Examiner Performance Assessment

Your assessment of the examiners on your team is very helpful to us in creating training and development activities, as well as making future selection decisions.

Please assess the performance of all the examiners on your team, including seniors and alums. The survey should take approximately 20 minutes to complete.

Thank you!

OMB Control #0693-0079 Expiration date: 10/31/2019

Expiration date: 10/31/2019
This collection of information contains Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) requirements approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Notwithstanding any other provisions of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the PRA unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated to be 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Attn: Dawn Bailey.
* 1. What is your application/team number?

		Basic Competence: can	Competent: can work	Fully Compete
Understanding the Baldrige Criteria	Needs Improvement	work with guidance	independently	guide othe
Determining Key Factors				
Analyzing an award application				
Identifying Strengths/OFIs	0	\circ	\bigcirc	
Writing Feedback- Ready Comments	0	0	0	
Determining a Scoring Range and Score	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Meeting Deadlines				
Team Skills (honoring Ground Rules during calls, providing feedback, overall communication skills)			\bigcirc	0
ther Comments				

during both IR and C	Needs Improvement	Basic Competence: can work with guidance	Competent: can work independently	Fully Competent guide others
Understanding the Baldrige Criteria				
Determining Key Factors		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Analyzing an award application		0		
Identifying Strengths/OFIs				
Writing Feedback- Ready Comments		0		
Determining a Scoring Range and Score		\bigcirc		
Meeting Deadlines				
Team Skills (honoring Ground Rules during calls, providing feedback, overall communication skills)			\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Other Comments				

luring both IR and C	Needs Improvement	Basic Competence: can work with guidance	Competent: can work independently	Fully Competen guide other
Understanding the Baldrige Criteria				
Determining Key Factors		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Analyzing an award application		0	\circ	
Identifying Strengths/OFIs	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Writing Feedback- Ready Comments				
Determining a Scoring Range and Score		\bigcirc		
Meeting Deadlines				
Team Skills (honoring Ground Rules during calls, providing feedback, overall communication skills)			0	\circ
Other Comments				

during both IR and C	Needs Improvement	Basic Competence: can work with guidance	Competent: can work independently	Fully Competent guide others
Understanding the Baldrige Criteria				
Determining Key Factors		\bigcirc		
Analyzing an award application	\circ	0		
Identifying Strengths/OFIs		\bigcirc		
Writing Feedback- Ready Comments		0		
Determining a Scoring Range and Score				
Meeting Deadlines				
Team Skills (honoring Ground Rules during calls, providing feedback, overall communication skills)			\bigcirc	0
Other Comments				

Note that the standing the standing the standing the standing Criteria sectors analyzing an award	eeds Improvement	work with guidance	independently	guide othe
Determining Key Sactors				
nalyzing an award				
pplication				
dentifying Strengths/OFIs		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Vriting Feedback- Ready Comments		0	0	
Determining a Scoring Range and Score		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
leeting Deadlines				
eam Skills (honoring Ground Rules during alls, providing eedback, overall ommunication skills)			\bigcirc	\circ
ner Comments				

demonstrated during	DOIN IR AND CR?	Basic Competence: can	Competent: can work	Fully Competer
Understanding the	Needs Improvement	work with guidance	independently	guide other
Baldrige Criteria				
Determining Key Factors				
Analyzing an award application	\bigcirc		\circ	
Identifying Strengths/OFIs				
Writing Feedback- Ready Comments				
Determining a Scoring Range and Score				
Meeting Deadlines				
Team Skills (honoring Ground Rules during calls, providing feedback, overall communication skills)				
Other Comments				

demonstrated during	both IR and CR?	Basic Competence: can	Competent: can work	Fully Competent
	Needs Improvement	work with guidance	independently	guide others
Understanding the Baldrige Criteria				
Determining Key Factors				
Analyzing an award application				
Identifying Strengths/OFIs				
Writing Feedback- Ready Comments				
Determining a Scoring Range and Score				
Meeting Deadlines				
Team Skills (honoring Ground Rules during calls, providing feedback, overall communication skills)			\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Other Comments				

Understanding the Baldrige Criteria Determining Key Factors Analyzing an award	eeds Improvement	work with guidance	independently	guide othe
Determining Key Factors Analyzing an award				
			\bigcirc	
pplication		0	0	
dentifying Strengths/OFIs		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Vriting Feedback- Ready Comments		0		
Determining a Scoring Range and Score		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Meeting Deadlines				
Feam Skills (honoring Ground Rules during salls, providing eedback, overall communication skills)				
her Comments				

		Basic Competence: can	Competent: can work	Fully Compete
Understanding the	Needs Improvement	work with guidance	independently	guide othe
Baldrige Criteria				
Determining Key Factors				
Analyzing an award application				
Identifying Strengths/OFIs				
Writing Feedback- Ready Comments				
Determining a Scoring Range and Score				
Meeting Deadlines				
Team Skills (honoring Ground Rules during calls, providing feedback, overall communication skills)				
ther Comments				

20. Please list the names	s of Returning Ex	aminers on your team a	and rate their readiness	to lead a team a
Returning Examiner #1:				
Returning Examiner #2:				_
Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable):]
Returning Examiner #4 (if applicable):				
Returning Examiner #5 (if applicable):				
Returning Examiner #6 (if applicable):				
21. How would you rate	each Returning E Not Ready	cxaminer's readiness to Can Lead a Team with Assistance	be a team leader? High Performer - Team Leader Next Year	N/A
Returning Examiner #1		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #4		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #4 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #5		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #4 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #5 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #6		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #4 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #5 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #6		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #4 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #5 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #6		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #4 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #5 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #6		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A
Returning Examiner #1 Returning Examiner #2 Returning Examiner #3 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #4 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #5 (if applicable) Returning Examiner #6		Can Lead a Team with	High Performer - Team	N/A

22. Name of Senior/Al	umni Examiner #1.			
23. How would you rat competencies below?	te the current perforn	nance of Senior/Alumni	Examiner #1 in each o	of the
•	Needs Improvement	Fully Competent: can guide others effectively	Exceeds expectations - a real strength for this examiner	Cannot Rate
Mentoring/coaching less experienced examiners re: Criteria and evaluation process		0		0
Project Management Skills (meeting deadlines, making suggestions to improve the team's efficiency/effectiveness)				
Writing Actionable Feedback-Ready Comments and/or Key Themes	0	0		0
Leadership Skills (setting clear expectations, role- modeling appropriate team behavior, taking on additional assignments to assist the team)				

competencies below?	Needs Improvement	Fully Competent: can guide others effectively	Exceeds expectations - a real strength for this examiner	Cannot Rat
Mentoring/coaching less experienced examiners re: Criteria and evaluation process	0			
Project Management Skills (meeting deadlines, making suggestions to improve the team's efficiency/effectiveness)				
Writing Actionable Feedback-Ready Comments and/or Key Themes				
Leadership Skills (setting clear expectations, role- modeling appropriate team behavior, taking on additional assignments to assist the team)	0			
Comments				

	Needs Improvement	Fully Competent: can guide others effectively	Exceeds expectations - a real strength for this examiner	Cannot Rate
Mentoring/coaching less experienced examiners re: Criteria and evaluation process	0			
Project Management Skills (meeting deadlines, making suggestions to improve the team's efficiency/effectiveness)				
Writing Actionable Feedback-Ready Comments and/or Key Themes	0			
Leadership Skills (setting clear expectations, role- modeling appropriate team behavior, taking on additional assignments to assist the team)				
omments				

	Needs Improvement	Fully Competent: can guide others effectively	Exceeds expectations - a real strength for this examiner	Cannot Rate
Mentoring/coaching less experienced examiners re: Criteria and evaluation process				
Project Management Skills (meeting deadlines, making suggestions to improve the team's efficiency/effectiveness)				
Writing Actionable Feedback-Ready Comments and/or Key Themes	0			0
Leadership Skills (setting clear expectations, role- modeling appropriate team behavior, taking on additional assignments to assist the team)				
omments				

	Needs Improvement	Fully Competent: can guide others effectively	Exceeds expectations - a real strength for this examiner	Cannot Rate
Mentoring/coaching less experienced examiners e: Criteria and evaluation process	0			
Project Management Skills (meeting leadlines, making suggestions to improve the team's efficiency/effectiveness)				
Vriting Actionable Feedback-Ready Comments and/or Key Themes	0			
eadership Skills setting clear expectations, role- nodeling appropriate eam behavior, taking on additional assignments o assist the team)				
mments				

The enhanced tech editing process is intended to provide the team feedback on the scorebook before it is finalized. This page asks how well that was accomplished. * 32. Did you find the tech editor's input on the team's R-3 scorebook beneficial? Yes No Comments (Strengths and/or OFIs)
✓ Yes✓ No
Comments (Strengths and/or OFIs)

uggestions for Improvements to Independent Review and Consensus Review
ease provide feedback on how the Award process could be improved.
33. Please share your thoughts on your experience as a team leader and recommendations for improving the IR and CR phases of the Award process.
Privacy Act Statement
Authority: The National Institute of Standards and Technology Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 271 et seq. (which includes Title 15 U.S.C. 272) and section 12 of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 3710a.The Baldrige Awards Program was created under public law 100-107 The Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Improvement Act of 1987. 5 U.S.C. AppInspector General Act of 1978, § 2; 5 U.S.C. AppReorganization Plan of 1970, § 2; 13 U.S.C. § 131; 15 U.S.C. § 272; 15 U.S.C. § 1151; 15 U.S.C. § 1501; 15 U.S.C. § 1512; 15 U.S.C. § 1516; 15 U.S.C. § 3704b; 16 U.S.C. § 1431; 35 U.S.C. § 2; 42 U.S.C. § 3121 et seq.; 47 U.S.C. § 902; 50 U.S.C. App. § 2401 et seq.; E.O. 11625; 77 FR 49699 (Aug. 16, 1012).
Purpose : The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) oversees the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program (BPEP) which is the nation's only Presidential award for performance excellence and offers a wide array of award-winning products and services, including the world-renowned Baldrige Excellence Framework. The function/purpose of the information collections is to support the needs and activities of the internal and external customers in support of this mission.
Routine Uses: NIST will use this information to conduct necessary government business for the processing and the support needs of the BPEP. Disclosure of this information is permitted under the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. Section 522a) to be shared among NIST staff for work-related purposes. Disclosure of this information is also subject to all the published routine uses as identified in the Privacy Act System of Records Notices: COMMERCE/ DEPT- 18: Employee Personnel Files Not Covered by Notices of Other Agencies; COMMERCE/DEPT-23: Information Collected in Connection with Department of Commerce Activities, Events, and Programs
Disclosure : Furnishing this information is voluntary, however, this information is required to obtain authorization to gain access to and participate in the specified BPEP activity. The failure to provide accurate information may delay or prevent you from participating in the activity. Submitting voluntary information constitutes your consent to the use of the information for the stated purpose.