



OMB Control Number: 3150-0217
Expires: 12/31/2020

Dear RIC 2020 attendees:


Thank you for making the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 32nd Annual Regulatory Information Conference (RIC) such a success! To build on the achievements made and to address any challenges from our 2020 conference, we are asking you to complete this short survey. Please note that this survey takes approximately eight (8) minutes to complete, and that your responses are kept confidential. Data will only be presented in aggregate form.


Once again, we thank you for your support of the RIC!

Public Burden Statement: The estimated burden to respond to this voluntary information collection is eight (8) minutes. The information provided will be used to determine areas of improvement for future conferences. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person not required to respond to, the information collection.

Click the button below to begin the survey.

Begin Survey





GENERAL


1. Including this year, how many NRC Regulatory Information Conferences have you attended?


- This year was my first conference
- This year was my second conference
- I have attended 3 to 5 conferences
- I have attended more than 5 conferences

2. Which **best** describes your employment affiliation?

- NRC Employee
 - Other government agency, contractor or consultant (federal, state, local)
 - A nuclear industry plant/utility, or contractor/consultant
 - An international organization
 - An educational institution
 - A public interest group
 - Other (Please specify)
-

Next






3. I attended the 2020 NRC RIC because...

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The conference provides new or emerging, and relevant information on nuclear regulation.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I could get the latest research information by attending the conference.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The conference addressed current issues of nuclear safety.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The conference addressed current issues of nuclear security.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The conference is easily accessible.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The conference offered an opportunity to network with others in my field.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I believe that attending this conference is helpful to my future career goals and advancement.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I can voice my opinions and share insights with others in the field of nuclear regulation.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next




Survey Completion 0% 100%

4. How much did each of the following factors influence your decision to attend this year's conference?

	Very Much	Somewhat	Only a little	Not at all
The metro accessibility of the conference	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The free registration of the conference	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The cost of the hotel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next







5. CONFERENCE FACILITY

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The conference facilities provided an environment to support the objectives of the RIC.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The conference facility staff were courteous and professional.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next







6. PLENARY SESSIONS

Please evaluate the following:

	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Did Not Attend
The entire conference program overall	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The Opening Session	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The Commissioner plenary sessions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Special plenary session (if applicable)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next






7. TECHNICAL SESSIONS

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The technical sessions met my expectations based on how they were promoted.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The information presented was relevant and specific enough to be useful to me in my work.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
There was enough time for me to ask questions and obtain answers during the technical sessions.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next







8. DIGITAL EXHIBITIONS OR LIVE/HANDS-ON DEMONSTRATIONS

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The digital exhibit and live/hands-on demonstration displays met my expectations based on how they were promoted.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The information presented was relevant and specific enough to be useful to me in my work.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next





9. TOURS

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?


	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The tours met my expectations based on how they were promoted.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
There was enough time for me to ask questions during the tours.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. SPECIAL EVENTS

Please evaluate the following:

	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Did Not Attend
The Future is Now Exhibits	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Special Event: FWPAC Luncheon	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next







11. MATERIALS AND SUPPORT

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The conference information on the RIC website was current, informative, and timely.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The online registration and automated confirmation system was easy to use.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The onsite registration process was smooth and efficient.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The RIC App helped me to navigate the conference.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The RIC mobile optimized agenda was convenient helpful to me.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I followed social media (NRC Blog, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, Flickr) for information about the conference.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Next





OVERALL


12. How much do you agree or disagree that the RIC met its overall objectives to "provide a communication forum and to encourage openness in areas regarding nuclear safety and security initiatives and regulatory issues", and "to provide attendees with new, important, pertinent and useful information."

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

13. How would you rate your overall experience at this year's RIC?

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Needs improvement

Next




Survey Completion 0% — 100%

FUTURE PLANNING

14. As we continue to transform the RIC, what would you like to see at future conferences? Describe below:

15. In conclusion, please feel free to elaborate on any of your responses above, or share any feedback that would be helpful to RIC organizers in planning the next RIC:

Next



Survey Completion
0% ————— 100%

Thank you for your time, and we look forward to seeing you at next year's RIC.

To submit your responses, click the button below.

Next

