

which was permitted under a series of EISs and Environmental Assessments from the initial Plan of Operations in 1986 through the latest EIS in 2015. Coeur Rochester, Inc. is proposing to expand and optimize its current operations. It proposes to expand mining in both of its current pits (the Rochester and Packard pits) and move, relocate, or expand heap leach pads, waste rock dumps, haul roads, access road, water pipeline, and processing facilities. The proposal would increase disturbance by 2,815.4 acres (435.2 acres on private land and 2380.2 acres on public land).

Mining of the Rochester Pit would extend below the groundwater resulting in a permanent pit lake after closure. Additional, potentially acid-generating material would be excavated and would be processed as ore or stored according to its Waste Rock Storage Plan. The plan would also necessitate an upgrade in power distribution lines and a substation. With the proposed expansion, mine life would be extended to 2033, and would be followed by mine closure and reclamation.

The EIS describes and analyzes the proposed Project's direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts on all affected resources. In addition to the Proposed Action, the following alternatives are also analyzed in the document: Alternative 1, which is an alternate method to manage and store potentially acid generating material; Alternative 2 which was developed to address and manage pit lake development and water quality; and the No Action Alternative.

A Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Draft EIS for the proposed POA11 Project was published in the **Federal Register** on October 18, 2019 (FR Doc No: 2019-55979). Two open house public meetings were held during the comment period. The BLM received seven letters with public comments during the 45-day comment period. Six of the letters contained 18 individual substantive comments which included concerns on potential impacts to grazing allotments, storage and management of potentially acid-generating waste rock, mine closure, groundwater quality, pit lake quality, springs, and potential impacts to community wells for the town of Lovelock, Nevada. These comments were considered and addressed in Appendix F (Draft Environmental Impact Statement Public Comments and BLM Responses) of the Final EIS.

Comments on the Draft EIS received from the public and internal BLM review were considered and incorporated, as appropriate, into the Final EIS. Public comments resulted in

the addition of clarifying text or corrections, but did not significantly change the proposed action.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7)

**David Kampwerth,**

*Field Manager, Humboldt River Field Office.*

[FR Doc. 2020-03608 Filed 2-21-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

[RR02054000, 19XR0687NA, RX.18527901.3000000]

#### Central Valley Project Improvement Act 2020 Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans Standard Criteria

**AGENCY:** California-Great Basin—Interior Region 10, Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation has made available to the public the draft 2020 Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Standard Criteria) for public review and comment. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public an opportunity to review the draft 2020 Standard Criteria.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the preliminary determinations on or before March 25, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Ms. Charlene Stemen, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, CGB-400, Sacramento, CA 95825; or via email at [cstemen@usbr.gov](mailto:cstemen@usbr.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Ms. Charlene Stemen at [cstemen@usbr.gov](mailto:cstemen@usbr.gov) or at 916-978-5218 (TDD 978-5608).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3405(e) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575) requires the Secretary of the Interior to, among other things, “develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans” developed by certain contractors. According to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria must promote “the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices.” In accordance with this legislative mandate, the Bureau of Reclamation developed and published the Standard Criteria, which is updated every 3 years.

We invite the public to comment on our preliminary (*i.e.*, draft) 2020 Standard Criteria.

A copy of the draft 2020 Standard Criteria will be available for review at Reclamation's office in Sacramento, California, located at 2800 Cottage Way, CGB-410, Sacramento, CA 95825. If you wish to review a copy of the draft 2020 Standard Criteria or receive an electronic copy via email, please contact Ms. Stemen or visit <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/watershare>.

**Richard Woodley,**

*Regional Resources Manager, Bureau of Reclamation, California-Great Basin—Interior Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 2020-03540 Filed 2-21-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4332-90-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

[S1D1S SS08011000 SX064A000 201S180110; S2D2S SS08011000 SX064A000 20XS501520; OMB Control Number 1029-0024]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Procedures and Criteria for Approval or Disapproval of State Program Submissions

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSMRE), are proposing to renew an information collection.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 24, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your comments on this information collection request (ICR) by mail to the Mark Gehlhar, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1849 C. Street NW, Room 4556-MIB, Washington, DC 20240; or by email to [mgehlhar@osmre.gov](mailto:mgehlhar@osmre.gov). Please reference OMB Control Number 1029-0024 in the subject line of your comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request additional information about this ICR, contact Mark Gehlhar by email at [mgehlhar@osmre.gov](mailto:mgehlhar@osmre.gov), or by telephone at 202-208-2716.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we provide the general public and other Federal

agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

We are soliciting comments on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is the collection necessary to the proper functions of the OSMRE; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the OSMRE enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the OSMRE minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Abstract:** Part 732 establishes the procedures and criteria for approval and disapproval of State program submissions. The information is used to evaluate whether State regulatory authorities are meeting the provisions of their approved programs.

**Title of Collection:** Procedures and Criteria for Approval or Disapproval of State Program Submissions.

**OMB Control Number:** 1029-0024.

**Form Number:** None.

**Type of Review:** Extension of a currently approved collection.

**Respondents/Affected Public:** State and tribal regulatory authorities.

**Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents:** 33.

**Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:** 33.

**Estimated Completion Time per Response:** Varies from 5 hours to 350 hours, depending on activity.

**Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:** 4,765.

**Respondent's Obligation:** Retain a Benefit.

**Frequency of Collection:** Annually.

**Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:** None.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

**Mark J. Gehlhar,**

*Information Collection Clearance Officer, Division of Regulatory Support.*

[FR Doc. 2020-03591 Filed 2-21-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. DEA-593]

**Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Application: Scottsdale Research Institute**

**ACTION:** Notice of application.

**DATES:** Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic classes, and applicants therefore, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before April 24, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/DPW, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a), this is notice that on October 31, 2019, Scottsdale Research Institute, 5436 E Tapekim Road, Cave Creek, Arizona 85331 applied to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic classes of controlled substances:

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Psilocybin .....	7437	I
Psilocyn .....	7438	I

The company plans to bulk manufacture the above controlled substances to provide consistent medical grade active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and reference standards for distribution to their research customers.

Dated: January 31, 2020.

**William T. McDermott,**

*Assistant Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2020-03611 Filed 2-21-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Jaime C. David, M.D.; Decision and Order**

On September 26, 2017, the Acting Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, Government), issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Jaime C. David, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant) of Apple Valley, California. OSC, at 1. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. BD9798818. *Id.* It alleged that Registrant is without "authority to handle controlled substances in the State of California, the state in which [Registrant is] registered with the DEA." *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3)).

Specifically, the OSC alleged that the Medical Board of California (hereinafter, Board) issued an Order on August 24, 2016 revoking Registrant's medical license effective September 23, 2016, and that such Order remains in effect. *Id.* The OSC further alleged that because the Board revoked Registrant's medical license, Registrant lacks the authority to handle controlled substances in the State of California. *Id.*

The OSC notified Registrant of the right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement, while waiving the right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The OSC also notified Registrant of the opportunity to submit a corrective action plan. *Id.* at 3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

**Adequacy of Service**

In a Declaration dated April 13, 2018, a Diversion Investigator (hereinafter, DI) assigned to the Riverside Resident Office of the Los Angeles Field Division in Riverside, California, detailed her attempts to serve the OSC to Registrant. Request for Final Agency Action (hereinafter, RFAA) Ex. 3. The DI stated that she attempted to serve Registrant in person at his last known residence, 41145 Ridgegate Lane, Palmdale, California 93551 (hereinafter, the residence). *Id.* at 2. The DI obtained this address from a report written by the