OMB CONTROL NUMBER: 0704-0553 OMB EXPIRATION DATE: 03/31/2022

AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information, 0704-0553, is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or burden reduction suggestions to the Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, at whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-informationcollections@mail.mil. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

Unc	lerstanding	t t	he	NDS NDS

	State of the Strategy Questionnaire					
<u>Ur</u>	nderstanding the NDS					
1.	How would you characterize the central theme of the NDS?					
2.	How well is the NDS understood in your community (e.g., think tank, academia, industry, etc.)? What are common misconceptions?					
T	plamouting the NDC					
	plementing the NDS					
3.	What key Department of Defense decisions and/or actions in the past year do you see as aligned or misaligned with the NDS?					
4.	What is the greatest obstacle that the Department faces in NDS implementation? How mig					

ght the Department overcome this obstacle?

5.		-	-	nt of Defense ace NDS obje		engage with	the broader U.S.
Ass	sessing	the NDS					
6.				is having the ve to ten year		cts with allies	s and adversaries
7.	7. What key NDS assumptions about the strategic environment remain valid today? Conversely, what new strategic challenges or opportunities have developed since the NDS publication? How would you adjust the NDS to address those challenges (if at all)?						
8.	8. For each timeframe below, please rank the relative risk to U.S. interests posed by each act on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 = lowest risk and 5 = highest risk. Please use each ranking only once per row. For example, rank which actor poses the greatest risk today, which poses the second greatest risk today, etc. Then do the same relative actor ranking for each other timeframe.						
		China	Iran	North Korea	Russia	Terrorist groups	Other:
Today		Cillia	11 (111	rorea	างนออเฉ	Бтопра	Ouici
5-10 y							
10-20							
10-20	years						

20+ years

9. For each actor below, please rank the relative risk to U.S. interests that the actor poses in each timeframe on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1= lowest risk and 4 = highest risk. Please use each ranking only <u>once per row</u>. For example, rank when China poses the highest risk, when China poses the second highest risk, when China poses the third highest risk, and when China poses the lowest risk. Then do the same timeframe ranking for each other actor.

	Today	5-10 years	10-20 years	20+ years
China				
Iran				
North Korea				
Russia				
Terrorist groups				
Other:				

10. What missions or force structure should the Department reduce? Why?