathered above with data used by the SFA. If the data is different, verify the calculations by inputting the figures gathered from the SFA into the USDA Paid Lunch Equity Tool OR complete the PLE Tool for the RM Review Period with historical paid lunch data on file with the SA.

2) Did the SFA correctly determine the paid lunch pricing?				
		□ YES	□NO	
If yes, record the av	erage weighted	paid lunch price	. If no, record any errors.	
•		e SFA must inc ose one of the	rease its paid lunch pric following)	es, how did the SFA
The SFA used non	federal funds			
The SFA increased	paid lunch pri	ces 🗆		
The SFA used a co	mbination of p	rice increases a	and nonfederal funds \Box	
The SFA was grant unnecessary □	ted a one year	exemption by t	he State Agency so a pri	ice increase was
None of the above	e 🗆			
If none of the abo	ve, document t	the corrective a	iction required:	
Comments:				
If the SFA used no sources of nonfed		to support its	oaid lunch prices, identi	fy and review the SFA's
Record the docum	nents used for v	validation and t	he sources of nonfeder	al funds:
Supporting Paid L	unch Prices			
4) If the SFA used non-Federal sources to support its paid lunch prices, were the sources allowable, of a sufficient amount, and appropriately recorded in the nonprofit school food service account?				
☐ YES	□NO	□ Not App	licable: Non-Federal fur	nds were not used

Comments:			
Revenue from Nonprogram Foods			
Note the RM review period used by the SA to assess Revenue from Nonprogram Foods:			
☐ Previous School Year ☐ Last Audited School Year ☐ Current School Year			
 Nonprogram Revenues and Costs Gather the following information: Documentation detailing how the SFA accounts for and separates its program food revenues from its nonprogram food revenues; Documentation detailing how the SFA accounts for and separates its program food costs from its nonprogram food costs; Documentation detailing how the SFA determined its compliance with the nonprogram foods revenue requirements under 7 CFR 210.14(f) What categories of nonprogram foods and/or beverages does the SFA sell through its nonprofit school food service? 			
☐ Adult Meals (e.g.: meals for teachers, parents, etc.)			
☐ Catering (e.g.: food provided for Teacher Appreciation Day, meals for a sports banquet, food items provided for outside organizations)			
☐ Meals/food and beverages supplied to another school district (meals are not being claimed for reimbursement by the school supplying the food) or program (e.g. Head Start)			
☐ Food and/or beverages via vending machines (e.g.: water, snacks)			
\square A-la-carte (e.g.: milk for kids who bring a lunch from home, 2^{nd} entrees, Smart Snacks including beverages)			
☐ Fundraisers (e.g.: SFA supplies products for school-based fundraisers)			
☐ School stores (e.g.: Smart Snack compliant chips, coffee)			

receive	_		ss(es) did the SFA use to ensure that al re deposited into the SFA's nonprofit s	
Describe	processes:			
Catering/S	School Events			
If the SFA catering su		ne nonprofit school fo	od service to pay for food and labor fo	r
• Pro		=	al school departments, officials, events	j,
• Pro	=	r beverages to externa	Il organizations for fundraisers, other ϵ	events,
within t externa	the school (if applications (if a	cable) and an invoice(sapplicable). Determine	one event or meeting the SFA provided) for food/beverages the SFA provided from the SFA's detailed general ledge he nonprofit school food service accou	to r if the
Docum SFA:	ent the date and am	ount of the catered mea	als/food items billed and collected by the	
•	evenue from cater	ing and/or vending ac	tivities accrue to the SFA's nonprofit so	chool
	☐ YES	□ NO	☐ Not Applicable	
If not, li		enues that should have	accrued to the nonprofit school food serv	rice
Resource IV	unagement compre	.nensive neview roini		

Adult Meals

FNS Instruction 782-5 Rev. 1 specifies that school food authorities must, to the extent possible, ensure that the federal reimbursements, children's payments, and other nondesignated nonprofit food service revenues do not subsidize program meals served to adults. Also, while donated foods may be used in the preparation of adult meals, the current per-meal value of entitlement and/or bonus donated food must be taken into consideration when establishing the prices charged to adults for meals. Adult meal prices for breakfast and lunch are typically calculated by adding the paid meal price plus the value of donated food assistance (entitlement and bonus commodities). For nonpricing programs, the adult charge should be at least the amount of reimbursement received for a free lunch plus the per-meal value of both entitlement and bonus commodities or for breakfasts, the rate established for free meals plus the value of bonus commodities.

priced source benef	I so that the adult payme es designated specifically	nt in combination w for the support of a	inistrators, custodians and other adults ith any per-lunch revenues from other dult meals (such as State or local fringe agencies) was sufficient to cover the
	☐ YES	□ NO	□ Not Applicable
Describe	the formula used by the SF	A to price adult meals	
	A did not price adult meals s in the SFA's adult meal pric		necessary corrective action, including an repancy:
5) If the SFA served adult meals at nonpricing sites and/or served adult meals free of charge to adults not directly involved in the meal service, determine how the nonprofit school food service was reimbursed in full for the cost of all adult meals served. Was the SFA's process for recovering the full cost of adult meals served sufficient?			
	☐ YES	□NO	☐ Not Applicable

Describe the SFA's process for collecting revenues for adult meals served. If the process wasn't sufficient, document the reason(s) why in the comment box.			
Assessing Compliance with the	Nonprogram Food	s Revenue/Cost Ratio	
6) Did the SFA assess its compli	ance with the Reve	enue from Nonprogram Foo	ods requirements?
	☐ YES	□ NO	
Comments:			
7) If yes, what process did the S nonprogram food requirements			venue from
☐ USDA Nonprogram Food Rev	enue Tool		
☐ 5-Day Reference Period –refe	erence in FNS Polic	y Memo SP20-2016	
□ Other			
☐ None of the above			
□ Not Applicable			
Community			
Comments:			

When an SFA assesses compliance with the Revenue from Nonprogram Food requirements in 7 CFR 210.14(f), the SFA must ensure that it includes all of its nonprogram food costs and revenues in its calculations as applicable, that the reference period it used was sufficient if the SFA used a 5 Day Reference Period as described in FNS Policy Memo SP 20-2016, and that the revenue and food cost ratios were calculated correctly.

8)	Did the SFA:					
	a) Include in its food cost ratio or five-day reference period calculation the cost of any nonprogram foods it provides for free to students or adults?					
		☐ YES	□ №	☐ Not Applicable		
	Comments:					
	b) Include all of its r	onprogram reve	enues and costs	s in its calculation*?		
		☐ YES	□ NO	☐ Not Applicable		
	*The SFA is not required to include catering when using a 5 day reference period but catering should be included if the Nonprogram Foods Revenue Tool is instead used to assess compliance.					
Cc	omments:					
	c) Use a sufficient reference period as described in FNS Policy Memo SP 20-2016?					
		☐ YES	□ NO	☐ Not Applicable		

Comments:			
			Nonprogram Foods calculations below
by inputting the SFA's nonprog	ram toods in	itormation:	
Revenue ratio: Nonprogram Food Reven			Food cost ratio: Nonprogram Food Costs
Total program + nonprogram rev			gram foods + nonprogram food costs
Total program + nonprogram lev	enue	Total pro	gram roods + nonprogram rood costs
9) Did the SFA correctly calc	culate its nor	nprogram food	ratio and its food cost ratio?
	l YES	□ NO	☐ Not Applicable
Comments:			
10) If the SEA found that its row	onuo ratio v	vac loss than it	s food cost ratio, did the SFA take
additional steps to sufficiently i	ncrease its r	nonprogram fo	od prices, add sufficient funds to its to adequately resolve the problem?
□ Ү	'ES	□ №	□ Not Applicable
Describe actions taken by the SI	-Λ to increase	its revenue rat	io if applicable:
Describe actions taken by the si	A to increase	e its revenue rat	ю, п аррпсавіє.

Unique Situations

11) After assessing its compliance with the nonprogram foods revenue/cost ratio, an SFA that sells a limited number of nonprogram foods with an identifiable per-serving cost (i.e. milk) may find that it must still add funds to its nonprofit school food service account despite selling its nonprogram foods at prices that cover its full costs. State agencies may review these SFAs to determine if the compliance assessment does not fully represent the SFA's pricing practices for nonprogram foods and if it does not, the SA may instead base compliance on whether or not the SFA is recovering more than the per-serving food cost of these nonprogram food items (See Q&A #3 in FNS Policy Memo 20-2016).

	☐ YES	□ NO	☐ Not Applicable	
Comments:				
		Indirect Costs		
		indirect Costs		
te the RM review	period used by the S	A to assess In	direct Costs:	
_	evious School Year	П I I А	dited School Year	

Indirect Costs

In order to complete the indirect costs review, please collect the following information:

- The SFA's Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (ICRA);
- The SFA's Statement of Revenues and Expenses listing revenues and expenditures by category;
- Documentation of the indirect cost rate used by the SFA;
- Documentation of the direct cost base dollar amount used;
- The calculation used to obtain the amount of indirect costs charged to the SFA.

Indirect Cost Rate Agreement

1)	Did the SFA obtain an appro other State Agency?	ved indirect (cost rate from th	ne State Education Agency (SEA) or
	oute: 0.000	☐ YES	□ N	0
R	Record the approved indirect cos	st rate:		
2)	_	mentation ex	plaining how the	vided for in the indirect cost rate e difference would be handled with eness/gift)?
	☐ YES	5	□ NO	□ N/A
C	Comments:			
3)	prrect Rate/Base Calculation Did the SFA apply the correct ecord the applied indirect cost ra	☐ YES	st rate for the ap	• •
K	ecord the applied mairect cost is	ate: 		
4)	Did the SFA apply the correc	t indirect cos	st rate to the cor	rect direct cost base?
		☐ YES	□ N	0
Re	ecord the direct cost base:			
	direct Cost Consistency Were school food service ac	counts charg	ged indirect costs	consistently across the SFA?
С	Comments:			

Applying Indirect Costs to Closed Out Years

6)	6) Confirm that the nonprofit school food service account was not charged in the year being reviewed for indirect costs paid during past years through the general fund. Did such charges occur?				
		☐ YES		□NO	
If	yes, record the years and amou	nts:			
7)	_	lid a loan agr In agreement	eement e	nool food service account but had not been exist and did accounting records support	
If	yes, record date of loan agreem	ent			
	•	school food s	service aco	count was not charged directly for bool (double dipping). Did such charges	
Ī	If yes, record the amount of expe	enses:			
9)	school food service account	ation to supp		ndirect costs charged to the nonprofit ☐ NO	
	Comments:				