Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Request 84 Fed. Rge. 59836 (November 6, 2019) PAIMI Summary of Comments and SAMHSA's Responses as of 1/8/2020

Comment	Date			
Number	Received	P&A Organizations	Summary of Comments	SAMHSA's Response
1	12/30/2019 12/27/2019 12/30/2019 12/30/2019 01/03/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020	Disability Rights North Carolina Arizona Center for Disability Law Indiana Disability Rights Disability Rights Pennsylvania Disability Rights Oregon Disability Rights New York Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program Disability Rights Ohio Disability Rights Arkansas Disability Rights New Jersey Disability Rights Connecticut Disability Rights Texas Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc. Protection & Advocacy System for SC Disability Rights Maryland National Disability Rights Network	Page 3, Section A, #11 – Demographic Composition of PAIMI Governing Board, Advisory Council, and Program Staff - The P&As recommended changing the title to "Gender" rather than "Sex" in the demographic question.	ACCEPTED (partial): The demographic categories of "sex" and "gender" are not interchangeable and reflect distinct categorical values. SAMHSA has historically collected the categorical values of "male" and "female", which do not reflect the range of categorical values encompassed in gender. SAMHSA does not support expanding the categorical values needed to gather data on gender to accurately reflect the complexity of this term. Rather, SAMHSA will continue to require the collection of data on sex as it is collected elsewhere in the Federal Government, specifically the U.S. Census Bureau. However, SAMHSA will include, in addition to "male" and "female" values, "unknown/would not disclose", to be consistent with the data collected on "sex" among "PAIMI-Eligible Individuals Served". Each P&A may choose to collect data that they consider reflects the range of gender categories among those who are served.
2	12/30/2019 12/27/2019 12/30/2019 12/30/2019 01/03/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020	Disability Rights North Carolina Arizona Center for Disability Law Indiana Disability Rights Disability Rights Pennsylvania Disability Rights Oregon Disability Rights New York Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program Disability Rights Mississippi Disability Rights Ohio Disability Rights Arkansas Disability Rights New Jersey Disability Rights Connecticut Disability Rights Texas Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc. Protection & Advocacy System for SC Disability Rights Maryland National Disability Rights Network	Page 3, Section A, #12 – List of Mental Health Professionals on the Advisory Council - The P&As recommended an "Other" category to be added as an appropriate answer for other types of mental health professionals that may serve on the PAC.	ACCEPTED (partial): SAMHSA is interested in understanding the number and composition of the P&A Advisory Councils with particular reference to Mental Health professionals. At the same time, we did not include every possible type of Mental Health professional, only those most commonly found in mental health treatment settings. In this way, our goal is to limit the data collection burden. However, SAMHSA will add two additional categories based on submitted comments, "Peer Support Specialists" and an "Other" category, with an instruction that this category must be used minimally.

2	10/20/2010	D' 1'' D' 1 N d C 1'	D 4 C 1: A 1115 C : D 1	NOT A COEPTED CAMICA!
3		Disability Rights North Carolina	Page 4, Section A, #15 – Governing Board	NOT ACCEPTED: SAMHSA is not currently interested in
		Arizona Center for Disability Law	_	collecting additional data on expanded Governing Board
		Indiana Disability Rights	options, beyond individuals with mental health	membership composition.
		Disability Rights Pennsylvania	disabilities, be added to the question related to the	
		Disability Rights Oregon	board composition to reflect individuals with diverse	
		Disability Rights New York	disabilities.	
		Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program		
		Disability Rights Mississippi		
		Disability Rights Ohio		
		Disability Rights Arkansas		
		Disability Rights New Jersey		
		Disability Rights Connecticut		
		Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc.		
		Protection & Advocacy System for SC		
		Disability Rights Maryland		
	01/06/2020	National Disability Rights Network		
4	12/30/2019	Disability Rights North Carolina Indiana	Page 5, Section B, #2 – Sex of PAIMI Eligible	NOT ACCEPTED: The demographic categories of "sex" and
	12/27/2019	Disability Rights Disability	Individuals Served - The P&As recommended changing	"gender" are not interchangeable and reflect distinct
	12/30/2019	Rights Pennsylvania Disability Rights	the titles of "Sex" to "Gender" and "Unknown/Would	categorical values. SAMHSA has historically collected the
	12/30/2019	Oregon Disability Rights	Not Disclose" to "Male, Female, Not Listed, and Chose	categorical values of "male" and "female", which do not reflect
	01/03/2020	_	Not To Answer".	the range of categorical values encompassed in gender.
	01/06/2020	Advocacy Program Disability Rights Ohio		SAMHSA is not interested in expanding the categorical values
		Disability Rights Arkansas		needed to gather data on gender to accurately reflect the
		Disability Rights New Jersey		complexity of this term. SAMHSA will continue to require
		Disability Rights Connecticut		the collection of data on sex as it is collected elsewhere in the
		Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc.		Federal Government, including the U.S. Census Bureau. Each
		Protection & Advocacy System for SC		P&A may choose to collect data that they consider reflects the
		Disability Rights Maryland		range of gender categories among those who are served.
		National Disability Rights Network		
	01/06/2020	, ,		

5	12/30/2019	Disability Rights North Carolina	Page 6, Section B, #4 - PAIMI-eligible Individuals	NOT ACCEPTED: SAMHSA believes that the data point
	12/30/2019	Arizona Center for Disability Law	Served with PAIMI Program Funds - The P&As	information is important to the program. The P&A may
	12/27/2019	Indiana Disability Rights	recommended dropping the data point for the question,	choose to collect demographics of the program if desired.
		Disability Rights Pennsylvania	which ask for the number of individuals with co-	
	12/30/2019	Disability Rights Oregon	occurring mental illness and intellectual and	
	01/03/2020	Disability Rights New York	developmental disabilities. In addition, they believe	
	01/06/2020	Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program	the question would be more useful in describing the	
	01/02/2020	Disability Rights Mississippi	demographics of a program than in the eligibility	
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Ohio	section.	
	01/03/2020	Disability Rights Arkansas		
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights New Jersey		
	01/05/2020	Disability Rights Connecticut		
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas		
	01/06/2020	Protection & Advocacy System for SC		
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Maryland		
	01/06/2020	National Disability Rights Network		
6		Disability Rights North Carolina	Section C (Instructions): Complaints/Problems of	NOT ACCEPTED: SAMHSA considers the outcomes
		Arizona Center for Disability Law	-	required in Section C, columns A through F related to
		Indiana Disability Rights	appreciate SAMHSA considering the outcomes of the	allegations of Abuse, distinct from those required in Section
		Disability Rights Pennsylvania	One PPR (PPR form used by other federal agencies for	12, End Outcomes of P&A Activities. The outcomes in
		Disability Rights Oregon	P & A grants) when constructing the outcomes of the	Section C are required GPRA measures.
		Disability Rights New York	new PPR so that they more accurately reflect the P&A	
		Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program	individual and group advocacy. However, the	
		Disability Rights Mississippi	instructions for the abuse section on page 3 do not	
		Disability Rights Ohio	reflect theses updated outcomes.	
		Disability Rights Arkansas		
		Disability Rights New Jersey		
		Disability Rights Connecticut		
		Disability Rights Texas		
		Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc.		
		Protection & Advocacy System for SC		
		Disability Rights Maryland		
	01/06/2020	National Disability Rights Network		

	T			
7		Disability Rights North Carolina	Page 12, Section C, #8 – Intervention Strategies - The	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA agrees that the addition of the
		Arizona Center for Disability Law	P&As recommended that the definitions of the One	Intervention Strategy "negotiation" would better reflect the
		Indiana Disability Rights	PPR and the PAIMI PPR be the same between the two	activities of the P&A with regard to interventions. A definition
		Disability Rights Pennsylvania	PPRs.	of "negotiation" will be added to the list of definitions in the
		Disability Rights Oregon		PPR instructions. It is: "Negotiation: Negotiation is a
		Disability Rights New York		problem-solving process in which two or more people
		Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program		voluntarily discuss their differences and attempt to reach a
		Disability Rights Mississippi		joint decision on their common concerns." The definitions for
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Ohio		the remaining Intervention Strategies in the PPR Instructions
		Disability Rights Arkansas		already reflect those mentioned in the comments.
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights New Jersey		
	01/05/2020	Disability Rights Connecticut		
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas		
	01/06/2020	Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc.		
	01/06/2020	Protection & Advocacy System for SC		
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Iowa		
	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Maryland		
	01/06/2020	National Disability Rights Network		
0	12/20/2010	D' 1'l'4 D' 14 M 41 C 1'	D 15 C 4' C #11 5E 14 4' 1 1 1 1C	ACCEPTED CAMICA '11 1 4 4 HO4 HA
8		Disability Rights North Carolina	Page 15, Section C, #11 - 5E - Interventions on behalf	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA will change the category "Other" to
		Arizona Center for Disability Law	of groups of PAIMI-eligible individuals - The P&As	"Other Systemic Advocacy". SAMHSA's definitions currently
		Indiana Disability Rights	recommended that the definitions for the group	coincide with the comments for the following terms: Systemic
		Disability Rights Pennsylvania	advocacy interventions be consistent with those in the	Litigation and Monitoring. SAMHSA also agrees to modify
		Disability Rights Oregon	•	the remaining definitions to be in line with those in the
		Disability Rights New York	P&As.	comments.
		Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program		
		Disability Rights Mississippi		
		Disability Rights Ohio		
		Disability Rights Arkansas		
		Disability Rights New Jersey		
		Disability Rights Connecticut		
		Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc.		
		Protection & Advocacy System for SC		
		Disability Rights Maryland		
	01/06/2020	National Disability Rights Network		

9	12/30/2019 12/27/2019 12/30/2019 12/30/2019 01/03/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020	Disability Rights North Carolina Arizona Center for Disability Law Indiana Disability Rights Disability Rights Pennsylvania Disability Rights Oregon Disability Rights New York Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program Disability Rights Ohio Disability Rights Arkansas Disability Rights New Jersey Disability Rights Connecticut Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc. Protection & Advocacy System for SC Disability Rights Maryland National Disability Rights Network	Page 15, Section C, #12 - End Outcomes of P&A Activities - The P&As recommended that the outcome reads "PAIMI-eligible individuals who are provided with appropriate community-based services resulting in, or maintaining, community integration and independence."	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA concurs and will add the proposed language, i.e. the inclusion of "maintaining already existing community integration" in "a" of this section.
10	12/30/2019 12/27/2019 12/30/2019 12/30/2019 01/03/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020	Disability Rights North Carolina Arizona Center for Disability Law Indiana Disability Rights Disability Rights Pennsylvania Disability Rights Oregon Disability Rights New York Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program Disability Rights Mississippi Disability Rights Ohio Disability Rights Arkansas Disability Rights New Jersey Disability Rights Connecticut Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc. Protection & Advocacy System for SC Disability Rights Maryland National Disability Rights Network	state they were unclear as to the importance for SAMHSA to collect data on the salaries for its PAIMI program staff. The commentors are not favor of	NOT ACCEPTED: SAMHSA collects data on the salaries of the PAIMI program staff for financial accountability, oversight, and monitoring purposes. SAMHSA also collects the data on the salaries to ensure none of the funds provided under the PAIMI grant awards are used to pay the salary of an individual at a rate in excess of Level I of the Executive Schedule, as stated and required in the Notices of Award.
11	01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020	Arizona Center for Disability Law Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program Disability Rights Texas Disability Rights Iowa National Disability Rights Network	Page 10, Section C, #5 – Areas of Alleged Rights Violation - The P&As recommended additions, consistent with the One PPR, to the tracking of alleged rights violations.	NOT ACCEPTED: SAMHSA considers the list of types of Alleged Rights Violations to be sufficient. SAMHSA also considers "refuse to take prescribed medications" and "right to refuse treatment" to be distinct categories. Furthermore, SAMHSA is interested in the collection of data specific to the rights of individuals served in general and is not collecting this data for specific sub-populations. The P&As may, however, choose to do so.
12	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Florida	_	ACCEPTED: Once OMB approves the use of SAMHSA's proposed forms, SAMHSA will distribute these immediately to the P&A.

			ACCEPTED: SAMHSA will include "State" as one of the
01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas	- The P&As recommended retaining the reference to and expectation that the State report to the P&A deaths of individual in their care.	sources of death notifications.
01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas	Page 5 #1. Age of PAIMI-eligible Individuals Served - The P&A recommended that "Race Unknown" be added to the selection of individual served.	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA will add the age category "unknown".
01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas	Page 8 - Areas of Alleged Abuse - The P&A recommended deleting the 1% limit just as it has been deleted for neglect and rights. In addition, it recommended adding additional outcomes to the abuse disposition.	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA will delete the requirement that the use of the "other" category contain less than 1% of the responses and indicates in instructions that the P&A make every effort to minimize the use the "other" category. SAMHSA will also add the following disposition categorie Lost Contact, Outcome Unknown, and Lack of Resources.
01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas	Page 10 - Neglect Complaints Disposition - The P&A recommended adding additional outcomes to the neglect complaints disposition.	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA will add the following disposition categories: Lost Contact, Outcome Unknown, and Lack of Resources.
01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas	Page 12 - Rights Violations Disposition - The P&A recommended adding additional outcomes to the right violations disposition.	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA will add the following disposition categories: Lost Contact, Outcome Unknown, and Lack of Resources.
01/06/2020	Disability Rights Texas	Page 12, #7 - Reasons for Closing Individual Advocacy Case File - The P&A recommended adding additional dispositions to the category.	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA will add the following disposition categories: Lost Contact and Lack of Resources.
		Page 24, Section H - Statement of Priorities (Goals) - One of the P&As stated that Section A & C are repetitive and narratives may be duplicative for case level work. The other P&A stated that the wording of the instructions are not clear and needs clarification whether it should list only one exemplar case narrative or whether it have to briefly list all of the cases under the priority. In addition, the P&A recommended "Partially Met" be included as an option when reporting the outcomes of the priorities.	NOT ACCEPTED: SAMHSA does not consider this as duplicative. WebBGAS automatically populates these data fields in the PPR from data submitted in the application. Therefore, the data is only entered one time, in the PAIMI Application. SAMHSA will clarify in the PPR instruction that states provide a minimum of one narrative example for each Priority/Objective. In addition, SAMHSA only require that states indicate whether a priority was met or not met. does not include a category "partially met". The latter must be counted as "not met". However, if the state wishes to explain why a Priority was "partially met", they can submit footnote in WebBGAS.
	01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020 01/06/2020	01/06/2020 Disability Rights Texas	The P&As recommended retaining the reference to and expectation that the State report to the P&A deaths of individual in their care. Page 5 #1. Age of PAIMI-eligible Individuals Served - The P&A recommended that "Race Unknown" be added to the selection of individual served. O1/06/2020 Disability Rights Texas Page 8 - Areas of Alleged Abuse - The P&A recommended deleting the 1% limit just as it has been deleted for neglect and rights. In addition, it recommended adding additional outcomes to the abuse disposition. O1/06/2020 Disability Rights Texas Page 10 - Neglect Complaints Disposition - The P&A recommended adding additional outcomes to the neglect complaints disposition. O1/06/2020 Disability Rights Texas Page 12 - Rights Violations Disposition - The P&A recommended adding additional outcomes to the right violations disposition. O1/06/2020 Disability Rights Texas Page 12, #7 - Reasons for Closing Individual Advocacy Case File - The P&A recommended adding additional dispositions to the category. O1/06/2020 Disability Rights Texas Page 24, Section H - Statement of Priorities (Goals) - One of the P&As stated that Section A & C are repetitive and narratives may be duplicative for case level work. The other P&A stated that the wording of the instructions are not clear and needs clarification whether it should list only one exemplar case narrative or whether it have to briefly list all of the cases under the priority. In addition, the P&A recommended "Partially Met" be included as an option when reporting

20	01/06/2020	Protection & Advocacy System for SC	Health Agency - The P&A recommended that the federal citation be cited as a reminder that the P&As are required to provide a copy of their annual PPR to the head of their state mental health agency.	ACCEPTED: SAMHSA agrees to include the federal statute citation as a reminder requiring the P&A to provide the annual PPR to the head of their state mental health agency. 42 U.S. Code Section 10805(7) states that the system established in a State shall on January 1, 1987, and January 1 of each succeeding year, prepare and transmit to the Secretary and the head of the State mental health agency of the State in which the system is located a report describing the activities, accomplishments, and expenditures of the system during the most recently completed fiscal year, including a section prepared by the advisory council that describes the activities of the council and its assessment of the operation of the system.
21	01/06/2020	Disability Rights Iowa	Section D. 3 - Reporting the # of Individual Receiving Information from Public Awareness Activities/Events - The P&A recommended adding a field to allow the reporting of the number of individuals who received information from public awareness activities/events.	NOT ACCEPTED: SAMHSA does not require such reporting, however the P&A may choose to collect such data.